The Effect of Phytochemicals Intake from Green Leafy Vegetables on the Incidence of Gastrointestinal Cancers: A Meta-Analysis

3	Dr. Richard Lee $Pollock^1$
4	¹ Lamar State College Port Arthur
5	Received: 8 December 2016 Accepted: 4 January 2017 Published: 15 January 2017
6	

7 Abstract

⁸ Study objective was to hypothesize that the consumption of green leafy vegetables (GLV), ⁹ including cruciferous vegetables (CV), significantly reduces the incidence of gastrointestinal ¹⁰ cancers. The hypothesis was answered by using the experimental approach of meta-analysis by ¹¹ synthesizing relevant worldwide studies that address the association between the consumption ¹² of GLV and risk of incidence of the disease. The random effect model was used and indicated ¹³ an overall odds ratio effect size of the ?almost every day? highest vs. lowest quantile intake ¹⁴ category of GLV on gastrointestinal cancer as: OR = 0.651 (95

15

16 Index terms— green leafy vegetables, cruciferous vegetables, random effect model, effect size, forest plot.

¹⁷ **1 I. Introduction**

18 astroenterology is the branch of medicine focused on the digestive system and its disorders. Diseases affecting 19 the gastrointestinal (GI) tract, which include the organs from mouth to anus, normally include pharynx, 20 esophagus, stomach, pancreas, liver, gallbladder, small and large intestines. Physicians practicing in the 11 field of gastroenterology are called gastroenterologists and have additional specialized training (fellowship) in 22 Gastroenterology. Cancer can invade or spread to all organs of the GI tract. Reducing incidence of these cancers 23 should be a worldwide concern.

Colorectal cancer is also known as colon cancer, rectal cancer, or bowel cancer and develops in the colon 24 25 sections or rectum which are divisions of the large intestine. This type of cancer is caused by abnormal growth 26 of cells that can invade and spread to other parts of the body (Colon Cancer Treatment (PDQ®), 2014) [1]. This same website lists symptoms that may include weight loss, blood in stool, change in bowl movements, and 27 weights loss causing fatigue. Most colorectal cancers are caused by lifestyle factors and increasing age, with 28 only a small number of incidences due to genetics and the most common risk factors are diet, lack of exercise, 29 obesity, smoking, and alcoholism (Colon Cancer Treatment (PDQ®), 2014) [1]. Worldwide, colorectal cancer is 30 reported as the thirdmost common cancer in men, the second-most common cancer in women, and the fourth-31 most common cause of cancer mortality ??Xie & Chang, 2016) [2]. In 2015, these same authors reported that 32 there were about 1.5 million patients worldwide, which accounted for about 10% of total cancer cases, and 33 estimated colorectal cancer caused deaths were an estimated 753,000. It is imperative that medical doctors 34 and surgeons should emphasize on this failure of existing chemotherapeutics against GI cancers and start using 35 36 complementary/alternative therapeutics to prevent and treat these deadly cancers.

37 Pancreatic cancer progresses quickly and has an extremely high mortality rate in the U.S. and is the fourth 38 highest cancer fatality rate of all cancers ?? Chan, Wang, & Holly, 2005) [3]. In 2005, it was estimated that about 32,180 pancreatic cancer patients will be diagnosed, with most of them dying from this cancer with the 5-year 39 survival rate being only 4% ?? Chan et al., 2005) [3]. These high mortality rates are due to latestage diagnosis, 40 including lack of effective treatment. Not much is known about the epidemiology of this deadly disease, and like 41 many cancers, it is agedependent with over 90% of the patients diagnosed at age 50 and older ?? Chan et al., 42 2005) [3]. Pancreatic cancer is one of the most rapidly fatal cancers, yet little is known about the primary cause 43 and prevention of this devastating disease. 44

2 II. METHODS AND MATERIALS

Pharyngeal cancers originate in the epithelial cells lining the nasopharynx, oropharynx, and/or the laryngopharynx. These cancers are relatively rare, with 130,000 new cases diagnosed worldwide each year (Heck et al., 2008) [4]. The Indian subcontinent has among the highest rates of hypo pharyngeal cancer worldwide; due in part to the common use of chewing tobacco products, and the purpose of their study was to examine the associations between the Indian diet and hypo pharyngeal cancer (Heck et al., 2008) [4].

Based on estimates, a total of 989,600 new cancers of the stomach (gastric cancer) cases and 738,000 deaths 50 occurred in 2008, which accounted for 10% of the total cancer deaths worldwide ?? Zhao et al., 2014) [5]. Despite 51 advances in treatment, survival rate of patients with gastric cancer remains low and it is vital to detect early 52 stages of this cancer by developing new diagnostic and therapeutic strategies for this disease ?? Zhao et al., 53 2014) [5]. Esophageal cancer is the sixth most common cancer worldwide, and large geographical variations in 54 its occurrence indicates that environmental exposures are casually important (Phukan, Chetia, Ali, & Mahanta, 55 2001) [6]. Squamous cell carcinoma of the esophagus occurs at a high frequency in many developing countries such 56 as Iran and northcentral China ??Yamaji et al., 2008) [7]. Prevalence of tobacco smoking and alcohol drinking in 57 these regions are not markedly high, so attention has focused on roles of diet, particularly the tendency toward 58 low intake of fruits and vegetables, and the relationship of esophageal cancer incidence. 59

60 In recent years, the role of dietary habits in the development of GI tract cancers has received much attention 61 in the scientific community ??Zanini, Marzotto, Giovinazzo, et al. 2015) [8]. Dietary habits as risk factors 62 of cancer have been studied by several researchers in relation to the consumption of foodstuffs. This study 63 will contribute to people's understanding of the importance of a daily intake of green leafy vegetables (GLV), including cruciferous vegetables (CV). Studies indicate long-term intake of GLV, CV, and the micronutrients 64 they contain may reduce risk of Type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular disease and some types of cancers (Carter, Gray, 65 Troughton, et al. [9], 2010; Joshipura et al., [10]2009; ??mith-Warner et al., 2001) [11]. Limited knowledge about 66 the importance of GLV consumption appears to be a serious worldwide health problem. This meta-analysis 67 study further emphasized the importance of this association by synthesizing multiple source studies researched 68 worldwide on the topic of GLV intake and incidence of GI tractcancers. 69 70

GLV are leaf vegetables, greens, vegetable greens, leafy greens or salad greens. They come from a very wide variety of plants all over the world, with nearly one thousand species of plants with edible leaves are known. GLV contain elements and phytochemicals that may reduce the incidence of cancer, and these same GLV are high in

Vitamin C, Vitamin E, Vitamin K, and Vitamin A (USDA National Nutrient Database for Standard Reference,
 Release 24, 2002) [12].

CV are from the family Cruciferae which are widely cultivated, with many genera, species, and cultivars being raised for food production such as cauliflower, cabbage, cress, bok choy, broccoli, kale, collard greens and similar leafy vegetables and their roots such as turnips and radishes. Most researchers evaluating the association of fruit and vegetable intake with the risk of cancer place GLV and CV into two separate food categories even though most CV have edible green leaves. They are separated because only CV contain isothiocyanates which are plant phytochemicals that are known to possess the ability to prevent and inhibit tumorigenesis (Øverby, Thangstad, & Bones, 2015) [13].

Will the consumption of GLV including CV will significantly reduce the incidence of GI tract cancers is the 82 research question of this study? There is a need to research peer-reviewed journals to investigate casecontrol 83 studies dealing with GLV intake and the incidence of these deadly diseases. This meta-analysis was used to 84 investigate the effects of daily GLV, including CV, intake on the incidence of these type cancers, not just 85 in the United States but worldwide, and to show if this relationship is a significant one. This meta-analysis 86 research approach filled a knowledge gap by combining data from multiple studies to a common effect size and 87 statistically examining relations between study characteristics and findings. Findings between these different 88 studies were compared by transforming the results into a single common effect size to better understand the 89 apparent contradictions in prior research findings. 90

⁹¹ 2 II. Methods and Materials

Searching for relevant studies was primarily performed by computer search engines. PubMed Central, Academic 92 Search Complete, Medline, ProQuest Central, Science Direct, Google, and Yahoo online were the most frequently 93 used online periodical databases. The criteria for including studies in the meta-analysis included: (1) those 94 occurring between 1980 to 2016; (2) those appearing full-text in scholarly journals; (3) the collection of primary 95 studies had to be a collaborative case-control design; (4) those including relations between similar independent 96 variables (GLV intake levels including CV) and dependent variables (incidence of GI tract cancers); (5) all studies 97 had to measure GLV consumption, which was estimated by highest versus lowest quantiles (quintiles, or quartiles, 98 99 or tertiles); (6) those that reported an effect size of: odds ratio (OR) and their respective 95% confidence intervals 100 (CI) data; and (7) source studies collected in this meta-analysis had to use logistic regression or Cox regression 101 models to control for confounding or interaction variables and the results were expressed as adjusted effect size ratios if needed. 102

All meta-analysis calculations were performed by the software package Comprehensive Meta-Analysis Version by Biostat (CMA v.2). CMA v.2 was developed specifically for use in meta-analysis. These calculations include determining effect sizes OR and their 95% CI), heterogeneity of the studies, relative weights for each study, significance (p) for each study, and for determining methods for detecting the presence of publication bias and 107 assessing its impact on the metaanalysis. CMA v.2 was also used to create a highresolution plot (Forest plot) 108 that shows all the combined studies, their p-value, common effect size, 95% CI for [14]write that the selection of 109 a model must be based on the question of which model fits the distribution of effect sizes, and when studies are 110 collected from published literature, the random-effects model is a more plausible match for the meta-analysis. 111 Since all studies were collected from fulltext in scholarly journals, the random-effects modelwas chosen for this 112 study.

The relative weights for each study were calculated by the CMA v.2 software package. Small studies tend 113 to have wide confidence intervals and large studies tend to have narrow confidence intervals with larger studies 114 given greater percent relative weights (Higgins, Hedges, [15]. An effect size of 1.00 represents no treatment effect. 115 Whereas when the effect size falls below 1.00, this indicates participants who consumed GLV in the highest 116 quartile were less likely to develop cancer. If the effect size falls above 1.00, this indicates study subjects were 117 more likely to develop the disease due to GLV intake in the highest intake quartile. The 95% CI bounding 118 in each study reflects the precision of the estimate, with small studies tending to have wide 95% CI and large 119 studies tending to have narrow 95% CI (Higgins et al., 2009) [15]. The use of 95% CI in this meta-analysis 120 was used, so each meta-analysis performed in this study was statistically significant (p < .05) if and only if the 121 confidence interval excluded the null value of 1.0 for each effect model synthesized (Higgins et al., 2009) [15]. The 122 123 conventional value of significance level for this meta-analysis was pre-set to an alpha of 0.05 (Stigler, 2008) [16]. 124 CMA v.2 allows the meta-analyst to record data by subgroups within the study. Some studies collected in this meta-analysis used subgroups, e.g., male, female, GLV, CV, never smoked or chewed tobacco, and ever smoked 125 or chewed tobacco. In this study, it emerged that the effect sizes were not comparable for each subgroup and 126 that the treatment effect varied as a function of each subgroup, so it was decided to use the subgroup as the unit 127 of analysis. This required calculating separate effect size (utilizing the CMA v.2 software) for subgroups within 128 each study, which recorded as many as four treatment effects for each study. CMA v.2 was also used to detect 129 the possible presence of publication bias. All studies used in this meta-analysis were examined using a funnel 130 plot of the natural logarithm of the effect size versus its precision (1/standard error). The plot by precision is the 131 traditional form [14]. Note in Figure 1 that the large studies appear toward the topof the funnel plot graph, and 132 tend to cluster near the mean of the log odds ratios in the relationship between the studies. The smaller studies 133 appear toward the bottom of the funnel plot, and since there is more random variation in smaller studies, they 134 are dispersed across a wide range of log odds ratios. In the presence of publication bias, the bottom of the funnel 135 plot would tend to show a higher concentration of studies on one side of the mean than the other ??Borenstein 136 et al.2009) [14]. These same authors write that this would reflect the fact that smaller studies are more likely 137 to be published if they have smaller than average OR, which makes them more likely to meet the criterion for 138 statistical significance. In the absence of publication bias the studies will be distributed symmetrically about the 139 mean of the log odds ratios. 140

¹⁴¹ 3 III. Data Analysis and Results

Over a four-year search period (2012-2016), thousands of scientific papers were reviewed for this meta-analysis.
Table 1 shows the total number of collected studies (N=14) that were relevant and reviewed in this meta-analysis.
Fourteen case control studies were combined in meta-analysis that examined the relationship between GLV and
CV intake and the incidence of GI tract cancers and used OR as the effect size.

Research Question: Does an increased intake of GLV and/or CV significantly reduce incidence of GI tract 146 cancers? Fourteen studies met the inclusion criteria that investigated the relationship between the incidences 147 of GI tract cancers with the consumption of GLV and/or CV. The seven cancers were rectal, colon, colorectal, 148 pancreatic, pharyngeal, stomach, and esophageal. Figure ?? is a Forest plot showing relative weight and a 149 random effect model was used to combine results from the studies. Table 1 lists the 14 studies, locations of the 150 participants, subgroups, number (N) of participants for each study (N = cases + controls), and cancer types. 151 The random effect model was selected for combining the source studies. Subgroups GLV, CV, men only, women 152 only, colon cancer, rectal cancer, ever tobacco, never tobacco, colorectal cancer, and stomach cancer, were not 153 combined in six of the studies to calculate as many as four treatment effects for each study as shown in Figure 154 ?? and Table 1. The random effect model results, OR = 0.651 (95% CI .558 to .760), p<.001, indicates the 155 highest quartile or quintile of intake of GLV and/or CV compared to lowest in take is incidence from these seven 156 different cancers. Figure 1 shows possible absence of publication bias in the 14 cancer studies with the studies 157 distributed symmetrically about the mean of the log odds ratios. 158

¹⁵⁹ 4 IV. Discussion

A noteworthy finding of this meta-analysis study is the protective effect associated with high consumption of
 GLV including CV. These vegetables are(D D D D) L

162 The Effect of Phytochemicals Intake from Green Leafy Vegetables on the Incidence of Gastrointestinal Cancers:

163 A Meta-Analysis percentages of the 14 studies with similar odds ratios associated with a significant 34.9% lower

odds of a characteristic and traditional dietary habit of worldwide populations. It has been previously postulated

that this could help explain the low cancer incidence rates observed in populations that consume these vegetables.

166 The role of diet in the causation of human disease is complex, partly because diet and dietary habits include a

wide variety of foods and because the methods by which these habits can be measured are cumbersome as well as 167 difficult to apply to many individuals. This study has provided some clues for further investigation into the role 168 of GLV intake and how it affects gastroenterological cancer occurrence. Meta-Analysis is a collection of systematic 169 techniques for resolving apparent contradictions in research findings. This meta-analysis translated results from 170 14 different studies to a common metric and statistically explore relations between study characteristics and 171 findings. Ameta-analysis on a given research topic is directed toward the quantitative integration of findings 172 from various studies, where each study serves as the unit of analysis. The findings between studies are compared 173 by transforming the results to a common single metric called an effect size ??Shachar, 2008, pp. 3-4) [17]. 174

Advantages of this meta-analysis is to increase validity of research by applying objective formulas to synthesize 175 data across studies rather than using data from a single study and control for between-study variation) [14]. The 176 fourteen case-control studies included 24,205 case participants and controls, with 8,182 case participants having 177 seven different type cancers. The research question of this study was; does an increased intake of GLV including 178 CV significantly reduce the incidence of these seven cancers? The random effect model indicated an overall OR 179 effect size of the 'almost every day' highest vs. lowest quantile intake category of GLV on cancer as: OR =180 0.651 (95% CI .558 to .760), p<.001, showing 34.9% lower odds that an intake of GLV significantly reduces the 181 incidence of these cancers in the highest intake category as compared to the lowest. 182

183 5 a) Aggregation of Studies Encompassing Various Cancer 184 Diseases

This meta-analysis study could be limited by the aggregation of studies encompassing various cancer diseases. It is important to know which specific cancers are affected by a dietary factor to gain further knowledge into potential disease causes. However, the prevention of overall cancer diseases by diet may be of higher interest for any healthy population than the targeted recommendations for prevention of a specific cancer (Von Ruesten, Feller, Bergmann, et al, 2013) [18]. Hung et al. (2004) [19] evaluated the relationship between fruit and vegetable intake and the incidence of CVD, total cancer, and other deaths from other causes in two prospective cohort studies. Von Ruesten et al.

(2013) [18]also combined overall chronic diseases, type 2 diabetes, overall CVD, and overall cancers in their
 published article on the relationship of diet and disease incidence which concluded that from a public health
 perspective, it would be better to pursue the primary prevention of several types of aggregated disease outcomes.
 This meta-analysis presented both overall and disease-specific results.

¹⁹⁶ 6 b) Incidence of Cancers and GLV Intake

Cancer is a group of over 100 different types of malignancies and there are several potential substances in GLV 197 and CV that may exhibit anticancer effects ??Rajalakshmi & Agalyaa, 2010) [20]. GLV are typically high in 198 dietary fiber, iron, calcium, and very high in phytochemicals and nutrients such as vitamin C, carotenoids, lutein, 199 folate, magnesium as well as vitamin K. The primary dietary source of vitamin K is generally GLV and both 200 in vitro in vivo studies have shown that vitamin K exhibits anticancer effects (Chlebowski, Akaman, & Block, 201 1985) [21]. Vitamin K has also been shown to inhibit the growth of mammalian tumor cells in culture (Prasad, 202 Edwards-Prasad, & Sakamoto, 1981) [22]. Also, GLV are high in carotenoids such as beta-carotene and in 203 animal experiments they were shown to suppress liver carcinogenesis ?? Moreno et al., 2002) [23]. Carotenoids 204 have antioxidant potential in the 205

²⁰⁶ 7 c) Phytochemicals

Further study in the twenty first century should be focused on conducting extensive research to discover 207 phytochemicals connections to disease prevention because solid evidence is lacking (DeBruyne, Pinna, & Whitney, 208 2011) [29]. Researchers are just beginning to understand and theorize how a small percent of the different 209 phytochemicals in GLV work. There are potentially thousands of phytochemical compounds from extracts of 210 plant roots, leaves, and stems that have shown promising potential as anticancer drugs, or for serving as lead 211 compounds in the synthesis of new drugs ??Smith, 1998[30] The Effect of Phytochemicals Intake from Green Leafy 212 Vegetables on the Incidence of Gastrointestinal Cancers: A Meta-Analysis scavenging of harmful free radicals 213 214 ??Krinsky, 1989) [24] and they appear to play an important role in the prevention of hepatitis virus-related 215 liver carcinogensis (Kurahashi et al., 2009) [25]. Rajalakshmi and Agalyaa (2010) [20] found that watercress 216 (Nasturtium officinale) has an anti-cancer effect in their study of oral cancer.

Watercress is one of the richest sources of dietary phenethyl isothiocyanates and they found it inhibited a chemical in tobacco that may cause oral cancer. Also, in several epidemiological studies, high intake of calcium has been associated with reduced risk of colorectal and breast cancer ??Martinez et al., 1996[26]; ??hin et al., 2002) [27]. It has been hypothesized that calcium could be the mechanism behind these protective effects by reducing fat induced cell proliferation by maintaining intercellular calcium concentrations (Lipkin & Newmark, 1999) [28]. Hennekens, 1995 [31]; Park et al., 2013) [32]. The potential is here just waiting for new researchers to cure cancer, type 2 diabetes, and CVD via new phytochemical drug discoveries. Table 2 shows a small sampling of

 225 phytochemical compounds and their possible effects on reducing incidence of cancers. Volume $^{1\ 2\ 3}$

1

Year 2017

[Note: XVII Issue I Version I © 2017 Global Journals Inc. (US)]

Figure 1: Table 1 :

$\mathbf{2}$

Name Possible Effects							
Carotenoids	Act as antioxidants; possibly reduce risk of cancer						
Flavonoids	Act as antioxidants; may scavenge carcinogens						
Indoles	May trigger production of enzymes that block DNA damage						
	from carcinogens						
Isothiocynates	May inhibit enzymes that activate carcinogens and detoxify						
	carcinogens						
Organosulfur	May speed production of carcinogen-destroying enzymes						
Phenolic acids	May trigger enzyme production to make carcinogens water						
	soluble to excrete						
Phytoestrogens	May reduce cancer cell survival						
Phytoestrogens	Block estrogen activity						

Figure 2: Table 2 :

226

 $^{^1 \}odot$ 2017 Global Journals Inc. (US) The Effect of Phytochemicals Intake from Green Leafy Vegetables on the Incidence of Gastro intestinal Cancers: A Meta-Analysis

 $^{^2 \}rm Volume \, XVII \, Issue \, I \, Version \, I \, © \, 2017 \, Global \, Journals \, Inc. \, (US) \, Year \, 2017$

 $^{^{3}\}mathrm{The}$ Effect of Phytochemicals Intake from Green Leafy Vegetables on the Incidence of Gastrointestinal Cancers: A Meta-Analysis

$\mathbf{2}$

Study name	Statistics for each study			Odds
				ra-
				tio
				and
				95%
				CI
Odds	Lower	Upper		Relative
ratio	limit	limit	p-Value	weight
0.770	0.570	1.040	0.088	6.01
0.630	0.474	0.837	0.001	6.16
0.760	0.566	1.021	0.068	6.06
0.430	0.260	0.711	0.001	4.27
0.510	0.199	1.305	0.160	2.01
2.120	0.428	10.496	0.357	0.83
1.110	0.579	2.127	0.753	3.27
0.640	0.251	1.634	0.351	2.01
0.130	0.032	0.531	0.004	1.04
0.350	0.109	1.127	0.078	1.43
0.250	0.126	0.495	0.000	3.08
0.410	0.200	0.840	0.015	2.91
0.630	0.474	0.837	0.001	6.16
0.870	0.591	1.281	0.480	5.23
0.910	0.628	1.318	0.618	5.38
0.886	0.660	1.190	0.421	6.06
0.430	0.282	0.655	0.000	4.93
0.310	0.207	0.464	0.000	5.09
0.570	0.311	1.044	0.069	3.55
0.260	0.022	3.055	0.284	0.37
0.700	0.495	0.990	0.044	5.59
1.060	0.755	1.489	0.737	5.65
0.740	0.575	0.952	0.019	6.44
0.940	0.733	1.205	0.626	6.47
0.651	0.558	0.760	0.000	
			$0.1 \ 0.2$	$0.5 5 \ 10$
				1 2

Figure 3: 2 :

227 .1 Acknowledgements

- 228 Theory and editing were improved in this paper by my dissertation committee which included Dr Mickey Shachar,
- 229 Dr Frank Gomez, and Dr Kyung-Ae Son-Guidry.

230 .2 Author Contributions

231 Dr. Richard Lee Pollock was sole author of this manuscript and was sole writer and researcher.

232 .3 Conflicts of Interests

233 No conflict of interests is declared with this research.

234 .4 Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or notfor-profit sectors.

237 .5 Ethical Approval

- IRB at Trident University International ethically approved the content of this meta-analysis (no human subjectsused).
- 240 [] , 10.1186/1471-2407-14-34. 14 p. .
- [Debruyne et al. ()], L K Debruyne, K Pinna, E Whitney. Nutrition & diet therapy 2011. Thomson and
 Wadsworth. (7). (th ed.)
- [Buring and Hennekens ()] 'B-carotene and cancer chemoprevention'. J E Buring , C H Hennekens . Journal of
 Cell Biochemistry1995. 22 p. .
- [Martinez et al. ()] 'Calcium, vitamin D, and the occurrence of colorectal cancer among women'. M E Martinez
 , E L Giovannucci , G A Golditz . Journal of National Cancer Institute1996. 88 p. .
- [Smith ()] 'Caratenoids and cancer: Prevention and potential therapy'. T A Smith . British Journal of
 Biomedicine 1998. 55 p. .
- 249 [Krinsky ()] 'Carotenoids as chemopreventive agents'. N I Krinsky . Preventive Medicine1989. 18 p. .
- [Von Ruesten et al. ()] 'Diet and risk of chronic diseases: Results from the first 8 years of the follow-up in the
 EPIC-Potsdam study'. A Von Ruesten , S Feller , M M Bergmann . 10.1038/ejcn.2013.7. European Journal
 of Clinical Nutrition2013. 67 p. .
- [Heck et al. ()] 'Dietary risk factors for hypopharyngeal cancer in India'. J E Heck , A Sapkota , G Vendhan .
 10.1007/s10552-008-9204-z. Cancer Causes & Control 2008. 19 p. .
- [Øverby et al. ()] 'Disintegration of microtubules in Arabidopsis thaliana and bladder cancer cells by isothio cyanates'. A Øverby , O P Thangstad , A M Bones . 10.3389/fpls.2015.00006. Front Plant Science 2015. 5 p.
 .
- [Rajalakshmi and Agalyaa ()] 'Docking analysis of phenethyl isothiocyanates from nasturtium officinale (watercress), on 4 -(methylnitrosamino) -1-(3-pyridyl) -1 -butanone (NNK), carcinogenic action in oral cancer'. P
 A Rajalakshmi , S Agalyaa . International Journal of Pharma & Bio Sciences2010. 1 p. .
- [Zanini et al. ()] 'Effects of dietary components on cancer of the digestive system'. S Zanini , M Marzotto , F
 Giovinazzo . 10.1080/10408398.2012.732126. Critical Reviews in Food Science Nutrition2015. 22 p. .
- ²⁶³ [Stigler ()] 'Fisher and the 5% level'. S Stigler . Chance 2008. 21 p. 12.
- [Yamaji et al. ()] 'Fruit and vegetable consumption and squamous cell carcinoma of the esophagus in Japan:
 The JPHC study'. T Yamaji , M Inoue , S Sasazuki . 10.1002/ijc.23744. International Journal Cancer2008.
 123 p. .
- [Carter et al. ()] 'Fruit and vegetable intake and incidence of type 2 diabetes mellitus: Systematic review and
 meta-analysis'. P Carter , L J Gray , J Troughton . 10.1136/bmj.c4229. Clinical Research Ed.) 2010. 341 p.
 4229. (BMJ)
- [Hung et al. ()] 'Fruit and vegetable intake and risk of major chronic disease'. H C Hung , K J Joshipura , R
 Jiang . Journal of the National Cancer Institute 2004. 96 p. .
- [Park et al. ()] 'Fruit and vegetable intakes are associated with lower risk of bladder cancer among women in the
 Multiethnic Cohort Study'. S Park , N J Ollberding , C G Woolcott . 10.3945/jn.113.174920. The Journal of
 Nutrition 2013. 143 p. .
- [Morena and Naves ()] 'Inhibitory effects of beta-carotene and vitamin a during the progression phase of
 hepatocarcinogensis involve inhibition of cell proliferation but not alteration in DNA methylation'. F S Morena
 S-Wu T Naves , MM . Nutritional Cancer2002. 44 p. .
 - 7

7 C) PHYTOCHEMICALS

- [Shin et al. ()] 'Intake of dietary products, calcium, and vitamin D and risk of breast cancer'. M H Shin , M D
 Holmes , S E Hankinson . Journal of National Cancer Institute2002. 94 p. .
- [Smith-Warner et al. ()] 'Intake of fruits and vegetables and risk of breast cancer: A pooled analysis of cohort
 studies'. S A Smith-Warner , D Spiegelman , S S Yaun . The Journal of the American Medical Association2001.
 285 p. .
- [Joshipura et al. ()] 'Intakes of fruits, vegetables and carbohydrate and the risk of CVD'. K J Joshipura , H C
 Hung , T Li . *Public Health Nutrition* 2009. 12 p. .
- [Higgins et al. ()] Introduction to meta-analysis, J P Higgins , L V Hedges , M Borenstein . 2009. 2009. New
 York: John Wiley & Sons.
- [Borenstein et al. ()] Introduction to meta-analysis (statistics in practice, M Borenstein , L V Hedges , J P
 Higgins . 2009. New York: Wiley Publication. (st ed.)
- [Shachar ()] 'Meta-Analysis: The preferred method of choice for the assessment of distance learning quality
 factors'. M Shachar . International Review of Research in Open and Distance Learning2008. 9 p. .
- [Zhao et al. ()] 'MiR-133b is frequently decreased in gastric cancer and its over expression reduces the metastatic
 potential of gastric cancer cells'. Y Zhao , J Huang , L Zhang . *Bio Med* Central2014.
- [Xie and Chang ()] 'Omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids in the prevention of postoperative complications in
 colorectal cancer: a meta-analysis'. H Xie , Y Chang . 10.2147/OTT.S113575. OncoTargets & Therapy 2016.
 9 p. .
- [Retrieved from National Cancer Institute (2014)] Retrieved from National Cancer Institute, http://
 www.cancer.gov/cancertopics/pdq/treatment/colon/Patient/page1/AllPages 2014. July 14.
 Colon Cancer Treatment (PDQ®
- [Phukan et al. ()] 'Role of dietary habits in the development of esophageal cancer in Assam, the north-eastern
 region of India'. R K Phukan , C K Chetia , M S Ali . Nutrition and Cancer 2001. 39 p. .
- [SR24 Reports by single nutrients (2002)] SR24 Reports by single nutrients, http://www.ars.usda.gov/
 Services/docs.htm?docid=221Accessed 2002. May 28. 2015. p. 24. USDA National Nutrient Database
 for Standard
- [Chan et al. ()] Vegetable and fruit intake and pancreatic cancer in a population-based case-control study in the
 San Francisco bayarea. The American Society of Prevention, J M Chan, F Wang, E A Holly. Oncology2005.
 14 p. .
- [Kurahashi et al. ()] 'Vegetable, fruit and antioxidant nutrient consumption and subsequent risk of hepatocellular
 carcinoma: A prospective cohort study in Japan'. N Kurahashi , M Inoue , M Iwasaki . 10.1038/sj.bjc.6604843.
 Br J Cancer 2009. 100 p. .
- [Lipkin and Newmark ()] 'Vitamin D, calcium and prevention of breast cancer: A review'. M Lipkin , H L
 Newmark . Journal of American Coll Nutrition1999. 18 p. .
- IChlebowski et al. ()] 'Vitamin K in the treatment of cancer'. R T Chlebowski , S A Akaman , J B Block . Cancer
 Treatment Review 1985. 12 p. .
- ³¹⁴ [Prasad et al. ()] 'Vitamin K3 (menadione) inhibits the growth of mammalian tumor cells in culture'. K N Prasad
- 315 , J Edwards-Prasad , A Sakamoto . *Life* Science1981. 29 p. .