

Role of Cytosorb in Severe Covid 19 Patients to Combat Cytokine Storm-A Case Series of 3 Patients

Vydhika Anneboina

Received: 1 January 1970 Accepted: 1 January 1970 Published: 1 January 1970

Abstract

PURPOSE: To demonstrate the effect of Cytosorb on reducing the cytokine levels seen due to cytokine storm in severe COVID 19 patients. **MATERIAL METHODS:** COVID 19 patients with severe HRCT scoring and on NIV/ MV were included in the study. The time points considered in our study are D0, D+1, D+3 D+5, to look for the levels of inflammatory markers post therapy along with the outcome of patients on D+7 in terms of mortality or off NIV/ MV. **RESULTS:** Statistical significance tested for the reduction in the WHO score value resulted p value of 0.85. The mean baseline value of the inflammatory markers, CRP, D-DIMER IL 6 were 66.94, 780.67 144.67 respectively with range 56.5-81, 331- 1211 124-167 respectively p values 0.60, 0.95 0.18 respectively. 7- day outcome of the study was 100

Index terms— COVID-19 disease; cytosorb therapy; 9-point ordinal scale developed by WHO.

1 Introduction

World Health Organisation (WHO) on January 30 2020, declared the outbreak of corona virus disease caused by the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Corona Virus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) as international public health crisis. The first case of SARS-CoV-2 was reported in China in December 2019 which has expeditiously spread to the other countries and continents affecting highest number of population 1 . In a 3 months span of its first appearance in Wuhan, China, it was declared as Pandemic by WHO. In India about 3-10% patients are requiring intubation and 10-20% are requiring ICU admission 2,3 . The mortality rate was 49% 4 . The disease is characterised with dysregulated immune response with elevation in the levels of cytokines like IL6, IL10, CXCL 10, lymphopenia and neutrophilia along with the systemic inflammation as seen by elevations in the levels of CRP, D-dimer, LDH, Ferritin. Lungs being the primary organ effected later leading to multi organ dysfunction 5 . To combat the disease several new molecular entities are being developed. On the basis of pathophysiology of the disease, it was thought that extra corporeal therapies specially designed to filter the cytokines can provide a hope in treating the critically ill COVID 19 patients and prevent organ failure and improve survival rate 6 . The same is demonstrated and supported by Ronco et al & Tay et al in their studies and clinical experience 7,8 . One such extracorporeal therapy designed to filter the cytokines was Cytosorb. Cytosorb was incorporated into the treatment guidelines in the early of the pandemic by several national medical societies. Use of cytosorb in COVID 19 patients with AKI (Acute Kidney Injury) stage 3 and are with Continuous Renal Replacement Therapy (CRRT) was first recommended by Italian Society of Nephrology 9 . USFDA also approved the usage of Cytosorb in critically ill COVID-19 patients on April 10, 2020 10 . In 2011, Cytosorb was originally approved by the European Union for treating the systemic hyperinflammation and refractory shock.

Cytosorb is an extracorporeal cytokine adsorption cartridge with blood compatible porous polymer beads used as an adsorptive material in this blood purification technology 11,12 . Through this highly porous polymer beads, Cytosorb can continuously remove molecules upto 50kD and help in treating certain conditions like hypercytokinemia and in conditions like severe inflammatory response 13 . Each adsorber cartridge can be used for 24 hours and then need to be replaced with another. The flow rate to be maintained is between 150-700 ml/min and can be used as standalone approach in hemoperfusion technique or can be connected into ECMO or CRRT circuit 12 . This therapy aids in removal of cytokines from the blood stream through concentration

4 RESULTS

gradient and the binding of molecules to the adsorptive polymer is size dependent making it a broad-spectrum purification technique 14 .

The current study is to demonstrate the effect of Cytosorb in severe COVID 19 patients in terms improvement according to 9-point ordinary scale developed by WHO ??? The outcome is measured in terms of reduction in the levels of inflammatory markers (C-Reactive Protein/CRP, D-dimer & IL6) before & after the therapy. The day of therapy is considered as D0 and the following post administration days as D+1, D+2, D+3, and so on. The levels of inflammatory markers and the scores according to the WHO ordinary scale on the D+1, D+3 and D+5 was observed and noted. The baseline value of each inflammatory marker is calculated by taking the average of the last 3 values before D0 which is considered as the mean value before the therapy. Similarly, taking the levels on the time points of our study (D+1, D+3 & D+5) another average value is calculated which is taken as the mean value post therapy. These 2 average values are compared to establish the role of Cytosorb in reducing the levels of inflammatory markers.

The trend of reduction in the values is statistically tested using annova single factor assay. In case of WHO ordinary scale score, the score on D0 and on 3 time points were taken directly (without calculating the average score value) to establish the cytosorb role in reducing the score value. The outcome of the patient on D+7viz, out off NIV or MV or Death (7-day survival rate) & duration of ICU stay is observed.

2 f) Procedure

After applying the inclusive and exclusive criteria individual patients given with respective number, P1, P2 & P3, in the sequence of their inclusion in the study.

All the patients received SoC which included Oxygen support to maintain SpO₂ ≥ 93%, Glucocorticoid; Dexamethasone (0.2-0.4 mg/kg/day), Remdesivir (200mg stat dose followed by 100mg once daily for 4 days to a cumulative dose of 600mg given in 5 days), i.v. Antibiotics at physician discretion (when a bacterial infection is suspected), prophylactic dose of low molecular weight heparin/ Unfractionated heparin with dose adjusted according to the body weight and renal function of the individual patient, along with symptomatic treatment that includes antitussives, antihistamines, antipyretics, etc.

In addition to the SoC, patients who are on NIV/ MV are randomly selected for treating with cytosorb after explaining the risks and benefits associated with the therapy. Cytosorb therapy is given as stand-alone treatment with blood pumps in hemoperfusion mode. The flow rate of the cytosorb was set to 150-200ml/min with unfractionated Heparin of 5000 IU as prophylaxis & to a duration of 8-12 hours depending on the clinical condition of the patient viz, elevated levels of markers, hemodynamics during the cytosorb, side effects to the therapy.

The baseline values (Mean before the therapy) of the inflammatory markers along with the score according to the WHO ordinary scale are compared with that of on D+1, D+3 and D+5 to observe for any reduction in the values.

3 h) Statistical Analysis

All the numerical data in the study, levels of inflammatory markers and score according to the WHO scale, are tested for statistically significant different across the time period using ANNOVA one-way methos in Microsoft Excel software. The p-value obtained by the test is used to confirm the assumed hypothesis, "levels of inflammatory markers/ score value according to the WHO ordinary scale are reduced upon cytosorb therapy". The p-value < 0.05 indicates the significant reduction in the values across the time period accepting the assumed hypothesis and vice-versa.

Non numerical data, population out off NIV/ MV/ ICU 7 days after the procedure, are calculated as % population with the respective outcome.

III.

4 Results

Our study included 3 male patients, Patient 1 or P1, Patient 2 or P2 & Patient 3 or P3, of age 61, 36 & 49 respectively with mean age 48.6 years. All the patients presented to the ICU in the study period received Cytosorb therapy after 5-7 days of admission in the ICU.

As detailed in the methodology and procedure sections, the baseline value of the levels of inflammatory markers are calculated and analyzed accordingly. The mean baseline value of CRP before therapy, was 63.3, 56.5 & 81 respectively for P1, P2 & P3. The mean average value of CRP after the therapy of P1, P2 & P3 was 50.5, 44.9 & 67.3 respectively. Figure 2 represents the mean levels of CRP before and after the therapy in all the 3 patients. The annova single factor assay done that resulted a p value of 0.60 reporting no statistically significant difference in the levels of CRP across the time period. Figure 3 shows the baseline levels of CRP of all the patients along with the levels on D+1, D+3 and D+5. The mean values of IL 6 levels before and after the therapy were almost similar with 124, 143& 167 and 126.33, 143& 141.3 before and after the therapy in P1, P2 & P3 respectively. Figure 6 represents the mean levels of IL6 before and after the therapy in all the 3 patients. Annova single factor assay done to test the statistically significant difference between the values reported 0.70 indicating no significant

difference between the values across the time points. The 7-day outcome showed a complete mortality with 100% population (3 out of 3 patients) due to acute respiratory distress syndrome& AKI& cardiac arrest.

The table 2 provides the summary of our study at a glance.

5 Discussion

Despite the data relating to the use of Cytosorb usage in COVID is very limited, its application as a adjuvant therapy is been carried out at several educational institutions, being a new therapeutic approach in treating COVID 19 12 .

A case series of COVID 19 patients along with AKI treated with CRRT+ cytosorb published by Alharthy et al. reported reduction in the inflammatory biomarkers and 70 % population with favorable results surviving the condition and 30% died despite the therapeutic approach 16 . The first randomized, prospective pilot study conducted to study the effect of cytosorb in COVID 19 patients reported significant improvement in terms of Procalcitonin levels and vasopressor requirements. However, this study did not look for any improvement in terms of other inflammatory markers, ICU admission, Mechanical ventilation support, limitations of the study according to us 17 .

Another case series published by Mehtha et al., reported the use of Cytosorb after 72 hrs of ICU admission for 24 hrs reported a significant decrease in the levels of CRP and 100% survival rate 18 al, reported a case study of a 51 years old male COVID 19 patients who survived cytokine storm upon treating with Cytosorb and definite treatment 20 . Another case study conducted by Berlot et al, reported use of Cytosorb along with Tocilizumab reported a positive result in terms of extubation after 10 days of the therapy and radiological imaging suggestive of improvement in the lung fields 21 .

Rieder et al. studied the use of Cytosorb incorporated in tht ECMO circuit in comparison with the ECMO alone in treating severely ill COVID 19 patients. The study resulted in the higher reduction in the levels of IL6 in Cytosorb + ECMO group than in ECMO alone treated group 22 . However use of Cytosorb on the first day of ECMO initiation is not suggested and can be incorporated into the ECMO circuit after 24 hours of initiation according to the studies conducted by Alexander Supady MD 23 .

A comparative study conducted by Rampino T et al. reported the reduction of IL6, IL10, TNF ? and CRP in Cytosorb treated group in comparison to the control group. In test group, only 1 patient dies and 2 were intubated while that all of the patients in control group were intubated and died by the end of the study 24 .

VI.

6 Conclusion

A case cohort study conducted to establish the benefits of Cytosorb therapy in addition to the SoC in treating the severe COVID-19 illness in terms of reduction of inflammatory markers levels (CRP, D-DIMER, IL6), WHO score value along with the 7-day outcome of mortality or out off NIV/MV.

The results of our study didn't show any additional benefits of adding the CYTOSORB therapy to the existing SoC in improving the clinical outcome with no statistically significance in reducing the levels of inflammatory markers and even WHO score value. However, the 7-day outcome of our study reported 100% mortality, to confirm the complete ineffectiveness of the therapy in severe COVID 19 patients a study on large group population is encouraged.



Figure 1:




Figure 2:




Figure 3:




Figure 4:




Figure 5: Figure 1 :




Figure 6: Figure 2 :




Figure 7: Figure 3 :

Figure 8: Table 1

Patient state	Descriptor	Score
Uninfected	No clinical or virological evidence of infection	0
Ambulatory	No limitation of activities	1
	Limitation of activities	2
Hospitalized mild disease	Hospitalized, no oxygen therapy	3
	Oxygen by mask or nasal prongs	4
Hospitalized severe disease	Non-invasive ventilation or high flow oxygen	5
	Intubation or mechanical ventilation	6
	Ventilation + additional organ support-pressors, RRT, ECMO	7
Dead	Death	8
II.	Methods	

a) Aim

To measure the efficacy of CYTOSORB therapy in reducing the levels of inflammatory markers in COVID 19 patients.

b) Objectives

Primary Objective: To establish the beneficial role of CYTOSORB in addition to the Standard of Care in reducing the elevated levels of inflammatory markers in severe covid 19 patients along with getting the patient off the NIV/ MV/ICU.

Secondary Objective: To establish efficacy of Cytosorb therapy in terms of improvement as per WHO scale scoring & duration of ICU stay

c) Methodology

A case cohort study is conducted at Medisys Hospitals, LB nagar, Hyderabad (city), Telangana (State), India (Country) to establish the beneficial role of cytosorb in addition to the SoC in patients with severe COVID 19 illness and those who are on NIV/ MV in reducing the levels of inflammatory markers and getting the patients off NIV/ MV.

Study includes the patients admitted in ICU from 01-05-2021 to 31-05-2021 with RTPCR proven COVID 19 illness and HRCT severity ?14 and are on NIV/ MV with age ? 35 years.

2

PARAMETER		PATIENT 1 OR P1	PATIENT 2 OR P2	PATIENT 3 OR P3	STUDY GROUP
AGE (YEARS)		61	36	49	48.6
SEX		MALE	MALLE	MALE	
	D0	6	5	5	
	D+1	6	5	6	
WHO SCALE	D+3	6	5	6	
SCORE	D+5	6	5	6	
D0 (mean before the therapy)		63.33	56.5	81	
Mean after the therapy		50.5	44.9	67.3	
CRP	P value				0.6
D0 (mean before)		331	800	1211	
Mean after the therapy		243	1040	932	
D-DIMER	P value				0.95
D0 (mean before)		124	143	167	
Mean after the therapy		126.33	143	141.3	
IL 6	P value				0.7
7 DAY OUTCOME V.		DEATH	DEATH	DEATH	

Figure 10: Table 2 :

Volume XXII Issue V Version I
D D D D) F
(
Medical Research
Global Journal of
© 2022 Global Journals

Figure 11:

.1 Acknowledgement

I convey my sincere thanks to the managing director of the hospital Dr. Chandrashekar Reddy for allowing us to conduct the project.

.2 Conflict of Interest

None.

.3 Funding

The study did not receive any funding from any agencies or organisations.

.4 Informed Consent

No informed consent is obtained since the study is an observational case cohort study.

.5 Ethical Statement

Since no intervention is being done and informed consent form is obtained, our study do not require ethical statement.

[Alharthy et al. (2021)] *Continuous renal replacement therapy with the addition of CytoSorb cartridge in critically ill patients with COVID-19 plus acute kidney injury: A case-series. Artificial Organs*, A Alharthy , F Faqihi , Z A Memish , A Balhamar , N Nasim , A Shahzad , H Tamim , S A Alqahtani , P G Brindley , D Karakitsos . 2021 May. 45 p. .

[Ronco et al. ()] 'Coronavirus epidemic and extracorporeal therapies in intensive care: si vis pacem para bellum'. C Ronco , T Reis , De Rosa , S . *Blood purification* 2020. 49 (3) p. .

[Ronco et al. (2020)] *Coronavirus epidemic: preparing for extracorporeal organ support in intensive care. The Lancet Respiratory Medicine*, C Ronco , P Navalesi , J L Vincent . 2020 Mar 1. 8 p. .

[Mehta et al. (2020)] *Critical care for COVID-19 affected patients: position statement of the Indian Society of Critical Care Medicine. Indian journal of critical care medicine: peer-reviewed, official publication of Indian Society of Critical Care Medicine*, Y Mehta , D Chaudhry , O C Abraham , J Chacko , J Divatia , B Jagiasi , A Kar , G C Khilnani , B Krishna , P Kumar , R K Mani . 2020 Apr. 24 p. 222.

[Rieder et al. (2020)] 'Cytokine adsorption in patients with severe COVID-19 pneumonia requiring extracorporeal membrane oxygenation'. M Rieder , T Wengenmayer , D Staudacher , D Duerschmied , A Supady . *Critical Care* 2020 Dec. 24 (1) p. .

[Supady et al. (2021)] *Cytokine adsorption in patients with severe COVID-19 pneumonia requiring extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (CYCOV): a single centre, open-label, randomised, controlled trial. The Lancet Respiratory Medicine*, A Supady , E Weber , M Rieder , A Lothar , T Niklaus , T Zahn , F Frech , S Müller , M Kuhl , C Benk , S Maier . 2021 May 14.

[Rizvi et al. (2021)] 'Cytosorb filter: An adjunct for survival in the COVID-19 patient in cytokine storm? a case report'. S Rizvi , M Danic , M Silver , V Labond . *Heart & Lung* 2021 Jan 1. 50 (1) p. .

[Peng et al. (2008)] 'Effects of hemoabsorption on cytokine removal and shortterm survival in septic rats'. Z Y Peng , M J Carter , J A Kellum . *Critical care medicine* 2008 May. 36 (5) p. 1573.

[Ronco et al. ()] 'Extracorporeal blood purification and organ support in the critically ill patient during COVID-19 pandemic: expert review and recommendation'. C Ronco , S M Bagshaw , R Bellomo , W R Clark , F Husain-Syed , J A Kellum , Z Ricci , T Rimmelé , T Reis , M Ostermann . *Blood purification* 2021. 50 (1) p. .

[Hawchar et al. (2019)] 'Extracorporeal cytokine adsorption in septic shock: a proof of concept randomized, controlled pilot study'. F Hawchar , I Laszlo , N Öveges , D Trasy , Z Ondrik , Z Molnar . *Journal of critical care* 2019 Feb 1. 49 p. .

[Friessecke et al. (2017)] 'Extracorporeal cytokine elimination as rescue therapy in refractory septic shock: a prospective single-center study'. S Friessecke , S S Stecher , S Gross , S B Felix , A Nierhaus . *Journal of Artificial Organs* 2017 Sep. 20 (3) p. .

[Frimmel et al. (2014)] 'First description of single-pass albumin dialysis combined with cytokine adsorption in fulminant liver failure and hemophagocytic syndrome resulting from generalized herpes simplex virus 1 infection'. S Frimmel , J Schipper , J Henschel , T T Yu , S R Mitzner , S Koball . *Liver Transplantation* 2014 Dec. 20 (12) p. .

[Rampino et al. ()] 'Hemoperfusion with CytoSorb as adjuvant therapy in critically ill patients with SARS-CoV2 pneumonia'. T Rampino , M Gregorini , L Perotti , F Ferrari , E F Pattonieri , M A Grignano , M Valente , A Garrone , T Islam , C Libetta , V Sepe . *Blood Purification* 2021. 50 (4-5) p. .

- [Alberici et al. ()] *Management of Patients on Dialysis and With Kidney Transplant During Covid-19 Coronavirus Infection. Brescia Renal Covid Task Force*, F Alberici , E Delbarba , C Manenti , L Econimo , F Valerio , A Pola . 2020. (citado 2020 Ago 5)
- [Dubey et al. (2020)] ‘Psychosocial impact of COVID-19’. S Dubey , P Biswas , R Ghosh , S Chatterjee , M J Dubey , S Chatterjee , D Lahiri , C J Lavie . *Diabetes & Metabolic Syndrome* 2020 Sep 1. 14 (5) p. . (Clinical Research & Reviews)
- [Greil et al. (2017)] ‘Rescue of cytokine storm due to HLH by hemoadsorption in a CTLA4-deficient patient’. C Greil , F Roether , La Rosée , P Grimbacher , B Duerschmied , D Warnatz , K . *Journal of clinical immunology* 2017 Apr 1. 37 (3) p. 273.
- [Li et al. (2020)] *SARS-CoV-2 and viral sepsis: observations and hypotheses. The Lancet*, H Li , L Liu , D Zhang , J Xu , H Dai , N Tang , X Su , B Cao . 2020 May 9. 10235. 395 p. .
- [Berlot et al. ()] ‘The combined use of tocilizumab and hemoadsorption in a patient with SARS-COV-2-19-associated pneumonia: A Case Report’. G Berlot , A Tomasini , E R Pognuz , A Randino , F Chiella , La Fata , C Piva , M Amato , P , Di Maso , V Bianco , F Gerini , U . *Nephron* 2020. 144 (9) p. .
- [Tay et al. (2020)] ‘The trinity of COVID-19: immunity, inflammation and intervention’. M Z Tay , C M Poh , L Rénia , P A Macary , L F Ng . *Nature Reviews Immunology* 2020 Jun. 20 (6) p. .
- [Ruiz-Rodríguez et al. (2021)] ‘The use of CytoSorb therapy in critically ill COVID-19 patients: review of the rationale and current clinical experiences’. J C Ruiz-Rodríguez , Z Molnar , E N Deliargyris , R Ferrer . *Critical Care Research and Practice* 2021 Jul 17; 2021.
- [Ruiz-Rodríguez et al. (2021)] ‘The use of CytoSorb therapy in critically ill COVID-19 patients: review of the rationale and current clinical experiences’. J C Ruiz-Rodríguez , Z Molnar , E N Deliargyris , R Ferrer . *Critical Care Research and Practice* 2021 Jul 17; 2021.
- [Mehta et al. (2021)] ‘Use of CytoSorb therapy to treat critically ill coronavirus disease 2019 patients: a case series’. Y Mehta , C Mehta , S Nanda , G Kochar , J V George , M K Singh . *Journal of medical case reports* 2021 Dec. 15 (1) p. .
- [Mehta et al. (2021)] ‘Use of CytoSorb therapy to treat critically ill coronavirus disease 2019 patients: a case series’. Y Mehta , C Mehta , S Nanda , G Kochar , J V George , M K Singh . *Journal of medical case reports* 2021 Dec. 15 (1) p. .