Global Journals ${\mathbin{\mathbb I}}{\mathbin{\mathbb A}} T_{\mathop{\mathbb T}} X$ Journal
Kaleidoscope
TM

Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.*

1	The Neglected Clubfoot
2	Cary $Fletcher^1$
3	¹ Saint Anns Bay Regional Hospital, Saint Ann,
4	Received: 15 December 2016 Accepted: 31 December 2016 Published: 15 January 2017
5	

6 Abstract

⁷ The neglected clubfoot is an uncommon condition in the developed world that has not been

- $_{\rm 8}$ $\,$ clearly defined in the literature. The condition is more common in the developing nations as a
- ⁹ result of lower detection rates early in life for various economic and cultural reasons. In
- ¹⁰ addition to the physical, social and financial burden which often occurs, there is a
- ¹¹ psychological component that must be addressed prior to commencing orthopaedic
- ¹² management. When left untreated beyond walking age, the weight bearing on the side of the
- ¹³ foot worsens the deformity in addition to the worsened contractures due to increased
- ¹⁴ contractile elements in the soft tissues. Treatment traditionally started with casting utilising
- the Ponseti technique followed by extensive open surgery which may entail soft tissue
- ¹⁶ procedures plus or minus bony correction. Bony procedures involving osteotomies often result
- ¹⁷ in an obviously shortened foot and may be associated with skin necrosis, psuedoarthrosis,
- ¹⁸ infection and vascular damage. These procedures are also not uncommonly associated with
- ¹⁹ pain, weakness, and stiffness of the foot and ankle postoperatively. The trend is now moving
- towards more minimally invasive distraction in order to minimise the morbidity mentioned previously, and to achieve a painless plantigrade functional foot. The following article
- ²² discusses the evolution of the management of the neglected clubfoot.
- 23

24 Index terms— clubfoot, neglected

²⁵ 1 Introduction

ongenital idiopathic club foot is a complex deformity occurring in a child that is otherwise normal [1]. There are four components to this deformity: forefoot adductus, cavus, hindfoot varus and ankle equinus [2]. The literature lacks of a formal definition for the neglected clubfoot, however it may be considered in any patient presenting for treatment after 3 years of age [3].

Patients often undergo extensive corrective surgery which results in complications and disturbing failures [2]. Revisions are required more commonly in this population [2]. Despite the foot improving cosmetically post

³² surgery; it is commonly stiff, weak and painful especially after adolescence [2].

33 **2 II.**

34 **3** Discussion

Author: Orthopaedic Surgeon, Saint Ann's Bay Regional Hospital, Saint Ann. e-mail: c.fletch30@yahoo.com anomaly occurs in the 3 rd month of intrauterine life and is characterised by dysfunction of the posterior and medial aspects of the lower leg, ankle and foot [4]. The muscles are smaller and there is increased collagen synthesis resulting in fibrosis in the posteromedial tarsal ligaments, deep fascia, Achilles tendon and tibialis posterior tendon [4]. Treatment is ideally commenced as early as possible and the patient followed closely [2,5].

40 Untreated CTEV beyond early childhood is rarely seen in developed countries [6,7,8], but is a common 41 occurrence in developing nations [9,10]. There are a number of reasons for its high prevalence in the third

world. Delayed presentation may be due to a failure of detection in the first two years of life because of lack 42 of Orthopaedic surgeons and technicians in the country [11,12]. Shortage of materials during management and 43 ethno cultural beliefs are other factors [11]. In these countries, patients often come from rural areas where they 44 present late to the hospitals which are located in the city due to travelling distance [12,13]. In this setting, patient 45 disability may lead to worsening of poverty to the entire family, because the mother has less time to look after 46 siblings and has less time for economic activities or domestic activities [14]. Lourenco and Morcuende [1] stated 47 that in Brazil, many of these children are unable to socialise because of stigmatism. He also noted very long 48 waiting lists for elective surgery due to a limited health care budget hence causing several children to have this 49 untreated beyond walking age. 50

In addition to the physical, social and financial burden, there is a psychological component which should be addressed prior to commencing orthopaedic management [10]. This includes a comprehensive discussion about the treatment and the expected results with the patient and family [10] as both parents and the patient are prone to psychological trauma [9].

In the normal foot and ankle, the tibiotalar joint is a hinge whereby the talar dome rotates in the sagittal plane within the mortise [7]. Talar movement is dependent on ligamentous attachments to the calcaneous and navicular as there are no muscular attachments [7].

58 In a cadaveric study, Windisch et al [15] found that external tibial torsion was less in this population. The 59 anterior and middle facets of the calcaneous are normal; however the posterior facet is in an anterolateral position 60 in severe cases. The posterior facet is under severe biomechanical forces in that position [15]. The Congenital equinovarus (CTEV) or clubfoot is the commonest congenital anomaly which presents to a paediatric orthopaedic 61 surgeon, and is the commonest congenital condition resulting in loco motor disability [3]. Clubfoot is a complex 62 foot deformity which requires dedicated efforts from both the parents (of younger patients) and the surgeon to 63 achieve correction [2]. The talar head becomes conical; the neck is angulated medially, while the medial aspect 64 of the head articulates with the navicular [6]. There is also medial subluxation of the calcaneocuboid joint [6]. 65 The abnormal articulations of the talonavicular and calcaneocuboid joints are partially due to the abnormal 66 talus head/shaft angle of less than 45° [6]. Tension in the triceps surae and absence of the close packed position 67 of the subtalar joint allows the calcaneous to move laterally under the talus which changes the length of the 68 calcaneofibular ligament [15]. Primary contractile forces acting on the soft tissues cause progressive worsening 69 of bony deformities. The medial tissues in particular will undergo significant contraction and as the deformity 70 progresses, there is decreased remodelling potential [6]. 71

Beyond age two years, foot contractures tend to appreciably worsen [11]. The deformities of CTEV worsen 72 when the child commences walking because weight bearing occurs on the side of the foot [6]. Herold and Torok 73 [10] found that prolonged weight bearing accentuated mainly forefoot adduction and tibial torsion. Thickened 74 callous and large bursa eventually develop over the prominent weight bearing talar head dorsolaterally which is 75 often associated with deep fissures which are prone to breakdown and infection [6]. Walking on the dorsum of stiff 76 deformed feet will also lead to chronic pain [11] and difficulty fitting shoes is often problematic [9]. Pain occurs in 77 the skin and subcutaneous tissue on the dorsum of the foot [6]. Neglected CTEV in older children poses special 78 challenges due to the rigidity of the deformities and a fixed altered gait pattern. After years of accommodation 79 and joint adaptation, the skewed anatomy and the rigidity of the tissues make correction more difficult and a 80 perfect foot is unlikely [1,16]. Grill and Franke [8] stated that the limited ROM in the tarsometatarsal and 81 midtarsal joints were characteristic of neglected CTEV and prevents perfect surgical correction. 82

Since CTEV is a complex deformity combining several components, treatment must be individualised [10,17].
 Thus in open treatment, structures to be lengthened and released must be determined intraoperatively [17].

Correction of various deformities may require individual variations in technique and a supplementary operation however; there is usually one dominant deformity [10].

Treatment of CTEV has evolved over the past few decades where there has been an increased interest in the 87 minimally invasive Ponseti technique. The technique decreases the rates of stiffness and residual or recurrent 88 deformities associated with extensive soft tissue release [12]. It has been used as the sole means of correcting 89 neglected CTEV [3]. Serial manipulation and casting relies on the viscoelastic properties of connective tissue 90 to achieve plastic deformation via stress relaxation [18]. During gentle stretching, shortened tissues are placed 91 under tension however the tension lessens over time which then allows for more correction [18]. Lourenco [1] 92 retrospectively reviewed 17 patients (24 feet) ages 1.2 to nine years with neglected CTEV who were treated via 93 a modification of the Ponseti technique. In anticipation of these patients having soft tissue which have decreased 94 elasticity, the manipulations lasted five to ten minutes and the cast changed every two weeks to allow more time 95 for remodelling. All wore shoes, did activities of daily living, had no pain and all were satisfied. Khan and Kumar 96 [9] looked at 21 patients (25 neglected feet) with a mean age of 8.9 years, whereby 18 feet had full correction and 97 six feet had recurrent deformity at four year follow up. Spiegel et al [12] avoided extensive soft tissue releases in 98 94% of his patients aged six years and less. The Ponseti technique is thus a cost effective, safe modality [9] 99 In contrast to Khan and Kumar [9], Spiegel et al [12], Lourenco and Morcuende [1], and Hassan et al [19] 100

felt that the neglected CTEV deformity had no further potential for manipulative correction and that soft tissue release was required to achieve manipulation. He performed surgery in two stages for 24 patients (35 feet) with neglected CTEV where the 1 st stage was an extensive posteromedial releases including Achilles tendon lengthening, posterior capsulotomies of the ankle and subtalar joints and calcaneofibular ligament release. The

2 nd stage was a triple arthrodesis followed by 16 weeks in plaster where the last eight weeks was in a walking 105 plaster. Herold and Torok [10] who also utilised a similar two staged procedure treated 44 patients age 6-62 106 years. Manipulations and casting was performed between the two operations which allowed for skin closure 107 without tension [10]. Hassan et al [19] slightly under corrected after stage 1 to decrease wound complications, 108 then went for full correction after stage 2. Khan and Chinoy [20] proposed double zigzag incisions over the 109 medial aspect of the foot and over the Achilles tendon in 21 feet with severe neglected clubfoot and found that 110 this single operation allowed for full correction with no wound complications. Soft tissue release and Achilles 111 tendon lengthening prior to triple arthrodesis has been advocated because bony resection alone increases the 112 incidence of osteonecrosis of the talus, persistence of deformity and poor bony contact of midtarsal bones with 113 subsequent psuedoarthrosis [19,21]. Triple arthrodesis has been considered salvage [16] and intended to improve 114 function [10]. It is recommended beyond age 12 [11]. 115

In more resistant cases, soft tissue releases include complete release of posterior and medial subtalar joint 116 capsule, talonavicular capsulotomy (including spring ligament and bifuricate y ligament), medial calcaneocuboid 117 joint capsulotomy, knot of Henry and Abductor hallucis as well as tibial posterior lengthening [6]. Relapse 118 rates especially in older children with neglected CTEV are higher because of the tendency of bones to revert 119 to their deformed position [6]. Other factors include tight, thickened ligaments, and a significantly retracted 120 121 tibialis posterior tendon [9]. Ponseti [4] stated CTEV had a strong tendency to relapse regardless of the mode 122 of treatment, but stiff severe clubfoot with a small diameter calf are more prone to relapse. The components of clubfoot equinus and heel varus are most important relapses [4]. Although relapse of a deformity may be treated 123 with manipulation and casting there are situations in which surgery is offered because of unreliability of follow 124 up, that is, compliance with repeated sessions will be questionable [10]. Inadequate postoperative care, indelicate 125 surgery and improper choice of technique are other reasons for high recurrence rates [2,17]. 126

Residual equinus is common in young children post full soft tissue releases [6]. Scott et al [22] found that a lateral tether including the posterolateral subtalar joint capsule, peroneal tendon sheath and the calcaneofibular ligament may prevent dorsiflexion and needs to be released in the presence of residual equinus. Posterior releases are favoured in patients older than three years whereas tenotomy (open or percutaneous) is favoured in the less than three year old patient in treating residual equinus [12].

Stabile and Giorgini [16] have advocated a closing calcaneocuboid wedge osteotomy with a talonavicular joint 132 fusion as a procedure which gives consistently good results. Operations utilising closing wedge osteotomies and 133 triple arthrodesis, results in a noticeably shortened foot [8]. Although bony procedures allow for a near normal 134 foot correction, the results are often poor in terms of chronic pain and stiffness [11]. The Ilizarov method has 135 been used to avoid the need to perform bony resection or arthrodesis while achieving a balance in the discrepancy 136 in length between the medial and lateral sides of the foot via medial side lengthening in the form of continuous 137 distraction by an external fixator [8]. The Ilizarov external fixator decreases the likelihood of skin necrosis, 138 psuedoarthrosis, infection and vascular damage associated with osteotomies [8]. 139

Ferreira et al [23] used the Ilizarov fixator in 30 patients (38 feet) with a mean age of 19 years. 78.9% of patients had complete correction with no pain, while 23.7% required arthrodesis for symptomatic arthritis; however minimal bone resection was required, due to correction of severe deformities [23].

Similar to the Ilizarov fixator the Joshi external stabilisation system (JESS) also avoids scarring, skin complications and neurovascular injuries associated with open techniques [24].

145 **4 III.**

146 5 Conclusion

Many surgeons are now moving away from the Ponseti technique as well as soft tissue releases in favour of distraction techniques because of its ability to achieve deformity correction and produce a cosmetic foot with a near normal foot size.

¹⁵⁰ 6 Declaration of Conflict of Interest

There are no financial, institutional and personal relations that could potentially bias the information presented above. $^{1-2}$

 $^{^1 \}odot$ 2017 Global Journals Inc. (US) Year 2017

 $^{^{2}}$ © 2017 Global Journals Inc. (US)

- [Cummings et al. ()], R J Cummings, R S Davidson, P F Armstrong, W B Lehman. Congenital Clubfoot. J
 Bone Joint Surg Am 2002. 84 p. 290.
- [Stabile and Giorgini ()] 'A Review of Talipes Equino Varus'. R J Stabile , R J Giorgini . Podiatry Management
 2009. p. .
- [Windisch et al. ()] 'Anatomical study for an update comprehension of clubfoot. Part I: Bones and joints'. G
 Windisch , F Anderhuber , V Haldi-Brändle , G U Exner . J Child Orthop 2007. 1 (1) p. .
- [Scott et al. ()] 'Club foot. Observations on the surgical anatomy of dorsiflexion'. W A Scott , S W Hosking , A
 Catterall . J Bone Joint Surg Br 1984. 66 (1) p. .
- IShalaby and Hefny ()] 'Correction of complex foot deformities using the V-osteotomy and the Ilizarov technique'.
 H Shalaby , H Hefny . Strategies Trauma Limb Reconstr 2007. 2 (1) p. .
- [Ferreira et al. ()] 'Correction of neglected clubfoot using the Ilizarov external fixator'. R C Ferreira , M T Costo
 , G G Frizzo , Da Fonseca , F F Filho . *Foot Ankle Int* 2006. 27 (4) p. .
- [Lourenco and Morcuende ()] 'Correction of neglected idiopathic club foot by the Ponseti method'. A F Lourenco
 , J A Morcuende . J Bone Joint Surg Br 2007. 89 (3) p. .
- [Singh ()] 'Evaluation of Neglected Idiopathic Ctev Managed by Ligamentotaxis Using Jess: A Long-Term
 Followup'. A Singh . 10.4061/2011/218489. Advances in Orthopedics 2011. 2011.
- [Gupta et al. ()] 'Evaluation of the utility of the Ponseti method of correction of clubfoot deformity in a developing nation'. A Gupta , S Singh , P Patel , J Patel , M K Varshney . Int Orthop 2008. 32 (1) p.
 .
- [Roye and Roye ()] 'Idiopathic Congenital Talipes Equinovarus'. Jr Dp Roye , B D Roye . J Am Acad Orthop
 Surg 2002. 10 (4) p. .
- 174 [Spiegel et al. ()] 'Ponseti method for untreated idiopathic clubfeet in Nepalese patients from 1 to 6 years of age'.
- D A Spiegel , O P Shrestha , P Sitoula , T Rajbhandary , B Bijukachhe , A K Banskota . Clin Orthop Relat
 Res 2009. 467 (5) p. .
- [Khan and Kumar ()] 'Ponseti's manipulation in neglected clubfoot in children more than 7 years of age: a
 prospective evaluation of 25 feet with longterm follow-up'. S A Khan , A Kumar . J Pediatr Orthop B 2010.
 19 (5) p. .
- [Naddumba ()] 'Preventing neglected club feet in Uganda: A challenge to the health workers with limited
 resources'. E K Naddumba . *EAOJ* 2009. 3 (1) p. .
- 182 [Ponseti ()] 'Relapsing Clubfoot: Causes, Prevention, and Treatment'. I V Ponseti . Lowa Orthop J 2002. 22 p. .
- [Suresh et al. ()] 'Role of Joshi's external stabilisation system fixator in the management of idiopathic clubfoot'.
 S Suresh , A Ahmed , V K Sharma . J Orthop Surg 2003. 11 (2) p. .
- [Marthya and Arun ()] 'Short term results of correction of CTEV with JESS distractor'. H A Marthya , B Arun
 Journal of Orthopaedics 2004. 1 (1) p. e3.
- 187 [Taneja ()] 'Sojourn with club foot: 35 years experience'. D K Taneja . Indian J Orthop 2002. 36 (2) p. .
- [Herold and Torok ()] 'Surgical correction of neglected club foot in the older child and adult'. H Z Herold , G
 Torok . J Bone Joint Surg Am 1973. 55 (7) p. .
- [Grill and Franke ()] 'The Ilizarov distractor for the correction of relapsed or neglected clubfoot'. F Grill , J
 Franke . J Bone Joint Surg Br 1987. 69 (4) p. .
- 192 [Penny ()] 'The Neglected Clubfoot'. N J Penny . Techniques in Orthopaedics 2005. 20 (2) p. .
- 193 [Pirani et al. ()] 'Towards Effective Ponseti Clubfoot Care: The Uganda Sustainable Clubfoot Care Project'. S
- Pirani , E Naddumba , R Mathias , J Konde-Lule , J N Penny , T Beyeza . Clin Orthop Relat Res 2009. 467
 (5) p. .
- [Khan and Chinoy ()] 'Treatment of Severe and Neglected Clubfoot With a Double Zigzag Incision: Outcome of
 21 Feet in 15 Patients Followed Up Between 1 and 5 Years'. M A Khan , M A Chinoy . Journal of Foot and
 Ankle Surgery 2006. 45 (3) p. .
- [Angus and Cowell ()] 'Triple arthrodesis. A critical long-term review'. P D Angus , H R Cowell . J Bone Joint
 Surg Br 1986. 68 (2) p. .
- [Hassan et al. ()] 'Two stage surgery for treatment of neglected club foot'. A Hassan , S Al-Zahrani , T Takroni
 Bahrain Medical Bulletin 1995. 17 (2) .