Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.*

1	Correlation between Chronological Age, Dental Age and Skeletal
2	Maturity in a sample of Sudanese Children
3	Amal H. Abuaffan ¹
4	¹ University of Khartoum, Sudan
5	Received: 13 December 2015 Accepted: 2 January 2016 Published: 15 January 2016

7 Abstract

This study aimed to correlate chronological and dental ages with skeletal maturity. Methods: A retrospective, descriptive study of the clinical files for 112 Sudanese patients, 7-16 years old. 9 Skeletal maturity was assessed by using the cervical vertebral maturation stages. Dental age 10 was determined by using the Demirjian method. Pearson's coefficient was applied to measure 11 the association between chronological and dental ages and Spearman rank correlation 12 coefficient for the CS and dental calcification stages. Results: All correlations between cervical 13 vertebral maturity and dental maturity stages were statistically significant (P < 0.05) in both 14 genders. The mean chronologic age was $12.0533 \pm 0.976 \ 13.34 \pm 1.66$ years for female, male 15 respectively. Conclusion: The first premolars in female and second premolar in male showed 16 the highest relationship with CVM, which can apply as markers for skeletal maturity of a 17 child whose looking for treatment. 18

19

Index terms— chronological age, dental age, cervical vertebrae maturity, demirjian?s method, baccetti
 method.

²² 1 I. Introduction

23 rowth is critical feature of a children life which differentiates them from adults and it is identified as an indicator 24 of wellbeing. The growth factor is a decisive variable in orthodontic treatment. 1 It believe one of the main 25 uncertain dissimilarity in nature and plays an important role in etiology of malocclusion in addition to evaluation of diagnosis, treatment planning, retention and stability of orthodontically treated cases. 2 Every person have 26 27 special internal clock in maturity, the facial bones growth time and the periods of accelerated or intense physiologic growth must be individualized for superior exploit bone remodeling in accurate skeletal discrepancies. 3 In 28 orthodontics and dentofacial orthopedics, the initiation of treatment is becoming increasingly evident, as the 29 selection of the specific treatment procedures. 4 Skeletal age estimation is vital in planning of orthodontic 30 treatment, due to variations on timing, duration and velocity of growth. 1 Estimation of growth potential requires 31 the assessment of the developmental age of the individual patient. A number of developmental indicators can be 32 utilized to assess maturity; increase in body height, skeletal maturation of the hand and wrist, cervical vertebral 33 maturation, dental development and eruption, and menarche or voice changes. 3,[5][6][7] Chronological age is 34 35 measured from the birth day, which used to recognize the developmental stage of individuals, although, it is the 36 most easily determined parameter of all the developmental ages, it is a weak growth predictor. Physiological age 37 considers more reliable to evaluate the maturation state because each child has his or her own characteristic time 38 clock. . 8 The onset of chronological age varies with gender, generation, population and environment, and diverges greatly among individuals. 9 Dental age is an important matter in orthodontic diagnosis and treatment planning. 39 It is estimate by teeth development either by calcification of crowns and roots or eruption of the crowns on the 40 radiographs. 10 Tooth formation is widely used as a growth indicator for assessment and comparison between 41 individuals and populations in dentistry, pediatrics and anthropology, moreover forensic sciences. 11 Skeletal 42 maturation refers to the level of development of ossification in bone. Size and maturation can vary autonomously 43

of each other. Skeletal maturation is well identified and frequently used for measurement of biological maturity, 44 which resolute by radiographic assessment of one or more areas in the body. ??2 Hand-wrist radiographs have 45 been used to assess the skeletal maturity stages, it is recently been inquiry. Recently the cervical vertebra 46 maturation (CVM) method was introduced for growth assessment; allocate skeletal age evaluation and diminish 47 the need for additional radiographic exposure. ??12][13][14] Chronological age, dental and skeletal development 48 were regarded as regular maturational indexes of developmental maturation. 1,15 The divergence between dental, 49 skeletal and chronological age is of enormous attention in compared with ordinary growth. 12, chronological age 50 among different populations, to our knowledge no such study are available in Sudan, therefore the present study 51 had been designed to provide reliable age estimation for the Sudanese population and the outcome results will be 52 of great helps for diagnosis and treatment planning for orthodontic and pediatric patients as well as to forensic 53 dentistry. 54

⁵⁵ 2 II. Materials and Methods

The material consisted of the clinical files and panoramic and lateral cephalometric radiographs of 112 Sudanese children (65 males and 46 females), aged from 7 to 16 years, who attending orthodontic treatment at the clinic of Orthodontic Department, Faculty of Dentistry, University of Khartoum, between 2009 and 2015. At the begining of the study, the chronological ages of the patients were recognized from the child's birth to the day the panoramic radiograph was taken. The chronological age was recorded in years and months.

To identify the dental age and skeletal maturity stages, all OPGs and lateral cephalometric radiographs were evaluated in dark room on a view box to ensure the maximum contrast. The dental age was assessed on panoramic radiograph by using Demerjian method. 10 This method based on the developmental stages of the left mandibular permanent teeth, were rated on an 8stages scale from A to H. (figure1) The maturity score is converted directly into a dental age using the table suggested.

The skeletal maturity was being assessed on lateral cephalometric radiograph by using Baccetti method. 4 66 According to this method, the lower border of the bodies of the second (C2), third (C3), and fourth (C4) cervical 67 vertebrae traced from the lateral cephalometric radiographs were visually analyzed and rated on a 6-level scale 68 from cervical stage CS 1 to CS6. The patient is classified into one of six stages. (Figure 2) Pearson's coefficient 69 was applied to measure the association between chronological and dental ages and Spearman rank correlation 70 coefficient for the CS and dental calcification stages. Kappa test was calculated to evaluate intra-and inter-71 observer reading, one month after the initial assessment, 20 panoramic radiographs and lateral skull cephalograms 72 was be reassessed in random selection, once by the same investigator and other by second investigator. Good 73 agreement was seen between the two measurement, for dental age 1.000 and 0.89, whereas, for CVM stages, 0.864 74

⁷⁵ and 0.859 respectively (intra-and inter-examiner agreement respectively).

76 3 III. Results

The total numbers of the studied radiograph were 112 (47 males and 65 females). It was clear that the mean 77 chronologic age of female was significantly lower than that of male on first four stages. In stage CS3, the 78 mean chronologic age was 12.0533 ± 0.976 years for females and 13.34 ± 1.66 years for males. (Table 1) Table 2 79 shows the results of Spearman rank order correlation coefficients between chronological and dental ages in each 80 cervical vertebral maturation stage. These correlation coefficients were between 0.922 and 0.177 for gender. The 81 significance level for all coefficients was high except in CS4 in male and CS 5 in female was P > 0.5. Table 4 82 shows the Pearson correlation coefficient, the results revealed relationships between the chronological and dental 83 ages; an overall high statically significant in genders was noted. Spearman rank-order correlation coefficients 84 between the cervical vertebral maturation stages and developmental stages for the 7 teeth are given in Table 5. 85 All correlations were statistically significant. The level of the correlation was different for individual teeth where 86 the correlation coefficients ranged from 0.276 to 0.772 for females and from 0.453 to 0.774 for males. (Table 5) 87 There was wide variation in tooth calcification stages for all teeth in boys and girls. The percentage distribution 88 of dental development stages was calculated for the canines, first and second premolars, as well as the second 89 molars. In CS1, the most frequently observed dental development stages were G for the canine and first premolar 90 and E for the second molar (50%) in females and F for the canine and D in males (46.1%). Tooth calcification 91 stages in other teeth had a percentage distribution less than 50%. (Figure 4) In CS2 a wide distribution of tooth 92 calcification stages can be clearly seen stage F in the first and second premolar (80%) in female and stage F in the 93 second premolar (60%) in male. (Figure ??) In CS4 stage G of the second (78.9%) in female and the calcification 94 of the canine was complete in male. (Figure ??). 95

⁹⁶ 4 IV. Discussion

97 Skeletal and dental maturity assessment is a common clinical practice in many health professions especially for 98 growth modification.

⁹⁹ In the present study, no males were documented in stage 5 of cervical maturity stages and only two in stage 6; ¹⁰⁰ subsequently the mean of the chronological age in females was significantly younger than males in the first four

subsequency the mean of the chronological age in remarks was significantly younger than makes in the first rour stages, which indicated that female maturation ahead of males, which in agreement with previous studies among other population.

In current study the mean chronologic age in stage CS3 was 12.0533 + 0.976 years for females and $13.34 \pm$ 103 1.66 years for males, similar results had been recorded among both gender in Turkish and Pakistani populations 104 19,20 and South Africa males, 21 Indian females. 22 In contrast to the results obtained by Irfan et al, 23 Chen 105 et al 24 and Al-Hadlaq et al 25 in Kashmiri, Chinese and Saudi populations. 106

High significant correlations were showed between chronological, dental ages and skeletal maturity, the highest 107 correlation between chronological age and dental age was observed in CS 2 and CS 1 in male and female 108 respectively. It was confirm that the cervical vertebrae stages were progressed with increase the chronological 109 age as well as dental age. 110

Engestrom et al, 26 Sukhia et al 20 and Ingrid et al, 27 agreed with the present results, although Engestrom et 111 al in Sewden samples depend on the third molar development and Ingrid et al in Central Poland samples showed 112 the highest correlation in CS1 for both gender. 113

High statistic significant correlation (p = 0.000) was observed in the current study between chronological and 114 skeletal maturity. Which mean the chronological age can be used for measuring the skeletal maturity; although 115 not all age group were included in this study there for we cannot depend on this result unless further study prove 116 this fact. Baidas et al 28 in Saudi Arabia and Al khal et al 25 in Southern China, 29 concluded the same results. 117 In the current study, the dental maturity assessment stages of Demirjian et al were used due to calcification 118 stages of teeth as an alternative of eruption were chosen because tooth development was proposed as a more 119 reliable criterion for determining dental maturation. A high correlation coefficient was observed between 120 121 chronological and dental ages (r = 0.925, p = 0.0001 for male and r = 0.845, p = 0.0001 for female) in this study, which in accordance with previous results observed by Parabhakar et al , 30 Ingrid et al and Hedege 122 et al 31 whereas a statistically significant differences between dental and chronological age were described in 123 Belgian children due to overestimation of the chronological age with dental age, 32 and Kuwaiti children due to 124 a tendency for delayed dental maturation. 33 The ethnic background, racial and environment influences as well 125 as the methods, the results among populations were diverged.

A high significant correlation was observed between the dental developmental stages and cervical vertebral 127 maturation stages of subjects with the Spearman rank order correlation coefficients. 128

In the present study, the permanent central and lateral incisors as well as the first molars were excluded 129 from analysis owing to the medium correlations with CVM, whereas the canines, first and second premolars, and 130 second molar showed good correlation, which agreed with numerous previous studies in literature, accordingly, the 131 percentage distribution of dental development stages was calculated for the canines, first and second premolars, 132 as well as the second molars. 133

In this study tooth development stages relative to stages of skeletal maturation was considered separately for 134 male and female subjects. The sequence of each tooth according to dental development stages from the highest 135 to the lowest correlation, the mandibular first premolar had the highest correlation coefficient with CVM stage 136 among male subjects (r = 0.77, p = 0.001), followed by canine (r = 0.759, p = 0.001), second molar (r = 0.758, p 137 = 0.001) and second premolar (r = 0.752, p = 0.001). Whereas for female, the mandibular second premolar had 138 the highest correlation with CVM stage (r = 0.772, p = 0.001) followed by second molar (r = 0.756, p = 0.001), 139 first premolar (r = 0.672, p = 0.001) and canine (r = 0.586, 0.001). This finding was confirmed that the first 140 premolar and second premolar recorded with highest correlation in male and female respectively. Similarly study 141 among Chinese, the second molar and canine for female and male respectively, 24 while in Saudi male revealed 142 higher correlation values in the first premolar and the second molar with the skeletal maturation. 25 Moreover 143 Krailassiri et al obtained the same finding among female, while the highest correlation in the second premolar 144 among male. 34 In this study stage F in the mandibular first premolar was 38.4% in CS1 and 37.5% in CS2, and 145 in stage H was 62.6% in CS3, 88.9% in CS4 and 100% in CS6 in male. Whereas in female the percentages for 146 stage F in mandibular second premolar were 25% in CS1, 80.0% in CS2 and for stage G 73.4% in CS3. For stage 147 H, the percentages were 52.6% in CS4, 93.7% in CS5 and 100% in CS6. 148

In study carried out by Uysal et al, among Turkish population showed that the development of canine and 149 first premolar was completed in most cases at the pubertal growth spurt. 19 However in Chinese and Indian 150 populations, stage F in second molar was observed in female at beginning of the pubertal growth spurt. 22,24 151 This differences may be partially related to discrepancies in the, age, racial background and 152

5 V. Conclusion 153

126

? A statistically significant relation was observed between chronological and dental ages and dental developmental 154 stages with skeletal maturity stages. ? The stage H of the first premolar in male and stage G of the mandibular 155 second premolar in female suggest the beginning of the pubertal growth spurt in Sudanese subjects. This confirms 156 that the first premolar and second premolar (male and female respectively) may be used as markers for skeletal 157 maturity of a child who's seeking orthodontic treatment. 158

Volume XVI Issue I Version I 159

¹© 2016 Global Journals Inc. (US)



Figure 1: Figure 1 :



Figure 2: Figure 2 :



Figure 3: Figure 3 :

3

4

56

789

Figure 4: Figure 4 :

Figure 5: Figure 5 : Figure 6 :

Figure 6: Figure 7 : Figure 8 : Figure 9 :

Figure 7:

Cervical vertebra		Male		Female	Total
stages CS	No	Mean, SD	No	Mean, SD	
CS1	13	9.84 + 1.55	4	9.42 + 2.02	17
CS2	8	11.83 + 2.13	5	$9.7 {+} 0.9$	13
CS3	16	$13.34 {+} 0.97$	15	12.05 + 1.66	31
CS4	8	14.73 + 0.76	19	13.29 + 1.01	27
CS5	0	0	16	$15.03 {+} 0.65$	16
CS6	2	15.45 ± 0.55	6	15.35 + 1.9	8
Total		47		65	112

Figure 8: Table 1 :

•)
_

1

CS	Male		Female		
	R	Р	r	Р	
CS1	0.864	0.000	0.922	0.000	
CS2	0.915	0.001	0.759	0.010	
CS3	0.764	0.001	0.552	0.030	
CS4	0.177	0.675	0.731	0.000	
CS5	0	0	0.239	0.373	
CS6	0	0	0.912	0.050	
P value was significant at < 0.05					

Figure 9: Table 2 :

3

Gender	R	Р
Male	0.744	0.000
Female	0.878	0.000
P value was significant at $<$		

Figure 10: Table 3 :

$\mathbf{4}$

0.05

Figure 11: Table 4 :

3

Figure 12: Table 3

$\mathbf{5}$

Tooth	Male		Female	
	R	Р	r	Р
Central incisor	0.453	0.001	0.276	0.026
Lateral incisor	0.408	0.001	0.451	0.001
Canine	0.759	0.001	0.586	0.001
1 st premolar	0.774	0.001	0.672	0.001
2 nd premolar	0.752	0.001	0.772	0.001
1 st molar	0.496	0.001	0.425	0.001
2 nd molar	0.758	0.000	0.756	0.001
Total	0.704	0.001	0.769	0.001

[Note: P value was significant at U < U 0.05]

Figure 13: Table 5 :

5 V. CONCLUSION

- [Paola et al. ()] 'A Comparison of Hand-wrist Bone and Cervical Vertebral Analyses in Measuring Skeletal
 Maturation'. G Paola , M Mancini , F Andreanic . Angle Orthodontics 2006. 76 (6) p. .
- 162 [Tiziano et al. ()] An Improved Version of the Cervical Vertebral Maturation (CVM) Method for the Assessment
- of Mandibular Growth, Angle orthodontics, Baccetti Tiziano, Lorenzo Franchi, J A Mcnamara. 2002. 72 p.
 .
- [Prabhakar and Panda ()] 'Applicability of Demirjian's Method of Age Assessement in Children on Davaneger'.
 Prabhakar , Rujo Panda . J Indian soc 2002. 20 (2) p. .
- [Mitotoshinori et al. ()] Cervical Vertebral Bone Age in Girls, American Association of Orthodontists, Koshi
 Mitotoshinori , Sato , H Mitani . 2002. 122 p. .
- [Rodrigo et al. ()] 'Cervical vertebral maturation as a biologic indicator of skeletal maturityA Systematic
 Review'. S Rodrigo , ; Costaa , Lfdm , ; Vitralb , Rwf , ; Fragac , Mr , ; Bolognesed , Am , L C Maiae
 . Angle Orthodontics 2012. 82 (6) p. .
- 172 [Mittal et al. ()] 'Co-Relation between Determination Of Skeletal Maturation Using Cervical Vertebrae And
- Dental Calcification Stages'. S Mittal , Singla , Virdi , Sharma , B Mittal . The Internet Journal of Forensic
 Science 2009. 4 (2) p. .
- [Baidas ()] 'Correlation between Cervical Vertebrae Morphology and Chronological Age in Saudi Adolescents'.
 L Baidas . King Saud University Journal of Dental Sciences 2012. 3 p. .
- [Alkhal Hessa Abdulla et al. ()] 'Correlation between Chronological Age, Cervical Vertebral Maturation and
 Fishman's Skeletal Maturity Indicators in Southern Chinese'. Ricky W K Alkhal Hessa Abdulla , Wong ,
 Abm Rabie . Angle Orthodontist 2008. 78 (4) p. .
- [Gupta et al.] 'Correlation between Chronological Age, Dental Age and Skeletal Age among Monozygoyic and
 Dizygotic Twins'. M Gupta , P A Divyashree , Mna Bijle , V Mk . J Int Oral Health 2013 (1) p. .
- [Irfan et al.] 'Correlation between Dental Maturity and Cervical Vertebral Maturity amongst 7 -15 Year Old
 Kashmiri Children'. A B Irfan , A F Shah , N Shahnaz , A Yousuf , M Adhnan , M Kanji . Scholars Journal
 of Dental Sciences 2015 (2) p. .
- [Chen et al. ()] Correlation between Dental Maturity and Cervical Vertebral Maturity, Oral Surg Oral Med Oral
 Pathol Oral Radiol Endod, J Chen, Haikun Hu, Jing Guo, Zeping Liu, Renkai Liu, Fan Li, Shujuan Zou
 , D, Phd. 2010. 110 p.
- [Flores-Mira et al. ()] 'Correlation of Skeletal Maturation Stages Determined by Cervical Vertebrae and Handwrist Evaluations'. C Flores-Mira , C A Burgessb , M Champneyc , ; Jensend , Rj , ; Pitchere , Mr , P W Majorf . Angle Orthodontics 2006. 76 (1) p. .
- [Suleekorn and Anuwongnukroh ()] Dechkunakorn S: Relationships Between Dental Calcification Stages and
 Skeletal Maturity Indicators in Thai Individuals, Angle Orthodontic, Krailassiri Suleekorn, Niwat Anuwongnukroh. 2002. 72 p. .
- [Demirjian and Goldsein ()] H Demirjian , Goldsein . Tunner JM: New System of Dental Age Assessment, 1973.
 45 p. .
- [Muawia et al. ()] 'Dental age assessment for Kuwaiti children using Demirjian's method'. A Muawia , Qudeimat
 F Behbehani . Annals of Human Biology 2009. 36 (6) p. .
- [Guy et al. ()] 'Dental Age Estimation in Belgian Children: Demirjian's Technique Revisited'. Willems Guy , An
 Van Olmen , Bart Spiessens , C Carels . Journal of Forensic Sciences 2000. 46 (4) p. .
- [Hegde (2002)] Dental Maturity as an indicator of chronological age : Radiographic evaluation of Dental age in 6
- to 13 years children of Belgaum using Demirjian Methods, R J Hegde, P. December 2002. Indian Soc Pedo
 Prev Dent. 20 p. .
- [Sukhia ()] 'F: Correlation among chronologic age, skeletal maturity, and dental age'. R Sukhia , M . World
 Juornal of Orthodontics 2010. 11 (4) p. .
- [Gray and Carter ()] Gray's Anatomy-The Anatomical Basis of Clinical, H Gray, H V Carter. 2008. Elsevier.
 p. 40.
- [Altanmüge et al. ()] 'Growth of the Cervical Vertebrae in Girls from 8 to 17 years. A longitudinal study'.
 Altanmüge , Ö N Dalc? , H ??eri . European Journal of General Dentistry 2011. 34 p. .
- [Al-Hadlaq et al. ()] Interrelationship between Dental Maturity, Skeletal Maturity and Chronological Age in Saudi
 Male Children, Egyptian Dental Association, Hayder Al-Hadlaq, Hashim, M Al-Dosari, Al-Hamad, A.
 2008. 54 p.
- [Engestrom et al. ()] Lower Third Molar Development in Relation to Skeletal and Chronological Age, Angle
 orthodontics, C Engestrom , H Engestrom , S Sagne . 1983. 53 p. .
- [Hagg and Taranger ()] 'Med: Maturation Indicators and the Pubertal Growth Spurt'. U Hagg , John Taranger
 American Journal of Orthodontics 1982. 82 (4) p. .

5 V. CONCLUSION

- [Bjork and Helm ()] Pridiction of the Age of Maximum Pubral Growth in the Body Height, Bjork , S Helm . 1967.
 37 p. . (Royal Dental Colage)
- 218 [Fishman ()] Radiographic Evaluation of Skeletal Maturation, L Fishman . 1982. Angle Orthodontics. 52 p. .
- [Ingrid et al. ()] 'Relationship between dental age according to Demirjian and cervical vertebrae maturity in
 Polish children'. R-K Ingrid , A Kolasa-R?czka , P Kalinowski . European Journal of Orthodontics 2010. 33
 p. .
- [Prieto and Barbería ()] 'RO: Evaluation of Chronological Age Based on Third Molar Development in the
 Spanish population'. J Prieto , E Barbería . Int J Legal Med 2005. 119 p. .
- [Uysal and Sari ()] 'Sabri Ilhan Ramoglu, Faruk Ayhan Basciftci: Relationships Between Dental and Skeletal
 Maturity in Turkish Subjects'. T Uysal , Zafer Sari . Angle Orthodontic 2004. 74 p. .
- [Lamparski ()] 'Skeletal Age Assessment Utilizing Cervical Vertebrae'. Lamparski . American Journal of
 Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics 1975. 67 (4) p. .
- [Roman et al. ()] Skeletal Maturation Determined by Cerviacal Vertebrea European Orthodontic Society, P S
 Roman, J C Paloma, M D Oteo, NevadaE. 2002. 24 p.
- [Hassel et al. ()] 'Skeletal Maturation Evaluation Using Cervical Vertebrae'. B Hassel, A G Farman, D Abomr
 American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics 1995. 107 (1) p. .
- [Baccetti et al. ()] 'The Cervical Vertebral Maturation (CVM) Method for the Assessment of Optimal Treatment
 Timing in Dentofacial Orthopedics'. T Baccetti , L Franchi , James A Mcnamara . Semin Orthod 2005. 11 p.
 .
- [Seymour and Fatti ()] The Relationship between Tooth Mineralzation and Early Radiographic Evidence of the
 Ulnar Sismoid, Angle Orthodontic, Chertkow Seymour, P Fatti . 1979. 49 p. .
- [WR: Contemporary Orthodontics -4th ()] WR: Contemporary Orthodontics -4th, 2007. Elsevier. p. 115.