

"Role of Twice Weekly HDR-Brachytherapy in Management of Carcinoma Of Uterine Cervix-Experience of Rural Centre in India"

Sanjay Singh Chandel¹ and AK Nigam²

¹ G R MEDICAL COLLEGE GWALIOR MP

Received: 16 December 2012 Accepted: 5 January 2013 Published: 15 January 2013

Abstract

Aim and Objective : Study of safety and efficacy of twice weekly HDR brachytherapy, in management of cervical carcinoma. **Background and purpose :** HDR brachytherapy (HDR-ICBT) is integral part of treatment in cervical cancer treated with curative intent. HDR-ICBT should always be fractionated, if brachytherapy started after external radiotherapy and once in a week application was done then there is prolongation of overall treatment time (OTT) which leads to of tumour repopulation leading to poor tumour control. To reduce repopulation, OTT should be shortened either by increasing dose per fraction or administering more fractions per week, first approach has more complications. So to decrease OTT twice weekly regimen should be preferred. This study aims to evaluate the effectiveness and safety of twice weekly HDR-ICBT. **Materials and Methods :** Hundred patients with locally advanced (stages IIB to IVA according to FIGO classification) carcinoma of uterine cervix were enrolled, radiotherapy was conventionally administered: 50.4 Gy/28 fractions by external beam (whole pelvis) followed by HDR-ICBT, 4 fractions of 7 Gy each. Paclitaxel was administered on weekly basis at dose of 40 mg/m² during entire course of external beam radiotherapy as a radio sensitizer. Overall treatment time 50 days. **Results :** Treatment response was evaluated three months after the end of radiotherapy by means of clinical examination and ultrasonography. Complete Regression (CR) in 83

Index terms— twice weekly HDR brachytherapy, paclitaxel, cervical carcinoma.

Background and purpose : HDR brachytherapy (HDR-ICBT) is integral part of treatment in cervical cancer treated with curative intent. HDR-ICBT should always be fractionated, if brachytherapy started after external radiotherapy and once in a week application was done then there is prolongation of overall treatment time (OTT) which leads to of tumour repopulation leading to poor tumour control. To reduce repopulation, OTT should be shortened either by increasing dose per fraction or administering more fractions per week, first approach has more complications. So to decrease OTT twice weekly regimen should be preferred. This study aims to evaluate the effectiveness and safety of twice weekly HDR-ICBT. **Materials and Methods :** Hundred patients with locally advanced (stages IIB to IVA according to FIGO classification) carcinoma of uterine cervix were enrolled, radiotherapy was conventionally administered: 50.4 Gy/28 fractions by external beam (whole pelvis) followed by HDR-ICBT, 4 fractions of 7 Gy each. Paclitaxel was administered on weekly basis at dose of 40 mg/m² during entire course of external beam radiotherapy as a radio sensitizer. Overall treatment time 50 days.

Results : Treatment response was evaluated three months after the end of radiotherapy by means of clinical examination and ultrasonography. Complete Regression (CR) in 83%, partial response (PR) 14% and progressive disease 3%. At 26 months of median follow up 73 patients alive, 58 patients are disease free.

1 Conclusion :

The twice weekly HDRICBT regimen may improve the local control rate with low complications as well as reduced overall treatment time.

2 Introduction

Invasive cervical cancer is the second most common malignancy in the women worldwide, after breast cancer, this accounts nearly 5,00,000 new cases and 250000 death per year [1] Of these, 80% occur in developing countries and 20% in developed countries [2]. The incidence rate in India among various cancer registries shows 17.2 to 30.7 per 100,000 women with highest incidence in Chennai, Brashi and lowest Incidence in Mumbai ??NCRP 2001). The number of cervical cancer deaths in India is projected to increase Author ? : E-mail : dr.sanjaychandel@gmail.com 79000 by the Year 2010. In our department cancer cervix constitutes 25% of total cases seen.

Whereas, either radiotherapy (RT) (external RT+ Brachytherapy) or surgery represents the mainstay of treatment for patients with early stage cancer, while multimodality treatment strategies, including RT combine with cisplatin based chemotherapy (CT) or neoadjuvant chemotherapy or CT followed by radical surgery have been reported to improve disease free as well as overall survival. Concurrent chemoradiation (CCRT) is established treatment modality in locally advanced cervical cancer. Brachytherapy has important role in management of cervical carcinoma, either alone in early cases or in combination with external RT. LDR brachytherapy is gold standard but due to potential disadvantage of LDR like radiation exposure to staff, long treatment time hence possibility of applicator displacement etc. so LDR is replaced by HDR, but HDR treatment is always fractionated, if brachytherapy started after completion of EBRT, due to large bulky tumour and, if once weekly application was done than possibility of treatment prolongation and tumour repopulation so there is need of twice weekly HDR brachytherapy.

In locally advanced cervical cancer, many phase I and II studied, paclitaxel alone or in combination with cisplatin, carboplatin in patients undergoing pelvic radiation therapy. This acts as radiosensitizer and synergistic action along with radiotherapy. ???[4] Traditional prognostic factors in cervical cancer have been studied. Patients related prognostic factors include age, anaemia and smoking. [5][6] [7]and [8] Tumour related factors includes stage, tumour size, nodal involvement, and hypoxia . Radiation related factors include overall treatment time, dose, use of brachytherapy and concurrent chemotherapy. Shorter treatment times, higher doses, use of brachytherapy, and use of chemotherapy are all associated with better outcomes. [10], [11]. [12], [13] CCRT is the established treatment modality in locally advanced carcinoma of uterine cervix. Many drugs like cispplstin, 5-fluorouracil and more recently paclitaxel are used as radiosensitizer. In addition to direct cytotoxic effect shows the theoretical advantage to sensitize malignant tissue to the effect of radiation. CT in facts may act synergisticacally with RT and inhibiting(D D D D) D D D D K [9]

the repair of sub lethal damage along with promoting the synchronization of cells into a radiation sensitive phase of the cycle, and reducing the fraction of hypoxic cells resistant to radiation. Furthermore CT may independently increase the rate of death of tumor cells. In rural centre cervical cancer is leading malignancy and majority of patients presented with locally advanced staged. This prospective non randomized study with 100 patients of locally advanced cervical carcinoma was conducted to evaluate the adverse effect of treatment prolongation treated with radical radiotherapy. This is the preliminary reports of our experience at a median follow up of 26 months.

3 II.

4 Materials and Methods

During a period from July 2007 to June 2010, 100 patients of cervical carcinoma attending the department of Radiotherapy were included in prospective non randomized trials of CCRT. a) Eligibility Criteria Were

- ? No previous oncology treatment except biopsy.
- ? Histological/cytological diagnosis of malignant disease.
- ? Age between 28-65years.
- ? HB >10gm. ? Blood urea & creatinine not higher than twice normal value.
- ? ECOG performance scale score of 0-2.
- ? Informed consent oral and written from patients.
- ? ANC >2000, platelets >100000, bilirubin <1.5, serum creatnine, 1.5mg%.

5 Radiotherapy

All patients received RT to whole pelvis 50.4Gy ? 28 fractions, one fraction per day, five days per week, with two opposed pelvic field A-P and P-A and four fields. Two fields technique were planned when inter portal distance (IPD) less than 20 cm. and four fields, when IPD was more than 20 cm. Last three fractions delivered using midline shielding, followed by HDRICBT 4 fractions of 7 Gy each (total 28Gy) to reference point A (2 cm superior and 2 cm lateral to the cervical Os) on twice weekly basis. Total dose to point A was 8360 cGy. Overall treatment time (OTT) was 50 days (range 49 to 52 days).

IV.

6 Evaluation of Follow-up

Before each course of CT patients were evaluated and during RT they were seen weekly by Radiation oncologist for normal tissue reaction and tumor response. Routine investigations were performed and if required supportive management was given. As per RTOG criteria adverse reaction was documented.

V.

7 Response

After completion of treatment, all patients were evaluated for response and acute toxicity. Response was evaluated three months after the end of radiotherapy by means of clinical examination and USG. Complete regression (CR) was defined as disappearance of the disease according to both clinical and radiological examination. Partial regression (PR) was defined as tumor size regression more than 50%. A regression of less than 50% or stable disease (SD) was defined as no change (NC). Acute hematological toxicity was monitored weekly during treatment through serum examination and blood cell counts. Patient symptoms like diarrhoea, vomiting, dysuria were reported. Toxicity was scored according to WHO criteria.

8 VI.

9 Results

All patients completed planned course of RT. Complete Regression in 83 patients (83%), partial response in 14 patients (14%), while three patients had progressive disease (3%) stage wise response shown in[

10 Discussion

Definitive RT represents the standard treatment for locally advanced (FIGO stage IIB-IVA) cervical carcinoma. RT is usually performed applying whole pelvic fields with a dose up to 50 Gy followed by boost with ICBT. Despite large tumor doses conventionally administered (65 Gy or more), failures are not uncommon. According to Perez [14] the actuarial highest probability of loco regional control after RT alone is 60% for stage III. On the other hand, achieving local CR after RT represent an important predictive factor of survival, being a 5 years survival rate of 76% when local CR is obtained, versus 41% when CR is not achieved. [15]The improvement of pelvic control cannot be reached by increasing radiation dose beyond the current levels without prohibitive morbidity. The consequences, in recent years, have been the development of chemo-radiotherapy regimens with which favorable results have been reported.

In locally advanced cervical carcinoma CCRT with cisplatin or cisplatin in combination with fluorouracil to external and ICBT improved the survival rate [16], [17] and [18]Paclitxel was also used along with RT either alone or in combination with cisplatin or carboplatin by many workers [19], [20]and [21] shows that paclitaxel either alone or in combination with other agent act as radiosensitizer with good pelvic control. In our study shows that concurrent administration of paclitaxel at the weekly dose of 40 mg/m² and RT with conventional fractionation is feasible. The acute toxicity is not increased in respect to what is commonly observed during a conventional course of exclusive radiation treatment. A complete response of 83% considered as satisfactory results.

Over all treatment time (OTT) is one of most important prognostic factor, [11]. reported that there is loss of pelvic failure rate approximately 1% loss of tumor control per day of prolongation of treatment time beyond 30 days in 830 patients with cervical carcinoma treated with irradiation alone. Peteret et al [12] reported that the five year survival and pelvic control rate differed significantly with treatment time <55 days vs. >55 days: 65 and 54% (p= 0.03), 87 and 72% (p= 0.006), respectively. In addition, survival was decreased by 0.6% per day and pelvic control by 0.7% per days for all stages.

Suggested that shorter treatment duration is a factor associated with longer survival and pelvic control in carcinoma cervix, OTT less than or equal to 55 days. In order to shorten OTT, brachytherapy could perform at or near the end of EBRT.

MandalAbhijit et al. (2007): [23]Study found that stage II patients showed comparable local control rate (75% vs. 79%) and 5-year disease free survival rate (73.3% vs.76.3%) with OTT <50 days and OTT >50 days respectively, but stage III patients showed a statically significant (P<0.001) higher local control rate (100% vs. 76.5%) and 5-year disease free survival rate (100% vs. 68.6%) with OTT <50 days and OTT >50 days respectively.

In our study it was found that there was a strong correlation between OTT and local control, stage IIB patients showed local control rate (100% vs. 83.3%), stage IIIB patients showed comparable local control rate (82.6% vs. 88.2%) and stage IVA patients local control rate (72.7% vs. 0. %). with OTT ≤50 days and OTT >50 days respectively. Patients who completed treatment ≤50 days as compare to >50 days shows statistically significant local control (p<0.05), in different stages.

Yukihiro Hama et al. [24]have been studied effectiveness and safety of twice-weekly HDRICBT in cervical carcinoma, showed that twice-weekly regimen substantially improve local control (p<.01) and reduced moderate and severe complications (p <.01). However, despite improvements in local control and severe complications, overall survival was not significantly improved, because 93% of patients who developed local-regional recurrences had also distant metastasis, and most of death occurs due to metastasis and multiorgan failure.

ABS recommendation for HDRICBT [25]: The overall treatment time would be unduly prolonged if the HDR was started after completion of EBRT as a weekly session. If disease is advanced due to large tumor volume, brachytherapy implant was not possible during EBRT. So it is advisable to perform two implants per Delaloyet.al. [22] and Lanciano et.al. [10] week after the EBRT has been completed. This study indicates that for better tumour control OTT should be less than 50 days, to decrease OTT, brachytherapy given on twice weekly basis, twice weekly brachytherapy seems to be safer and better therapeutic outcome with improve local control rate.courses of paclitaxel can be given as CCRT with manageable adverse effect in the management of locally advanced cervical carcinoma.

However a large randomized study is needed to pin point if any. CT and RT controlled only tumor and tumor related death. It cannot improve the expected age; hence cause of death in every treated cancer patients should be evaluated.¹



Figure 1: ”

¹© 2013 Global Journals Inc. (US)

	are mention in [Table No.3]. Late radiation reactions mention in [Table No. 4]. While response of treatment with OTT less than 50 days verses more than 50 days mention in [Table no. 5] After two years from last patents treated analysis done, only 73 patients on regular follow	Ondansetron 8 mg IV bolus, given 30 min before paclitaxel.
013	up, overall survival and disease free survival mention in [Table no.6], eight patients have locoreginal recurrences, three patients have liver metastasis, one patient have liver and lung metastasis, two patients have bone metastasis. One patient has supraclavicular lymphadenopathy. Eight patients died during follow up and rest patients missed for follow up. Vaginal fibrosis developed in almost every patent, one patients	
2	developed rectovaginal fistula, two patients developed gross haematuria and eight patients developed rectal bleeding. Rectal bleeding cases were managed with	
34	steroid enema. Heamaturea cases were managed with symptomatically. Other recurrence cases were managed	
Volume XIII	with either palliative radiotherapy or chemotherapy (cisplatin& paclitaxel based) Our study is in preliminary stage only 26 months follow-up done, long term follow-up is needed	During CT all patients were admitted in ward. All patients were examined after completion RT than 6 weeks followed by 3 monthly intervals.
Issue IV	to derive response of treatment, recurrences and late complications. No cases of cardiac toxicity and alopecia were recorded.	Blood count, x-ray chest, USG abdomen. Patients belong to rural area were
Version VII		also motivated to come for regular follow-up.
I		
()		
Medical Research Global Journal of		

[Note: K © 2013 Global Journals Inc. (US)]

Figure 2:

In our study OTT was 49-52 days (median 50days). In our study to decrease OTT, brachytherapy started after completion of EBRT and two implants per week were done. Result shows that twice weekly HDR brachytherapy seems to be safer and better therapeutic outcome with improve local control rate. As per our knowledge this is the only study where 7Gy per fractions on twice weekly basis with acceptable complications. However some drawback was also present in this study.

1. It was not randomized.
2. Number of patient in less.
3. Study period in short.
4. Follow up is poor.
5. Cause of death of patient is not known.

Figure 3:

1

					013	
					2	
					Year	
Total No. of Patient Follow up (Median, Range) Stage IIB Stage IIIB Stage IVA	100 26 Months (21to 46) 24 62 14 47.8	70	Volume	XIII		
Age (Median, Range) Resident	Years (28 to 65) Rural		Issue	IV		
Degree of differentiations	Urban Moderately	30	Version I			
(SCC)	Well	28	D D D D) D			
	Poorly	24	D D D K			
SCC squamous cell carcinoma			(			

Figure 4: Table 1 :

2

Response	IIB	IIIB	IVA	Total
CR	21	51	11	83
PR	2	9	3	14
SD	1	2	0	3
Total	24	62	14	100

CR-complete response, PR-partial response, SD-stable disease

Figure 5: Table 2 :

3

Acute Reactions	Grade-0	I	II	III	IV
Neutropaenia	84	13	3	0	0
Thrombocytopenia	88	8	4	0	0
Hypersensitivity reaction	92	6	2	0	0
Nausea	20	38	52	10	0
Vomiting	26	52	22	0	0
Diarrohea	13	61	20	6	0
Urinary symptoms	40	54	6	0	0
Rectal symptoms	46	38	14	2	0

Figure 6: Table 3 :

[Rose et al.] , P G Rose , B N Bundy , E B Watkins . (Concurrent cisplatin-based)

[Santos and Thomas ()] ‘Anemia correction in malignancy management: threat or opportunity’. Los Santos , J F Thomas , GM . *GynecolOncol* 2007. 105 p. .

[Waggoner and Darcy ()] ‘Association between cigarette smoking and prognosis in locally advanced cervical carcinoma treated with chemoradiation: A Gynecology oncology Group study’. S E Waggoner , K M Darcy . *Gynecol Oncol* 2006. 103 p. .

[Hama ()] ‘Carcinoma of uterine cervix: Twice-verses Once weekly High-Dose-Rate Brachytherapy’. Y Hama . *Radiology* 2001. 219 p. .

[Abhijitmandal et al. (2007)] ‘Clinical Significance of cumulative biological effective dose and overall treatment time in the treatment of carcinoma cervix’. Anupam Abhijitmandal , Lalit Mohan Kumar Ashtana , Aggarwal . *Journal of Medical Physics* Apr-Jun 2007. 32 (2) .

[Cerrotta et al. ()] ‘Concurrent radiotherapy and weekly paclitaxel for locallyadvanced or recurrent squamous cell carcinoma of the uterine cervix.A study with intensification of dose’. A Cerrotta , G Garden , R Cavina , F Raspagliesi , B Stefanon , Garassinoi . *Eur J Gynaecol Oncol*2002. 23 p. .

[Chen] ‘D Phase I trial of Taxol as a radiation sensitizer in advanced cervical cancer’. M Chen . *Gynecol Oncol*1997 67 p. 131.

[Kim (2006)] ‘Efficacy of paclitaxel and carboplatin as a regimen for post operative concurrent chemotherapy of high risk uterine cervix cancer’. K Kim . *GynecolOncol* 2006 Jun. 2006 sep22. 101 (3) p. .

[Parkin et al. ()] ‘Global cancer statistics’. D M Parkin , F Bray , J Ferlay . *CA Cancer J Clin* 1999. 2000. 55 p. .

[Gynecologic Oncology Group study N Engl J Med ()] ‘Gynecologic Oncology Group study’. *N Engl J Med* 1999. 340 p. .

[Monk and Tewari ()] ‘Invasive cervical cancer’. B J Monk , K S Tewari . *Clinical Gynecologic Oncology*, P J Disaia, W T Creasman (ed.) (Philadelphia, PA) 2007. Mosby Publishers.

[Morris et al. ()] ‘Pelvic radiation with concurrent chemotherapy compared with pelvicand para-aortic radiation for high-risk cervical cancer’. M Morris , P J Eifel , J Lu , P W Grigsby , C Levenback , R E Stevens . *N Engl J Med*1999. 340 p. .

[Rao (2005)] ‘Phase I clinical trial of weekly paclitaxel and concurrent radiotherapy for primary cervical cancer’. G G Rao . *Gynecol. Oncol* 2005 Jan. 96 (1) p. .

[Pignata et al. ()] *Phase I study with weekly cisplatin-paclitaxel and concurrentradiotherapy in patients with carcinoma of the cervix uteri*, S Pignata , P Frezza , S Tramontana , F Perrone , R Tambaro , G Casella . *Oncol*2000. Ann. p. .

[Lanciano et al. ()] ‘Pretreatment and treatment factors associated with improved outcome in squamous cell carcinoma of the uterine cervix: A final report of the 1973 and 1978 patterns of care studies’. R M Lanciano , M Won , L R Coia . *Int J RadiatOncolBiolPhys* 1991. 20 p. .

[Fyles and Pintilie ()] ‘Prognostic factors in patients treated cervix cancer treated by radiation therapy: results of multiple regression analysis’. A W Fyles , M Pintilie . *Radiother Oncol* 1995. 35 p. .

[Huang et al. ()] ‘Prognostic value of age and histologic type in neoadjuvant chemotherapy plus radical surgery for bulky (≥ 4 cm) stage IB and IIA cervical carcinoma’. H-J Huang , T_C Chang , -H Hongj , C-J Tseng , H-H Chou , K-G Huang . *Int J Gynecol Cancer* 2003. 13 p. .

[Perez ()] *Radiation therapy alone in treatment of carcinoma of the uterine cervix”. I. Analysis of tumor recurrence Cancer*, C A Perez . 1983. 51 p. 1393.

[Delaloye et al. ()] ‘Radiation therapy duration influences overall survival in patients with cervical carcinoma’. J F Delaloye , P A Coucke , S Pampallona , G Peltecu , De Grandi , P . *Int J RadiatOncolBiolPhys* 1991. 20 p. .

[Whiteny ()] ‘Randomized comparison of fluorouracil plus cisplatin versus hydroxyurea as an adjunct to radiation therapy in stages IIB-IVA carcinoma of the cervix with negative Para-aortic lymph nodes: a Gynecologic Oncology Group and Southwest Group study’. Whiteny . *J. Clin. Oncol* 1999. p. 1339.

[Jacobs ()] ‘Short term persistence of carcinoma of uterine cervix after radiation” An indicator of long term prognosis’. A J Jacobs . *Cancer* 1986. 57 p. 944.

[Petereit et al. ()] ‘The adverse effect of treatment prolongation in cervical carcinoma’. D G Petereit , J N Sarkaria , R Chappell , J F Fowler , T J Hartmann . *Int J RadiatOncoBiolPhys* 1995. 32 p. .

[Nag et al. ()] ‘The American Brachytherapy Society recommendations for highdose-rate brachytherapy for carcinoma of the cervix’. S Nag , B Erickson , B Thomadsen . *Int J RadiatOncolBiolPhys* 2000. 48 p. .

[Nordsmark et al. ()] ‘The prognostic value of pimonidazole and tumor pO₂ in human cervix carcinomas after radiation therapy: A prospective international multicenter study’. M Nordsmark , Lancasterj , C Aquino-Parsons , S C Chou . *Radiother Oncol* 2006. 80 p. .

226 [Eifel et al. ()] ‘The relationship between brachytherapy dose and outcome in patient with bulky endocervical
227 tumor treated with radiation alone’. P J Eifel , W W ThomsJr , T L Smith , M Morris . *Int J RadiatOncoBiol*
228 *Phys* 1994. 28 p. .