

CrossRef DOI of original article:

Perspective Article: Challenges toward Developing African Swine Fever Virus Vaccine

Dr. Erfan Ahmed¹

¹ Mosul University

Received: 1 January 1970 Accepted: 1 January 1970 Published: 1 January 1970

Abstract

African swine fever virus is one of the most common viruses that infect swine population. This virus causes a systemic disease to swine named African swine fever. The virus was first identified in Africa in 1921 (Montgomery, 1921). African swine fever virus is a member of the Asfarviridae family, and it is the only DNA virus within that family (Costard et al., 2013). Though the virus is endemic to Africa, the virus has been reported to infect swine population in European countries as well, most prominently in the Caucasus region and Russian Federation. African swine fever virus is highly contagious and can lead to 100

Index terms—

1 Introduction

African swine fever virus is one of the most common viruses that infect swine population. This virus causes a systemic disease to swine named African swine fever. The virus was first identified in Africa in 1921 (Montgomery, 1921). African swine fever virus is a member of the Asfarviridae family, and it is the only DNA virus within that family (Costard et al., 2013). Though the virus is endemic to Africa, the virus has been reported to infect swine population in European countries as well, most prominently in the Caucasus region and Russian Federation. African swine fever virus is highly contagious and can lead to 100% morbidity (Costard et al., 2013). The mortality rate due to the disease is varying. The transmission cycle of the virus can continue with or without the presence of the vector (NAHIS). The usual vector in the transmission cycle is the soft ticks of the *Ornithodoros* species (Guinat et al., 2016). The natural host of the virus is domesticated pigs, wild boar, bush pigs, warthogs, and giant forest hogs. Vector-mediated transmission occurs through the bites of *Ornithodoros* spp. soft ticks. These are bloodsucking ticks that can transmit the infectious agent from one host to another. The direct method of infectious agent transmission occurs primarily through the nosocomial secretion. The virus mainly enters the body through the upper respiratory tract. The virus has been found in all secretion and excretion of infected domesticated pig (NAHIS). Direct contact with an infected agent containing secretion from an infected animal transmit the disease to another susceptible host. Also, the virus can remain in tissue and blood for a certain period. consumption of tissue of infected animal can spread the disease readily (Guinat et al., 2016). Once exposed, the pigs start showing the symptom within 3 to 7 days (NAHIS). The symptom is mainly systemic (e.g., fever, anorexia, lethargy, etc.). Also, a sign of hemorrhagic lesion may occur on the skin. Bloody diarrhea has also, been reported in cases (NAHIS). However, the treatment option for the disease is very limited. Most importantly, no effective vaccine has been developed for the virus. In this article, the challenges toward developing a definitive vaccine for African swine fever virus will be discussed.

2 Immune Response for Disease Protection

Though the disease is a burden to the pig population in several parts of the world, the immune response required for the protection from this virus has not yet been understood properly. In a broad understanding, both innate and adaptive immune response is necessary for the protection from the African swine fever virus. However, the cause of the high morbidity due to the disease pertains to the fact that the virus can modulate both innate

44 and adaptive immune response of the host. The African swine fever virus attacks the macrophage, monocyte
45 and dendritic cell for replication (Sánchez-Cordón et al., 2018). It predominantly attacks macrophages which
46 is a key factor for both adaptive and innate immune response. Attacking the macrophages enable the virus to
47 manipulate these two types of response. When a virus enters the macrophage for replication, the macrophage
48 challenges the replication with the oxidizing environment. This oxidizing environment cause disruption in the
49 DNA structure. African Swine fever virus acquired the adaption to replicate in the environment by obtaining
50 a base excision DNA repair system (Reis et al., 2016). Also, the virus encodes a protein that can inhibit the
51 transcription of host defense protein. The protein inhibits the transcription of interferon, chemokines, cytokines,
52 and adhesion molecule through producing multiple proteins. This virus also inhibits programmed cell death by
53 the host. It produces four protein that can inhibit the signaling pathway for apoptosis, which is an important
54 phase is necessary for both innate and adaptive immunity. These unique mechanisms of interfering various cellular
55 pathway for the development of immune response enable the virus to exploit the innate and adaptive immune
56 system of the host and replicate rapidly to develop a highly contagious disease.

57 3 III. Current Disease Control Strategies

58 Currently, there is no definitive treatment for this disease. Also, no vaccine has been developed yet to prevent
59 disease occurrence. So, the current control measure is based on the rapid diagnosis of the infected animal and
60 slaughtering them. Appropriate disposal after slaughtering is an important step to prevent further transmission
61 to other susceptible hosts. So, the preventive measure is at the forefront of controlling African swine fever virus
62 transmission. The preventive measure is determined based on the current disease knowledge and the epidemiology
63 of the disease. The government and international organizations are working together in the endemic area to
64 develop the disease surveillance system for early diagnosis and prevent disease transmission. In African endemic
65 areas, pig sector stakeholders are being informed about the disease. Ongoing programs are creating awareness in
66 the pig farmers about the ways of prevention. Early detection of disease, management, and emergency response
67 system is being strengthened by initiating multisectoral collaboration. However, the disease monitoring and
68 surveillance system are still weak in that region, which making the disease elimination more difficult in that
69 region ??Gallardo et al, 2013). In the European endemic region, along with above-mentioned measure they have
70 introduced some new measure including strict control during a border crossing, Increasing the biosecurity on
71 farms and livestock markets. These methods helped the European countries to contain the disease in a specific
72 part of the region. The current control program in Eastern Europe is an ideal one to ensure the eradication of
73 the virus from that region ??Gallardo et al, 2013).

74 4 IV. The Current Approach to Vaccine Development

75 Successor vaccine development against a microorganism requires complete knowledge about the immune response
76 in the host body following exposure to that organism. In the case of African swine fever virus, the complete
77 knowledge about the immune response in the host body has not yet been understood properly. It is known
78 that the affected pig develops some immunity against the virus following recovery from the virus (Aries et al.,
79 2017). However, it was discussed in the previous section that the virus can modulate the host immune system
80 by inhibiting multiple signaling pathways which is important in orchestrating immune response. Development of
81 a vaccine is largely dependent on identifying the key genes and proteins that play a central role toward evasion
82 of the host immune system. Some progress towards the characterization of such virus "host evasion" genes has
83 been made (Aries et al., 2017). Till date, multiple approaches for developing a vaccine against the virus has been
84 taken. Inactivated viruses, recombinant proteins/peptides, viral vectors for antigen delivery, and live-attenuated
85 vaccines have experimented (Reville et al., 2017). But noteworthy success is yet to come. In the next section,
86 some of the effort to develop a live attenuated vaccine inactivated vaccine and subunit vaccine will be discussed.

87 5 a) Inactivated vaccine

88 Efforts to develop a vaccine using inactivated infected cell extracts supernatants of infected pig peripheral blood
89 leukocytes, purified and inactivated virions, infected glutaraldehyde-fixed macrophages, and detergent-treated,
90 infected alveolar macrophage cell cultures have been made previously. Also, inactivated virus strain was tried to
91 use with efficient adjuvants. However, these efforts have failed to render the idea of formulating an inactivated
92 African swine fever virus vaccine most unlikely (D.L. Rock, 2016).

93 6 b) Subunit vaccine

94 It was discussed earlier that African swine fever virus encodes multiple proteins to invade host immune system
95 which makes the task of selecting candidate antigens for developing subunit vaccines very difficult. Aries et
96 al., 2017 described that immunization of pigs with the protein expressed in baculovirus p54 and p30 showed
97 significant improvement. However, the combination of p54 + p30 + p72 baculovirus proteins failed to protect
98 the host. Also, DNA vaccines encoding p54 and p30 could not induce neutralizing antibodies. Immunizing pigs
99 with a gene fusion of p30/CP204L and p54/E183L could not protect the host when challenged with a virulent
100 strain. A fusion of three African swine fever virus genes (CD2v/EP402R, p54/E183L, and p30/CP204L) to the

101 ubiquitin gene was able to produce partial protection. But the protection was not enough to produce the required
102 antibody.

103 **7 c) Live Attenuated vaccine**

104 Reville et al., 2017 discussed the efforts of developing a live attenuated viral vaccine. According to the article,
105 the first attempt used attenuated African Swine Fever Virus strains OURT88/3 and NH/P68. These two
106 attenuated strains protected pigs against homologous virulent strains but gave only partial crossprotection against
107 heterologous viruses. Moreover, excessive side effects were noticed in the postvaccination period. Genetically
108 modified NH/P68 strain was also tried but it failed to provide 100% protection against both homologous and
109 heterologous virus. A recombinant strain of the virus (modified Georgia 2007) also could not protect the pigs
110 from infection. Recently, attenuated African swine fever virus strains like Benin, Georgia, OUR/88/1, and Ba71
111 have shown promising efficacy toward certain heterologous and homologous virus.

112 **8 V. Challenges for Vaccine Development**

113 It has been almost 100 years since the identification of African Swine fever virus. However, it is a matter of
114 disappointment that the detailed pathogenesis is still unknown for this virus. There are gaps in knowledge of
115 host resistance mechanism and the viral target receptor. To develop a successful vaccine, require detail knowledge
116 in these areas. These knowledge gaps are acting as a barrier to the development of an efficacious vaccine. Although
117 Multiple vaccines have experimented, they failed to provide complete protection against all virulent strains. Live
118 attenuated vaccine is showing promising effectiveness against some virulent strain. But to ensure 100% efficacy,
119 detail studies are required on the mechanism of the virus' ability to modulate host immune responses. Some
120 of the live attenuated vaccines failed to protect against the heterologous virus. Identification of cross-protective
121 epitopes on virus protein can solve the mystery behind this failure. Live attenuated vaccine or DNA vaccine were
122 noticed to provide partial protection. The reason behind failure to provide full protection can be identified by
123 complete knowledge of humoral and cellular response on host body cells. Some research shows that bush pig and
124 warthog have some resistance ability to the virus. Detailed knowledge of that resistance mechanism can help to
125 identify the receptor of the virus on the host cell. This knowledge will eventually lead to a selection of successful
126 vaccine receptor. However, one of the limitations is the lack of availability of wild pig species for experimentation.
127 Some Subunit vaccine has shown efficacy toward certain virulent strain. So, further, emphasize should be given
128 to adding antigens to those vaccines so that they can achieve full protection. Knowledge of protective antigen is
129 needed for this task.

130 **9 VI.**

131 **10 Conclusion**

132 African swine fever virus has been endemic to Africa and some region of Eastern Europe. But there is potential to
133 spread the disease in other parts. The disease itself is a huge economic burden on the pig industry. An outbreak
134 of African swine fever can cause US\$910,836.70 loss in a single year (Fasina et al., 2011). An effective way to
135 prevent the disease outbreak is developing an effective vaccine. Lately, several types of vaccine have experimented
136 including inactivated viruses, recombinant proteins/peptides, DNA vaccines and live-attenuated vaccine (LAV).
137 Unfortunately, none of the candidates has proven efficacious to date. However, the hope of developing a successful
138 virus is high. A better knowledge of virus structural protein, the gene involved in the host evasion mechanism
139 and host immune response following exposure is needed for the success to the path of developing an effective
140 vaccine for African swine fever virus.

141 **11 Declarations Conflict of interests: None**

142 Funding: None Authors' contributions: Dr. Erfan Ahmed is the sole contributor in literature review and writing
143 the manuscript. ¹

¹© 2023 Global Journal Perspective Article: Challenges toward Developing African Swine Fever Virus Vaccine

-
- 144 [] , 10.1016/j.virusres.2012.10.030. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virusres.2012.10.030>
- 145 [Africaine et al. ()] , P P Africaine , F P Africana , P A Suum , M Montgomery , De . *African Swine Fever* 2016.
146 p. .
- 147 [Revilla et al. ()] ‘African Swine Fever Virus Biology and Vaccine Approaches’. Y Revilla , D Pérez-Núñez , J A
148 &richt . 10.1016/bs.aivir.2017.10.002. <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.aivir.2017.10.002> *Advances*
149 *in Virus Research* 2018. 100 p. .
- 150 [African Swine Fever Virus: A Review Viruses ()] ‘African Swine Fever Virus: A Review’. 10.3390/v9050103.
151 <https://doi.org/10.3390/v9050103> *Viruses* 2017. NAHIS. 9 (5) p. 103.
- 152 [Gallardo et al. ()] ‘African swine fever: A global view of the current challenge’. M C Gallardo , A Reoyo
153 , T De La , J Fernández-Pinero , I Iglesias , M J Muñoz , M L Arias . 10.1186/s40813-015-0013-y.
154 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40813-015-0013-y> *Porcine Health Management* 2015. 1 p. .
- 155 [Sánchez-Cordón et al. (2018)] ‘African swine fever: A reemerging viral disease threatening the global pig
156 industry’. P J Sánchez-Cordón , M Montoya , A L Reis , L K Dixon . 10.1016/j.tvjl.2017.12.025. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tvjl.2017.12.025> *Veterinary Journal* 2018. January. 233 p. .
- 158 [Arias et al. ()] ‘Approaches and Perspectives for Development of African Swine Fever Virus Vaccines’ M Arias ,
159 A De La Torre , L Dixon , C Gallardo , F Jori , A Laddomada , Sanchez-Vizcaino . 10.3390/vaccines5040035.
160 <https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines5040035> *Vaccines* 2017. 5 (4) p. 35.
- 161 [Rock ()] ‘Challenges for African swine fever vaccine development-’? perhaps the end of the beginning’. D L
162 Rock . 10.1016/j.vetmic.2016.10.003. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2016.10.003> *Veterinary*
163 *Microbiology* 2017. 206 p. .
- 164 [Fasina et al. ()] *Cost Implications of African Swine Fever in Smallholder Farrow-to-Finish Units: Economic*
165 *Benefits of Disease Prevention Through Biosecurity. Transboundary and emerging diseases*, Folorunso Fasina
166 , & Oludayo , Lazarus , & T David , B & A Spencer , Makinde , Armanda Bastos . 10.1111/j.1865-
167 1682.2011.01261.x. 59. 244-55. 10.1111/j.1865-1682.2011.01261.x 2011.
- 168 [Costard et al. ()] ‘Epidemiology of African swine fever virus’. S Costard , L Mur , J Lubroth , J M Sanchez-
169 Vizcaino , D U Pfeiffer . *Virus Research* 2013. 173 (1) p. .
- 170 [Guinat et al. ()] ‘Transmission routes of African swine fever virus to domestic pigs: Current knowledge and
171 future research directions’. C Guinat , A Gogin , S Blome , G Keil , R Pollin , D U Pfeiffer , L Dixon .
172 10.1136/vr.103593. <https://doi.org/10.1136/vr.103593> *Veterinary Record* 2016. 178 (11) p. .
- 173 [Reis et al. ()] ‘Unraveling the Armor of a Killer: Evasion of Host Defenses by African Swine Fever Virus’. A
174 L Reis , C Netherton , L K Dixon . 10.1128/jvi.02338-16. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.02338-16>
175 *Journal of Virology* 2016. 91 (6) p. .