# Global Journals LATEX JournalKaleidoscope<sup>TM</sup>

Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.* 

# Type: Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal Publisher: Global Journals Inc. (USA) Wael Mohialddin Ahmed Doush<sup>1</sup> and Mohamed Almakki Ahmed Abdalla<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup> Sudan Medical Specialization Board Received: 10 December 2015 Accepted: 4 January 2016 Published: 15 January 2016

#### 7 Abstract

<sup>8</sup> Background: Recurrent hernias are still relatively common in our practice. Despite the

- <sup>9</sup> introduction of several therapeutic improvements, recurrent hernias still appear in 10-15
- 10

11 Index terms— incisional hernia; recurrent hernias; inguinal hernia; sudan.

#### <sup>12</sup> 1 I. Introduction

bdominal wall hernia is regarded as a mechanical problem with a local defect which has to be closed by technical 13 means. Despite the introduction of several therapeutic improvements, recurrent hernias still appear in 10-15%. A 14 failure mainly depends on the quality of the repair. Regarding outcome curves after hernia repair, the cumulative 15 incidences for recurrences of both incisional and inguinal hernia show a linear rise over years. Considering the 16 configuration of outcome curves of patients with hernia disease, it may therefore be insufficient to explain a 17 recurrence just by bad technical repair. Furthermore, the quality of life and chances for employment are reduced 18 in patients suffering from recurrent hernias. The present study aimed to evaluate patients were presenting with 19 incisional hernia and recurrent hernia at Khartoum Teaching Hospital. Specifically our study aimed to review the 20 current knowledge of predisposing factors to incisional hernia and recurrent hernia, as well as to review patient's 21 data including age, sex, clinical symptoms and clinical signs of incisional hernia and recurrent hernia, furthermore 22 to deal with the therapeutic options for the management of incisional hernia and recurrent hernia, and finally 23 evaluate the early postoperative complications of incisional hernia and recurrent hernia [1]. 24

#### <sup>25</sup> 2 II. Patients and Methods

This is descriptive, prospective, small scale hospital-based study carried at Khartoum Teaching Hospital; Sudan
for 15 months. All patients presenting with incisional hernia and recurrent hernia were included in this study.
Data including demographic characteristic, clinical presentation, provisional diagnosis, modalities of investigation,
previous history of surgery, risk factor of hernia recurrent, indications for surgery, types of hernia repair and

30 post-operative complications were collected by a questionnaire. The diagnosis was done by clinical examination 31 including visible swelling, visible cough impulse, presence of previous scar and reducibility.

The indication of hernia surgical repair in this study was recurrence. Open suture repair technique and open prolene mesh technique were used. All collected data were analyzed using a computer IBM SPSS program.

### 34 **3** III. Result

Fifty patients of incisional hernia and recurrent hernia were treated during study period. Patients who underwent elective surgery at surgical department are n=48 (96%). Incisional hernias were more common in female than males (3:2) represent about (60%) of cases.

The age range was between 21 to 80 years with mean age of 47 years. The majority of cases were incisional hernia n=34 (68%) gave a history of a lump or bulging=34 (68%) with dragging sensation n=17 (34%) that, elicited by physical activity such as heavy lifting or coughing, Figure 1, Table ??. The index surgeries leading to the incisional hernia were caesarian section n=19 (38%), exploratory laparotomies n=13 (26%) are highly

42 frequent in perforated duodenal ulcer n=4 (28.6%) and perforated appendix n=3 (21.4%), Table  $\ref{subarray},$  3

#### <sup>43</sup> 4 IV. Discussion

Fifty patients with incisional and recurrent hernias who underwent elective surgical repair were studied. There were 34 cases of incisional hernia complicated 672 cases of laparotomies done during the study period given rough estimate of incisional hernia about (5%). There were 16 cases of recurrent hernia and during the study 283 cases of hernia done during the study period given rough estimate of recurrent hernia about (5.6%). Incisional hernias were more common in female than males (3:2) represent about (60%) of cases. This was due to fact that casesarean sections were more than 50% of cases, and this is in agreement with Williams M. study of hernia at USA noticed inguinal hernias more common in males than females (7:1) and incisional hernias affecting mainly

 $_{51}$  females (2:1) [2].

The prevalence of incisional and recurrent hernia increases with age. Age range was between 21 to 80 years with mean age of 47 years. A similar finding was reported by Dtsch Arztebl that incisional hernia surgical repair for incisional hernia today is the sublay technique with relapse rates (2-12%), Figure 3 [6].

In this study seroma formation was most common complication seen in all patients with incisional hernia. This was more than was reported in the literature where seroma affects (20%) of cases [7]. This can be explained by the less frequent use of wound surgical drain in patients with incisional hernia.

In this study wound infection was lower in patients with incisional and recurrent hernia (2%) than findings in literature which showed infection rate up to (14%) of cases. This was due to well respond of patients to prophylactic single dose of antibiotic and wound dressing [12,13,14].

#### <sup>61</sup> 5 V. Conclusions

Incisional hernia is more common in lower segment caesarean sections and exploratory laparotomy. Recurrent inguinal hernias are more common with heavy lifting and conventional non mesh repair.

## <sup>64</sup> 6 VI. Recommendations

Mesh repair is superior to the conventional suture repair in inguinal hernia. Before any surgical operations, reduction of weight should be encouraged when appropriate. Future studies need to have larger sample size to determine the role of gender, race, age, molecular-biological study, financial cost and work abstance in the development of recurrent hernias. From Nigeria reported ages ranged from 25 to 70 years with median of 35 years. This can be explained by delayed wound healing in older individuals, with changes in fibroblast migration

and structural changes with reduced collagen formation as well as the high prevalence of concomitant diseases [3,6].

Patients with incisional and recurrent hernia commonly presents with unremarkable clinical symptoms, in the first instance. Most patients in this study with incisional hernia gave a history of a lump or bulge with dragging sensation (34%) that was elicited by physical activity such as heavy lifting (68%) or coughing (16%), and disappearing after stopping the activity. The acute cases of painful irreducible swelling associated with incarceration rare (10%). A similar finding was reported that discomfort and/ or a heavy dragging sensation

associated with the hernia bulge, Figure 2 [5,6].

The high incidence of incisional hernia following lower midline incision of caesarean sections can partly due 78 to the fact that, pregnant ladies are always subjected to prolonged or repeated stretching and weakness of 79 the abdominal muscular tendinous structure. Furthermore, the lower midline incision anatomically has thinner 80 anterior sheath with virtually no posterior sheath support of the linea alba. It has also been attributed to the 81 orientation of the incision, which is parallel to the line of forces acting on it. This is in agreement with study 82 of Agbakwuru EA. et al. from Nigeria and Waseem M. from Pakistan [3,7]. The major possible predisposing 83 factor identified in our study are physical activity such as heavy lifting (68%) most commonly in housewives 84 followed by overweight (58%). Wound sepsis account (26%) of patients. Perhaps the usual reduced immune 85 state of pregnancy and, combined with the emergency nature of the surgeries could account for this frequent 86 involvement of sepsis. This has agreement with study of Dare FO. from Nigeria, Isrealsson. LA. from UK and 87 Shaikh NAfrom Pakistan, that found the major predisposing factors included wound sepsis 79.5% and overweight 88 27.3% [8,9,10,11]. 89

The indication of hernia surgical repair in this study was recurrence (96%). Thirty percent of the patients with incisional and recurrent hernias were operated using the open suture repair technique, while 70% using open prolene mesh technique. This was due to fact that, traditional defect repair using continuous or interrupted suture technique is now getting less popular due to a high relapse rate and wound infection, this is in contradistinction to

the mesh repair which has low recurrence rates, less postoperative pain, and quicker return to regular activities.

In 2002 a study from Europe showed that, inguinal hernia surgery recurrence rates was found to be higher in the

non-mesh group (4.4%) than in the mesh group (1.4%) [4]

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ © 2016 Global Journals Inc. (US)



Figure 1:

| Physical examinations          |                         | Frequency | Percentage |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Visible swelling At: (n=50)    | Recurrent hernia region | 16        | 32.0%      |
|                                | Abdominal wall          | 34        | 68.0%      |
|                                |                         |           |            |
| Side of recurrent hernia(n=16) | Left Inguinal           | 5         | 31.2%      |
|                                | Right Inguinal          | 5         | 31.2%      |
|                                | Bilateral               | 1         | 0.6%       |
|                                | Paraumbilical           | 5         | 31.2       |
|                                |                         |           |            |
| Size of hernia<br>(n=50)       | 1-2 cm                  | 3         | 6.0%       |
|                                | >2<5 cm                 | 26        | 52.0%      |
|                                | >5 cm                   | 21        | 42.0%      |

Figure 2: Figure 1 :Table 2 :Figure 2 :

| Type of previous surgery                          | Frequency | Percentage |  |
|---|-----------|------------|--|
| Multiparity (previous multiple caesarean section) | 19        | 38.0%      |  |
| Exploratory laparotomy                            | 13        | 26.0%      |  |
| Paraumblical hernia suturing repair               | 5         | 10.0%      |  |
| Open prostectomy                                  | 3         | 6.0%       |  |
| Cholecystectomy-loproscopic port hernia           | 2         | 4.0%       |  |
| Vasicolithiotomy                                  | 1         | 2.0%       |  |
| Appendicular abscess open drainage                | 1         | 2.0%       |  |
| Open appendicectomy                               | 2         | 4.0%       |  |
| Incisional hernia anatomical repair without mesh  | 4         | 8.0%       |  |
| Total   | 50        | 100%       |  |

Figure 3: Figure 3 :

| Exploratory laparotomy indications (n=11) | Frequency | Percentage |
|---|-----------|------------|
| Perforated duodenal ulcer                 | 4         | 28.6       |
| Perforated appendix                       | 3         | 21.4       |
| Sigmoid volvulus                          | 1         | 7.1        |
| Ruptured appendicular abscess             | 1         | 7.1        |
| Perforated ileal typhoid ulcer            | 1         | 7.1        |
| Partial Gastroectomy                      | 1         | 7.1        |
| Ruptured ectopic pregnancy                | 1         | 7.1        |
| Uterine fibroid red degeneration excision | 1         | 7.1        |
| Penetrating abdominal injury (staping)    | 1         | 7.1        |

Figure 4:

| Risk factors of hernia recurrence       | Frequency | Percentage | P-value |
|---|-----------|------------|---------|
| Overweight (body mass index > 25 kg/m2) | 29        | 58.0%      | 0.258   |
| Heavy lifting                           | 34        | 68.0%      | 0.011   |
| Multiple surgeries                      | 26        | 52.0%      | 0.777   |
| Asthma                                  | 8         | 16.0%      |         |
| Constipation                            | 6         | 12.0%      |         |
| Prostatism signs (Urine retention)      | 1         | 2.0%       |         |
| Wound infection                         | 13        | 26.0%      |         |
| Smoking                                 | 2         | 4.0%       |         |
| Surgical technique done by:             |           |            |         |
| - Registrar                             | 14        | 77.8%      |         |
| - Consultant                            | 4         | 22.2%      |         |

Figure 5:



Figure 6:



Figure 7:

- <sup>97</sup> [Kark ()] '3175 primary inguinal hernia repairs: Advantages of ambulatory open mesh repair using local
   <sup>98</sup> anesthesia'. A E Kark . J Am Coll Surg 1998. 186 p. 447.
- 99 [Isrealsson et al. ()] 'A suture technique and wound healing in midline laparatomy incisions'. L A Isrealsson , T 100 Jonsson , A Knutsson . *European J Surgery* 1996. p. .
- [Shaikh and Shaikh ()] 'Comparative study of repair of incisional hernia'. N A Shaikh , N M Shaikh . Journal of
   the Pakistan Medical Association 1994. 44 p. 389.
- [Williams et al. ()] 'Hernia repair'. M Williams , S Frankel , K Nanchahal , J Coast , J Donavon . *Health Care Needs Assessment (1e)*, A Stevens, J Raftery (ed.) (Oxford) 1994. Radcliffe Press.
- [Agbakwuru et al. ()] 'Incisional Hernia in Women: Predisposing Factors and Management Where Mesh is not
   Readily Available'. E A Agbakwuru , J K Olabanji , O I Alatise , R O Okwerekwu , O A Esimai . *Libyan J Med* 2008. 4 p. .
- 110 [Memon ()] Incisional Hernia repair with polypropylene mesh, Waseem Memon . 2009. 23 p. .
- Incisional Hernia: Pathogenesis, Presentation and Treatment Dtsch Arztebl ()] 'Incisional Hernia: Pathogene sis, Presentation and Treatment'. *Dtsch Arztebl* 2006. 103 (39) p. .
- [Campanelli et al. ()] 'Inguinal hernia recurrence: Classification and approach'. G Campanelli , D Pettinari , M
   Cavalli , E C Avesani . J Min Access Surg 2006. 2 p. .
- [Chugulu and Kihunrwa ()] 'Preliminary results on Polypropylene mesh use for Abdominal Incisional hernia
   Repairs: The experience at KCMC'. S Chugulu , A Kihunrwa . *East Central Afr J Surg* 2001 -2005. 2006. 11
   p. .
- [Bhat and Somasundaram ()] 'Preperitoneal mesh repair of Incisional hernias: A seven years retrospective study'.
   M J Bhat , S K Somasundaram . Indian J Surg 2007. 69 p. .
- [Arvidsson et al. ()] 'Randomized clinical trial comparing 5 year recurrenct rate after laparoscopic versus
  Shouldice repair of primary inguinal hernia'. D Arvidsson, F H Berndsen, L G Larsson, C E Leijonmarck,
  G Rimbäck, C Rudberg, S Smedburg, L Spangen, A Montgomory. Br J Surg 2005. 92 (9) p. .
- 123 [Khaira et al. ()] 'Repair of incisional hernias'. H S Khaira , P Lall , B Hunter , J H Brown . *J R Coll SurgEdinb* 124 2001. 46 p. .
- [Isrealsson and Jonsson ()] 'Suture length to wound length ratio and healing of midline laparotomy incision'. L
   A Isrealsson , T Jonsson . Br. J Surg 1993. 80 p. .