



GLOBAL JOURNAL OF MEDICAL RESEARCH: G
VETERINARY SCIENCE AND VETERINARY MEDICINE
Volume 17 Issue 1 Version 1.0 Year 2017
Type: Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal
Publisher: Global Journals Inc. (USA)
Online ISSN: 2249-4618 & Print ISSN: 0975-5888

Hard Tick Distribution of Camels in and Around Galkaio District, Somalia

By Farah Isse, Ahmed Saed & Mahdi Ali

Red Sea University

Abstract- A cross sectional study aimed to identify available tick species, determine the distribution and assess the risk factors for infestation was conducted from March to May 2017 in Galkaio District, central Somalia. Adult ticks were collected from 384 randomly selected camels and identified to species level. Stereomicroscopic investigation were employed. A total of 576 adult tick species were collected from different body parts. The study revealed that there was high tick infestation in the study area with an overall prevalence of 371 (97%). Two tick species from one genera were identified *Hyalommadromedarii* and *Hyalommatrancatum*. Among the species identified in the study area *Hyalommadromedarii* was the most abundant (56.8%) followed by *Hyalommatrancatum* (43.2%). In the present study, the prevalence of all tick species was higher in female animals than male animals but statistically insignificant ($p > 0.05$).

Keywords: camel; hard tick; distribution; prevalence, galkaio.

GJMR-G Classification: NLMC Code: WC 900



Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of:



Hard Tick Distribution of Camels in and Around Galkaio District, Somalia

Farah Isse ^α, Ahmed Saed ^σ & Mahdi Ali ^ρ

Abstract- A cross sectional study aimed to identify available tick species, determine the distribution and assess the risk factors for infestation was conducted from March to May 2017 in Galkaio District, central Somalia. Adult ticks were collected from 384 randomly selected camels and identified to species level. Stereomicroscopic investigation were employed. A total of 576 adult tick species were collected from different body parts. The study revealed that there was high tick infestation in the study area with an overall prevalence of 371 (97%). Two tick species from one genera were identified *Hyalommadromedarii* and *Hyalommatrancatum*. Among the species identified in the study area *Hyalommadromedarii* was the most abundant (56.8%) followed by *Hyalommatrancatum* (43.2%). In the present study, the prevalence of all tick species was higher in female animals than male animals but statistically insignificant ($p>0.05$). There was no statistically significant variation ($P>0.05$) in prevalence of *Hyalommadromedarii* and *Hyalommatrancatum* between age categories, but body condition and animal origin showed statistically significant variation ($P<0.05$) and good body conditioned animals were highly infested by ticks compared to poor and medium conditioned animals. Majority of *Hyalommadromedarii* and *Hyalommatrancatum* were attached to premium region. There was statistically significant difference between all tick species and ticks 'predilection site ($p < 0.05$). This study showed high rate of hard tick distribution in the study area and appropriate strategic management and further study is recommended to improve the health and performance of Camel.

Keywords: camel; hard tick; distribution; prevalence, galkaio.

1. INTRODUCTION

Camels are the most capable animal species in utilizing marginal areas and in survival and production under harsh environmental conditions that are inhospitable to other domestic animals (Schwartz and Dioli, 1992). Many pastoral groups and communities in diverse ecological zones throughout the world are depending on camels for their livelihood. This dependence consists of utilization of camel meat, milk, leather and wool, exportation of live camels, uses as an important sport, tourism resource and use as animals for packing, transport and riding (Snow et al., 1992). Most importantly, in mixed species the camel feed on plants and parts of plants that are not eaten by other conventional livestock due to its size to browse the

highest strata. Thus, reducing competition and enhancing complementariness (Wilson, 1998).

It is an important working animal of the arid and semi-arid ecosystem because of its unique adaptive physiological and anatomical characteristics (Rabana et al., 2011). However, camel production is conversely affected by the occurrence of various diseases, inadequate veterinary services and feed shortage (Bekele, 2010). According to the UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO, 1977) estimates, there are approximately 15 million dromedary camels in the world, of which 65% are found in the northeast African states of Somalia, Ethiopia, Sudan and Kenya. The Somali community (in Kenya, Somalia and Ethiopia) has the largest population and highest density of camels in the world, and to the same extent this animal also pervades the Somali culture. Historically, the geographical area that is now Somalia may have been a focal point in the introduction and dispersal of the domesticated dromedary (Abokor, 1993).

Various internal and external parasitic diseases have been reported to be the major problems affecting the health, productivity and performance of camel. Ticks are one of the most important parasites among the factors affecting the health, productivity and performance of camels (Anwar and Khan, 1998; Bekele, 2010) by transmitting various disease causing agents, and causing blood loss, irritation, inflammation, hypersensitivity and damage to hide and under (Wall and Shearer, 2011; Walker et al., 2003). Ticks are responsible for losses caused by their attachment to animal hides, by the injection of toxins, and/or by the transmission of diseases that reduce yield. The globalization have ensured that hard ticks can travel to new environments and become established with wide success, and as they travel so can their disease (Walker et al., 2003).

Hard ticks are the most important external parasites of camel in the world and can easily constitute a limiting factor to successful stock farming unless appropriate measures are taken to control them (Howell, Walker & Nevill 1978). The geographic distributions of many original ticks are, however, not related with gross climatic conditions of the world, but rather with factors within the microclimate of the vegetation within their distribution variety. The most endemic tick species in Somalia are *Rhipicephalus pulchellus*, *Hyalomma*

Author ^{α σ ρ}: Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Red Sea University, Galkaio, Somalia. e-mails: rsu.galkaio@gmail.com, muminvet@gmail.com

Dromedarii, *Amblyomma Gamma* and *Amblyomma Variegatum* (Pegram et al 1981).

Tick damage hide and skin of livestock including camels. There is no available data about hard tick distribution in the study area and the country in general. Due to unavailability of hard tick information this study aimed to identify and to assess distribution of hard ticks in Galkaio district, Somalia.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

a) Study area

This study was conducted in Galkaio district, Puntland state of Somalia. Galkaio is situated in north-central of Somalia, in the heart of Mudug region. Five villages were included in this study which are under Galkaio district namely Taalla'ad, Jeehdin, Tawakal, Dhagahyo'ad and Halabookhad. Mean annual rainfall is 200-300 mm bimodal, average temperature is 32.7 degree Celsius and altitude is 302. (Muchiri, 2007).

b) Study population and study design

The Study animals were consist indigenous breeds of one hump camels managed under pastoral production system, that allows free grazing always mixed with livestock from other villages, and in that the animals move from feed shortage area to a better grazing area. Galkaio district was purposively selected based on camel population, accessibility and convenience. Cross sectional study was conducted from February to May to estimate distribution of camel hard ticks among the one humped camel herds kept under pastoral management system in Galkaio district.

c) Sample size determination

The desired sample size was determined by assuming 50% expected prevalence of tick distribution at 95% confidence interval and 5% absolute precision. Therefore, the relevant formula for the desired sample size was based on Thrusfield (2005).

$$n = \frac{Z^2 P_{exp} (1 - P_{exp})}{d^2}$$

Where; n = required sample size

P_{exp} = expected prevalence and

d² = desired absolute precision.

Z = constant from normal distribution table at a given confidence level. The calculated sample size was 384.

d) Hard tick collection and laboratory examination

General physical examination was conducted on each camel. Information regarding to age, sex and body condition were recorded in careful manner. The age and body condition of camels were determined based on their dentition and hump structure as described by (Schwartz and Dioli, 1992; CACIA, 1995). After proper restraining hard ticks were collected from tick loving soft areas of the skin such as ear, legs, tail, under tail, around anus, nose, perineum, neck and eyes using hand and steel forceps. The ticks from each animal were separately stored in 35% formalin in a single labeled bottle.

The collected ticks were identified to species level at Parasitology Laboratory of Faculty of Veterinary Medicine in Red Sea University using stereomicroscope. Identification was done using standards recommended by (Hoogstraal, 1956; Walker et al. 2003).

e) Data analysis

All collected data were entered to MS excel sheet and analyzed by using SPSS version 20. The distribution and hypothesized risk factors like age, sex, origin, predilection site and body condition was related using chi-square test (x²) and p<0.05 were considered as statistically significant in all cases.

III. RESULTS

This study was performed in February to May 2017 in 5 villages of Galkaio district, namely; Taalla'ad, Jeehdin, Tawakal, Dhagahyo'ad and Halabookhad. Out of 384 examined camels, 371 (97%) were harboring different species of hard ticks. Total of 576 adult ticks collected, 327 (56.8%) were *H. dromedarii* spp. and 249 (43.2%) were *H. tranctum*spp. Table (1) shows sex distribution of the identified ticks. *HyalommaDromedarii* male was 263(45.7%), *HyalommaDromedarii* female was 64(11.1%), *Hyalommatrancatum* male was 84(14.6%) and *Hyalommatrncatum* female was 156(28.5%).

Table 1: Distribution and sex category of adult tick species in Galkaio district

Tick species	Male	Female	Total	Percentage dist.
<i>Hyalommadromedarii</i>	263(45.7%)	64(11.1%)	327	56.8%
<i>Hyalommatrancatum</i>	84(14.6%)	156(28.5%)	249	43.2%
Total	347(60.3%)	229(39.7%)	576	100.0%

Table (2) shows tick burden compared with age, sex and body condition categories. Only body condition score showed statistically significant difference (P<0.05) where sex and age did not display any significance. Good body conditioned animals showed high burden of both *HyalommaDromedarii* and

Hyalommatrncatum compared to those having poor and medium body condition.

Table 2: Tick burden with in sex, age and body condition

	sex		Age		Body condition		
	Male	Female	≤ 5 yrs	> 5 yrs	Poor	Medium	Good
<i>H. dromedarii</i>	46 (8%)	28 (4.9%)	66 (11.5%)	261 (453%)	7 (1.2%)	42 (7.3%)	278 (48.3%)
<i>H. truncatum</i>	281 (48.8%)	221 (38.4%)	42 (7.3%)	207 (35.9%)	4 (0.7%)	10 (1.7%)	235 (40.8%)
Total	74 (12.8%)	502 (87.2%)	327 (56.8%)	468 (81.2%)	11 (1.9%)	52 (9.0%)	513 (89.1%)
P-Value, Chi square	0.316, 1.006		0.312, 1.020		0.001, 13.805		

Hard ticks collected from nine different sites. 31% of the ticks were collected from premium region, 15.8% from the ear, 11.6 from tail, 11.45% from the

anus, 10.4% from the nose, 7.8% from the eyes, 5.6% where from under the tail, 3.6% from the neck and the least area was tail with 2.25% (Table.3).

Table 3: Distribution of different tick species in different body parts of animal

Attachment site	Tick species			Percentage
	<i>H. dromedarii</i>	<i>H. truncatum</i>		
Ear	58	33		15.8%
Eyes	23	22		7.8%
Nose	35	25		10.4%
Neck	15	4		3.29%
Perineum	104	79		31.8%
Leg	28	39		11.6%
Tail	9	4		2.25%
Under tail	24	8		5.7%
Around anus	31	35		11.45%

Different villages showed statistically significant difference of hard tick distribution ($P < 0.05$). Peak number (36.76%) of the hard ticks were collected from

Halabookhad village, followed by Dhagaxyo'ad (24%), Tawakal (22.74%), Jeehdin (9%), lastly Taala'ad with smallest number (8.5%).

Table 4: Tick distribution in different Villages of Galkaio district

Area	<i>Hyalommadromedarii</i>	<i>Hyalommatruncatum</i>	Total	Percentage	Chi square	P –value
Taala'ad	39	10	49	8.5%	26.477	0.000
Jeehdin	31	21	52	9%		
Tawakal	85	46	131	22.74%		
Dhagahyo'ad	58	80	138	24%		
Halabookhad	114	92	206	35.76%		
Total	327	249	576	100%		

IV. DISCUSSION

In this study only two species of hard ticks were identified namely *Hyalommadromedarii* and *Hyalommatruncatum* contrarily other researchers found more hard tick generas and species (Samere *et al.*, 2014; Ayele T. and Mohammed M. 2013; Mohsen *et al.*, 2013; van Straten, Jongejan 1993). *Hyalommadromedarii* was the most abundant hard tick in the study area with proportion of 56.8%, this is quite higher when compared to a distribution reported from northern part of Ethiopia (42.7%) by Samere, *et al.*, 2014 and much higher in another research done by (Ayele T. and Mohammed M. 2013) in Dire Dawa town (26.85%). Nonetheless this result is much lower than the studies from other researchers in Iran (Mohsen *et al.*, 2013) who reported 90.7% and van Straten Jongejan 1993 in Egypt

who as well reported 95.6%. *Hyalommatruncatum* was found to be the second ample hard tick in the area (43.2%) which disagrees and much higher in other researchers (Ayele T. and Mohammed M. 2013) in Dire Dawa whom reported 7.19% and (Samere, *et al.*, 2014) in Tigray region of Ethiopia whom also found 8.9%. this great variation of tick burden in different countries could be climatic difference, production system factors, use of acaracide and Ivermectin, seasonal availability is also another important factor, this study was done in a dry season and the situation in the rainy season is unknown.

Both age and sex categories of the host in relation with the hard tick distribution was statistically not significant ($P < 0.05$). In sex it disagrees with (Ayele T. and Mohammed M. 2013) who found that female hosts are affected more than male and the possible reason could be female camels reared for milk in pastoral areas

can reduce the number of examined male camels. This study also clearly figured out that tick infestation in adult (> 5 yrs.) was almost similar to that of the young (< 5 yrs.) which is almost comparable with that of Eyerusalem, 2008. This might be due to young animals mostly dwelling around the home and have access to contact with other species of animals; therefore, the chance of getting tick infestation is almost similar to that of adult camels.

According to the body condition of host animals, this study showed statistically significant difference ($P < 0.05$) between the good, medium and poor body conditioned animals. Good body conditioned animals were highly affected compared to poor and medium conditioned animals. This result is contrary to that of (Ayele T. and Mohammed M. 2013) and (Eyerusalem, 2008) both found that poor body conditioned animals are much affected. This could be that good conditioned animals attract ticks due to accumulation of fat and skin of the good conditioned animals is much softer than poor and medium conditioned animals.

V. CONCLUSIONS

The result of this study indicates that ticks are wide spread throughout the study area and animals are infested with different species of tick. It is well known that ticks cause severe economic loss either by transmitting a variety of disease or by damage to hide and skin. The important and abundant tick species investigated in the study area were, *Hyalomma dromedarii* and *Hyalomma truncatum* and the prevalence was 56.8% and 43.2%. The study indicated that there was high tick prevalence in the study area.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The researchers are grateful to Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Red Sea University for providing them the research fund. Thanks to Abdirizak Farah, Leylo Ahmed and Said Ahmed for their support during sample collection.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES RÉFÉRENCES REFERENCIAS

- Schwartz, H.J.; Dioli, M. The one humped camel in Eastern Africa. A tropical guide to diseases, health care and management. Verlag. Josef, Margraf Scientific books, 1992, Berlin. Pp. 1788-179.
- Snow, D.H.; Billah, A.M.; Ridha, A. and Frigg, M. Plasma concentrations of some vitamins in camels. Proceedings of First International Camel Conference, Dubai, U.A.E, 1992, Pp. 335 – 338.
- Wilson, R.T.; Araya, A.; Melaku, A. *The One-Humped Camel*. Technical Papers Series No. 3. 1993, New York, UNSO. P. 300.
- Rabana, J.L.; Kumshe, H.A.; Kamani J.; Hafsar, G.; Turaki, U.A.; Dilli, H.K. Effects of parasitic infections on erythrocyte indices of camels in Nigeria. *Vet. Res. Forum*, 2011, 2, pp. 59-63.
- Bekele M. In epidemiological study on major camel diseases in the Borana Lowland, Southern Ethiopia. DCG report No. 58, 2010, Drylands coordination group, Oslo. Pp. 68-98.
- FAO. Review of recent progress in the control of ticks and tick borne diseases in east Africa, 1977, Rome (Italy). 25p.
- Abokor, A.A. Classification and role of pastoral poetry. In: A. Hjort (Ed.): The Multi-purpose Camel: Interdisciplinary Studies on Pastoral Production in Somalia. EPOS, Uppsala University, 1993, Sweden.
- Anwar, A.H.; Khan, M.N. Parasitic fauna of camel in Pakistan-proceedings of the third annual meeting for animal production under arid conditions. (1998), 2: 96-76.
- Wall, R.; Shearer, D. *Veterinary Ectoparasite, Biology, Pathology and Control*. 2nd ed.; Blackwell science limited, UK, 2001; P. 262.
- Walker A.; Camicas, J.L.; Estrada-Pena, A.; Horak, I.G.; Latif, A.A.; Pegram, R.G. & Preston, P.M. Ticks of Domestic Animals in Africa. A Guide to Identification of Species, 2003, Bioscience Reports, Edinburgh.
- Howell, C.J.; Walker, J.B.; Nevill E.M. Ticks, mites and insects infesting domestic animals in South Africa. Republic of South Africa Department of Agricultural Technical Services Science Bulletin 393, 1978, Pretoria, Republic of South Africa.
- Pegram, R.G.; Hoogstral, H.; Wassef, H. Ticks (Acari: Ixodidae) of Ethiopia. I Distribution ecology and host relation of species infesting livestock. Bulletin of Entomological Research, 1981, 71, pp. 339-359.
- Muchiri, pw. Climate of Somalia. Technical Report No W-01, 2007, FAO-SWALIM, Nairobi, Kenya.
- Thrustfield, M. *Veterinary Epidemiology*, 3rd ed.; Blackwell science Ltd, London, UK, 2005; Pp. 233-250.
- CACIA. Central Australian Camel Industry Association Inc, 1995, Available at <http://www.camelsaust.com.au/liveage.htm>. Accessed on May, 2, 2017.
- Hoogstraal H. African Ixodoidea. Vol I. Ticks of the Sudan (with special reference of Equatoria Province and with preliminary Reviews of the Genera Boophilus, Margaropus, and Hyalomma). 1956, Pp. 200-201.
- Kiros, S.; Awol, N.; Tsegaye, Y.; Hadush B. Hard tick of camel in southern zone of Tigray, northern Ethiopia. *J. par. and vect. bio.* 2014, 6(10), pp. 151-155, DOI: 10.5897.

18. Ayele T.; Mohammed M. A Study on Camels Ticks in and Around Dire Dawa, Eastern Ethiopia. *Acta Paras. Glob*, **2013**, 4 (2): 64-70.
19. Mohsen, C.; Gholamreza, M.; Sadegh, C.; Gholamreza, R.; Ehsan, M.; Tahmineh, J. Frequency of hard-ticks and the influence of age and sex of camel on ticks infestation rates in one-humped camel (*Camelusdromedarius*) population in the northeast of Iran. *SciParasitol*, **2013**, 14(2), pp. 89-93.
20. Van Straten, M.; Jongejan, F. Ticks (Acari: Ixodidae) infesting the Arabian Camel (*Camelusdromedarius*) in the Sinai, Egypt with a note on the acaricidal efficacy of Ivermectin, 1993.
21. Eyerusalem, B. Study on major Ecto-parasites of Camels in and around Dire Dawa, Ethiopia. DVM Thesis, FVM, AAU, DebreZeit, Ethiopia, 2010.

