

GLOBAL JOURNAL OF MEDICAL RESEARCH: J DENTISTRY & OTOLARYNGOLOGY Volume 23 Issue 2 Version 1.0 Year 2023 Type: Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal Publisher: Global Journals Online ISSN: 2249-4618 & Print ISSN: 0975-5888

## Prevelance of Patterns of Impactions in the State of Punjab- A Retrospective Research Analysis

### By Rashi Bahl, Amoldeep Kaur, Megha Bahal, Siddharth Bahl, Vanshika Saggar & Simrat Singh Johal

*Abstract-* An impacted tooth is the one that has lost its ability to erupt fully in the oral cavity. If a tooth which is impacted at the age of 18 years has high chances of 30-50% of erupting in the oral cavity by the age of 25 years. Studies show that if it does not erupt by the age of 25 years it will not change its position and will remain impacted for the rest of the lifetime. There is need of surgical removal of the tooth. It requires extensive skills and experience to handle such cases with minimum trauma to the oral tissues. With evolution it is seen that there is constant reduction in the jaw size which seems to be the reason for increased chances of impaction. Apart from evolution, the changes in the dietary pattern with industrial revolution, for raw food to its soft texture food which doesn't require much of muscle efforts, hinder the proper jaw development leading to increased chances of impaction. It is seen that the teeth to erupt first in the oral cavity has rare chances of impaction and vice versa.<sup>(1)</sup>

Keywords: impaction, third molar, dentoalveolar, surgical, extraction, congenital, embedded.

GJMR-J Classification: NLM: WU 113

## PREVELANCE OF PATTERNS OF IMPACTIONS IN THE STATE OF PUNJABARE TROSPECTIVERE SEARCHANALYSIS

Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of:



© 2023. Rashi Bahl, Amoldeep Kaur, Megha Bahal, Siddharth Bahl, Vanshika Saggar & Simrat Singh Johal. This research/review article is distributed under the terms of the Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0). You must give appropriate credit to authors and reference this article if parts of the article are reproduced in any manner. Applicable licensing terms are at https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

# Prevelance of Patterns of Impactions in the State of Punjab- A Retrospective Research Analysis

Rashi Bahl<sup> °</sup>, Amoldeep Kaur<sup> °</sup>, Megha Bahal<sup> °</sup>, Siddharth Bahl<sup> ω</sup>, Vanshika Saggar<sup> ¥</sup> & Simrat Singh Johal<sup> §</sup>

Abstract- An impacted tooth is the one that has lost its ability to erupt fully in the oral cavity. If a tooth which is impacted at the age of 18 years has high chances of 30-50% of erupting in the oral cavity by the age of 25 years. Studies show that if it does not erupt by the age of 25 years it will not change its position and will remain impacted for the rest of the lifetime. There is need of surgical removal of the tooth. It requires extensive skills and experience to handle such cases with minimum trauma to the oral tissues. With evolution it is seen that there is constant reduction in the jaw size which seems to be the reason for increased chances of impaction. Apart from evolution, the changes in the dietary pattern with industrial revolution, for raw food to its soft texture food which doesn't require much of muscle efforts, hinder the proper jaw development leading to increased chances of impaction. It is seen that the teeth to erupt first in the oral cavity has rare chances of impaction and vice versa.<sup>(1)</sup> Initially there is enough space in the oral cavity for the teeth to erupt, hence the first molar and incisors are rare impacted while third molars are commonly impacted. The aim of the present study is to evaluate the prevalence of impacted teeth in the state of Punjab.

Keywords: impaction, third molar, dentoalveolar, surgical, extraction, congenital, embedded.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

he mandibular third, molars are the most frequently impacted teeth in the human and surgical extractions have become one of the commonest dentoalveolar surgery (Gbotolorun et al,2007).<sup>(2)</sup>The most often congenitally missing as well as impacted teeth are the third molars, which are present in 90% of the population with 33% having at least one impacted third molar. They account for 98% of all the impacted teeth. The incidence varies from 9.5% to 68% in different populations.<sup>(2.3)</sup>

According to Garn the mandibular third molar is an unusual tooth characterized by considerable variability in formation, timing, variation in crown and root morphology and not infrequentiv, by agenesis. Impaction is defined as completely or partially unerupted and positioned against another tooth, bone or soft tissue, so that its further eruption would be unlikely.<sup>(3.4)</sup> Third molars are the most frequently impacted teeth because of their particular topography, phylogeny and ontogeny. They are directly or indirectly associated with numerous disorders in the mouth, jaw and facial regions.<sup>(5)</sup> Development of mandibular third molars starts in the ramus of mandible at about the age of seven years. The third molars are the last teeth to erupt in all races despite racial variations in the eruption sequence. Racial variation in facial growth, jaw and teeth size, nature of diet, extent of generalised tooth attrition, degree of use of masticatory apparatus and genetic inheritance are the crucial factors which determines the eruption pattern, impaction status and incidence of agenesis of third molars. Impacted teeth were seldom a problem for Neolithic man.<sup>(6)</sup> Their highly abrasive diet caused attrition of teeth resulting in reduction of mesiodistal distance of dentition. This allows medial migration of teeth and adequate space was available for the eruption of third molars .But with the arrival of refined food and consequential reduction in the masticatory functional load, today, the rate of impaction of third molar shows a significant increase (John Hunter theory of nature and nurture).<sup>(7)</sup> Mead believed that delay in eruption causes impaction of Radiographs teeth. like I.O.P.A.R and orthopantomograms (OPG) are used to evaluate the type of impaction, any anatomical impediments that are preventing its eruption; whether it is completely or partially embedded in bone, marginal bone height, condition of adjacent second molars and relation of third molars to inferior alveolar canal; so that a proper management can be planned.<sup>(8)</sup> So our study is aimed to evaluate the prevalence of, Impaction of mandibular third molar and angulation of impaction radiographically.

#### II. Methods and Materials

The study was conducted in Department of Oral surgery, BJS Dental College Ludhiana. Study represents retrospective analysis of panoramic radiographs (orthopantomograms) of patients referred to Department

Author α: Professor and Head, Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Baba Jaswant Singh Dental College, Hospital and Research Institute, Ludhiana, Punjab.

Author o: Senior Lecturer, Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Baba Jaswant Singh Dental College, Hospital and Research Institute, Ludhiana, Punjab.

Author p: Senior Lecturer, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Baba Jaswant Singh Dental College, Hospital and Research Institute, Ludhiana, Punjab. e-mail: meghabahal001@gmail.com

Author 🛛 §: Intern, Shaheed Kartar Singh Sarabha Dental College and Hospital, Sarabha, Ludhiana, Punjab.

Author ¥: Intern, Baba Jaswant Singh Dental College, Hospital and Research Institute, Ludhiana.

of oral surgery from January 2019 to August 2021 with indication for surgical removal of impacted third molars. Four hundred and fifty radiographs were reviewed and related data were selected from their dental records.

Inclusion criteria of the study group was complete root formation of mandibular third molar. Exclusion criteria were: patients younger than seventeen years, poor quality of OPG, incomplete records, presence of dentoalveolar trauma or other pathological dentoalveolar condition, presence of any systemic or craniofacial anomaly or syndrome (such as Down Syndrome, Cleidocranialdysplasia) and absence of mandibular second molar. To eliminate the interexamination errors, the radiographs were analysed by a single examiner in a dark room using an appropriate Xray viewer and magnifying lenses. The angulation and class and type pattern of impaction were established via visual impression.

Orthopantomograms were taken for all subjects in order to assess the level of eruption, angulation, third molar space, mesiodistal length of impacted 3rd molar and relation of inferior alveolar nerve to impacted third molar. It was also used for evaluating agenesis of third molar and angulations of impaction.

Impacted third molar can also be classified according to their angular relationship to the adjacent second molar. Angulation of the impacted third molar can be determined by evaluating the angle formed between the intersected longitudinal axes of the impacted third molar and the adjacent second molar, as described by Winter, either usually or by using an orthodontic protractor.

Depth or level of maxillary and mandibular third molar can be classified using Pell and Gregory classification system, where the impacted teeth are assessed according to their relationship to the occlusal surface of adjacent second molar. If the third molar is at the same level or above the occlusal surface of the adjacent second molar then it is classified as A. If it is between the Occlusal surface and cervical line of second molar then it is classified as B.C level is when the third molar is below the cervical line of the adjacent second molar.

Third molar can also be classified according to the relationship between Cemento enamel Junction (CEJ) of impacted tooth and the associated bone level. Level A is assigned to any impacted third molar that is not buried in bone. Level B is assigned to any impacted third molar that is partially buried in bone, when any part of the CEJ is lower than the bone level. Level C is assigned to impacted third molars that are completely buried in bone.

#### III. Results

There were 450 patients consisting 288 males and 162 females age between 14 to 25 years with mean age of 19.62 years (SD = 2.575). Table 1 illustrates type of impaction. In the mandibular arch (left), Mesioangular impaction was the most frequently seen (38.4%) followed by horizontal (38%), vertical (8.9%) and distoangular impaction (3.3%). In the mandibular arch (right), Mesioangular impaction was most frequently seen (37.1%), followed by horizontal impaction (28.2%), vertical (10%) and distoangular impaction (12%) as shown in Table 2. Table 3 shows type of impaction in the maxillary arch (right), the most frequently impacted third molar was found to be in horizontal angulation (49.1%) which is followed by distoangular impaction (27.1%), mesioangular impaction (5.8%) and (0.2%) vertical impaction. In the maxillary arch (left), the most frequently impacted third molar was found to be in horizontal angulation (46.2%), which is followed by distoangular impaction (26.4%), mesioangular (8.9%) and vertical impaction (0.2%) as shown in table 4.

Table 1: Angulation of impaction of mandibular third molar on the left side (1) mesioangular, (2) distoangular, (3)horizontal, (4) vertical

| MAND LE | TION   |           |         |
|---------|--------|-----------|---------|
|         |        | Frequency | Percent |
| Valid   | 0      | 50        | 11.1    |
|         | 1      | 173       | 38.4    |
|         | 2      | 15        | 3.3     |
|         | 3      | 171       | 38.0    |
|         | 4      | 40        | 8.9     |
|         | Total  | 449       | 99.8    |
| Missing | System | 1         | .2      |
| Total   |        | 450       | 100.0   |

 Table 2: Angulation of impaction of mandibular third molar on the right side (1) mesioangular, (2) distoangular, (3)

 horizontal, (4) vertical

| MAND RIGHT ANGULATION |        |           |         |
|-----------------------|--------|-----------|---------|
|                       |        | Frequency | Percent |
| Valid                 | 0      | 56        | 12.4    |
|                       | 1      | 167       | 37.1    |
|                       | 2      | 54        | 12.0    |
|                       | 3      | 127       | 28.2    |
|                       | 4      | 45        | 10.0    |
|                       | Total  | 449       | 99.8    |
| Missing               | System | 1         | .2      |
| Total                 |        | 450       | 100.0   |

Table 3: Angulation of impaction of maxillary third molar on the left side (1) mesioangular, (2) distoangular, (3)horizontal, (4) vertical

| MAX LEFT |        |           |         |
|----------|--------|-----------|---------|
|          |        | Frequency | Percent |
| Valid    | 0      | 81        | 18.0    |
|          | 1      | 40        | 8.9     |
|          | 2      | 119       | 26.4    |
|          | 3      | 208       | 46.2    |
|          | 4      | 1         | .2      |
|          | Total  | 449       | 99.8    |
| Missing  | System | 1         | .2      |
| Total    |        | 450       | 100.0   |

| Table 4: Angulation of impaction of maxillary | third molar | on the right side | e (1) mesioangular, | (2) distoangular, (3) |
|---|-------------|-------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
|   | horizontal, | (4) vertical      |                     |                       |

| MAX RIGHT ANGULATION |        |           |         |
|----------------------|--------|-----------|---------|
|                      |        | Frequency | Percent |
| Valid                | 0      | 79        | 17.6    |
|                      | 1      | 26        | 5.8     |
|                      | 2      | 122       | 27.1    |
|                      | 3      | 221       | 49.1    |
|                      | 4      | 1         | .2      |
|                      | Total  | 449       | 99.8    |
| Missing              | System | 1         | .2      |
| Total                |        | 450       | 100.0   |

According to level/depth of impaction (Pell and Gregory), in the maxillary arch (left) level3 was most abundant (33.1%), followed by level 1 (31.6%) and then level 2(17.6) as shown in table 5. According to level/depth of impaction (Pell and Gregory), in the maxillary arch (right), level 3 was most abundant (33.6%), followed by level 1(32%) and then level 2 (16.4%) (table 6). According to level/depth of impaction

(Pell and Gregory), in the mandibular arch (left), level 2 was most abundant (34.2%), followed by level 1(28.4%) and then level 3 (25.8%) as shown in table 7. According to level /depth of impaction (Pell and Gregory) for mandibular arch (right), level 2 is most abundant (45.8%), followed by level 3 (21.1%) and then level 1 (20.9%) (table 8).

Table 5: Occlusal relation of the maxillary left third molar (1) Level1, (2) level 2, (3) level 3

| MAX LEFT P AND G OCC |        |           |         |
|----------------------|--------|-----------|---------|
|                      |        | Frequency | Percent |
| Valid                | 0      | 79        | 17.6    |
|                      | 1      | 142       | 31.6    |
|                      | 2      | 79        | 17.6    |
|                      | 3      | 149       | 33.1    |
|                      | Total  | 449       | 99.8    |
| Missing              | System | 1         | .2      |
| Total                |        | 450       | 100.0   |

| MAX RIGHT P AND G OCC |        |           |         |
|-----------------------|--------|-----------|---------|
|                       |        | Frequency | Percent |
| Valid                 | 0      | 80        | 17.8    |
|                       | 1      | 144       | 32.0    |
|                       | 2      | 74        | 16.4    |
|                       | 3      | 151       | 33.6    |
|                       | Total  | 449       | 99.8    |
| Missing               | System | 1         | .2      |
| Total                 |        | 450       | 100.0   |

Table 6: Occlusal relation of the maxillary right third molar (1) Level1, (2) level 2, (3) level 3

Table 7: Occlusal relation of the mandibular left third molar (1) Level1, (2) level 2, (3) level 3

| MAND LEFT P AND G OCC |        |           |         |
|-----------------------|--------|-----------|---------|
|                       |        | Frequency | Percent |
| Valid                 | 0      | 50        | 11.1    |
|                       | 1      | 128       | 28.4    |
|                       | 2      | 154       | 34.2    |
|                       | 3      | 116       | 25.8    |
|                       | 4      | 1         | .2      |
|                       | Total  | 449       | 99.8    |
| Missing               | System | 1         | .2      |
| Total                 |        | 450       | 100.0   |

Table 8: Occlusal relation of the mandibular right third molar (1) Level1, (2) level 2, (3) level 3

| MAND RIGH |        |           |         |
|-----------|--------|-----------|---------|
|           |        | Frequency | Percent |
| Valid     | 0      | 54        | 12.0    |
|           | 1      | 94        | 20.9    |
|           | 2      | 206       | 45.8    |
|           | 3      | 95        | 21.1    |
|           | Total  | 449       | 99.8    |
| Missing   | System | 1         | .2      |
| Total     |        | 450       | 100.0   |

According to the ramus relationship to the third molar (Pell and Gregory) in the maxillary arch (left), most abundant is Class III (32.9%), followed by Class I(29.1%) and then class II (20.2%) as shown in table 9. According to the ramus relationship to the third molar (Pell and Gregory) in the maxillary arch (right), most abundant is Class III (33.3%), followed by Class I(32%) and then Class II (16.7%) as shown in table 10. According to ramus relationship to the third molar (Pell and Gregory) in the mandibular arch (left), the most abundant is Class II (41.3%), followed by Class III (24.2%) and then Class I (23.1%) as shown in table 11. According to ramus relationship to the third molar (Pell and Gregory) in the mandibular arch (right), the most abundant is Class II (49.1%), followed by Class III (19.8%) and then Class I (18.9%) as shown in table 12.

Table 9: Ramus relationship of impacted maxillary left third molar, (1) class 1, (2) class 2, (3) class 3

| MAX LEFT P AND G RAMUS |        |           |         |
|------------------------|--------|-----------|---------|
|                        |        | Frequency | Percent |
| Valid                  | 0      | 79        | 17.6    |
|                        | 1      | 131       | 29.1    |
|                        | 2      | 91        | 20.2    |
|                        | 3      | 148       | 32.9    |
|                        | Total  | 449       | 99.8    |
| Missing                | System | 1         | .2      |

Table 10: Ramus relationship of impacted maxillary right third molar, (1) class 1, (2) class 2, (3) class 3

| IMPACTED |        |           |         |
|----------|--------|-----------|---------|
|          |        | Frequency | Percent |
| Valid    | 0      | 80        | 17.8    |
|          | 1      | 144       | 32.0    |
|          | 2      | 75        | 16.7    |
|          | 3      | 150       | 33.3    |
|          | Total  | 449       | 99.8    |
| Missing  | System | 1         | .2      |
| Total    |        | 450       | 100.0   |

| MAND LEFT P AND G RAMUS |        |           |         |
|-------------------------|--------|-----------|---------|
|                         |        | Frequency | Percent |
| Valid                   | 0      | 50        | 11.1    |
|                         | 1      | 104       | 23.1    |
|                         | 2      | 186       | 41.3    |
|                         | 3      | 109       | 24.2    |
|                         | Total  | 449       | 99.8    |
| Missing                 | System | 1         | .2      |
| Total                   |        | 450       | 100.0   |

Table 12: Ramus relationship of impacted mandibular left third molar, (1) class 1, (2) class 2, (3) class 3

| MAND RIG |        |           |         |
|----------|--------|-----------|---------|
|          |        | Frequency | Percent |
| Valid    | 0      | 54        | 12.0    |
|          | 1      | 85        | 18.9    |
|          | 2      | 221       | 49.1    |
|          | 3      | 89        | 19.8    |
|          | Total  | 449       | 99.8    |
| Missing  | System | 1         | .2      |
| Total    |        | 450       | 100.0   |

#### IV. DISCUSSION

A large population of individuals may have one or more impactions. The prevalence and types of impactions vary in different racial and ethnic groups. These may be due to racial genetic characteristics, inbreeding as well as epigeneric factors such as food habits. It is therefore important to understand the pattern of impactions in various communities and population sub-groups.<sup>(9)</sup>

This study was undertaken to study the prevalence and pattern of impactions in the Punjab population. Orthopantomographs were taken of 450 subjects from Ludhiana district who consented to participate in our study. Only those subjects who confirmed to the inclusion and exclusion criteria outlined previously were selected for the study.

The parameters sought were prevalence of impacted third molars, angulation, level of eruptions, mesiodistal width of impacted third molars and retromandibular space available. The OPGs were also used for evaluating the agenesis of third molars.

In our study, the frequency of missing third molars showed a predilection for maxilla over mandible which was consistent with the study of hattab <sup>(18)</sup> and sandhu <sup>(19)</sup> and nanda <sup>(20)</sup>.

Higher prevalence of impacted teeth was found in study of morris and jerman in a study conducted in USA on 5000 subjects (65%)<sup>(17,21)</sup>,probably as a result of different age groups included in study. Since our study represents all age groups; and also in study of Quek et al. on 1000 subjects of Chinese population (68%) due to higher jaw teeth size discrepancy, wider teeth and smaller dental arch length of Chinese population compared with Caucasians.<sup>(6, 16, 18, 21).</sup> The frequency of normally erupted third molars in our study is 42%, which is lower than findings of other studies conducted on African american population  $(58\%)^{(15, 22)}$ . And Indian population  $(65\%)^{(23,11)}$  which suggests racial and ethnic factors contributing to impaction of third molars. The most common angulation of impaction is mesioangular (6, 24, 21, 23, 25, and 26).

#### V. Conclusion

An impacted tooth is one that neglects to emit into the dental curve inside the normal formative window. Since affected teeth don't eject, they are held all through the person's lifetime except if separated or uncovered precisely. Teeth may become affected in view of adjoining teeth, thick overlying bone, extreme delicate tissue or a hereditary irregularity.<sup>(12)</sup> Frequently. the reason for impaction is deficient curve length and space in which to emit. That is the all out length of the alveolar curve is littler than the tooth curve (the consolidated mesiodistal width of every tooth). The knowledge teeth (third molars) are oftentimes affected in light of the fact that they are the last teeth to eject in the oral pit. The most common types of lower wisdom tooth impaction are as follows: in Winter's classification, mesial-angular impaction; in Tetsch and Wagner's classification, oblique medial-angular impaction; in Pell and Gregory's classification, distance from the anterior edge of the mandibular ramus, impaction depth A, and impaction grade 2A; and in Asanami and Kasazaki's classification, distance of the mandibular ramus from the distal surface of the second lower molar, impaction depth A, and anterior inclination. In most cases of surgical removal of an impacted tooth, the anticipated difficulty of the procedure was rated as very difficult.

#### Conflicts of interest

No conflicts of interest present.

#### Funding

No fundings granted.

Consent for publication

Written Consent was taken from our patient regarding incorporating his panoramic radiograph in this study.

#### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### References Références Referencias

- Ryalat S, AlRyalat SA, Kassob Z, Hassona Y, Al-Shayyab MH, Sawair F. Impaction of lower third molars and their association with age: radiological perspectives. BMC Oral Health. 2018 Apr 4; 18(1): 58.
- 2. Jaroń A, Trybek G. The Pattern of Mandibular Third Molar Impaction and Assessment of Surgery

Difficulty: A Retrospective Study of Radiographs in East Baltic Population. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2021; 18(11): 6016.

- Lima CJ et al. Evaluation of the agreement by examiners according to classification of third molars. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal. 2012; 17: 281-216.
- 4. Hattab FN et al. Impaction status of third molars in Jordanian students. Oral Surg Oral MedOral PatholRadiolEndod. 1995; 79(1): 24-29.
- 5. HaidarZet al. The incidence of impacted wisdom teeth in a Saudi community. Int J Oral Maxillofacial Surg.1986; 15(5): 569-571.
- QuekSLet al. Pattern of third molar impaction in a Singapore china population: a retrospective radiographic survey. Int J Oral MaxillofacSurg. 2003; 32(5): 548-57.
- Goax PW, White SC. Oral radiology: Principles and interpretation (2nd Ed). St Louis: CV Mosby Company 1992: 97-112,174-77.)
- 8. Gann SM, Lewis AB, Bonne B. Third molar formation and its development course. Angle Ortho 1962; 32: 271-79.
- 9. Goax PW, White SC. Oral radiology: Principles and interpretation (2nd Ed). St Louis: CV Mosby Company 1994: 83-85,126-30, 242-45, 249-53.
- Kapoor V. Textbook of oral and maxillofacial surgery (15 Ed). New Delhi: Arya (Medi Publishing House 1996: 45-71.
- 11. Margaret E.R. Lower third mandibular space. Angle Orthod. 1987 April: 155-161. (PubMed]
- 12. Odusanya S.A., Abayomi I.O. Third molar eruption among rural Nigerians. Oral Surg Oral MED Oral Pathol.1991; 71: 151-154.[PubMed]
- Robert M.K., Arthur C.W. The incidence of impacted teeth. A survey of Harlem Hospital. Oral Surg. February 1970; 71:151-154. (PubMed]
- Molander Bet al. Agreement between panoramic and intra oral radiography in the assessment of marginal bone height. DentomaxillofacRadiol. 1991; 20: 155-160.
- 15. Pell GJ, Gregory BT. Impacted mandibular third molars: classification and modified techniques for removal. Dent Digest. 1933; 39: 330-338.
- Winter GB. The Principles of Exodontia as Applied to the Impacted Third Molar. St. Louis, MO: American Medical Book Co; 1926.
- Quek SL, Tay CK, Tay KH, Toh SL, Lim KC. Pattern of third molar impaction in a Singapore Chinese population: a retrospective radiographic survey. Int J Oral MaxillafacSurg. 2003; 32(5): 548-552. [PubMed]
- HattabFaiez N., Rawashdeh Ma'amon A., Fahmy Mourad S. Impaction status of third molars in Jordanian students. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral RadiolEndod. 1995; 79: 24-29. [PubMed]

- Sandhu Sumeet, Kaur Tejinder. Radiographic evaluation of the status of third molars in the Asian-Indian students. J MaxillofacSurg. 2005; 63:640-645. [PubMed]
- 20. Nanda R.S., Chawla T.N. Status of third molar teeth. J Dent Assoc. February 1959; 31(2): 19-29.
- 21. Morris CR, Jerman AC. Panoramic radiographic survey: a study of embedded third molars. J Oral Surg1971: 29: 122-125.
- 22. Kramer RM, Williams AC. The incidence of impacted teeth. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol. 1970; 29(2): 237-241.
- 23. Ramamurthy A, Pradha J, Jeeva S, Jeddy N, Sunitha J, Kumar S. Prevalence of mandibular third molar impaction and agenesis: a radiographic south Indian study. J Indian Aca Oral Med Radiol2012; 24(3): 173-176.
- 24. Hassan AH. Pattern of third molar impactions in a Saudi population. Clinical, Cosmetic and Investigational Dentistry 2010; 2: 109-113.
- 25. Byahatti S, Ingafou MSH. Prevalence of eruption status of third molars in Libyan students. Dental Research Journal, 2012.9; 2: 152-157.
- 26. Jaffar RO, Mon Mon TO. Impacted mandibular third molars among patients attending Hospital UniversitiSains Malaysia. Archives of Orofacial Sciences (2009), 4(1): 7-12.