

CrossRef DOI of original article:

# Bacteriological Profile and Antibiotic Sensitivity Patterns in Children with Urinary Tract Infection: A Cross-Sectional Study in the Northern Part of Bangladesh

Nowrozy Kamar Jahan

Received: 1 January 1970 Accepted: 1 January 1970 Published: 1 January 1970

---

## Abstract

Urinary tract infection (UTI) is a prevalent infection in children. Over the years, the sensitivity and antimicrobial resistance patterns against uropathogens causing this infection have continuously changed. Pediatricians need updated knowledge of the antimicrobial sensitivity and resistance patterns of common uropathogens to provide appropriate treatment. This study aimed to determine the spectrum of causative uropathogens' antimicrobial sensitivity and resistance patterns in pediatric patients. Methods: A single-center, cross-sectional study was conducted from February 2021 to January 2022 at the tertiary care hospital in Rangpur, Bangladesh's northernmost division. A total of 200 children aged 0 months to 12 years with clinically suspected UTIs were enrolled in the study. Researchers reviewed the study participants' medical records and sent the urine sample for routine and microscopic examination and culture sensitivity testing.

---

**Index terms**— urinary tract infection. children. bacteriological profile. antibiotic sensitivity.

## 1 Introduction

Urinary tract infection (UTI) is the clinical condition when bacteria enter the urethra to infect the different parts of the urinary tract (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2021). It is a significant cause of morbidity and mortality in the pediatric age group (Foxman, 2002) and an essential indicator of underlying urinary tract anomalies (Laila et al., 2012). During the first year of life, males are affected frequently (Kanellopoulos et al., 2006), although the UTI incidence substantially increases among females with age (Al-Badr & Al-Shaikh, 2013; Harrington & Hooton, 2000; Moreno, 2016). Although the outcome of UTI is usually benign, it may be associated with long-term complications (Tan & Chlebicki, 2016). Therefore, prompt diagnosis and early initiation of appropriate antibiotics are required to reduce morbidities with conducted from February 2021 to January 2022 at the tertiary care hospital in Rangpur, Bangladesh's northernmost division. A total of 200 children aged 0 months to 12 years with clinically suspected UTIs were enrolled in the study. Researchers reviewed the study participants' medical records and sent the urine sample for routine and microscopic examination and culture sensitivity testing.

Results: Out of 200 children, 94 (47%) were identified as having presumptive UTIs due to significant pyuria, and 58 (29%) were diagnosed with confirmed UTIs. *Escherichia coli* was the most isolated (62%) pathogen. Among gram-negative bacteria, *Klebsiella* species (15.5%) were the second most common, and *Enterococcus faecalis* species (8.62%) were the most common among gram-positive bacteria. Nitrofurantoin (97%) was highly sensitive, followed by ciprofloxacin (93%). On the other hand, cefixime (97%), cotrimoxazole (81%), amoxicillin (72%), aztreonam (72%), and ceftriaxone (67%) were highly resistant to uropathogens.

Conclusions: In Bangladesh's northernmost regions, previously used amoxicillin and cephalosporin groups of drugs are no longer helpful in treating UTIs among children, as this study suggested nitrofurantoin and ciprofloxacin as the most appropriate antibiotics.

devastating consequences such as chronic renal failure (CRF) and end-stage renal disease (ESRD) (Nazme et al., 2017; Saadeh & Mattoo, 2011; Shrestha et al., 2013; Spoorenberg et al., 2013).

45 *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) has been reported to be the most common pathogen for symptomatic UTI (90%)  
46 in children. Other common bacteria are *Proteus*, *Klebsiella*, *Pseudomonas*, and *Enterobacter* (Akram et al.,  
47 2007;Islam et al., 2010;Nazme et al., 2017;Srivastava & Bagga, 2016). Viruses and fungi may also cause UTIs  
48 among children (Clark et al., 2010). Traditionally, UTI has been treated empirically with either injectable or oral  
49 antibiotics such as the cephalosporin group of drugs, levofloxacin, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (cotrimoxazole),  
50 and nitrofurantoin (Wagenlehner et al., 2008). Several studies conducted in tertiary care hospitals located in the  
51 central region of Bangladesh found that these antibiotics are no longer beneficial to treat UTIs in children due to  
52 high resistance levels against causative uropathogens (Islam et al., 2019;Nazme et al., 2017;Shams et al., 2021).

53 To our knowledge, no study has been conducted in the Rangpur division, the northernmost part of Bangladesh,  
54 where the total number of children under 14 years is 4,220,773 (ZhujiWorld, 2023). Therefore, the study objective  
55 was to determine the spectrum of causative agents of UTIs, their antimicrobial sensitivity, and resistance  
56 patterns in pediatric patients of a tertiary care hospital located in the northernmost part of Bangladesh so  
57 that pediatricians can predict the causative organisms before providing empirical treatment, thus preventing  
58 long-term complications from UTIs.

## 59 2 II.

## 60 3 Materials and Methods

### 61 4 a) Study design and participants

62 It is a single-center, cross-sectional study conducted at the Combined Military Hospital (CMH), Rangpur, a  
63 tertiary care hospital located in the Rangpur division, Bangladesh's 7th and northernmost division (Wikiwand,  
64 2023). This observational study was conducted between February 2021 and January 2022. A total of 200 children  
65 aged 0 months to 12 years with clinically suspected UTIs either attended the pediatric outpatient department  
66 or were admitted to the indoor department during the study period and were enrolled as the study participants  
67 after the researchers received either their parents' or caregivers' verbal informed consent.

### 68 5 b) Clinical data collection

69 Researchers reviewed the study participants' medical records to collect their demographic data (age & sex) and  
70 clinical data, including common, urinary, and general complaints. Thereafter, study participants' urine samples  
71 were sent for routine and microscopic examination and culture sensitivity testing. In the case of neonates, urine  
72 analysis was performed if patients presented clinical evidence of sepsis.

73 Before collecting urine samples, mothers or caregivers received brief training to follow the steps related to  
74 sample collection aseptically and properly before depositing them in the laboratory on time. Older children  
75 (7-12 years) were asked to collect early morning midstream urine samples after properly cleaning their external  
76 urethra and perineum with plain water without soap. In the case of young infant patients, the best way was to  
77 obtain urine for culture aseptically by urethral percutaneous supra-pubic bladder aspiration to avoid the potential  
78 chance of contaminated urine cultures that often happens from bag specimens. However, it was not possible to  
79 perform this, as the parents did not provide consent to this procedure. Hence, under the supervision of parents,  
80 these young children were advised to clean the perineum and peri-urethral area before collecting urine samples  
81 by using sterile plastic bags or wide-opened mouth containers supplied by the laboratory.

82 All samples were collected within 30 minutes of voiding urine. The collected urine samples were then  
83 transported to the pathology laboratory of the same hospital and stored at 4°C after adding a few drops of acetic  
84 acid, which prevented the growth of organisms. These urine samples were analyzed by microscopic examination  
85 followed by bacteriological culture and antibiotic sensitivity testing.

### 86 6 c) Statistical analysis

87 We analyzed the data in MS Excel 2010 and SPSS version 24.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago). We conducted  
88 descriptive analysis and summarized the categorical data in counts and percentages.

89 Urine culture and sensitivity are the gold standards for diagnosing UTIs (Schmiemann et al., 2010). Antibiotic  
90 selection should depend on the pattern of uropathogens and their antimicrobial sensitivities in the local  
91 environment. Usually, antimicrobial vulnerability testing of urine is achieved within 48-36 hours of sampling  
92 (Akoachere et al., 2012). Therefore, in most UTI cases, treatment choice is empirical and experimental, influenced  
93 by available data reflecting antibiotic sensitivity and resistance in geographical regions. On culture, a group count  
94 of  $>10^5$  colony forming units (CFU)/ml organisms of a single uropathogen in the midstream urine of girls and  
95  $>10^4$  CFU/ml organisms in boys are measured as confirmatory of UTI (Nazme et al., 2017;Srivastava & Bagga,  
96 2016). A pure growth of  $>10^5$  CFU/ml is considered 95% susceptibility, and  $10^4$ - $10^5$  CFU/ml is categorized as  
97 'infection likely' from catheterized urine samples (Cheng & Wong, 2005) or growth of any number of uropathogens  
98 from urine obtained by suprapubic aspiration is considered significant bacteriuria (Christopher D Doern & Susan  
99 E Richardson, 2016).

---

## 7 III.

## 8 Results

In this study, urine samples from 200 children with suspected UTIs were sent for routine microscopic analysis followed by bacteriological culture and antibiotic sensitivity testing. Among them, only 94 children (47%) were diagnosed with presumptive UTIs due to the presence of significant pyuria [ $>5$  WBCs/high power field (HPF)](C. D. Doern & S. E. Richardson, 2016). In this results section, we present the study findings of these 94 presumptive UTI cases when the urinalysis result was positive for pyuria; among them, 35 (37%) were indoor admitted patients, 59 (62.7%) were outdoor department patients, 36 (38.3%) were male, and 58 (61.7%) were female. Out of 94 presumptive UTI cases, urine culture was positive due to significant organism growth in 58 cases (29% of all suspected cases and 62% of presumptive UTI cases), and these were considered "confirmed UTIs". Table 2 presents the prevalence of gramnegative and gram-positive pathogens that were isolated during urine cultures. *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) was the most isolated (62%) pathogen. Among gramnegative bacteria, *E. coli* was followed by *Klebsiella* species (15.5%), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (5.17%), and *Enterobacter* species (3.45%). Among grampositive bacteria, *Enterococcus faecalis* species (8.62%) were the most common, followed by *Acinetobacter* (1.72%) and *Staphylococcus* species (1.72%). 3 presents the antibiotic sensitivity pattern of isolates among 58 confirmed UTI cases. We found that nitrofurantoin was highly sensitive in almost all cases (97%), followed by ciprofloxacin, which was sensitive in 93% of cases. The next most sensitive antibiotics were amikacin (88%), gentamycin (74%), and levofloxacin (66%). On the other hand, we found that uropathogens were highly resistant to cefixime (97%), Table 1 shows the age and sex distribution analysis of the presumptive UTI cases, where we found the highest (57%) presumptive UTI cases among the younger age group ( $<5$  years) and the lowest (17%) cases among the older age group ( $>10$  years); the presumptive UTI cases were also higher among female children than among male children, leading to a male: female ratio of 0.62:1. We also found a similar age distribution among the urine culture-positive and urine culture-negative cases, i.e., the younger group ( $<5$  years) suffered the most. Regarding the sex distribution analysis, the male: female ratio was 0.87:1 among 58 patients with uropathogens in their urine cultures (culture-positive), and the male: female ratio was 0.33:1 among 36 urine culture-negative cases. cotrimoxazole (81%), amoxicillin (72%), aztreonam (72%), and ceftriaxone (67%). 4 presents the detailed antibiotic sensitivity pattern of isolates by different types of bacteria, where we found that *E. coli* was highly sensitive (100%) to nitrofurantoin and highly resistant (100%) to amoxicillin and cefixime. *Klebsiella* species were highly sensitive (100%) to ciprofloxacin, gentamycin, levofloxacin, and nitrofurantoin and highly resistant (100%) to azithromycin, cotrimoxazole, ceftriaxone, cefixime, and cefuroxime. *Pseudomonas* isolates were highly sensitive (100%) to ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin and highly resistant (100%) to cotrimoxazole, cephalosporin group, and nalidixic acid.

*Enterobacter* species were highly sensitive (100%) to aztreonam, ciprofloxacin, cotrimoxazole, cephalixin, gentamicin, and nitrofurantoin and highly resistant (100%) to amoxicillin. *Proteus* species were highly sensitive (100%) to amikacin, azithromycin, ciprofloxacin, cotrimoxazole, ceftriaxone, levofloxacin, meropenem, nitrofurantoin, and vancomycin; they were extremely resistant (100%) to amoxycillin, aztreonam, cefixime, cephalixin, cephalixin, cephadrine, ceftazidime, colistin, gentamycin, imipenem, netilmicin, nalidixic acid, and penicillin. Regarding gram-positive bacteria, *Enterococcus faecalis* species were found to be extremely sensitive (100%) to nitrofurantoin and enormously resistant (100%) to cefixime, cefuroxime, and ceftazidime. The *Acinetobacter* isolate was found to be extremely sensitive (100%) to amikacin, azithromycin, ciprofloxacin, ceftriaxone, cephadrine, ceftazidime, colistin, gentamicin, imipenem, levofloxacin, netilmicin, nitrofurantoin, and vancomycin; it was highly resistant (100%) to aztreonam, cefixime, cefuroxime, cephalixin, and meropenem. *Staphylococcus* species were extremely sensitive (100%) to amikacin, ciprofloxacin, cotrimoxazole, cephadrine, colistin, erythromycin, gentamicin, imipenem, levofloxacin, meropenem, netilmicin, nalidixic acid, nitrofurantoin, penicillin, and vancomycin; they were enormously resistant (100%) to amoxycillin, cefixime, cefuroxime, cephalixin, and ceftazidime. (n=9) (n=3) (n=2) (n=1) (n=5) (n=1) (n=1) N (%) N (%) N (%) N (%) N (%) N (%) N (%) N (%) N (%)

Out of 23 antibiotics, we found that the most effective antibiotics were nitrofurantoin, which was highly sensitive (100%) to seven out of eight bacteria (*E. coli*, *Klebsiella*, *Enterobacter* species, *Proteus*, *Enterococcus*, *Acinetobacter*, and *Staphylococcus* species), followed by ciprofloxacin, which was highly sensitive (100%) to six out of eight bacteria (*Klebsiella*, *Pseudomonas*, *Enterobacter* species, *Proteus*, *Acinetobacter*, and *Staphylococcus* species). Levofloxacin was highly sensitive (100%) to five out of eight bacteria (*Klebsiella*, *Pseudomonas*, *Proteus*, *Acinetobacter*, and *Staphylococcus* species), and gentamicin was highly sensitive (100%) to four out of eight bacteria (*Klebsiella*, *Enterobacter* species, *Acinetobacter*, and *Staphylococcus* species). However, gentamicin is highly resistant (100%) to *Proteus*.

Three antibiotics were highly sensitive (100%) to three bacteria: vancomycin (sensitive to *Proteus*, *Acinetobacter*, and *Staphylococcus* species), cotrimoxazole (sensitive to *Enterobacter* species, *Proteus*, and *Staphylococcus* species), and amikacin (sensitive to *Proteus*, *Acinetobacter*, and *Staphylococcus* species). However, *Klebsiella* and *Pseudomonas* were highly resistant (100%) to cotrimoxazole.

On the other hand, we found that the most resistant antibiotics were cefixime (highly resistant [100%] against seven bacteria: *E. coli*, *Klebsiella*, *Pseudomonas*, *Proteus*, *Enterococcus*, *Acinetobacter*, and *Staphylococcus* species); however, we did not conduct an antibiotic sensitivity test on *Enterobacter* species or cefuroxime

162 (highly resistant [100%] against five bacteria: Klebsiella, Pseudomonas, Enterococcus, Acinetobacter, and  
163 Staphylococcus species), although we did not conduct an antibiotic sensitivity test on Enterobacter species and  
164 Proteus.

165 We also found that amoxicillin was highly resistant (100%) against four bacteria, E. coli, Enterobacter  
166 species, Proteus, and Staphylococcus species, although we did not conduct an antibiotic sensitivity test on  
167 Klebsiella, Pseudomonas, and Acinetobacter, and cephalexin was highly resistant (100%) against four bacteria,  
168 Pseudomonas, Proteus, Acinetobacter, and Staphylococcus species, although we did not conduct an antibiotic  
169 sensitivity test on Klebsiella. Cephalexin is highly (100%) sensitive only to Enterobacter species. We found  
170 that ceftazidime was highly resistant (100%) against four bacteria, Pseudomonas, Proteus, Enterococcus, and  
171 Staphylococcus species, and highly (100%) sensitive to Acinetobacter, although we did not conduct an antibiotic  
172 sensitivity test on E. coli, Klebsiella and Enterobacter species.

173 IV.

## 174 9 Discussion

175 Our study presents the bacteriological profile and antibiotic sensitivity patterns of urinary tract infections in  
176 children aged 12 years and below living in the northernmost part of Bangladesh. This study found that almost  
177 half (47%) of the suspected UTI study respondents (n=200) had significant pyuria. This study finding is lower  
178 than that of the studies that were conducted in other tertiary hospitals in Bangladesh, such as 92% in Mymensingh  
179 Medical College under the Dhaka division (Islam et al., 2010), 79% in Dhaka Shishu Hospital, Dhaka (Islam et  
180 al., 2019), and 67% in Combined Military Hospital, Dhaka (Nazme et al., 2017). This difference is because most  
181 patients prefer to visit tertiary hospitals located in the Dhaka division due to the high quality of services and the  
182 presence of skilled and efficient healthcare professionals. The prevalence of pyuria among children is also higher  
183 in other Asian countries, such as Nepal (95.6%) (Singh & Madhup, 2013).

184 These urine samples were further processed for urine culture, where we found that 58 samples had confirmed  
185 UTIs, i.e., 29% of suspected cases and 62% of presumptive UTI cases, due to positive urine culture. This study  
186 finding varies in other studies conducted in Bangladesh and Nepal; this may be attributed to the sample size and  
187 age of study respondents. Positive urine culture varies from 32% of suspected cases with children under 15 years  
188 (Nazme et al., 2017) to 84% of suspected cases with children under 18 years (Paul et al., 2019). Both studies  
189 were conducted in two different tertiary care hospitals located in Dhaka. A similar variation was also found in  
190 Nepal, ranging from 29% to 45% (Rai et al., 2008; Singh & Madhup, 2013).

191 The prevalence of UTIs varies with the age and sex of children. Almost half (45%) of the culture-positive  
192 cases were found in the age group below five years. This finding could be because younger children are not  
193 toilet trained, and ascending infection with fecal flora is more common in this age group. Similar findings are  
194 also reflected in other studies conducted in tertiary hospitals ?? We also found that UTIs were 1.6 times more  
195 frequent in We found that Pseudomonas isolates were highly sensitive (100%) to ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin  
196 and highly resistant (100%) to cotrimoxazole, cephalosporin, and nalidixic acid. The findings of Nazme et  
197 al. (Nazme et al., 2017) are similar to those of this study, except that they found that Pseudomonas is also  
198 highly sensitive to amikacin. Enterobacter species were highly sensitive (100%) to aztreonam, ciprofloxacin,  
199 cotrimoxazole, cephalexin, gentamicin, and nitrofurantoin and highly resistant (100%) to amoxicillin. Villegas et  
200 al. also found similar results with Enterobacter (Villegas & Quinn, 2002).

201 In this study, Proteus species were highly sensitive (100%) to amikacin, azithromycin, ciprofloxacin,  
202 cotrimoxazole, ceftriaxone, levofloxacin, meropenem, nitrofurantoin, and vancomycin; they were extremely  
203 resistant (100%) to amoxicillin, aztreonam, females. The reasons behind this might be that a female child  
204 has a short urethra, is easily contaminated with fecal matter, and is not properly cleaned up after passing urine.  
205 These results are consistent with the study findings of Islam et al. (Islam et al., 2019) and Nazme et al. (Nazme  
206 et al., 2017). However, two studies conducted in India found males to be prevalent (Rai et al., 2008; Rekha et al.,  
207 2010); this may be due to an increase in seeking treatment for male children.

208 In this study, E. coli was the most isolated (62%) uropathogen. In different studies, the percentage of E. coli  
209 varies from 30% to 90% ?? (Islam et al., 2019).

210 This study found that E. coli was highly sensitive (100%) to nitrofurantoin and highly resistant (100%) to  
211 amoxicillin and cefixime; in contrast, Shrestha et al. (Shrestha et al., 2013) and Nazme et al. (Nazme et al.,  
212 2017) found that E. coli was most sensitive not only to nitrofurantoin but also to ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin, and  
213 amikacin. Das et al. reported that the sensitivity of E. coli to meropenem, amikacin, colistin, azithromycin,  
214 levofloxacin, cotrimoxazole, and ampicillin was high (Das et al., 2017). The next most common uropathogen was  
215 Klebsiella. This study found that Klebsiella species were highly sensitive (100%) to ciprofloxacin, gentamycin,  
216 levofloxacin, and nitrofurantoin and highly resistant (100%) to azithromycin, cotrimoxazole, ceftriaxone, cefixime,  
217 and cefuroxime. An Indian study reported that Klebsiella was the most sensitive to Ofloxacin, Amikacin, and  
218 Piperacillin+Tazobactam (Patel & Garala, 2014 Nitrofurantoin is also recommended as the first choice among  
219 oral antibiotics for prophylaxis and treatment of UTIs in children due to its higher sensitivity ??Laila et Blondeau,  
220 2004). Our study also found that the most effective antibiotics are nitrofurantoin, which is highly sensitive (100%)  
221 to seven out of eight bacteria except for Pseudomonas, followed by ciprofloxacin, which is highly sensitive (100%)  
222 to six out of eight bacteria except for E. coli and Enterococcus.

223 Compared to another study conducted in Bangladesh (Nazme et al., 2017), this study found that levofloxacin

---

224 was highly sensitive (100%) to five out of eight bacteria except for *E. coli* and *Enterococcus*; however, the  
225 sensitivity test was not performed on *Enterobacter*. Gentamicin was highly sensitive (100%) to four out of eight  
226 bacteria except for *E. coli*, *Pseudomonas*, *Enterococcus*, and *Proteus*, and a similar study finding was noticed in  
227 Yuksel et al. (Yüksel et al., 2006).

228 On the other hand, we found that the most resistant antibiotics were cefixime (highly resistant [100%] against  
229 seven bacteria: *E. coli*, *Klebsiella*, *Pseudomonas*, *Proteus*, *Enterococcus*, *Acinetobacter*, and *Staphylococcus*  
230 species); however, we did not conduct an antibiotic sensitivity test on *Enterobacter* species or cefuroxime (highly  
231 resistant [100%] against five bacteria: *Klebsiella*, *Pseudomonas*, *Enterococcus*, *Acinetobacter*, and *Staphylococcus*  
232 species), although we did not conduct an antibiotic sensitivity test on *Enterobacter* species and *Proteus*. This  
233 high resistance profile was also confirmed by other studies (Ibeneme et al., 2014;Patel & Garala, 2014;Shrestha  
234 et al., 2013).

235 V.

## 236 10 Conclusion

237 Our study concluded that pediatricians working in Bangladesh's northernmost regions should be cautious when  
238 treating and managing UTIs among children. Instead of prescribing amoxicillin and cephalosporin groups of  
239 drugs that are highly resistant to uropathogens, they should prescribe nitrofurantoin and ciprofloxacin as the  
240 most appropriate antibiotics for preventing long-term complications from UTIs.

## 241 11 Statements and Declarations

242 Funding: The authors declare that no funds, grants, or other support were received to conduct this study and  
243 during the preparation of this manuscript.

244 Competing Interest: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest to announce. They did not  
245 receive any financial or non-financial benefits or will receive from any party directly or indirectly related to the  
246 subject of this article; hence, they have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

247 Authors' Contributions: All authors (KN, ARH, and NKJ) contributed to the conceptualization of the study  
248 and research design. KN was involved in the methodology, mainly in data collection and statistical analysis.  
249 KN also completed the original draft writing, and ARH and NKJ reviewed and edited the writing. All authors  
250 approved the final version of the manuscript.

## 251 12 Ethical approval:

252 The authors received ethical approval from the General Officer Commanding (GOC) & Area Commander of the  
253 Combined Military Hospital. The ethics ID number is 230190-1093/06/199/02. Data availability: All the data  
254 that were collected during this study are presented in the paper.

1

Age group	Presumptive UTI (n = 94)		Male (n=36)		Female (n=58)	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
<5 years	54	57.45%	18	19%	36	38.3%
5-10 years	24	25.55%	11	11.7%	13	13.82%
>10 years	16	17%	7	7.45%	9	9.57%
	Urine culture positive (n=58)		Male (n=27)		Female (n=31)	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
<5 years	26	44.83%	13	22.41%	13	22.41%
5-10 years	20	34.48%	9	15.52%	11	18.97%
>10 years	12	20.69%	5	8.62%	7	12.06%
	Urine culture negative (n=36)		Male (n=9)		Female (n=27)	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
<5 years	28	77.78%	5	13.88%	23	63.88%
5-10 years	4	11.11%	2	5.56%	2	5.56%
>10 years	4	11.11%	2	5.56%	2	5.56%

Figure 1: Table 1 :

2

	Name of Pathogens	Number Percentage (%) (n=58)	
		Number	Percentage (%)
Gram-negative	E. Coli	36	62.07%
	Klebsiella species	9	15.52%
	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	3	5.17%
	Enterobacter species	2	3.45%
	Proteus species	1	1.72%
Gram-positive	Enterococcus faecalis species	5	8.63%
	Staphylococcus species	1	1.72%
	Acinetobacter	1	1.72%

Table

Figure 2: Table 2 :

3

	Name of antibiotics	Sensitivity n %		Intermediate sensitivity n %		n	Resistant %
1	Amikacin	51	88	1	1.72	6	10.34
2	Amoxicillin	3	5.17	0	0	42	72.4
3	Azithromycin	17	29.3	1	1.72	35	62.0
4	Aztreonam	8	13.8	2	3.44	42	72.4
5	Ciprofloxacin	54	93	2	3.44	2	3.44
6	Cotrimoxazole	9	15.52	1	1.72	47	81.03
7	Cloxacilin	7	12	0	0	2	3.44
8	Ceftriaxone	16	27.6	3	5.17	39	67.24
9	Cefixime	0	0	0	0	56	96.6
10	Cefuroxime	0	0	2	3.44	53	1.37
11	Cephalexin	26	44.83	0	0	12	20.7
12	Ceftazidime	1	1.72	0	0	10	17.24
13	Colistin	7	12	2	3.44	15	25.86
14	Erythromycin	16	27.6	1	1.72	4	6.89
15	Gentamicin	43	74	2	3.44	13	22.4
16	Imipenem	17	29.3	2	3.44	18	31.03
17	Levofloxacin	38	65.6	1	1.72	16	27.6
18	Meropenem	33	56.9	2	3.44	17	29.3
19	Netilmicin	13	22.4	0	0	23	39.66
20	Nalidixic acid	29	50	2	3.44	21	36.20
21	Nitrofurantoin	56	96.56	0	0	2	3.44
22	Penicillin	3	5.17	1	1.72	16	27.6
23	Vancomycin	5	8.62	0	0	0	0

Table

Figure 3: Table 3 :

4

Antibiotics Sensitivity	Gram-Positive bacteria			Gram-Negative bacteria		
	E coli (n=36)	Klebsiella	Pseudomonas Enterobacter spp.	Proteus	Enterococcus	Acinetobacter Staphylococcus spp

Figure 4: Table 4 :

Figure 5:

Figure 6:

cefixime, ceftazidime, colistin, gentamycin, imipenem, netilmicin, nalidixic acid, and penicillin. A similar finding was found by Nazme et al. (Nazme et al., 2017).

Regarding

Enterococcus faecalis species were found to be extremely sensitive (100%) to nitrofurantoin and enormously resistant (100%) to cefixime, cefuroxime, and ceftazidime. Staphylococcus species were extremely sensitive (100%) to amikacin, ciprofloxacin, cotrimoxazole, cephadrine, imipenem, levofloxacin, meropenem, netilmicin, nalidixic acid, nitrofurantoin, penicillin, and vancomycin; they were enormously resistant (100%) to amoxicillin, cefixime, cefuroxime, cephalixin, and ceftazidime.

cephalexin, cephadrine,

gram- bacteria,  
positive

colistin, gentamicin,

Figure 7:

Figure 8:



.1 Acknowledgments:

- 255 The authors are intensely grateful to all study respondents and their parents/caregivers for participating in this  
256 study.  
257
- 258 [Yüksel et al. ()] ‘Antibiotic resistance of urinary tract pathogens and evaluation of empirical treatment in  
259 Turkish children with urinary tract infections’. S Yüksel , B Öztürk , A Kavaz , Z B Özçakar , B Acar ,  
260 H Güriz , D Aysev , M Ekim , F Yalçınkaya . *International journal of antimicrobial agents* 2006. 28 (5) p. .
- 261 [Islam et al. ()] ‘Antibiotic resistance pattern in children with UTI: A study in a tertiary care hospital’. M A  
262 Islam , S Begum , S S Parul , A T Bhuyian , M T Islam , M K Islam . *American Journal of Pediatrics* 2019.  
263 Dhaka, Bangladesh. 5 (4) p. .
- 264 [Wagenlehner et al. ()] ‘Antiinfective treatment of bacterial urinary tract infections’. F Wagenlehner , A Pilatz ,  
265 K Naber , G Perletti , C Wagenlehner , W Weidner . *Current medicinal chemistry* 2008. 15 (14) p. .
- 266 [Randrianirina et al. ()] ‘Antimicrobial resistance among uropathogens that cause communityacquired urinary  
267 tract infections in Antananarivo, Madagascar’. F Randrianirina , J-L Soares , J-F Carod , E Ratsima , V  
268 Thonnier , P Combe , P Grosjean , A Talarmin . *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* 2007. 59 (2) p. .
- 269 [Spoorenberg et al. ()] ‘Appropriate Antibiotic Use for Patients With Urinary Tract Infections Reduces Length  
270 of Hospital Stay’. V Spoorenberg , M E J L Hulscher , R P Akkermans , J M Prins , S E Geerlings .  
271 10.1093/cid/cit688. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/cit688> *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2013. 58 (2) p.  
272 .
- 273 [Belete et al. ()] ‘Bacterial profile and antibiotic susceptibility pattern of urinary tract infection among children  
274 attending Felege Hiwot Referral Hospital’. Y Belete , D Asrat , Y Woldeamanuel , G Yihewew , A Gize .  
275 *Infection and drug resistance* 2019. Bahir Dar, Northwest Ethiopia. p. .
- 276 [Shams et al. ()] ‘Bacteriological Profile and Antibiotic Resistance Pattern in Children with Urinary Tract  
277 Infection in a Tertiary Care Hospital’. F Shams , S Afroz , R Akter , S F Tangim , D Sarkar , S Paul .  
278 *Sir Salimullah Medical College Journal* 2021. 29 (2) p. .
- 279 [Patel and Garala ()] ‘Bacteriological profile and antibiotic susceptibility pattern (antibiogram) of urinary tract  
280 infections in paediatric patients’. P Patel , R Garala . *J of Resear in Medical and Dental Sci* 2014. 2 (1) .
- 281 [Nazme et al. ()] ‘Bacteriological profile of urinary tract infection in children of a tertiary care hospital’. N I  
282 Nazme , A Al Amin , F Jalil , J Sultana , N N Fatema . *Bangladesh Journal of Child Health* 2017. 41 (2) p. .
- 283 [Shrestha et al. ()] ‘Bacteriological profile of urinary tract infection of children at GMC Teaching Hospital’. S  
284 Shrestha , A Shrestha , L Lamsal , M Joshi . *Journal of Chitwan Medical College* 2013. 3 (3) p. .
- 285 [Ibeneme et al. ()] ‘Bacteriology of urinary tract infection and antimicrobial sensitivities in under-five children  
286 in Enugu’. C Ibeneme , T Oguonu , A Ikefuna , H Okafor , U Ozumba . *Nigerian Journal of Paediatrics* 2014.  
287 41 (3) p. .
- 288 [Rai et al. ()] ‘Causative agents of urinary tract infections in children and their antibiotic sensitivity pattern: a  
289 hospital based study’. G Rai , H Upreti , S Rai , K Shah , R Shrestha . *Nepal Med Coll J* 2008. 10 (2) p. .
- 290 [Bay and Anacleto ()] ‘Clinical and laboratory profile of urinary tract infection among children at the outpatient  
291 clinic of a tertiary hospital’. A G Bay , F Anacleto . *PIDSP journal* 2010. 11 (1) p. .
- 292 [Singh and Madhup ()] ‘Clinical profile and antibiotics sensitivity in childhood urinary tract infection at  
293 Dhulikhel Hospital’. S Singh , S Madhup . *Kathmandu University Medical Journal* 2013. 11 (4) p. .
- 294 [Das et al. ()] ‘Clinical risk factors, bacterial aetiology, and outcome of urinary tract infection in children  
295 hospitalized with diarrhoea in Bangladesh’. R Das , T Ahmed , H Saha , L Shahrin , F Afroz , A Shahid ,  
296 K Shahunja , P Bardhan , M Chisti . *Epidemiology & Infection* 2017. 145 (5) p. .
- 297 [Paul et al. ()] ‘Clinico-laboratory profile and drug sensitivity pattern in urinary tract infection of children in a  
298 tertiary care hospital’. N Paul , N Nusrat , M R Islam , F Rahman , N Rahman . *Bangladesh Journal of Child  
299 Health* 2019. 43 (2) p. .
- 300 [Blondeau ()] ‘Current issues in the management of urinary tract infections: extendedrelease ciprofloxacin as a  
301 novel treatment option’. J M Blondeau . *Drugs* 2004. 64 (6) p. .
- 302 [Cheng and Wong ()] ‘Diagnosing symptomatic urinary tract infections in infants by catheter urine culture’. Y  
303 W Cheng , S N Wong . *Journal of paediatrics and child health* 2005. 41 (8) p. .
- 304 [Doern and Richardson ()] ‘Diagnosis of Urinary Tract Infections in Children’. C D Doern , S E Richardson .  
305 10.1128/jcm. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm> *J Clin Microbiol* 2016. 54 (9) p. .
- 306 [Doern and Richardson ()] ‘Diagnosis of urinary tract infections in children’. C D Doern , S E Richardson .  
307 *Journal of clinical microbiology* 2016. 54 (9) p. .
- 308 [Foxman ()] ‘Epidemiology of urinary tract infections: incidence, morbidity, and economic costs’. B Foxman .  
309 *The American journal of medicine* 2002. 113 (1) p. .

## 12 ETHICAL APPROVAL:

---

- 310 [Akoachere et al. ()] 'Etiologic profile and antimicrobial susceptibility of community-acquired urinary tract  
311 infection in two Cameroonian towns'. J-F T K Akoachere , S Yvonne , N H Akum , E N Seraphine .  
312 *BMC Research notes* 2012. 5 (1) p. .
- 313 [Sorlózano-Puerto et al. ()] *Etiological and resistance profile of bacteria involved in urinary tract infections in*  
314 *young children*, A Sorlózano-Puerto , J M Gómez-Luque , J D D Lunadel-Castillo , J M Navarro-Marí , J  
315 Gutiérrez-Fernández . 2017. 2017. (BioMed research international)
- 316 [Akram et al. ()] 'Etiology and antibiotic resistance patterns of community-acquired urinary tract infections in  
317 JNMC Hospital Aligarh'. M Akram , M Shahid , A U Khan . *India. Annals of clinical microbiology and*  
318 *antimicrobials* 2007. 6 (1) p. .
- 319 [Kanellopoulos et al. ()] 'First urinary tract infection in neonates, infants and young children: a comparative  
320 study'. T A Kanellopoulos , C Salakos , I Spiliopoulou , A Ellina , N M Nikolakopoulou , D A Papanastasiou  
321 . *Pediatric Nephrology* 2006. 21 p. .
- 322 [Urmi et al. ()] 'Gram-positive uropathogens: empirical treatment and emerging antimicrobial resistance'. U L  
323 Urmi , N Jahan , S Nahar , M Rana , F Sultana , B Hossain , S Iqbal , M Hossain , A S M Mosaddek , S  
324 Islam . *Biomed Res* 2019. 4 p. .
- 325 [Baral et al. ()] 'High prevalence of multidrug resistance in bacterial uropathogens from Kathmandu'. P Baral ,  
326 S Neupane , B P Marasini , K R Ghimire , B Lekhak , B Shrestha . *Nepal. BMC Research notes* 2012. 5 (1)  
327 p. .
- 328 [Rossi et al. ()] 'In vitro susceptibilities of aerobic and facultatively anaerobic Gram-negative bacilli isolated  
329 from patients with intra-abdominal infections worldwide: 2004 results from SMART (Study for Monitoring  
330 Antimicrobial Resistance Trends)'. F Rossi , F Baquero , P-R Hsueh , D L Paterson , G V Bochicchio , T A  
331 Snyder , V Satishchandran , K Mccarroll , M J Dinubile , J W Chow . *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*  
332 2006. 58 (1) p. .
- 333 [Saadeh and Mattoo ()] 'Managing urinary tract infections'. S A Saadeh , T K Mattoo . *Pediatric Nephrology*  
334 2011. 26 p. .
- 335 [Rekha et al. ()] 'Microbiological profile of the uropathogens isolated from pediatric patients from a tertiary care  
336 centre'. B Rekha , R Pai , B Scaria , A Shetty , H Pinto . *Karnataka Paediatr J* 2010. 24 p. .
- 337 [Sanchez et al. ()] 'Nitrofurantoin retains antimicrobial activity against multidrug-resistant urinary Escherichia  
338 coli from US outpatients'. G V Sanchez , A Baird , J Karlowsky , R Master , J Bordon . *Journal of*  
339 *Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* 2014. 69 (12) p. .
- 340 [Srivastava and Bagga ()] 'Pediatric nephrology'. R Srivastava , A Bagga . *JP Medical Ltd* 2016.
- 341 [Gautam and Pokhrel ()] 'Prevalence of urinary tract infection at Kanti Children's hospital'. K Gautam , B  
342 Pokhrel . *J Chitwan Medical College* 2012. 1 (2) p. .
- 343 [Wikiwand ()] *Rangpur division*, Wikiwand . [https://www.wikiwand.com/en/Rangpur\\_Division](https://www.wikiwand.com/en/Rangpur_Division) 2023.
- 344 [Zhujiworld ()] *Rangpur Division, Bangladesh-Statistics*, Zhujiworld . [https://zhujiworld.com/bd/](https://zhujiworld.com/bd/2855830-rangpur-division/)  
345 [2855830-rangpur-division/](https://zhujiworld.com/bd/2855830-rangpur-division/) 2023. (Retrieved 20 March)
- 346 [Al-Badr and Al-Shaikh ()] 'Recurrent urinary tract infections management in women: a review'. A Al-Badr , G  
347 Al-Shaikh . *Sultan Qaboos University Medical Journal* 2013. 13 (3) p. 359.
- 348 [Schmiemann et al. ()] 'The diagnosis of urinary tract infection: a systematic review'. G Schmiemann , E Kniehl  
349 , K Gebhardt , M M Matejczyk , E Hummers-Pradier . *Deutsches Ärzteblatt International* 2010. 107 (21) p.  
350 361.
- 351 [Islam et al. ()] 'Urinary tract infection in children in a tertiary level hospital in bangladesh'. M N Islam , M A  
352 Khaleque , M Siddika , M A Hossain . *Mymensingh Med J* 2010. 19 (4) p. .
- 353 [Laila et al. ()] 'Urinary tract infection in children: An update'. K Laila , E Roy , M H Rahman , R R Roy .  
354 *Bangladesh Journal of Child Health* 2012. 36 (2) p. .
- 355 [Clark et al. ()] 'Urinary tract infection in children: when to worry'. C J Clark , W A Kennedy , L D Shortliffe  
356 . *Urologic Clinics* 2010. 37 (2) p. .
- 357 [Harrington and Hooton ()] 'Urinary tract infection risk factors and gender. The journal of gender-specific  
358 medicine: JGSM: the official journal of the Partnership for'. R D Harrington , T M Hooton . *Women's*  
359 *Health at Columbia* 2000. 3 (8) p. .
- 360 [Urinary Tract Infection. Retrieved 03 February from (2021)] [https://www.cdc.gov/antibiotic-use/](https://www.cdc.gov/antibiotic-use/uti.html)  
361 [uti.html](https://www.cdc.gov/antibiotic-use/uti.html) *Urinary Tract Infection. Retrieved 03 February from, 2021, October 6, 2021. Centers for Disease*  
362 *Control and Prevention*
- 363 [Kaur et al. ()] 'Urinary tract infection: aetiology and antimicrobial resistance pattern in infants from a tertiary  
364 care hospital in northern India'. N Kaur , S Sharma , S Malhotra , P Madan , C Hans . DC01. *Journal of*  
365 *clinical and diagnostic research: JCDR* 2014. 8 (10) .

- 366 [Tan and Chlebicki ()] 'Urinary tract infections in adults'. C W Tan , M P Chlebicki . *Singapore medical journal*  
367 2016. 57 (9) p. 485.
- 368 [Moreno ()] 'Urinary Tract Infections in Children and Adolescents'. M A Moreno . 10.1001/jamapedi-  
369 atrics.2016.2163. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapediatrics.2016.2163> *JAMA Pediatrics* 2016.  
370 170 (9) p. .
- 371 [Villegas and Quinn ()] M V Villegas , J P Quinn . *Enterobacter species. Antimicrobial therapy and vaccines.*  
372 *Maryland: Apple Trees Productions LLC*, 2002. p. .