

1 Difficult Tibial Nail Removal using the "Extended Trochanteric 2 Osteotomy" Technique Prior to Total Knee Arthroplasty

3 Russell Wagner¹

4 ¹ University of North Texas Health Science Center - Texas College of Osteopathic
5 Medicine

6 Received: 6 December 2013 Accepted: 31 December 2013 Published: 15 January 2014

7

8 **Abstract**

9 Osteoarthritis of the knee may occur in patients who have previously undergone tibial nailing,
10 necessitating nail removal in order to perform total knee arthroplasty. Typically, the nail may
11 be removed without a great deal of difficulty, either as a separate procedure or at the time of
12 the arthroplasty. However, tibial nail removal may pose a significant challenge. Extended
13 trochanteric osteotomy is an exposure technique that provides optimal access to the femoral
14 diaphysis.¹ Creating a longitudinal window down the length of the femur exposes the
15 intramedullary canal allowing for removal of well fixed components (such as fully coated
16 press-fit stems) that are adherent to the bone. This technique has been well described in the
17 literature with several variations of this procedure also now used quite universally.² We report
18 the use of a similar technique, extended tibial osteotomy, to remove an incarcerated tibial nail
19 at the time of planned total knee arthroplasty. Our patient was informed that data concerning
20 the case would be submitted for publication, and she consented.

21

22

Index terms—

23 Difficult Tibial Nail Removal using the "Extended Trochanteric Osteotomy" Technique Prior to Total Knee
24 Arthroplasty Aaron Schrayer ? , Di Lin Parks ? & Russell Wagner ? Abstract-Osteoarthritis of the knee may
25 occur in patients who have previously undergone tibial nailing, necessitating nail removal in order to perform
26 total knee arthroplasty. Typically, the nail may be removed without a great deal of difficulty, either as a separate
27 procedure or at the time of the arthroplasty. However, tibial nail removal may pose a significant challenge.
28 Extended trochanteric osteotomy is an exposure technique that provides optimal access to the femoral diaphysis.
29 1 Creating a longitudinal window down the length of the femur exposes the intramedullary canal allowing for
30 removal of well fixed components (such as fully coated pressfit stems) that are adherent to the bone. This
31 technique has been well described in the literature with several variations of this procedure also now used quite
32 universally. 2 We report the use of a similar technique, extended tibial osteotomy, to remove an incarcerated
33 tibial nail at the time of planned total knee arthroplasty. Our patient was informed that data concerning the
34 case would be submitted for publication, and she consented.

35 **1 I.**

36 **2 Case Report**

37 sixty-three-year-old woman presented to the orthopaedic clinic for persistent right knee pain. Her orthopaedic
38 history included a right tibial shaft fracture five years prior to presentation that was initially treated in a
39 closed fashion. Seven months later, after having continued pain at the fracture site and trouble ambulating, a
40 hypertrophic non-union was diagnosed, an intramedullary nail was placed, and the fracture healed. Subsequently,
41 she had persistent, severe right medial knee pain requiring the use of a wheelchair to travel more than short
42 distances. Physical examination was notable for BMI of 55, 10 to 90 degrees of motion, and palpable medial

4 DISCUSSION

43 osteophytes; radiographs revealed complete loss of medial joint space (Figure 1). A After a complete discussion
44 of treatment alternatives, we planned to perform a right tibial intramedullary nail hardware removal along with
45 a total knee arthroplasty. We began with the distal femoral and proximal tibial resections in order to facilitate
46 insertion of the extraction bolt into the tibial nail. Removal of the intramedullary nail was then attempted using
47 a slap hammer. Although we had very good fixation of the slap hammer onto the nail, the nail could only be
48 extracted about 1cm after approximately an hour of hammering by all members of the operative team. When
49 the nail was hammered in an antegrade manner in an attempt to loosen it, the proximal one-half of the tibia split
50 into large medial and lateral displaced fragments, with the tubercle as a portion of the lateral fragment. Despite
51 the fact that the proximal one-half of the nail was now completely exposed, further hammering did not "budge"
52 the nail. At this point, we decided to perform an extended tibial osteotomy in order to gain access to the nail.
53 An incision was made, continuous with the initial incision, extending down the medial face of the tibia to the
54 ankle. The cortical window was elevated from the anterior crest of the tibia, attempting to leave as much soft
55 tissue on this cortical window as possible. When the window was elevated, we found bone that had grown onto
56 the nail and was larger than the diaphyseal diameter. We used a high speed bur to remove this bone and then
57 were able to remove the nail. At this point, with the displaced bicondylar tibial plateau fracture extending to the
58 mid tibia and the extended tibial osteotomy down to the ankle, we decided to perform a two-staged procedure,
59 with plans for delayed total knee arthroplasty after the fracture and osteotomy had healed. Therefore, the tibial
60 osteotomy cortical window was stabilized using two Luque cerclage wires and the proximal tibial plateau was
61 stabilized with three 3.5mm lag screws. A Rush rod was placed to give overall alignment and to facilitate later
62 rod removal. Although there was no evidence of infection, an antibiotic cement spacer was placed between the
63 femur and the tibia to maintain collateral ligament length (Figure 2). At 4 months, the fracture and osteotomy
64 appeared healed on radiographs and we performed primary total knee arthroplasty. Intraoperative exam and
65 stress fluoroscopy images were consistent with healing of the fracture and osteotomy sites; consequently, the Rush
66 rod was removed. She initially did well, but one month after the arthroplasty, she had increasing pain and clinical
67 motion at the fracture site; therefore we returned to the operating room for plate fixation. The fracture then
68 healed uneventfully. Fourteen months later, she had good pain relief and function, with 0-110 degrees of motion
69 (Figures 3 and 4).

70 3 Global Journal of

71 Medical Research

72 4 Discussion

73 Tibial intramedullary nailing is a commonly performed procedure for tibial shaft fractures and nail removal is
74 not uncommon. Anterior knee pain is generally the most common indication for nail removal with other common
75 reasons being exchange nailing due to both delayed union and nonunion. 3,4 Complications may occur during
76 nail removal. Though there is limited literature on problems encountered during tibial nail removal, one paper
77 reported four cases of posterior tibial wall fracture upon removing the ACE titanium tibial nail while another
78 described a case of a tibial shaft fracture upon removal of the Synthes Expert Tibial Nail. 5,6 Even though there
79 are other possible complications that can occur, tibial fractures remain the most likely.

80 In revision hip literature, there is a growing trend toward performing an extended trochanteric osteotomy
81 to remove well-fixed prostheses with the goal being to have a surgically controlled "window" rather than
82 inadvertently causing significant boney damage. 2,7,8 In this patient, once the nail was partially removed, she
83 would be unable to ambulate without removing the nail or cutting it off, which would make future nail removal
84 even more difficult should it be necessary. Based on the senior author's (R.A.W.) use of extended trochanteric
85 osteotomies in total hip procedures, creating a tibial window in a similar fashion seemed to be the best option.

86 Staged hardware removal with subsequent knee replacement may avoid the complication of prosthesis
87 implantation in the presence of occult infection, but has the downside of two operative procedures. There is
88 limited information on this topic. One study that compared short term outcomes of total hip replacement after
89 complications of ORIF for hip fractures with a matched group of osteoarthritic patients showed that overall
90 functional outcome at 1-year postop was similar for both patient populations despite concerns of increased
91 intraoperative difficulty and risk of fracture. 9 Although performing the proximal tibial resection made insertion
92 of the extraction bolt easier, it also forced us to go forward with a knee replacement or fusion in order for the
93 patient to walk; therefore, in the future, we would plan nail removal prior to performing the boney cuts for total
94 knee replacement, even if the arthroplasty is planned during the same procedure.

95 Recognizing possible "ongrowth" is vitally important to prevent complications like tibial fractures since forceful
96 hammering is usually necessary for extraction of intramedullary tibial nails. 6 Titanium nails may be expected
97 to have more ongrowth than steel nails.

98 Creating a tibial window using the same technique as the extended trochanteric osteotomy allows for a
99 controlled extraction of the intramedullary nail without causing unintended boney damage. We hope by this
100 article to warn surgeons of this situation, which may become more common, and to provide a possible solution.

101



1

Figure 1: Figure 1 :

4 DISCUSSION



Figure 2:



Figure 3: Figure 2 :

4 DISCUSSION



Figure 4: Figure 3 :

41R000122

Ex: 4162288

LEG LAT

Se: 3/2

Im: 1/1

KNEE

Date 03.24.2011 [12:00:11]

Institution

LONG,LILLIAN J

1946 Sep 09 F 3393394

Acc: 4162288

2011 Mar 24

Img Tm: 12:05:32.000000



4 DISCUSSION

102 [Chen et al. ()] 'Extended slide trochanteric osteotomy for revision total hip arthroplasty'. W M Chen , J P
103 Mcauley , C A EnghJr , R H HopperJr , C A Engh . *J Bone Joint Surg [Am]* 2000. 82 p. .

104 [Takakuwa et al. ()] 'Fracture on removal of the Ace tibial nail'. M Takakuwa , M Funakoshi , K Ishizaki , T
105 Aono , H Hamaguchi . *J Bone Joint Surg [Br]* 1997. 79 p. .

106 [Court-Brown et al. ()] 'Knee Pain After Intramedullary Tibial Nailing: Its Incidence, Etiology and Outcome'.
107 C M Court-Brown , T Gustilo , A D Shaw . *J Ortho Trauma* 1997. 11 p. .

108 [Noble et al. ()] 'Mechanical Effects of the Extended Trochanteric Osteotomy'. A R Noble , D B Branham , M
109 C Willis , J R Owen , B W Cramer , J S Wayne , Jiranek Wa . *J Bone Joint Surg [Am]* 2005. 87 p. .

110 [Winemaker et al. ()] 'Short-Term Outcomes of Total Hip Arthroplasty After Complications of Open Reduction
111 Internal Fixation for Hip Fracture'. M Winemaker , P Gamble , D Petruccelli , S Kaspar , J De Beer . *J of
112 Arthroplasty* 2006. 21 (5) p. .

113 [Miner et al. ()] 'The Extended Trochanteric Osteotomy in Revision Hip Arthroplasty: A Critical Review of 166
114 Cases at Mean 3-Year, 9-Month Follow-Up'. T M Miner , N G Momberger , D Chong , W L Paprosky . *J
115 Arthroplasty* 2001. 16 (8) p. . (Suppl 1)

116 [Seebauer et al. ()] *Tibia Fracture Following Removal of the ETN (Expert Tibia Nail): A Case Report.* *Arch
117 Ortho Trauma Surg*, C J Seebauer , K M Van Scherpenzeel , N P Haas , H J Bail . 2009. 129 p. .

118 [Jones et al. (1999)] *Tibial Fracture During Removal of a Tibial Intramedullary Nail.* *J of Ortho Trauma*, D H
119 Jones , Iv , G Schmeling . 1999 May. 13 p. .

120 [Mcgrory et al. (1996)] 'Trochanteric Osteotomy for Total Hip Arthroplasty: Six Variations and Indications for
121 Their Use'. B J Mcgrory , B S Bal , W H Harris . *J Am Acad Ortho Surg* 1996 Oct. 4 p. .