



GLOBAL JOURNAL OF MEDICAL RESEARCH: K
INTERDISCIPLINARY
Volume 17 Issue 2 Version 1.0 Year 2017
Type: Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal
Publisher: Global Journals Inc. (USA)
Online ISSN: 2249-4618 & Print ISSN: 0975-5888

Pilot Study on Newly Developed Botanical Larvicides and Repellents against *Aedes* Mosquitoes in Myanmar

By Htin Zaw Soe, Sein Min, Maung Maung Mya, Khine Khine Lwin, Aye Win Oo & Myat Khine

University of Community Health

Abstract- Dengue Haemorrhagic Fever (DHF) is one of the major public health problems in Myanmar. There are no effective vaccine and specific drug for DHF and its containment is totally based on vector *Aedes* mosquito control. Thus the present study was conducted with the general objective of developing innovative environment-friendly vector control tools mainly focusing on the plant sources. The test plants – *Caesalpinia pulcherrima* Linn. And *Ervatamia coronaria* (Jacq) Stapf. were locally searched in Magway – central Myanmar, extracted, screened and tested against *Ae. Aegypti* larvae and adults under the laboratory conditions, and in field trials preceded by animal acute toxicity and skin irritation tests in line with standard procedures and guidelines of WHO and OECD from August through September, 2015. In-depth interviews were undertaken among local residents to evaluate the public acceptance on new control tools. Test plant leaves contained some phytochemicals with larvicidal and repellent properties.

Keywords: *botanical larvicides, repellents, aedes mosquitoes.*

GJMR-K Classification: *NLMC Code: QW 162*



P I L O T S T U D Y O N N E W L Y D E V E L O P E D B O T A N I C A L L A R V I C I D E S A N D R E P E L L E N T S A G A I N S T A E D E S M O S Q U I T O E S I N M Y A N M A R

Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of:



© 2017. Htin Zaw Soe, Sein Min, Maung Maung Mya, Khine Khine Lwin, Aye Win Oo & Myat Khine. This is a research/review paper, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 3.0 Unported License <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>), permitting all non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Pilot Study on Newly Developed Botanical Larvicides and Repellents against *Aedes* Mosquitoes in Myanmar

Htin Zaw Soe ^α, Sein Min ^σ, Maung Maung Mya ^ρ, Khine Khine Lwin ^ω, Aye Win Oo [¥] & Myat Khine [§]

Abstract- Dengue Haemorrhagic Fever (DHF) is one of the major public health problems in Myanmar. There are no effective vaccine and specific drug for DHF and its containment is totally based on vector *Aedes* mosquito control. Thus the present study was conducted with the general objective of developing innovative environment-friendly vector control tools mainly focusing on the plant sources. The test plants – *Caesalpinia pulcherrima* Linn. And *Ervatamia coronaria* (Jacq) Stapf. were locally searched in Magway – central Myanmar, extracted, screened and tested against *Ae. Aegypti* larvae and adults under the laboratory conditions, and in field trials preceded by animal acute toxicity and skin irritation tests in line with standard procedures and guidelines of WHO and OECD from August through September, 2015. In-depth interviews were undertaken among local residents to evaluate the public acceptance on new control tools. Test plant leaves contained some phytochemicals with larvicidal and repellent properties. LC₅₀ values (95% FCI) of crude ethyl acetate leaf extract larvicides of *C. pulcherrima* and *E. coronaria* against *Ae. aegypti* larvae were 3.21 (2.95 – 3.48) and 4.46 (3.16 – 6.05) mg/l respectively. Their repellent ED₅₀ values (95% FCI) against *Ae. aegypti* adults were 0.02 (0.01 – 0.03) and 0.01 (0.005 – 0.02) mg/cm² respectively. Their repellent percentage protection (mean ± SD) was 88.4±13.3 (dose, 1.6 mg/cm²) and 82.1±6.4 (dose, 0.4 mg/cm²) at 90 min post application respectively. The results of animal acute toxicity and skin irritation tests using test extract/repellents showed the safe use of new control tools by human. In field trials it was found that larval mortality was 100% in minor water containers treated with *C. pulcherrima* larvicide (dose, 7.2 - 14.4 mg/l) and *E. coronaria* larvicide (dose, 12.7 – 25.4 mg/l) separately in 24 hr. Their repellent percentage protection (mean ± SD) was 98.3±1.4 (dose, 1.6 mg/cm²) and 97.8±2.3 (dose, 0.4 mg/cm²) in 90 min respectively. The local residents were interested in, accepted and demanded the new control tools. In conclusion the present study highlighted that new larvicides and repellents were found to be very promising to be safely and effectively used to control *Aedes* mosquitoes.

Keywords: botanical larvicides, repellents, aedes mosquitoes.

Author α: University of Community Health, Magway.
e-mail: drhzsoe@gmail.com

Author σ ρ: Medical Entomology Research Division, Department of Medical Research, Yangon.

Author ω: Pharmacology Research Division, Department of Medical Research, Yangon.

Author ¥: Laboratory Animal Service Division, Department of Medical Research, Yangon.

Author §: Traditional Medicine Research Division, Defence Services Medical Research Centre, Nay Pyi Taw.

I. INTRODUCTION

Dengue and dengue haemorrhagic fever (DHF) is one of *Aedes* mosquito-borne diseases. Globally about 2.5 billion people live in more than 100 dengue endemic countries and there are approximately 50 million dengue infections annually. About 500,000 DHF cases required hospitalization each year and case fatality rate is 2.5%¹. Each year hundreds of thousands of severe cases occur including 20,000 deaths, with 264 disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) per million population lost². Reported cases and deaths in the South-east Asia Region are 232,530 and 2,031 respectively in 2009¹. In Myanmar average annual reported cases and deaths of DHF were 14,739 and 111 respectively in the last decade (2005- 2014). Case fatality rate was under 1%. Up till now there is no reliable effective vaccine and specific treatment for DHF. Thus prevention and control measures are vitally important which are mainly based on vector control methods. The routine vector control methods currently used have several limitations, for example, labour-intensive. Therefore methods which are locally available, feasible, cheap, ecofriendly and acceptable to the public are urgently needed and to be innovated. In Myanmar botanical larvicides and repellents are rarely studied. The present study was conducted with the general objective of developing innovative environment-friendly vector control tools mainly focusing on the plant sources.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

a) Test plants

Plant species *Caesalpinia pulcherrima* Linn. and *Ervatamia coronaria* (Jacq) Stapf. are found to have larvicidal and repellent activities against *Aedes* mosquitoes³. They are growing in and outside the compound of University of Community Health, Magway, Myanmar and authenticated at Department of Botany, Magway University for botanical names.

b) Extraction and screening of test plants

Thoroughly washed test plant leaves were separately shade dried at room temperature 32 ± 4°C. Each dried leaf powder sample (100 g) was separately mixed with the sufficient amount of solvent ethyl acetate

in Soxhlet apparatus and evaporated by a rotary evaporator at 70 - 80°C in Pharmacology Research Division, Department of Medical Research (DMR), Yangon. Finally left crude extract residue was taken, placed in a porcelain dish and stored in the desiccator. Their dried leaves were screened by phytochemical methods⁴ to investigate presence of bioactive compounds.

c) Test mosquitoes

Aedes aegypti Lin. were reared in the insectary of Medical Entomology Research Division (MERD), DMR and kept at 26 ± 2 °C and relative humidity (RH) 70 - 80 % with a photoperiod of 10 hr light and 14 hr dark.

d) Larvicidal bioassays

Larvicidal activities of plant extracts were tested against *Ae. aegypti* larvae using the methods recommended by WHO⁵ in MERD, DMR. *C. pulcherrima* crude extract material (0.2 g) was taken and mixed with 20 ml of acetone to get stock solution (1%). Appropriate volumes of stock solution were dropped into each of five glass beakers (250 ml) containing 200 ml of tap water to make serial concentrations of 1.563, 3.125, 6.25, 12.5 and 25 mg/l. Only acetone (1 ml) was put into sixth beaker containing tap water 200 ml as control. Each batch of 25 third and fourth instar larvae was gently introduced into all beakers. Dead and moribund larvae were counted as dead at 24 hr (25 - 26 °C/RH 72 - 74%). Six replicates of similar procedure were made and LC₅₀ and LC₉₀ values with 95% fiducial confidence intervals (FCI) were calculated using probit analysis. The same procedure was also carried out with *E. coronaria* crude extract.

e) Repellent bioassays

i. For finding ED₅₀ and ED₉₀

To find out effective dose (ED) of *C. pulcherrima* crude extract against *Ae. aegypti* female adults, its stock solution (1%) was used with WHO guidelines⁶. Firstly four volunteers including one female from DMR were thoroughly explained about procedure of bioassays and their informed consent was obtained. They were instructed not to use cosmetics/perfumes/scented soap and not to smoke one day before the bioassays. Those with history of allergy and serious reactions by mosquito bite were excluded. Before the test volunteers' forearm areas from wrist to elbow were measured. Average area of four volunteers was 501.1 ± 33.5 cm². Next their forearms were thoroughly washed and cleaned with tap water. Secondly the left forearm as control of one volunteer was evenly applied with 1 ml of diluent acetone using a glass rod (30 cm). His hand was protected with a soft plastic glove not to bite the mosquitoes. The diluent was air dried for one min and the forearm was then introduced into a stainless steel cage (30 cm × 30 cm × 30 cm) containing fifty 3- 4 day-old, one day-starved, nulliparous female *Aedes*

mosquitoes. The numbers of mosquito landing/ probing on the exposed skin were counted during 30 sec. Thirdly the control forearm was withdrawn and evenly applied with 1 ml of 1% stock solution (extract 0.01 g/ml) as treated forearm and air dried for one min. Afterwards treated forearm was introduced into the same cage and mosquitoes landing/probing were counted during 30 sec. Then additional 1 ml of 1% stock solution was applied on that treated forearm and tested by same procedure till the treated forearm was applied five serial double the concentration doses cumulatively (ie. 0.01, 0.02, 0.04, 0.08 and 0.16 g/ml). Fourthly volunteer's right forearm applied with 1 ml acetone was inserted into the cage again as control. Finally percentage protection (p) was calculated using the formula $p = (C - T) / C \times 100$ where C is number of mosquitoes landing/probing on control forearm and T is on treated forearm (26 - 28°C/RH 70 - 79%). The same procedure was performed two replicates per volunteer by four volunteers. ED₅₀ and ED₉₀ with 95% FCI were calculated using probit analysis. The same procedure was also conducted for *E. coronaria*.

ii. For finding percentage protection

Percentage protection of *C. pulcherrima* crude extract against female *Aedes* mosquitoes was investigated in line with WHO guidelines⁶. Time of the test was between 0800 hr and 1600 hr. Firstly the left forearm as control of one volunteer was evenly applied with 1 ml of diluent acetone. The diluent was air dried for one min and the forearm was then introduced into a stainless steel cage (30 cm × 30 cm × 30 cm) containing fifty 3- 4 day-old, one day-starved, nulliparous female *Aedes* mosquitoes. The numbers of mosquito landing/ probing on the exposed skin were counted during 3 min. Secondly his right forearm was evenly applied with 1 ml of 40% stock solution to get extract 0.4 g/ml (ie. approximately double the dose - 0.2 g/ml of its ED₉₀) and air dried for one min. Afterwards treated forearm was introduced into the same cage and mosquitoes landing/probing were counted during 3 min period. Next the forearm was withdrawn and introduced again into the same cage after 30 min interval. Similar procedure was performed for the period of 150 min. Control forearm was inserted into the same cage every time just before the treated forearm was inserted (25 - 28°C/RH 70 - 78%). The same procedure was performed two replicates per volunteer by four volunteers. The percentage protection (p) was calculated using the same formula $p = (C - T) / C \times 100$. Similar procedure was performed for the extract 0.8 g/ml (ie. double the first dose). The same procedures were undertaken for the *E. coronaria* crude extract at 0.1 g/ml (ie. approximately double the dose - 0.05 g/ml of its ED₉₀) and 0.2 g/ml (ie. double the first dose).

f) *Animal acute toxicity tests and skin irritation tests*

The tests were conducted at Laboratory Animal Service Division, DMR according to guidelines of Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) 420⁷. For animal acute toxicity tests, 10 week old female mice *Mus musculus* (irc strain) were used (25 – 26°C/RH 72 – 74% with photoperiodicity 12 hr light and 12 hr dark). Each of six healthy test mice was fed with *C. pulcherrima* crude extract at 2000 mg/kg with the polyoxyethylene (20) sorbitanmonolaurate ('Tween' 20) as a vehicle. Each of six control mice was provided with 1 ml 'Tween 20'. Then all mice were watched for 14 days for signs of mortality and toxicity. Similar procedure was conducted for *E. coronaria*. For skin irritation tests, lab-reared 4 month old female guinea pigs *Caviaporcellus* were used. Hairs on the area (4 cm × 4 cm) of the backside of each of three healthy guinea pigs were removed by shaving. The resultant bare areas were applied with *C. pulcherrima* crude extract prepared with acetone at 1.6 mg/cm² (ie. approximately four times its ED₉₀). One control guinea pig was applied with 1 ml of acetone. Next they were monitored whether they developed skin reactions in 72 hr (25 – 26°C/RH 72 – 74%). Similar procedure was performed with *E. coronaria* at 0.4 mg/cm² (ie. approximately four times its ED₉₀).

g) *Field trials*

Study area and period: Trials were conducted in Ward *Aungmingala* purposively selected in Magway Township August -September, 2015 because of its highest proportion (35.7%) of DHF cases (10 cases) in 2015. House Index, Container Index and Breteau Index of the ward in July 2015 were 18%, 23% and 82 respectively.

Larval survey and introduction of test larvicides: Larval survey was conducted at 50 randomly selected houses. Next out of 48 randomly chosen *Ae. aegypti* larva-positive minor water containers (flower vases and spiritual bowls) in and around the surveyed premises, 24 containers were marked and treated with *C. pulcherrima* larvicide at 7.2 – 14.4 mg/l (ie. its LC₉₀ to twofold dose) and remaining 24 containers with *E. coronaria* larvicide at 12.7 – 25.4 mg/l (ie. its LC₉₀ to twofold dose). All treated containers were checked for larval mortality at 24 hr.

Percentage protection of test repellents: Field trials were conducted for two days using the methods by Choochote W et al for percentage protection⁸ with the help of four well-trained male volunteers from Medical Entomology Section of Health and Disease Control Unit, Nay Pyi Taw during 0800 – 1600 hr. Each volunteer had to sit indoors and catch/count *Aedes* mosquitoes in nine assigned houses at least 10 metres apart from each other. Using mosquito coils, burning thrash and smoking in and around the premises by householders were not allowed. Firstly volunteer's legs were thoroughly

washed and cleaned with tap water and right leg was treated with *C. pulcherrima* crude extract (dose: 1.6 mg/cm²). Control left leg was treated with acetone 1 ml. Areas of both legs above knees and below ankles were covered with short trousers and socks respectively to prevent the mosquito bites. The volunteer had to sit indoors and count/catch mosquitoes landing/probing on exposed areas of both legs within 10 min with mouth aspirators. The mosquitoes caught were kept in a paper cup for species identification and calculation of landing/biting rate. After 10 min at first house volunteer moved to his second assigned house and took the similar functions for 10 min. This procedure was completed in 2 hr. Volunteers performed their second replicate in different houses. Then percentage protection within 90 min exposure was calculated using $p = (C - T) / C \times 100$. Next day the same procedure for two replicates was carried out for *E. coronaria* (dose: 0.4 mg/cm²) in different houses (28 – 34 °C/RH 48 - 72%).

h) *Indepth interviews*

Ten local residents were recruited at Day 7 of field trials and Principal Investigator (PI) disseminated field trial results. Next indepth interviews were performed by PI himself. Their opinions on results of new larvicides and repellents in their ward were mainly elicited and their actual wordings were recorded, transcribed and translated into English.

i) *Statistical analysis*

LC₅₀, LC₉₀, ED₅₀ and ED₉₀ were calculated by probit analysis using SPSS version 16.0. Chi-squared test was used to find out homogeneity of test mosquitoes and paired t test to find out significant difference between landing/biting rates at significance level 0.05.

j) *Ethical considerations*

Research proposal was submitted to Ethical Review Committee of University of Community Health, Magway and ethical clearance was obtained. Informed consent from study volunteers in laboratory and field trials was also received.

III. RESULTS

a) *Laboratory results*

Preliminary phytochemical tests on dried leaf powder of *C. pulcherrima* showed that it contained carbohydrates, α-amino acids, phenolic compounds, tannins, saponins, steroids, alkaloids, glycosides and reducing sugar. Those of *E. coronaria* also had similar compounds except saponins (Table 1).

Table 1: Results of preliminary phytochemical tests on dried leaf powder of *C. pulcherrima* and *E. coronaria*

Test for	Extract	Test reagent	Observation	Results*	
				<i>C.pulcherrima</i>	<i>E.coronaria</i>
Carbohydrates	H ₂ O	10% α-naphthol concentrated H ₂ SO ₄ +	Pink ring	+	+
α-Amino acids	H ₂ O	Ninhydrin reagent	Red	+	+
Phenolic compounds	H ₂ O	Ferric chloride solution	Deep brown	+	+
Flavonoids	Methanol	HCl/Mg	No colour	-	-
Tannins	H ₂ O	Ferric chloride solution	Blue black	+	+
Saponins	H ₂ O	Distilled H ₂ O	Frothing	+	-
Steroids	Petroleum ether	Acetic anhydride concentrated H ₂ SO ₄ +	Deep green	+	+
Alkaloids	10% acetic acid and EtOH	(i) Mayer's reagent (ii) Dragendorffs reagent	White precipitate Orange precipitate	+	+
Glycosides	H ₂ O	10% Lead acetate	White precipitate	+	+
Reducing sugar	Diluted H ₂ SO ₄ + 5N NaOH	Benedict's solution	Brick red precipitate	+	+
Cyanogenic glycoside	H ₂ O	H ₂ SO ₄ + Sodium picrate solution	No colour	-	-

* + = present, - = absent

Table (2) shows larvicidal activity of crude ethyl acetate extracts of *C. pulcherrima* and *E. coronaria* against *Ae. aegypti* under laboratory conditions. Test mosquitoes were not in heterogeneity in the former ($p = 0.577$) and in heterogeneity in the latter ($p = 0.009$).

Table 2: Larvicidal activity of crude ethyl acetate extract of *C. pulcherrima* and *E. coronaria* against *Ae. Aegypti*.

Concentration (mg/l)	<i>C. pulcherrima</i>		<i>E. coronaria</i>	
	Mean mortality ± SD (%)	LC ₅₀ and LC ₉₀ (95% FCI) (mg/l)*	Mean mortality ± SD (%)	LC ₅₀ and LC ₉₀ (95% FCI) (mg/l)**
1.563	12.7 ± 5.9	3.21 (2.95-3.48)	6.0 ± 3.3	4.46 (3.16-6.05)
3.125	50.0 ± 10.4	7.2 (6.42-8.29)	34.7 ± 7.9	12.71 (8.81-25.03)
6.25	82.7 ± 7.4		74.0 ± 20.2	
12.5	99.3 ± 1.6		88.7 ± 10.3	
25.0	100.0 ± 0.0		96.0 ± 3.8	
Control	1.3 ± 2.1		1.3 ± 2.1	

* $p = 0.577$, ** $p = 0.009$

Table (3) shows repellent activity of crude ethyl acetate extracts of both test repellents against *Ae. aegypti* female adults under laboratory conditions.

Table 3: Repellent activity of crude ethyl acetate extracts of *C. pulcherrima* and *E. coronaria* against *Ae. aegypti*

Test repellent	ED ₅₀ (95% FCI)*	ED ₉₀ (95% FCI)*
<i>C. pulcherrima</i>	0.02 (0.01 – 0.03)	0.48 (0.28 – 1.35)
<i>E. coronaria</i>	0.01 (0.005 – 0.02)	0.12 (0.08 – 0.16)

*mg extract / cm² skin

Table (4) expresses percentage protection of crude ethyl acetate extracts of both test repellents against *Ae. aegypti* under laboratory conditions.

Table 4: Percentage protection of crude ethyl acetate extracts of *C. pulcherrima* and *E. Coronaria* against *Ae. aegypti*

Test repellent	Concentration (mg/cm ²)	% protection (mean ± SD)					
		Time post application of repellent (min)					
		0	30	60	90	120	150
<i>C. pulcherrima</i>	0.8	78.1±13.3	67.8±20.3	54.7±21.0	43.7±26.0	35.2±24.3	32.2±17.7
	1.6	94.4±6.9	91.3±9.7	88.7±14.8	88.4±13.3	84.6±18.9	84.3±21.4
<i>E. coronaria</i>	0.2	88.9±4.8	63.1±13.8	58.4±17.0	51.4±25.3	50.1±26.9	50.0±24.5
	0.4	93.3±3.3	87.7±4.9	83.4±8.8	82.1±6.4	82.1±9.6	76.7±10.8

All mice tested with both plant extracts were still alive and active at Day 14 without any toxic signs. Similarly in skin irritation tests there were no signs of irritation, erythema, eschar and oedema formations in all tested guinea pigs at 72 hr.

b) Field trial results

Test larvicides of ethyl acetate extract of *C. pulcherrima* and *E. coronaria* were introduced into larva infested minor water containers in surveyed houses separately and all larvae in treated containers were found

to be dead at 24 hr. Total number of mosquito species caught during two days was 154 [*Ae. aegypti* (89.6%), *Ae. albopictus* (8.4%), *Culex quinquefasciatus* (1.6 %) and *Anopheles vagus* (0.7 %)]. Mosquito landing/biting rates were much lower in repellent treated skin than control and it was statistically significant (p ≤ 0.05). Percentage protection was 98.3% by *C. pulcherrima* repellent and 97.8% by *E. coronaria* repellent during 90 min (Table 5).

Table 5: Repellent activity of crude ethyl acetate extracts of *C. pulcherrima* and *E. coronaria* against *Aedes* species in field trials

Test repellent/control	Concentration (mg/cm ²)	Mosquito landing/biting rate per man-hr (mean ± SD)	% protection (mean ± SD)
<i>C. pulcherrima</i>	1.6	0.75 ± 0.69*	98.3 ± 1.4
Control		33.42 ± 21.19	
<i>E. coronaria</i>	0.4	0.83 ± 0.79**	97.8 ± 2.3
Control		34.59 ± 18.78	

Different from control: * marginally significant (p = 0.05), ** significant (p < 0.05)

c) In-depth interviews

Ten local residents (two ten-household leaders, three housewives, two dependents, one businessman, one labourer and one basic health staff midwife) were in-depth interviewed at ward religious centre.

One of two ten-household leaders stated his opinion like:

'DHF is caused by mosquito bite. This year about 10 – 15 children in our ward were affected by DHF. We need more drugs to prevent mosquito bite. The currently tested larvicides and repellents are known to be effective in mosquito control. We want to use them.'

(60 year old male ten-household leader)

One of three housewives expressed as follow:

'DHF is a mosquito-borne disease. We use mosquito coils and bednets to avoid mosquito bite. When we know the good effect of currently tested larvicides and repellents, we want to use them at our homes.' (35 year old housewife).

IV. DISCUSSION

The present pilot study is the first and foremost study of its kind ever in Myanmar. *C. pulcherrima* Linn. and *E. coronaria* (Jacq) Stapf. - were searched locally, collected and investigated for their larvicidal and repellent activities under laboratory and field conditions followed by evaluation of public acceptance on the use of these botanical control tools in a selected community.

C. pulcherrima (Family Fabaceae) known as *Seinbangale* is cultivated as ornamental trees in and around human dwellings and has several medicinal properties, for instance, anti-inflammatory. It has more than fifty chemical compounds like β-pinene and γ-terpinene. In the present study nine secondary metabolites were detected as a qualitative determination in its leaves including saponins which are anti-feedant and toxic to cold blooded organisms and insects⁹. Another test plant *E. coronaria* (Family Apocynaceae) called *Zalat* is grown as an ornamental and fragrant flower in gardens. It contains 66 alkaloids and medicinal

properties such as antioxidant and anti-infection in animal model¹⁰. In the present study its leaves also contained same secondary metabolites as in *C. pulcherrima* except saponin as a qualitative determination. These metabolites have larvicidal properties damaging the tissues of mid gut and cuticle of mosquito larvae. Plantphenolics, terpenoids, alkaloids and saponins are larvicidal against *Aedes* mosquitoes and also have pesticidal actions. They also has repellent action against mosquito by acting locally or at distance from the human body by molecules that alter the functioning of mosquito's sensory motor systems and block its sense of smell from the host or have neurotoxic effects¹¹.

In larvicidal bioassays LC₅₀ of *C. pulcherrima* extract (3.21 mg/l) against *Aedes* larvae was lower than that of *E. coronaria* (4.46 mg/l). It may be due to presence of saponins in the former. When compared to other studies, LC₅₀ values (mg/l) were 97.53 for ethyl acetate extract *E. coronaria* and 144.67 for ethyl acetate extract *C. pulcherrima*¹². Therefore LC₅₀ values of two test extracts of the present study were lower than those repellents. In repellent bioassays, ED₅₀ of *C. pulcherrima* extract (0.02 mg/cm²) against female *Aedes* adults was higher than that of *E. coronaria* (0.01 mg/cm²). Therefore the latter is more effective than the former. Regarding percentage protection, *C. pulcherrima* repellent and *E. coronaria* had 88.4% at 1.6 mg/cm² and 82.1% at 0.4 mg/cm² respectively at 90 min post application. In this case the latter is also more effective than the former in terms of the dose at 90 min. When compared to 25% DEET (*N,N*-diethyl-3-methylbenzamide) its complete protection time at 0.83 mg/cm² (25mg/30cm²) was for 6.25 hr¹³. In animal acute toxicity tests and skin irritation tests due to the lack of toxic symptoms till 14 day observations and no skin adverse effects till post application 72 hr the test plant extracts are considered safe for human use.

In field trials larvicidal efficacy of both test larvicides are satisfactory as the result of 100% mortality at 24 hour of *Aedes* larvae in the treated minor water containers. Similarly the larvicide can be used to treat the ant-traps as well as the miscellaneous containers like unused tires in the areas where solid waste disposal is not easily available. Like wise both test repellents were also found to be effective with percentage protection of approximately 98% against *Aedes* mosquitoes in 90 min. In the study by M Govindarajan et al⁶ *C. pulcherrima* (dose, 5mg/cm²) and *E. coronaria* (dose, 5mg/cm²) gave 100% protection at 90 min and at 120 min respectively under the laboratory conditions. If higher percentage protection is desired, the treated dose should be double or treble. Botanical repellents are better than mosquito coils because these coils can cause indoor air pollution and subsequent development of respiratory tract disorders especially in children and sensitive individuals due to their ingredients of synthetic

chemicals and coconut husk or saw dust. Regarding public acceptance, almost all householders representing the study area were found to be interested in and accepted and demanded these new control tools.

In conclusion, the present study highlighted that new larvicides and repellents were found to be very promising to be safely and effectively used to control *Aedes* mosquitoes – vector of deadly DHF.

V. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We acknowledge Dr Kyaw Zin Thant, Director General of DMR for his kind providing laboratory and insectary facilities and Prof May Than Su, Head of Department of Botany, University of Magway for her authentication of test plants. We are also thankful to all volunteers who enthusiastically took part in repellent tests under laboratory and field conditions. Finally we are indebted to local and health authorities and all householders of Ward *Aungmingala*, Magaway for their active participation in field trials. The present study was conducted with financial aids of WHO/TDR.

REFERENCES RÉFÉRENCES REFERENCIAS

1. World Health Organization. *Comprehensive guideline for prevention and control of dengue and dengue haemorrhagic fever*. Revised and expanded edition. WHO Regional Office for Southeast Asia 2011; pp 196.
2. World Health Organization. *Global strategy for dengue prevention and control, 2012-2020*. WHO 2012; pp 35.
3. Sukumar R, Michael J, Perich and Lewis R Boobar. Botanical derivatives in mosquito control: A review. *Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association* 1991; 7(2): 210-237.
4. Herborne JB. *Phytochemical methods: A guide to modern techniques of plant analysis*. 2nded, Chapman and Hall, London 1984. 4- 6.
5. World Health Organization. *Guidelines for laboratory and field testing of mosquito larvicides*. Communicable disease control, prevention and eradication, WHO pesticide evaluation scheme. WHO, Geneva, WHO/CDS/WHOPES/GCDPP/1.3. 2005.
6. World Health Organization. *Guidelines for efficacy testing of mosquito repellents for human skins*. Control of neglected tropical diseases, WHO pesticide evaluation scheme. WHO, Geneva, WHO/HTM/NTD/WHOPES/4. 2009.
7. OECD/OCDE. *OECD guideline for testing of chemicals*. 420. 2001; pp 14.
8. Choochote W, Kanjanapothi D, Panthong A, Taesotikul T, Jitpakdi A, Chaitong U, Pitasawat B. Larvicidal, adulticidal and repellent effects of *Kaempferia galanga*. *The Southeast Asian Journal*

- of Tropical Medicine and Public Health* 1999; 30(3): 470-476.
9. Wikipedia. Saponin. Available at: <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Saponin> [Accessed October 2015]
 10. Mary PP, Kumar PS and Stalin S. Protective effect of *Ervatamia coronaria* in CCl₄ induced hepatic damage in mice. *International Journal of Pharm Tech Research* 2012 Jul-Sept; 4(3): 1122-1128.
 11. Kalita B, Bora S and Sharma AK. Plant essential oils as mosquito repellents - A review. *International Journal of Research and Development in Pharmacy and Life Sciences* 2013; 3 (1): 741-747.
 12. Govindarajan M, Mathivanan T, Elumalai K, Krishnappa K and Anandan A. Mosquito larvicidal, ovicidal, and repellent properties of botanical extracts against *Anopheles stephensi*, *Aedesaegypti*, and *Culexquinquefasciatus* (Diptera: Culicidae). *Parasitol Res* 2011; 109: 353-367.
 13. Sanghong R, Junkum A, Choochote W, Chaithong U, Jitpakdi A, Riyong D and Pitasawat B. Repellency screening of herbal products against the dengue fever vector, *Aedesaegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae). *Chiang Mai Med J* 2014; 53(2): 53-61.

