

CrossRef DOI of original article:

The Effects of Early-Harvest Extra Virgin Olive Oil on Cognition and Mental Health of Primary (PPMS) or Secondary (SPMS) Progressive Multiple Sclerosis Patients

Dimitrios Michmizos

Received: 1 January 1970 Accepted: 1 January 1970 Published: 1 January 1970

Abstract

Aim of the study: Over the last years the cognitive and mental health impairment in Multiple Sclerosis (MS) are indicated as important clinical symptoms in the course of the disease. Every beneficial therapeutic management with this target could lessen the disability caused by the disease and improve the quality of life of MS patients. It is known that Extra Virgin Olive Oil (EVOO) can exert positive effects on cognition regarding neurodegenerative diseases. Phenolic compounds in EVOO have antioxidative and anti-inflammatory effects on the brain but all the mechanisms are not clear yet. The present pilot study examines the benefits of early harvest EVOO (EH EVOO) on cognition and mental health regarding MS.

Index terms— early harvest extra virgin olive oil, cognition, mental health, multiple sclerosis.

Multiple Sclerosis (MS) can be defined as an immune-mediated process in which an abnormal response of the body's defense system is directed against the central nervous system (CNS). In this way, the immune system can precipitate neuroinflammation that, in turn, leads to demyelination and, subsequently, to axonopathy and neurodegeneration. Because of these damages to the CNS, numerous neurological symptoms may be occurred with severity that differs among MS patients [1].

The diagnosis of MS is based on international diagnostic criteria, although there is a great probability of false diagnosis due to many neurodegenerative diseases mimicking MS symptoms. According to the Revised McDonald Criteria (2017) the use of brain Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) analysis can expedite this process by confirming the damages. Besides these tests, the presence of oligoclonal bands in the CSF can confirm the diagnosis.

The International Advisory Committee on Clinical Trials of MS in 2013 has defined four types of MS: clinically isolated syndrome (CIS), relapsingremitting MS (RRMS), secondary progressive MS (SPMS) and primary progressive MS (PPMS). Specifically, SPMS consists of an initial relapsingremitting course, which will be evolved to a progressive disability. Furthermore, SPMS can be defined as active, if there is evidence of new MRI activity, or no active, as well as worsening, if there is a confirmed increase of disability after a relapse or not worsening. PPMS does not include relapses and remissions, but the neurological functions get worse gradually after the first symptoms. The same classification is applied in this type too [2]. For every clinical attack approximately 10 "asymptomatic" lesions are noted on MRI [3].

In 5%-15% of cases there is a primary progressive onset (PPMS) typically with gradual increase of disability on one dominant neuronal system. A Some of the commonest symptoms are progressive spastic paraparesis, sensory ataxia, cerebral ataxia, cognitive and visual progressive decline [3]. Remyelination can be seen in all stages of the disease but most commonly in its progressive types. [2]

1 a) Cognitive and mental health impairment in MS

Over the last two decades, cognitive impairment has been recognized as an important factor that affects MS patients' quality of life [4]. It is proved that it affects up to 65% of patients, and yet cognitive testing remains uncommon, due to the lack of simple and reliable tests that have been validated for the MS population. For instance, the Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE) which is used to detect cognitive deficits due to dementia,

45 is deemed not to be appropriate for MS population [4,5]. Cognitive impairment can precipitate in all forms and
46 stages of MS presenting with a variation of symptoms and a range of severity that differs among the patients [5].

47 At first, memory and especially free recall of verbal and visuospatial material seems to be affected [6]. Then,
48 working memory and attention reportedly get impaired, because MS patients demonstrate reduced performance
49 in complex attention tasks [7]. Executive functions, such as planning, problem-solving and selfmonitoring are,
50 also, declined [8]. Nevertheless, one of the most common features of cognitive impairment in MS patients is
51 reduced processing speed, manifested as longer reaction time to stimuli and reduced speed of memory scanning
52 [9,10]. Some risk factors have been identified, such as age, the subtype of the disease, the disease's duration, the
53 characteristics of the pathological lesions, progressive fatigue, the prescribed medication, as well as depression
54 and anxiety disorders. Moreover, the fact that the patients may be aware of their cognitive decline can compound
55 their negative attitude, which can lead the patients overestimating their cognitive deficits and being overwhelmed
56 by them. For this reason, early detection is crucial to prevent further decline [5].

57 2 b) The benefits of EVOO

58 There is evidence that EVOO has neuroprotective effects against aging and neurodegenerative diseases, such as
59 prodromal stages of Alzheimer's disease. Phenolic compounds in olive oil have been found to exert positive effects
60 on inflammatory markers, as well as cellular and neuropsychological functions [11]. Furthermore, Ruano et al
61 (2005) presented that consumption of EVOO can lower markers of oxidative stress such as F2isoprostone [12].

62 The mechanisms behind EVOO's neuroprotective effects are not yet crystallized. It is primarily known that it
63 has antioxidative effects, because it contains antioxidant molecules and free radical scavengers, which neutralize
64 the toxic moieties and scavenge many endogenous and exogenous free radicals and oxidants. Moreover, EVOO
65 has antiinflammatory effects because its compounds inhibit many inflammatory mediators. Furthermore, EVOO
66 is known regarding its anti-apoptotic properties. In other words, besides reducing toxins, oxidative stress and
67 hypoxia, olive oil consumption, also, inhibits programmed cell death, called apoptosis, which is a very important
68 parameter in neurodegenerative diseases [11]. Many parameters contribute to the effects of these compounds,
69 such as their concentration and the extent of their absorption and metabolism [13].

70 3 c) Objectives

71 Taking into consideration that there are only a few published studies on the benefits of EVOO in cognition and
72 mental health, the primary objective of this pilot study is to view the effects of EVOO in cognition and mental
73 health of patients with progressive types of MS by using extensive neuropsychological assessment and evaluating
74 participants' cognition and mental health for one year. Our hypothesis, therefore, was that the MS patients
75 would show progressive improvement in their post-therapy assessments.

76 4 II.

77 5 Materials and Methods

78 6 a) Participants

79 The participants had the diagnosis of PPMS and SPMS and they were not receiving specific MS medication
80 because previous treatments had failed. Twenty patients (12 women and 8 men aged 35-65 years old) took part
81 in the present study as an intervention group and ten patients (7 women and 3 men) as a control group (Table
82 1). The patients were assigned randomly in each group and there were not statistically significant differences
83 between them regarding gender, education and age.

84 7 b) Procedure

85 All study participants read the information sheet and signed an informed consent stating that the research
86 group have the permission to use their demographic data, which would be anonymized, such as gender, age
87 and education, as well as their performance in the neuropsychological tests, for research purposes. Before the
88 administration of EH EVOO, the participants were evaluated using an extended neuropsychological assessment
89 which includes measurements that cover a wide range of cognitive functions and are mentioned below. The
90 neuropsychological assessment took place in a soundproof room in 1 st Department of Neurology of Aristotle
91 University of Thessaloniki in Greece by a trained psychologist. Patients were instructed to take three tablespoons
92 of EH EVOO per day. After six months and after one year they were called in for re-evaluation, using the same
93 measurements but with alternative forms, wherever it was possible. The protocol of the present study is registered
94 ClinicalTrials.gov with ID NCT04120675.

95 The EH EVOO, which was distributed to the participants, is Eliama D. V. Gold Health Claim High Phenolic
96 Extra Virgin Olive Oil and contains high concentrations of polyphenol compounds (Oleocanthal, Oleacein,
97 Oleuropein, Tyrosol & Hydroxytyrosol derivatives > 1200 mg /kg EVOO and very high concentration of Squalene
98 6800 mg and Tocopherol a). Eliama Daily Value Gold brings the certification of Health Claim. The health claim:
99 The claim may be used only for olive oil which contains at least 5 mg of hydroxytyrosol and its derivatives (e.g.
100 oleuropein complex and tyrosol) per 20g of EVOO. In order to bear the claim information shall be given to the

101 consumer that the beneficial effect is obtained with a daily intake of 20g of EVOO et Participants have to learn
102 as many words as they can from a list with 16 words and this procedure is repeated five times. Form A was used
103 for the assessment and form B for the retesting session. The total score equals to the total number of recalled
104 words [16]. BVMT-R measures visual and spatial memory. In this test, participants must remember a page of
105 six shapes which is presented for ten seconds and this procedure is repeated three times. Each shape is scored
106 with zero, one or two points, depending on accuracy and location. The sum of the scores of the three trials is
107 the total score of the test. [17].

108 3. The Perceived Deficits Questionnaire is a selfreporting questionnaire measuring subjective cognitive deficits
109 and is designed specifically for MS patients. It covers the cognitive functions which are most often impaired in MS
110 and it concludes four subscales: attention, retrospective memory, prospective memory and planning/organizing.
111 The total score is computed by the sum of raw scores for all items and it can range from 0-80, with higher scores
112 indicating greater perceived cognitive impairment [18].

113 8 The Mental Health Inventory (MHI) is a reliable

114 measure for patients' emotional condition. It consists of 18 items which are separated in four scales: anxiety,
115 depression, behavioral control and positive affect. The total scores range from 0-100, with higher scores indicating
116 better mental health [19].

117 9 The Beck Depression Inventory (BDI

118) is used to measure depression. It is designed to examine both somatic and cognitive aspects of depression and
119 the Greek version has been validated previously [20] and has been widely used to date. The BDI is a 21-item
120 self-reporting scale scored on a 4-point scale (0-3). It has been shown to have good psychometric properties with
121 test-retest correlations >0.90 in different studies. Moreover, it has shown satisfactory validity with agreement
122 between BDI and psychiatrists' ratings of 56% and has also been shown sensitive to distinguish between depression
123 and anxiety. Moreover, factor analysis generally reveals three inter-correlated factors indicating severity of
124 depression. Scoring of the questionnaire is as follows: 0-9 no depression, 10-15 mild, 16-23 moderate, and 24-
125 63 severe depression [21]. The BDI has been validated for MS patients too and it is proved to be applicable for
126 the evaluation of depression in this population [22,23]

127 10 d) Statistics

128 Statistical analysis was performed with the use of SPSS 25 Statistical package. A Wilcoxon Signed-Ranks Test
129 was conducted in order to calculate the score differences in neuropsychological assessments before and after 1
130 year of therapy (intragroup comparisons). Moreover, an Independent Samples Ttest was conducted to compare
131 the means of the two groups before and after EH-EVOO's consumption (intergroup comparisons).

132 11 III.

133 12 Results

134 At first, the differences between the two groups were calculated before the beginning of therapy, using Independent
135 Samples T-test (Table 2). For this reason, the results of the first neuropsychological assessment of the two groups
136 were compared in order to be insured that the two groups start from the same baseline. The results indicated
137 that there were not statistically significant differences between the two groups and the Cohen's d test confirmed
138 that the sample's size does not affect the results. An Independent Sample T-test was, also, conducted to compare
139 the means of the two groups after one year of EVOO's consumption (Table 3) and there were some statistically
140 significant results (Table 3). At first, in the FAB there was statistically significant difference between experimental
141 group ($M=17.55$, $Sd=0.759$) and control group ($M=15.30$, $Sd=3.164$), $t(30)=-3.058$, $p<.01$. Secondly, there were
142 differences in the two subtests of BICAMS, the BVMT and the SDMT. In the BVMT there was statistically
143 significant difference between experimental group ($M=26.35$, $Sd=4.056$) and control group ($M=20.50$, $Sd=5.442$),
144 $t(30)=-3.321$, $p<.01$. In the SDMT there was, also, statistically significant difference between experimental group
145 ($M=36.80$, $Sd=6.023$) and control group ($M=29.80$, $Sd=7.642$), $t(30)=-2.744$, $p<.05$. As far as the intragroup
146 comparisons (Table 4), the functions that are related to the frontal lobes were improved. Specifically, the
147 FAB scores compared before and after therapy: Fourteen (14) out of twenty patients performed better after
148 therapy. A Wilcoxon Signed-Ranked Test indicated that this difference was statistically significant, $z=-3.329$
149 $p<.01$. BICAMS scores, also, indicated improvement in specific cognitive functions. There were not statistically
150 significant differences in GVLt score but there was statistically significant improvement in BVMT and SDMT
151 scores. In the BVMT fifteen (15) out of twenty patients performed better after therapy and a Wilcoxon Signed-
152 Ranked Test statistically significant improvement, $z=-3.170$, $p<.01$. In the SDMT, scores before and after therapy
153 indicated statistically significant differences because seventeen (17) patients performed better after therapy than
154 before, $z=-3.467$ $p<.01$.

155 As far as depression and negative emotions, great improvement was indicated by both the BDI and the MHI.
156 Specifically, according to BDI, depressive symptoms were improved in 16 patients after therapy compared to their
157 previous scores, $z=-3.523$ $p<.01$ Furthermore, MHI indicated statistically significant improvement in patients'

158 general mental health, because 17 patients had less mental health problems after therapy than before, $z=-3.456p<$
159 $.01$. However, in control group there was no statistically significant changes (Table 5). These results of control
160 group in combination with the statistically significant results of intervention group confirm EVOO's benefits in
161 certain sectors of cognition. IV.

162 13 Discussion

163 The aim of the present study was to detect and quantify the benefits of EH EVOO in protecting the cognition
164 and mental health of MS patients. The hypothesis was that, because of the protective effects already attributed
165 to EH EVOO consumption, the patients from the intervention group would demonstrate improved scores in their
166 neuropsychological assessment after six months of EH-EVOO treatment. In general, the results showed that in
167 the intervention group there was statistically significant improvement in the FAB, the BVMT and the SDMT.
168 These results show that EH EVOO has positive effects in executive functions, visual memory and processing
169 speed. At the same time, there were no statistically significant results regarding the control group. Although
170 there are no studies about the benefits of EH EVOO in MS, there are studies supporting that EVOO (and the
171 Mediterranean diet) can prevent cognitive decline and Alzheimer's disease in the elderly population [24,25]. So,
172 EVOO may have an important role in neuroprotection and staving off neurodegeneration, even if there is still a
173 need for more studies regarding MS and other neurodegenerative diseases, such as Parkinson's disease, ALS etc.

174 With the use of FAB, functions related to the frontal lobes were evaluated. The fact that the patients had
175 statistically significant improvement in FAB means that the EH EVOO may be helpful in order to improve goal
176 directed behaviors, mental flexibility and adaptation to the environment. Moreover, the statistically significant
177 scores in SDMT may be indicative of improvement in the brain processing speed. These findings confirm that some
178 cognitive functions, which are impaired due to the neurodegeneration of MS, can be improved with consumption
179 of EH EVOO. However, the benefits are not limited to MS patients.

180 A three-city study has already claimed the beneficial effects of EVOO on cognition. This study was conducted
181 in three French cities and used neuropsychological assessments repeated every two years to measure any cognitive
182 decline and assess risk factors for dementia's symptoms. The results indicated that participants who were less
183 likely of demonstrating cognitive deficit for verbal fluency and visual memory, whereas, during the 4-year follow-
184 up there was significant association between intensive use of olive oil and prevention of visual memory's decline
185 [26].

186 In the present study, verbal fluency was evaluated with the second task of FAB and visual memory was
187 evaluated by the BVMT. So, regarding cognitive decline, our extensive study expands the above findings, adding
188 that EH EVOO is beneficial in these fields for MS patients too.

189 EVOO offers protection to neuronal functions in neurodegenerative diseases as well. Olive oil's phenolic
190 compounds contain natural antioxidants, including vitamins E, which may reduce neuronal damage and death
191 from oxidative reactions by inhibiting the generation of reactive oxygen species, apoptosis, protein oxidation,
192 damages to cell membranes and amyloid toxicity. However, the mechanisms, which are used in order to achieve
193 these benefits, are not clear yet and behest further study [11].

194 Another extensive study, the PREDIMED-NAVARRA randomized trial, which examined the benefits of
195 Mediterranean diet, supplemented with EVOO, on people with high vascular risk, also advocate the present
196 study's results. In this study, the neuropsychological assessment included Mini Mental State Examination
197 (MMSE) and Clock Drawing Test (CDT), which evaluate cognitive deficits and cover a wide range of cognitive
198 functions. The results of these assessments indicated that after 6,5 years of follow-up the participants had better
199 global cognitive performance and supported the protective effects of Mediterranean diet with EVOO on cognitive
200 function [27].

201 As far as patients' mental health, significant improvement was found in both BDI and MHI. Specifically, the
202 majority of patients (16 out of 20) had lower scores in BDI, which means that the patients had fewer depressive
203 symptoms after six months of using EH EVOO. In MHI, the majority of patients (17 out of 20) had higher scores
204 after consumption of EVOO, which means that they had less mental health problems and this was confirmed
205 by the findings of the BDI. Observational studies confirm these results because they have pointed to an inverse
206 association between adherence to Mediterranean diet (MeDi) and risk for depression. Furthermore, two clinical
207 trials have demonstrated significant improvement regarding depressive symptoms in patients who were following
208 MeDi [17]. The PREDI-DEP trial was the first randomized clinical trial, designed to examine the role of the
209 MeDi supplemented with EVOO in the prevention of recurrent depression. This study confirmed the positive
210 effects of MeDi, in general, and EVOO in particular, in depression as it was found that they can reduce the
211 recurrence of depression and increase the patients' quality of life [28]. Moreover, other studies have pointed that
212 a low-fat diet supplemented with EVOO can reduce the physical and emotional disease burden in MS patients
213 [29,30]. A possible mechanism behind the benefits of EVOO in mental health is that it can lower the markers of
214 the above-mentioned oxidative stress, such as F₂isoprostane [11, 12].

215 A limitation of this pilot study is that apart from the three subtests of the BICAMS, and the BDI, the
216 neuropsychological tests, which have been used, are not validated for the Greek MS population. However, this
217 research is ongoing, and it will be continued for years to come. So, these specific neuropsychological tests will
218 be validated for the Greek population soon. Another limitation is the limited number of participants ($n=30$)
219 because this is a pilot study testing the effects of EH EVOO, the feasibility of the present research protocol.

220 There had been no previous evidence presented about the benefits of EH EVOO, and olive oil in general, in
 221 protecting the cognition and mental health of patients with MS. So, the innovation of the present pilot study is
 222 that these results can expand the research in this field and encourage the use of EVOO in holistic treatments of
 223 MS. For this reason, studies with increased sample size and even more bold approaches will be useful confirming
 224 the present study's results and identifying the specific mechanisms by which olive oil offers its benefits.

225 14 Declarations

Introduction
 according to National Multiple Sclerosis Society

Figure 1:

1

	Gender		Education	Std	M	Age
	Men	Women	M			Std
Experimental group	12	8	15.25	9.031	47.85	11.726
Control group	7	3	12.80	4.237	41.50	14.470
p		0.592	0.436			0.367

Figure 2: Table 1 :

2

	Independent Samples T-test						
	Intervention group (n=20)		Control group (n=10)		t(30)	p	Cohen's d
	M	Sd	M	Sd			
FAB	16.00	1.806	15.00	2.494	-1.258	.219	.000459
GVLТ	48.85	9.167	52.60	15.467	.837	.410	.4767
BVMT	22.85	5.373	22.00	5.249	-.411	.684	.4261
SDMT	31.70	7.540	30.00	11.785	-.481	.634	.0316
PDQ	5.15	3.746	4.90	2.601	-.189	.852	.0136
BDI	9.45	5.336	6.20	5.287	-1.577	.126	.0774
MHI	69.45	9.950	69.80	19.657	.065	.948	.0022

Significance levels: *p< .05

**p< .01

Figure 3: Table 2 :

3

	Intervention group		Samples T-test Control group		t(30)	p	Cohen's d
	M	Sd	M	Sd			
FAB	17.55	.759	15.30	3.164	-3.058	.005**	.0978
GVLТ	52.90	7.567	51.10	14.888	-.443	.661	.0152
BVMT	26.35	4.056	20.50	5.442	-3.321	.002**	.1212
SDMT	36.80	6.023	29.80	7.642	-2.744	.010*	.1017
PDQ	4.25	3.007	6.30	2.497	1.856	.074	.0742
BDI	6.35	3.281	8.60	8.017	1.099	.281	.0367
MHI	77.35	4.987	67.70	20.618	-2.011	.054	.0643

Significance level: *p< .05
**p< .01

Figure 4: Table 3 :

4

Before/ after	n	Ranks Test				Test Statistics		Ties	Z	p
		Negative ranks		Positive Ranks		Sum of ranks	of			
		Mean rank	Sum of ranks	n	Mean rank			Sum of ranks		
FAB	0	.00	.00	14	7.50	105.00	6	-3.329	.0001**	
GVLТ	9	5.39	48.50	9	13.61	122.50	2	-1.615	.106	
BVMT	3	4.33	13.00	15	10.53	158.00	2	-3.170	.002**	
SDMT	1	6.00	6.00	17	9.71	165.00	2	-3.467	.0001**	
PDQ	5	5.10	25.50	8	8.19	65.50	7	-1.419	.156	
BDI	2	2.50	5.00	16	10.38	166.00	2	-3.523	.0001**	
MHI	3	4.17	12.50	17	11.62	197.50	0	-3.456	.001**	

Significance levels:
*p<.05
p<.01

Figure 5: Table 4 :

5

Before/ after	n	Negative ranks		n	Positive ranks		Test Statistics		
		Mean rank	Sum of ranks		Mean rank	Sum of ranks	Ties	Z	p
FAB	3	4.83	14.50	5	4.30	21.50	2	-.496	.620
GVLТ	6	4.50	27.00	3	6.00	18.00	1	-.539	.590
BVMT	6	4.83	29.00	2	3.50	7.00	2	-1.611	.107
SDMT	3	6.33	19.00	5	3.40	17.00	2	-.140	.888
PDQ	7	5.71	40.00	2	2.50	5.00	1	-2.111	.035*
BDI	6	4.50	27.00	1	1.00	1.00	3	-2.111	.027*
MHI	5	4.90	24.50	4	5.13	20.50	1	-.237	.813

Significance levels: *p<.05
**p<.01

Figure 6: Table 5 :

226 Acknowledgements: We would like to offer our special thanks to Family Company Ellis farm
227 (<https://ellisfarm.com/>) for the Donation of the Health Claim EVOO High Phenolic Early Harvest Eliama
228 D.V. Gold as on this important research project. Furthermore, many thanks for Dr Prokopis Magiatis.
229 Associate Professor at Faculty of Pharmacy, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens (Laboratory of
230 Pharmacognosy and Natural Products Chemistry) for all certificates of EVOO.

231 Funding: The present study and the authors were not funded.

232 .1 Conflicts of interest:

233 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

234 .2 Ethics approval:

235 The study protocol has been approved by Bioethics Committee of Greek Association of Alzheimer’s Disease and
236 Related Disorders.

237 Consent to participate: All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance
238 with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the Declaration of
239 Helsinki 1964 and was approved by the local ethics committee. All the participants gave informed consent prior
240 to their inclusion in the study.

241 Consent for publication: All the authors have consented for the publication of the study.

242 Availability of data and material: Data available upon duly justified request.

243 .3 Conflicts of interest: none

244 [Thessaloniki] , Thessaloniki .

245 [Santangeloc et al. ()] ‘Antiinflammatory activity of extra virgin olive oil polyphenols: which role in the
246 prevention and treatment of immune-mediated inflammatory diseases’. Santangeloc , R Vari , B Scazzocchio
247 . doi:10.2174/ 1871530317666171114114321. *Endocr Metab Immune DisordDrug Targets*, 2018. 18 p. .

248 [Aikens et al. ()] ‘Assessing depressive symptoms in multiple sclerosis: is it necessary to omit items from the
249 original Beck Depression Inventory?’. J E Aikens , M A Reinecke , N H Pliskin , J S Fischer , J S Wiebe , L
250 M Mccracken , J L Taylor . 10.1023/A:1018731415172. *J Behav Med* 1999. 22 (2) p. .

251 [Jemos ()] *Beck Depression Inventory; validation in a Greek sample*, J Jemos . 1984. Athens University Medical
252 School

253 [Benedict et al. ()] ‘Brief International Cognitive Assessment for MS (BICAMS): international standards for
254 validation’. R H Benedict , M P Amato , J Boringa . 10.1186/1471-2377-12-55. *BMC Neurol* 2012. 12 (1) p. .

255 [Kawahara et al. ()] ‘Cognitive and affective assessments of multiple sclerosis (MS) and neuromyelitis optica
256 (NMO) patients utilizing computerized touch panel-type screening tests’. Y Kawahara , M Ikeda , K Deguchi
257 . 10.2169/internalmedicine.53.2571. *Intern Med* 2014. 20. 53 p. .

258 [Patti ()] ‘Cognitive impairment in multiple sclerosis’. F Patti . 10.1177/1352458508096684. *Mult Scler J* 2009.
259 15 (1) p. .

260 [Rogers and Pk ()] ‘Cognitive impairment in multiple sclerosis: evidence-based analysis and recommendations’.
261 J M Rogers , Panegyres Pk . 10.1016/j.jocn.2007.02.006. *J Clin Neurosci* 2007. 14 (10) p. .

262 [Lovera et al. ()] ‘Correlations of Perceived Deficits Questionnaire of Multiple Sclerosis Quality of Life Inventory
263 with Beck Depression Inventory and neuropsychological tests’. J Lovera , B Bagert , K H Smoot .
264 10.1682/JRRD.2004.09.0118. *J Rehabil Res Dev* 2006. 43 (1) p. .

265 [Vlahou et al. ()] ‘Development of the Greek Verbal Learning Test: reliability, construct validity, and normative
266 standards’. C H Vlahou , M H Kosmidis , A Dardagani . 10.1093/arclin/acs099. *Arch Clin Neuropsychol* 2013.
267 28 (1) p. .

268 [Engelhart et al. ()] ‘Dietary intake of antioxidants and risk of Alzheimer disease’. J Engelhart , I Geerlings , A
269 Ruitenbergh . 10.1001/jama.287.24.3223. *JAMA* 2002. 287 (24) p. .

270 [Arnett et al. ()] ‘Executive functions in multiple sclerosis: An analysis of temporal ordering, semantic encoding,
271 and planning abilities’. P A Arnett , S M Rao , J Grafman . 10.1037/0894-4105.11.4.535. *Neuropsychology*
272 1997. 11 (4) p. .

273 [Rao et al. ()] ‘Information processing speed in patients with multiple sclerosis’. S M Rao , P S Aubin-Faubert ,
274 G J Leo . 10.1080/01688638908400907. *J Clin Exp Neuropsychol* 1989. 11 (4) p. .

275 [Koutsouraki and Michmizos ()] ‘Living with Multiple Sclerosis’. E Koutsouraki , D Michmizos .
276 10.1177/1352458513505694. *Mult Scler J* 2014. 20 p. .

277 [Scarmeas et al. ()] ‘Mediterranean diet and risk for Alzheimer’s disease’. N Scarmeas , Y Stern , M X Tang .
278 10.1002/ana.20854. *Ann Neurol* 2006. 59 (6) p. .

14 DECLARATIONS

- 279 [Martínez-Lapiscina et al. ()] ‘Mediterranean diet improves cognition: the PREDIMED-NAVARRA randomised
280 trial’. E H Martínez-Lapiscina , P Clavero , E Toledo . 10.1136/jnnp-2012-304792. *J NeurolNeurosurg*
281 *Psychiatry* 2013. 84 (12) p. .
- 282 [Sánchez-Villegas et al. ()] ‘Mediterranean dietary pattern and depression: the PREDIMED randomized trial’.
283 A Sánchez-Villegas , M A Martínez-González , R Estruch . 10.1186/1741-7015-11-208. *BMC Med* 2013. 11
284 (1) p. .
- 285 [Dobson and Giovannoni ()] ‘Multiple sclerosis-a review’. Ruth Dobson , Gavin Giovannoni . 10.1111/ene.13819.
286 *Eur J Neurol* 2019. 26 (1) p. .
- 287 [National Multiple Sclerosis Society. Mental Health inventory (MHI), www.nationalmssociety.org/For-Professionals/Researchers/For-
288 *National Multiple Sclerosis Society. Mental Health inventory (MHI), www.nationalmssociety.org/For-*
289 *Professionals/Researchers/Resources-for-Researchers/Clinical-Study-Measures/Mental-Health-Inventory-*
290 *(MHI, September 2020.*
- 291 [National Multiple Sclerosis Society. Symptoms and Diagnosis, www.nationalmssociety.org/Symptoms-Diagnosis (2020)]
292 *National Multiple Sclerosis Society. Symptoms and Diagnosis, www.nationalmssociety.org/Symptoms-*
293 *Diagnosis, August 2020. p. 20.*
- 294 [Berr et al. ()] *Olive oil and cognition: results from the three-city study. Dement Geriatr Cogn Dis*, C Berr , F
295 Portet , I Carriere . 10.1159/000253483. 2009. 28 p. .
- 296 [Khalatbaryar ()] ‘Olive oil phenols and neuroprotection’. Khalatbaryar . 10.1179/1476830513Y.0000000052. *Nutr*
297 *Neurosci* 2013. 16 (6) p. .
- 298 [Lassmann ()] ‘Pathology and disease mechanisms in different stages of multiple sclerosis’. H Lassmann .
299 10.1016/j.jns.2013.05.010. *J Neurol Sci* 2013. 333 p. .
- 300 [Ruano et al. ()] ‘Phenolic content of virgin olive oil improves ischemic reactive hyperemia in hypercholes-
301 terolemic patients’. J Ruano , J Lopez-Miranda , F Fuentes . 10.1016/j.jacc.2005.06.078. *J Am Coll Cardiol*
302 2005. 46 (10) p. .
- 303 [Sanchez-Villegas et al. ()] ‘Preventing the recurrence of depression with a Mediterranean diet supplemented
304 with extra-virgin olive oil. The PREDI-DEP trial: study protocol’. A Sanchez-Villegas , B Cabrera-Suárez ,
305 P Molero . 10.1186/s12888-019-2036-4. *BMC psychiatry* 2019. 19 (1) p. .
- 306 [Grant et al. ()] ‘Smith Eand Reed R. Deficient learning and memory in early and middle phases of multiple
307 sclerosis’. I Grant , W I Mcdonald , M R Trimble . 10.1136/jnnp.47.3.250. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*
308 1984. 47 (3) p. .
- 309 [Kail ()] ‘Speed of information processing in patients with multiple sclerosis’. R Kail . 10.1076/jcen.20.1.98.1483.
310 *J Clin Exp Neuropsychol* 1998. 20 (1) p. .
- 311 [Moran and Mohr ()] ‘The validity of Beck Depression Inventory and Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression
312 items in the assessment of depression among patients with multiple sclerosis’. P J Moran , D C Mohr .
313 10.1007/s10865-005-2561-0. *J Behav Med* 2005. 28 (1) p. .
- 314 [Donias and Demertzis ()] ‘Validation of Depressive symptoms of the Beck Depression Inventory Proceedings of
315 the 10th Panhellenic Conference of’. S Donias , I Demertzis . *Neurology and Psychiatry* 1983. (1) p. .
- 316 [Polychroniadou et al. ()] ‘Validation of the Brief International Cognitive Assessment for Multiple Sclerosis
317 (BICAMS) in Greek population with multiple sclerosis’. E Polychroniadou , C Bakirtzis , D Langdon .
318 doi: 10.1016/ j.msard.2016.06.011. *Mult Scler Relat Disord* 2016. 9 p. .
- 319 [Grigsby et al. ()] ‘Working memory impairment among persons with chronic progressive multiple sclerosis’. J
320 Grigsby , S D Ayarbe , N Kravcisin , D Busenbark . doi:10.1007/ BF00868338. *Journal Neurol* 1994. 241 (3)
321 p. .