

# The National Vaccination Programme in Greece: Factors Affecting Parents' Knowledge

Konstantina Giamaiou<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Nursing, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

*Received: 15 December 2012 Accepted: 5 January 2013 Published: 15 January 2013*

---

## Abstract

The National Vaccination Programme (NVP) is an exceptionally effective intervention in the field of public health, aiming at eliminating mortality caused by diseases for which there are available vaccines. **Background:** The study aims at recording the factors that determine parents' level of knowledge about vaccines and their attitudes in Greece. **Methods:** A total of 2995 parents were asked to fill in a specially structured questionnaire. The study was conducted in 107 Athens kindergartens. **Results:** 58.8

---

**Index terms**— vaccines, national vaccination programme, parents' knowledge, kindergartens

assive vaccination programmes have contributed to the reduction or even elimination of severe diseases of the previous centuries and their active immunization is recorded as one of the greatest achievements of the 20th century. [1][2][3] This reduction, however, in the occurrence of infectious diseases, due to vaccines, has resulted in ignorance of those diseases' severity in part of the younger parents. Ignorance combined with inadequate advertisement by the media as it regards the vaccines' safety, and the significant increase in the number of mandatory for infants and children vaccines have led to parents' questioning both the necessity and safety of vaccines. [1][2][3] The current study aims at recording the factors that influence parents' knowledge level concerning vaccination as well as their attitudes and aspects concerning the significance of vaccination during childhood. It also aims at recording the percentage of non-vaccinated children, investigating parents' knowledge level of NVP in Greece, and showing the level of their agreement with its general implementation. In fact, the study reveals the level of parental awareness, demonstrates the significance of parental education when performing an in-school educational intervention and highlights the responsibility of the health professionals in informing parents about the importance of the vaccination. Despite paediatric consensus in statements and recommendations regarding the importance of vaccination, health professionals in Greece often neglect to inform parents, whereas parents ascribe to lack of valid and timely information the fact that they have not taken any action in that direction. 3 a) **Participants and procedure** A cross-sectional, descriptive, 12-month study was carried out at 107 kindergartens of Athens and one kindergarten at a Hospital of Athens that was selected by draw. The choice of Municipalities was made using this method in order to ensure that the sample included parents from different socio-cultural background. The study began on September, 2009 and ended on July, 2010. A total of 2995 parents answered a specially structured anonymous questionnaire (one questionnaire for each child) concerning previous vaccinations of their children and their knowledge about the importance of vaccination during childhood. The respond rate was 36 %. In particular, 1077 questionnaires were filled in, and 1044 were used. 33 questionnaires were answered by parents that did not have children at pre-school age. A sample of 1044 parents was divided in two groups in order to investigate the factors defining parents' knowledge level on NVP. In particular, it was divided in those with a satisfactory knowledge level (knowledge level ≥50%) and those with a non-satisfactory knowledge level (knowledge level <50%). The independent variables assessed were: child's gender and age, parents' age, educational level, professional status, nationality, religion, and questions concerning parents' views and the previous vaccinations of their children. Specifically, the study examined the child's age, the frequency of vaccinations and the place where vaccinations were administered before the study. The study demonstrated the reasons why infants and children had not been vaccinated before. In addition, questions related

## 2 C) STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

---

46 to the vaccination establishment in kindergartens were also included. Parents' knowledge and views were assessed  
47 diseases that can be eliminated via vaccination, the importance of vaccination screening during childhood and  
48 the necessity of being consistent with NVP. All children's parents gave informed consent. The study protocol  
49 and the including questionnaire were approved by the Scientific Committee of the Department of Nursing of the  
50 National and Kapodistrian University of Athens and by the Directorates of five Municipalities of Athens.

### 1 b) Pilot Study

51 A pilot study was deemed necessary in order to verify the validity and reliability of the questionnaire. To control  
52 the conceptual validity, the questionnaire was given to competent researchers of the field in order to be evaluated.  
53 Its content was found to be coherent, precise, complete and clear. The difficulty level of the questionnaire that  
54 assesses parents' knowledge concerning NVP and vaccines was initially identified. The pilot study concerning  
55 knowledge had a difficulty average of 56.6% and acceptance criterion was higher than 10%. In order to assess the  
56 questionnaire's discriminating capacity, the discrimination index of each question was assessed at 0.65 and the  
57 acceptable value was above 0.20. 4 A reliability analysis of the questionnaire was held and the internal reliability  
58 index Kuder-Richardson 20 (KR 20) was assessed. KR 20 values ranged from 0 to 1 and values higher than 0.7  
59 are generally acceptable. 5 KR 20 reliability index for the entire questionnaire during the pilot study was found  
60 to be 0.855.  
61

### 2 c) Statistical Analysis

62 A "knowledge" score was established in order to investigate parents' knowledge level as regards NVP. Every  
63 correct answer was rated with 1, every wrong answer or non-answer with 0 and the percentage of correct answers  
64 was assessed against the total number of the questionnaire's questions. The range of the score was 0 (minimum) to  
65 100 degrees (maximum). For the description of constant variables, mean values, medians and standard deviations  
66 were used and value range as well. Comparisons of quantity variables were done using the non-parametric Mann-  
67 Whitney Test as normality assumption was not met according to the Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Shapiro-Wilk  
68 Test. For the comparison of proportions, chi-square and Fisher's exact tests were used. Adjusted odds ratios  
69 (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were computed from the results of the logistic regression analyses.  
70 Statistical significance was set at 0.05 and analyses were conducted using SPSS statistical software (version 18.0).  
71 A sample of 1044 parents was divided in two groups in order to investigate the factors defining parents'  
72 knowledge level on NVP. In particular, it was divided in those with a satisfactory knowledge level (knowledge  
73 level higher or equal to 50%) and those with a nonsatisfactory knowledge level (knowledge level less than 50%).  
74 58.8% of parents (614 out of 1044) showed a satisfactory knowledge level. The association of parents' knowledge  
75 level with children's demographics is shown in Table 1. Children's demographics (gender and age) don't affect  
76 parents' knowledge level while parents' age affects their knowledge level as it regards NVP. Parents with a  
77 satisfactory knowledge level are of older age compared to parents with non-satisfactory knowledge level (Table  
78 2) and the difference is statistically significant ( $p < 0.0001$  for the mothers and  $p = 0.003$  for the fathers). Parents  
79 of a higher educational level have a higher level of knowledge with respect to NVP ( $p < 0.0001$ ), (Table 3). The  
80 study showed that parents with a higher percentage of satisfactory knowledge are occupied in health professions  
81 (83.6%), followed by those in the teaching professions (75.8%) and civil servants (74.7%). The lowest percentage  
82 of satisfactory knowledge level is shown by workers (14.3%), working mothers (0%) and housewives (37.1%).  
83 Moreover, it has been found that parents' nationality ( $p < 0.0001$ ) and religion ( $p < 0.0001$ ) were associated with  
84 their level of knowledge. 2/3 of Greek parents have a satisfactory level of NVP knowledge, while only 1/4 of  
85 foreigners have a satisfactory knowledge level. ?s it regards religion, 62% (584/943) of the Christian orthodox  
86 population have a satisfactory knowledge level contrary to the Catholic population who have a satisfactory  
87 knowledge level of 28% (7/25) and the Muslims with a percentage of 12% (3/26). As far as it concerns the rest of  
88 religious denominations, it was observed that only 39% (13/33) have a satisfactory knowledge level as it regards  
89 vaccination and NVP. As it concerns the information sources and parents' knowledge level on vaccination and  
90 NVP, a statistically significant correlation has been observed as it regards the information received by parents  
91 with satisfactory knowledge level compared to those with non-satisfactory knowledge level (Table 4). The relation  
92 of the independent variables with parents' general level of knowledge concerning vaccination was further studied  
93 by applying logistic regression analysis. The estimated model is presented in Table 5. There is an indication of  
94 a positive relation between the total level of parents' knowledge on vaccination and mother's age. Specifically,  
95 the increase of mother's age by one year increases the relevant likelihood for parents to have a satisfactory  
96 knowledge level by 3.5%. Moreover, it was found a statistically significant positive relation between parents' level  
97 of knowledge on vaccines and mother's Greek nationality. The likelihood for the parents to have a satisfactory  
98 level of knowledge when the mother is Greek is higher than 47.9% compared to a foreign mother. On the  
99 contrary, a statistically significant negative relation is shown between the parents' total level of knowledge and  
100 the mother's engagement in household activities ( $p = 0.028$ ) or unemployment ( $p = 0.028$ ). Essentially, parents'  
101 likelihood of having a satisfactory knowledge level when the mother is occupied in household activities is 62.5%  
102 lower compared to the ones with a profession, whereas if unemployed this reaches 79.5% lower. Finally, there are  
103 indications showing a positive relation between the general level of parents' knowledge on vaccination and NVP  
104 and the information received by Paediatricians, General Practitioners, Media and the Internet or other agent.  
105

---

106 Parents' likelihood of having a satisfactory level of knowledge when informed by Paediatricians is higher than  
107 159.4% compared to the ones that do not get any information from Paediatricians. Parents' likelihood of having  
108 a satisfactory level of knowledge when informed by General Practitioners is higher than 212% compared to those  
109 that do not get any information from General Practitioners. The relevant likelihood of having a satisfactory level  
110 of knowledge when informed by the Media and the Internet is higher than 66.4% compared to the ones that do  
111 not have any information from the Media or the Internet. This study showed that NVP is implemented (Charts  
112 1-3). Nevertheless, it seems that some parents' concerns have begun, particularly with regard to new vaccines.  
113 Especially for the human papillomavirus vaccine (HPV) that has recently joined the National Immunization  
114 Programme, the study found that parents are skeptical in a high percentage about its safety and effectiveness.

115 Vaccination constitutes an important means of protection against infectious diseases. 6 What vaccines can  
116 achieve is to protect both the vaccinated person and the community. [6][7] A first reference to vaccines was  
117 made in the 18th century, as Jenner introduced the vaccine against smallpox. 8 At that time, scientists could  
118 not foresee that 180 years later this disease would have been eliminated. [6][7][8] Later on, in 1885, Pasteur  
119 attempted a successful anti-rabies vaccination using a vaccine made of dried rabbit spinal marrow, with the  
120 rabbits having been firstly contaminated with the rabies virus. 9 In 1923, Ramon discovered Diphtheria Toxoid  
121 and in 1927 the Tetanus Toxoid. 9,10 The first vaccinations, by Jenner and Pasteur, were administered without  
122 knowing the immunizing mechanisms involved in vaccination, a knowledge which is essential since it helps health  
123 professionals to use vaccines properly, achieving the best immunizing response. 11 Biotechnological development  
124 allowed the discovery and production of new vaccines that now have limited side-effects and high efficiency levels.  
125 6,12, ??3 As an example of the optimized vaccines, the acellular pertussis vaccine (DTaP), the smallpox vaccine,  
126 and a vaccine against human papillomavirus (HPV) are mentioned. [14][15][16] Studies showed that parents  
127 are concerned about the vaccines' correlation with side-effects, such as autism, multiple sclerosis, peripheral  
128 polyneuropathy and allergies. 3,17 In particular, MMR (measles, mumps, and rubella) vaccine's correlation with  
129 the appearance of autism has been a serious concern for a number of years, although there are no indications to  
130 justify such a correlation. 17 The first correlations of ??R vaccine with autism were expressed about 12 years  
131 ago by A. Wakefield et al. 3,17,18 Their study was considered insufficient due to the small sample and the  
132 fact that it was based on parents' reports. Nevertheless, it was observed a significant reduction of vaccination.  
133 2,3,17 Ever since, a number of studies have been conducted, which do not correlate vaccines with the occurrence  
134 of autism, while distinguished international organizations, such as Centers for Disease Control (CDC) and the  
135 National Health Service (NHS), have supported the use of the vaccines. 3,19,20 It has been shown that many  
136 factors influence, either positively or negatively, parents' opinion about vaccination. Knowledge and timely  
137 information constitute the most important factors that positively affect the attitudes of parents as it regards  
138 vaccines and NVP, while appeasing their concerns, so that the NVP be followed by everyone. In 2005, Paulussen  
139 et al. conducted a similar study aiming at recording the fundamental factors based on parents vaccinating their  
140 children in the respective NVPs. 21 By the current study it was found that parents wish their children to be  
141 vaccinated, believing that vaccination offers them a proper and safe immunization, hence protection, against  
142 severe diseases. However, parents' concern was that their children had to get too many vaccines simultaneously.  
143 Parents stated that the information they received by Paediatricians might not have been objective and only when  
144 vaccination advantages were previously presented it might led them to the Paediatrician office. The researchers  
145 deem that parents must be fully informed by Paediatricians in order to prevent information received from non-  
146 trusted sources that may discredit vaccines. Downs et al. had mental model interviews with parents, discussing  
147 issues pertaining to their children's vaccination and found out that even parents that were initially in favour of  
148 their children being vaccinated, expressed some concerns as to whether they had made the right decision or not.  
149 22 Detailed information seems to be helpful and to appease parents' concerns on vaccination issues. 22 Finally,  
150 a qualitative study was conducted by Rachel K et al., aiming at researching the decision-making process of the  
151 parents who choose not to vaccinate their children, showed that most of the parents considered that their decision  
152 is based on valid information, while an equally large number of them did not consider that health professionals  
153 provided valid information. 23 It is hence concluded that it is necessary for parents to have access to correct  
154 information on vaccines. 23 In 2009 an antivaccine climate was created in Greece by the outbreak of influenza.  
155 [24][25][26] Data from the Greek Pandemic Committee report that, by the misinformation carried out for three  
156 months, saying that the vaccine is dangerous or should not be administered to children, damage was unavoidable.  
157 By the outbreak of influenza, different views were presented in scientific field on the safety and K necessity of the  
158 vaccine until they finally decided that the vaccine is blameless. [24][25][26] The controversies and tensions that  
159 occurred resulted in the parents' concerns about the safety of all vaccines and the risks posed to the occurrence  
160 of side effects. Data from the Greek Pandemic Committee show a decreased consumption of vaccines in the  
161 quarter December 2009 to February 2010, which indicates that parents have lost their trust in vaccines. 24 This  
162 study showed that NVP is implemented, but, nevertheless, it seems that some parents' concerns have begun,  
163 particularly with regard to new vaccines. [27][28][29] Especially for the human papillomavirus vaccine (HPV)  
164 that has recently joined the National Immunization Programme, the study found that parents are skeptical in  
165 a high percentage about its safety and effectiveness. However, parents who had satisfactory knowledge level in  
166 matters concerning vaccines and are aware of HPV vaccine, would administer the vaccine to their children. In  
167 similar studies performed abroad on the acceptance of new vaccine in the mandatory vaccination of each country,  
168 it was found that parents, who were informed about the risks their children were running after infection with

### 3 A) LIMITATIONS

---

169 HPV and the cervical cancer as well, accepted vaccination at higher rates. [27][28][29] Through recording and  
170 studying the factors that act on parents' opinion about vaccines it seems that knowledge and timely information  
171 positively affect parental attitude as it regards the implementation of the NVP. This is the role that scientific  
172 community and health professionals are obliged to assume, that is, to respect the concerns expressed by parents,  
173 deal with their questions and convince them on the necessity as well as on the safety of vaccines. Before the  
174 problem gets worse, it would be good to begin organized programmes of parents' information, either through  
175 the primary centers for counseling young parents, or in kindergartens through scheduled meetings and parents'  
176 information by health professionals. Health professionals need to be constantly updated on new developments in  
177 the vaccine field and convince skeptical parents with scientific criteria for the necessity of vaccinations, stating  
178 in any case that the unvaccinated children are at increased risk of developing serious diseases with incalculable  
179 consequences for their health.

### 180 3 a) Limitations

181 The study had several limitations. The main limitation was that the dispensation of the matter to conduct the  
182 study was based on researchers' disposition in each kindergarten to distribute questionnaires diligently. Another  
183 limitation was the lack of uniformity of the sample as far as nationality is concerned. Probably some parents did  
184 not answer the questionnaire because they could not understand the questions (foreigners), affecting the sample  
185 in this way. Nevertheless, this epidemiological study aimed at finding out whether the NVP is implemented as  
186 it concerns the residing paediatric population in Greece or not, and recognising the significance of educating the  
187 parents about the NVP implementation.

188 NVP and promoting awareness constitute important means of protection against infectious diseases. 6 What  
189 vaccines can achieve is to protect both the vaccinated person and the community. [6][7] When comparing  
190 knowledge level of parents who agree and those who disagree with the observance of National Immunization  
191 Programme, it was found that most of those who agree (60%) have a satisfactory knowledge level, as opposed  
192 to those who disagree. It was found that parents who have followed and completed the National Immunization  
193 Programme have a satisfactory knowledge level ( $p = 0,004$ ) compared with those who have not completed  
194 this programme. A 96.1% of the parents' state that they keep abreast of new developments in vaccines by  
195 Paediatricians, while a second important source of information are the Media and the Internet (33.6%). It was  
196 shown that the majority of parents (81.7%) are skeptical as to the safety and effectiveness of new vaccines, such  
197 as the vaccine against HPV and Rota. The parents' intention to vaccinate their children with new vaccines  
198 depends on whether they know or not the side effects of these vaccines, e.g. for HPV, Rota ( $p = 0.001$ ).  
199 Even though the NVP is mandatory, some parents question both the necessity and the safety of vaccines,  
200 which furthermore endangers a child's life. In-school education seems to be of vital importance in the parents'  
201 compliance with NVP. However, more studies are needed to ensure that there is evidence of the incorporation  
202 of the recommendations concerning the importance of NVP during childhood. It is essential to inform parents  
203 with respect to the significance of vaccination, and the consequences of their ignorance. It is also necessary that  
204 medical personnel should take action with respect to its implementation and thus contribute to public awareness.  
205 Health professionals are a catalyst for community awareness, screening and prevention with respect to elimination  
206 of infectious diseases. <sup>1 2 3</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup>© 2013 Global Journals Inc. (US)

<sup>2</sup>The National Vaccination Programme in Greece: Factors Affecting Parents' Knowledge

<sup>3</sup>KThe National Vaccination Programme in Greece: Factors Affecting Parents' Knowledge



Figure 1:

1

	General level of parental knowledge		p-value
	Non-satisfactory	Satisfactory	
Child's gender	N (%)	N (%)	
Male	206 (40.1)	308 (59.9)	0,658
Female	216 (41.5)	304 (58.5)	a
Child's age (months)			
Average±Standard deviation	46 ± 12	45 ± 11	
Median (Range)	48 (14 -84)	48 (4 -72)	0,240
			b

a Fisher's Exact Test  
b Mann-Whitney Test

Figure 2: Table 1 :

### 3 A) LIMITATIONS

---

2

Parents' age	General level of parental knowledge		p-value b
	Non-satisfactory	Satisfactory	
Mother's age (years)			
Average±SD	35 ± 5	36 ± 4	
Median (Range)	35 (22 -53)	36 (22 -52)	<0,0001
Father's age (years)			
Average±SD	39 ± 6	40 ± 6	
Median (Range)	38 (26 -79)	39 (24 -73)	0,003
b Mann-Whitney Test			

Figure 3: Table 2 :

3

Parental educational level	General level of parental knowledge		p-value c
	Non-satisfactory	Satisfactory	
Mother's education	N (%)	N (%)	
Grammar School	3 (75)	1 (25)	
Primary School	12 (70.6)	5 (29.4)	
Primary Junior High School	10 (62.5)	6 (37.5)	
Junior High School	49 (68.1)	23 (31.9)	
Vocational, Technical School	50 (56.8)	38 (43.2)	
Senior High-School	9 (75)	3 (25)	
High-School	149 (42.3)	203 (57.7)	<0,0001
Higher Technical Educational Institute	39 (26.2)	110 (73.8)	
University	72 (33.6)	142 (66.4)	
Post-graduate studies	25 (24.3)	78 (75.7)	
Father's education	N (%)	N (%)	
Grammar School	1 (25)	3 (75)	
Primary School	8 (66.7)	4 (33.3)	
Primary Junior High School	15 (65.2)	8 (34.8)	
Junior High School	24 (41.4)	34 (58.6)	
Vocational, Technical School	48 (47.5)	53 (52.5)	
Senior High-School	18 (75)	6 (25)	
High-School	160 (43.5)	208 (56.5)	
Higher Technical Educational Institute	51 (37)	87 (63)	<0,0001
University	58 (32.2)	122 (67.8)	
Post-graduate studies	22 (22.2)	77 (77.8)	

Figure 4: Table 3 :

4

Information sources		General level of parental knowledge		p-value <sup>a</sup>
		Non-satisfactory N (%)	Satisfactory N (%)	
Paediatrician	No	26 (6.1)	15 (2.4)	0,005
	Yes	403 (93.9)	599 (97.6)	
General Practitioner	No	418 (97.4)	552 (89.9)	<0,001
	Yes	11 (2.6)	62 (10.1)	
School	No	406 (94.6)	571 (93)	0,304
	Yes	23 (5.4)	43 (7)	
Media , Internet	No	327 (76.2)	366 (59.6)	<0,001
	Yes	102 (23.8)	248 (40.4)	
Family	No	403 (93.9)	577 (94)	1,000
	Yes	26 (6.1)	37 (6)	
Other	No	421 (98.1)	569 (92.7)	<0,001
	Yes	8 (1.9)	45 (7.3)	

a Fisher's Exact Test

Figure 5: Table 4 :

5

	B	(OR) e B		95% CI for e B	p-value
Mother's age (years)	0.035	1.035	1.001	1.071	0.045
Parents' education					0.043
Grammar School	0.132	1.141	0.099	13.096	0.916
Primary School	-0.701	0.496	0.107	2.304	0.371
Primary Junior High School	-1.359	0.257	0.082	0.804	0.020
Junior High School	0.238	1.269	0.546	2.948	0.580
Vocational, technical school	-0.783	0.457	0.229	0.913	0.027
Senior High School	-1.499	0.223	0.070	0.717	0.012
Mother's Nationality (Greek)	1.479	4.390	2.793	6.901	0.000
Mother's professional status					0.002
Civil Servant	-0.181	0.834	0.385	1.810	0.647
Private Employee	-0.637	0.529	0.263	1.063	0.074
Teacher, Professor	0.003	1.003	0.430	2.337	0.995
Health professional	0.503	1.654	0.609	4.494	0.324
Housework	-0.980	0.375	0.157	0.899	0.028
Unemployed	-1.583	0.205	0.064	0.659	0.008
Information sources					
Paediatrician	0.953	2.594	1.076	6.256	0.034
General Practitioner	1.138	3.120	1.508	6.458	0.002
Media , Internet	0.509	1.664	1.204	2.300	0.002
Other	1.551	4.717	1.837	12.112	0.001

[Note: Yes: 99.23% No: 0.77% Pie chart 1 : Parent's compliance rate with the NVP Yes: 76.21% No: 23.79%  
Pie chart 2 : NVP's completion rate no yes no yes]

Figure 6: Table 5 :

- 
- 207 [McInnes and Schett ( )] , I B McInnes , G Schett . *Nat Rev Immunol* 2007. 7 (6) p. .
- 208 [Lombard and Pastoret ( )] ‘A brief history of vaccines and vaccination’. M Lombard , P-P Pastoret , Moulin  
209 A.-M . *Rev. sci. tech. off. int. Epiz* 2007. 26 (1) p. .
- 210 [Plotkin and Plotkin (ed.) ( )] *A short history of vaccination*, S L Plotkin , S A Plotkin . Plotkin SA, Orenstein  
211 WA, Offit PA (ed). Vaccines. 5th ed. PA Saunders (ed.) 2007. Philadelphia. p. .
- 212 [Advisory Commission on Childhood Vaccines (ACCV) (2010)] *Advisory Commission on Childhood Vaccines*  
213 *(ACCV)*, 2010 Jul [cited 2010 Oct 26].
- 214 [Rachel K Sporton and Francis ( )] *Choosing not to immunize: are parents making informed decisions? Famile*  
215 *Practice*, Sally-Anne Rachel K Sporton , Francis . 2001. 18 p. .
- 216 [Nguyen et al. ( )] ‘Decline in Mortality Due to Varicella after Implementation of Varicella Vaccination in the  
217 United States’. H Q Nguyen , A O Jumaan , J F Seward . *N Engl J Med* 2005. 352 p. .
- 218 [Paulussen et al. ( )] ‘Determinants of Dutch parents’ decisions to vaccinate their child’. T G Paulussen , F  
219 Hoekstra , C I Lanting , G B Buijs , R A Hirasig . *Vaccine* 2006. 24 p. .
- 220 [Wiersma and Jurs] *Educational measurement and testing*, W Wiersma , S G Jurs . Boston, MA: Allyn and  
221 Bacon1990. (2nd ed.)
- 222 [Dickman and Ferris ( )] ‘Human Papillomavirus Vaccine Acceptability among Parents of 10 to 15 year old  
223 Adolescents’. Davis K Dickman , G D Ferris , D . *J Low Genit Tract Dis* 2004. 8 (3) p. .
- 224 [Wakefield et al. ( )] ‘Ileallymfoid-nodular hyperplasia, non-specific colitis, and pervasive developmental disorder  
225 in children’. A J Wakefield , S H Murch , A Anthony . *Lancet* 1998. 351 p. .
- 226 [Konstantopoulos ( )] ‘Immune response to vaccines’. A Konstantopoulos . *Vaccines. Athens Medical Society.*  
227 *Editions X. Kattamis, Athens* 1995. p. .
- 228 [Tsolia et al. (2006)] ‘Impact of influenza infection in healthy children examined as outpatients and their families’.  
229 M N Tsolia , I Logotheti , N G Papadopoulos . *Vaccine* 2006 Aug 14. 2006 May 17. 24 p. .
- 230 [Rachiotis et al. (2010)] ‘Low Acceptance of vaccination against 2009 Pandemic Influenza A (H1N1) Among  
231 Healthcare workers in Greece’. G Rachiotis , V A Mouchtouri , J Kremastinou , K Gourgoulanis , C  
232 Hadjichristodoulou . *Eurosurveillance* 11 February 2010. 15 (6) .
- 233 [MMR the facts, NHS Immunisation information] *MMR the facts, NHS Immunisation information*, [http://  
234 www.mmrthefacts.nhs.uk/](http://www.mmrthefacts.nhs.uk/)
- 235 [National Vaccination Committee. National Vaccination Program Pediatrics ( )] ‘National Vaccination Commit-  
236 tee. National Vaccination Program’. *Pediatrics* 2006. 69 p. .
- 237 [Ferris et al. ( )] ‘Parental Acceptance of a Mandatory HPV Vaccination Progam’. D Ferris , L Horn , J L Waller  
238 . *JAm Board Fam Med* 2010. 23 (2) p. .
- 239 [Papaevangelou ( )] *Parents’ reserves and concerns on vaccination safety and necessity. Developments in*  
240 *Paediatric Diagnostics and Treatment*, V Papaevangelou . 2009. 29 p. . II Paediatric Clinic of Athens  
241 University
- 242 [Downs et al. ( )] ‘Parents’ vaccination comprehension and decisions’. J S Downs , W Bruine De Bruin , B  
243 Fischhoff . *Vaccine* 2008. 26 p. .
- 244 [Lenselink et al. ( )] ‘Parrental acceptance of Human Papillomavirus Vaccines’. C H Lenselink , Mmj Gerritus ,  
245 Wjg Melchers . *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 2008. 137 (1) p. .
- 246 [Koteli ( )] ‘Smallpox: a disease old as time’. ? Koteli . *History of Medicine. Medical News* 2006. 44 p. .
- 247 [Kuber and Richardson ( )] ‘The theory of the estimation of the test reliability’. G F Kuber , M W Richardson .  
248 *Psychometrica* 1937. 2 p. .
- 249 [US Department of Health and Human Services] *US Department of Health and Human Services*, (Internet)
- 250 [Nikolopoulos ( )] *Vaccination in countries of the European Union. Konstantopoulos ? Vaccines in Greece.*  
251 *Editions of the Hellenic Paediatric Society*, D Nikolopoulos . 2000. Athens. p. .
- 252 [Measles] *Vaccine and Autism Fact Sheet*, Mumps Measles , Rubella . [http://www.cdc.gov/od/science/  
253 iso/concerns/mmr\\_autism\\_factsheet.hm](http://www.cdc.gov/od/science/iso/concerns/mmr_autism_factsheet.hm)
- 254 [Kanariou ( )] *Vaccine immunization, Progress in comprehending immune response. Konstantopoulos ?. Vaccines*  
255 *in Greece. Editions of Hellenic Paediatrics Society*, M Kanariou . 2000. Athens. p. .
- 256 [Konstantopoulos ( )] ‘Vaccine Scheduling -New vaccines’. A Konstantopoulos . *Clinical Paediatrics. Zeta Edi-*  
257 *tions. Athens* 2006. p. .
- 258 [Stefano ( )] ‘Vaccines and autism: evidence does not support a casual association’. De Stefano , F . *Clin*  
259 *Pharmacol Ther* 2007. 82 p. .
- 260 [Vazquez and Shapiro ( )] ‘Varicella Vaccine and Infection with Varicella-Zoster Virus’. M Vazquez , E D Shapiro  
261 . *N Engl J Med* 2005. 352 p. .

### 3 A) LIMITATIONS

---

262 [Why health care workers are a priority group for pandemic influenza A (H1N1) vaccination?] *Why health care*  
263 *workers are a priority group for pandemic influenza A (H1N1) vaccination?*, [http://ecdc.europa.eu/en/](http://ecdc.europa.eu/en/activities/sciadvic/Pages/Activities_ScientificAdvice.aspx)  
264 [activities/sciadvic/Pages/Activities\\_ScientificAdvice.aspx](http://ecdc.europa.eu/en/activities/sciadvic/Pages/Activities_ScientificAdvice.aspx) (European Centre for Dis-  
265 eases Prevention and Control (ECDC). Internet)