

Preoperative Histopathological Grading and Clinical Staging versus Surgico-Pathological Grading and Surgical Staging in Endometrial Carcinoma Patients: A Single Centre Retrospective Study

Soobhug Rishikesh Singh

Received: 6 December 2017 Accepted: 31 December 2017 Published: 15 January 2018

Abstract

Results: Endometrioid adenocarcinoma was the most common histological subtype with an agreement of 75.3

Index terms— endometrioid adenocarcinoma, serous carcinoma, clear cell carcinoma, histological grade and staging.

1 I. Introduction

Endometrial cancer tops the list among tumors of the female genital tract in developed countries (1). In China, endometrial cancer ranks in second place behind cervical cancer as the most prevalent gynecological malignancy. It has been associated with reproductive factors, late menopause and high usage of histopathologic features, endometrial carcinoma is classified as Types I (mainly endometrioid) and II (non-endometrioid). Type I endometrial carcinomas are generally endometrioid adenocarcinomas making 80-90% of all cases. Type II cancers comprise the remaining 10-20% and include uterine papillary serous carcinoma and clear cell carcinoma (3,4).

Tumor grade and subtype are crucial parameters that dictate the extent of surgery, adjuvant therapy and prognosis (5). These have been determined by histological examination of an endometrial sample obtained by dilation and curettage (D & C) or Pipelle endometrial biopsy or hysteroscopic biopsy (6). The tumor is graded according to the percentage of solid From its introduction in 1958 until 1988 endometrial carcinoma had been clinically staged by the International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) (8). Inaccuracies in clinical staging (9) and results of Gynecologic Oncology Group (GOG) 33 contributed its alteration to surgical staging in 1988 (10). The latter has been lastly revised in 2009 (11). Endometrial carcinoma is distinct from other gynecologic cancers in that it has a double staging system: clinical and surgical staging (12) which are shown below in tables 1 and 2 (13,14). Clinical staging has been based on pelvic examination, endometrial biopsy and imaging studies (12). Surgical staging-either by laparotomy or minimally invasive techniques (15) involves inspection of the abdomen and pelvis, the collection of pelvic washings, hysterectomy, bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy (BSO) and pelvic and paraaortic lymphadenectomy (16). It has to be noted that pelvic washings no longer form part of FIGO 2009 surgical staging but are still collected at the time of hysterectomy (17).

Comprehensive surgical staging allows precise diagnosis of the disease and its extent, identification of high-risk patients for recurrence, tailoring of patients for determination of the prognosis (18,19). Despite these advantages, surgical staging has, clinical staging still holds significant importance in several instances. Firstly, it is valuable for patients who are not candidates for a hysterectomy due to morbid obesity or cardiopulmonary dysfunction that render surgery or anesthesia too risky (20). Adjuvant therapy has to be prescribed based solely on clinical staging and potential risk. This treatment plan adds to cost of medical care and increased morbidity for the patients (21). Secondly, clinical staging is applicable for young women desiring complete preservation of fertility. The endometrial lesions need to be excised and hormone therapy initiated (22). Thirdly, patients with clinical stage II disease who cannot undergo a radical hysterectomy due to associated co-morbidities may have to be treated by neoadjuvant radiotherapy followed by simple hysterectomy (23).

The study aims to compare the accuracy of the tumor cell type and grade in the endometrial sampling with that of the hysterectomy specimen. Clinical and surgical staging were also analyzed to determine the reliability

of the pretreatment clinical assessment. The electronic medical records of these patients had been examined, and clinicopathological data including age, body mass index, parity, clinical staging, tumor grade and histology preoperatively and postoperatively as well as surgical staging had been extracted. Preoperative investigations were: complete blood count, fasting blood sugar, liver function tests, blood urea, creatinine, electrolytes, thyroid function tests, tumor markers and chest X-ray. All the patients underwent a sonographic examination at first, followed by dilation and curettage and lastly either abdominopelvic CT scan or MR imaging. In patients in whom endometrial cancer was being suspected, but the histopathological report was inconclusive hysteroscopy has been performed. The surgical approach for hysterectomy was either laparotomy or laparoscopy depending on the surgeon's skills and experience. Upon entering the abdomen 100ml of sterile saline were poured in the pelvis and the peritoneal washings had been collected. Then, followed a thorough intra abdominal and pelvic exploration and any suspicious areas were biopsied or excised. Next hysterectomy with bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy, pelvic lymphadenectomy, and selective para-aortic lymphadenectomy were carried out.

The statistical workouts have been performed using SPSS software version 20.

2 III. Results

From January 2015 to December 2016, 97 endometrial carcinoma patients had been identified. 16 of them had been excluded from the study as:

1. Four patients received neoadjuvant chemotherapy.
2. Five patients received radiation therapy preoperatively.

3 Four patients-endometrial tissue sampling identified

adenocarcinoma, but the hysterectomy specimens have been reported as severe endometrial hyperplasia. 5. Two patients-endometrial carcinoma was diagnosed postoperatively. These patients lacked preoperative tumor histology, grading, and clinical staging.

The final sample constituted of 81 patients. The characteristics of the study group have been summarized in Table 3. 3. One patient -histological report revealed no cancer cell from the hysterectomy specimen.

Table 4 shows the results of histological analysis of the preoperative curettage samples and the hysterectomy specimens.

4 a) Preoperative Cell Type

According to the histologic examination of the endometrial tissue samplings, endometrioid carcinoma was the most common pathology ($61/81 = 75.3\%$) followed by adenocarcinoma ($14/81 = 17.3\%$). The remaining 6 cases (7.4%) have been read as follows: Three serous carcinoma, one clear cell carcinoma, one mucinous carcinoma and one mixed carcinoma.

5 b) Postoperative Cell Type

From the postoperative specimens, the adenocarcinoma subtype has been ultimately assigned as endometrioid carcinoma ($12/14 = 85.7\%$), mucinous carcinoma ($1/14 = 7.1\%$), mixed carcinoma ($1/14 = 7.1\%$).

8.2% (5/61) of endometrioid carcinoma have been reviewed to serous carcinoma (3 cases) and cell carcinoma in the final histological report.

1 patient with serous carcinoma has been diagnosed as endometrioid carcinoma on the final histology.

As a result, the tumors were finally distributed as endometrioid ($69/81 = 85.2\%$), serous ($5/81 = 6.2\%$), clear cell ($3/81 = 3.7\%$), mucinous ($2/81 = 2.5\%$) and mixed carcinoma ($2/81 = 2.5\%$).

6 c) Overall Agreement

The overall concordance between the preoperative and postoperative subtypes was 75.3% (61/81). Diagnoses of fifty six endometrioid carcinomas, two serous carcinomas, one mucinous carcinoma, one clear cell carcinoma and one mixed carcinoma corresponded with their original subtypes.

7 e) Postoperative Tumor Grade

However, in the postoperative specimens Grade 2 tumors were still the most common diagnosis but in lesser amount $41/81$ (50.6%). This decline also mirrored Grade 1 tumors $15/81$ (18.5%). Compared with the initial grading, Grade 3 tumors have been increased to $25/81$ (30.9%) in the final pathology report.

8 f) Overall Agreement

The accuracy between the different preoperative and postoperative tumor grades has been highlighted in light green in table 5. As the tumor grades were increasing, the discrepancy between the endometrial tissue samplings and the hysterectomy specimens decreased. The results show the highest concordance of 81.3% ??13/16)

9 IV. Discussion

Endometrial cancer is of multifactorial etiology. In all, increasing body mass index and obesity is a well-established risk factor for endometrial cancer incidence, both in premenopausal and postmenopausal women (24,25). Before menopause estrogen is primarily derived from the ovaries. However, after menopause adipose tissue becomes the principal source of estrogen. In response to advancing age and excess adiposity, the level of aromatase enzyme increases. Aromatase causes peripheral aromatization of androstenedione to estrone and estradiol. Simultaneously overweight/obesity decreases the level of sex hormone binding globulin (SHBG) that binds estrogens (24). This biologic model is especially evident in postmenopausal women (26). The net result is an increased level of unopposed estrogens that stimulate endometrial proliferation, a prerequisite for endometrial tumorigenesis (27). Other menarche, late menopause, use of tamoxifen or exogenous estrogens without progestins, physical inactivity, diabetes, hypertension, and Lynch syndrome (28)(29)(30)(31)(32).

Grading indicates the degree of tumor aggressiveness (33). Histotype, grade, and stage are fundamental pathological elements that constitute an integral part of different risk predictive clinical models used to guide treatment (34). Preoperative grading and histologic subtype are among parameters used to determine lymphadenectomy during a hysterectomy (35). However, tumor grade following hysterectomy is frequently different from the initial endometrial sampling (36).

In a meta-analysis which included 16 previous studies that were published between 1997 and 2016 and assessed the accuracy of endometrial sampling in endometrial carcinoma, Visser et al. reported a magnitude of 67% agreement between preoperative tumor grading and final diagnosis (6). Several previous kinds of literature have shown that the rate of concordance increases with tumor grade, discrepancy being pronounced in grade 1 tumor (12,37)(38)(39)(40). Wang et al. compared the histological grades between curettage and hysterectomy specimen and concluded an upgrading of 50% in grade 1 tumors (41). Furthermore, Petersen et al. deduced the poorest correlation in grade 1 tumors and expressed the need for comprehensive surgical staging during hysterectomy regardless of the grade (38). These findings are consistent with those in this study. On the contrary results of analysis by Wang et al show an accuracy of 70.2%, 67.2%, and 84.4% for grades 1, 2 and 3 respectively (42).

10 j) Discordances

The discrepancy between clinical stage I and surgical stage I was 21.4% (12/56). 7 cases (12.5%) had been upstaged to surgical stage II and 5 cases (8.9%) to surgical stage III.

Among 23 cases which were assigned clinical stage II, the inaccuracy in their diagnoses was 74.9% (17/23) after surgical staging. 11 cases (47.8%) were down-staged to the surgical stage I and 6 cases (26.1%) had been upstaged to surgical stage III.

2 cases (100%) with clinical stage III had been confirmed as surgical stage III.

Based on these results, the highest discrepancy rate has been noted in clinical stage II. i.e., 74.9%. The light blue values in table 6 indicate concordance rate between clinical and surgical staging.

well-known risk factors include low parity, early explanation for the difficulty in the distinction between grades 1 and 2 tumors has been attributed to an interobserver agreement. Tumor grading has been based on nuclear features, and the amount of non-squamous solid tumor distinguished from the glands. It becomes very challenging for pathologists to accurately determine the 5% and 6% cutoff values in Grades 1 and 2 tumors. The overall kappa statistics for FIGO grade assignment between pathologists is 0.41-0.68 which signifies only moderate levels of inter-observer agreement. Also, when keratinization is unidentifiable, some squamous areas may be read together with the solid tumor (34,43).

Overall the reasons for changes in tumor grade are numerous. Firstly, more tissue is available for histological analysis following hysterectomy than during curettage. Stock et al. concluded that D & C blindly scrapes less than 50% of the uterine wall in 60% of patients (44). Secondly, in the final specimen, the tumor is examined in a complete form. As a result, tissue sampling from an intact uterus for morphology increases the accuracy of the postoperative diagnosis. Thirdly, there may be a change in tumor grade from the time of D&C to hysterectomy because there is a long gap for surgery. This time span is not applicable to this study as surgery has been performed within weeks after initial diagnosis. Finally, the discrepancy between grades may not be an erroneous diagnosis. In the hysterectomy specimen, there are variations in histologic type, areas of marked cellular and nuclear pleomorphism, high mitotic activity and lack of glandular differentiation. As a result, there is a heterogeneous population of cells and grade ranging from grades 1 to 3 (37). Hence, it is unlikely that the area which has been scrapped during D & C has been analyzed in the final hysterectomy specimen.

Concerning tumor histology, a concordance rate of 75.3% between pre-hysterectomy sample and final pathology has been found. This (45,46).

A discrepancy rate of 8.2% was seen among the endometrioid adenocarcinomas as they have been finally diagnosed as the high grade serous and clear cell carcinoma. M.H. Baek et al. reviewed 817 patients, of which 672 (82.3%) were of endometrioid cell type, with a discordance rate of 6.8% (47). Uterine papillary serous carcinoma and clear cell carcinoma are aggressive histologic subtypes with the propensity of extrauterine metastasis and have been associated with more than 50% of relapses and deaths from endometrial carcinoma (48,49). Initial management involves a hysterectomy, bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy, pelvic and para-aortic lymphadenectomy and omentectomy (50). On the other hand, the primary treatment of patients with early-staged endometrial carcinoma is hysterectomy and bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy with or without lymphadenectomy (51). In a

study of 349 patients with clinical stage 1, grade 1 endometrioid tumors (low-risk) Ben-Shachar et al. found that 2.5% of these patients had been ultimately diagnosed as the serous or clear cell carcinoma on final pathology (52). Based on misdiagnoses from the curettage samples in this study, five patients (8.2%) would have undergone suboptimal surgical staging which would have resulted patients. In his study, A. Di Cello et al. showed that preoperatively patients who had been positively identified as serous carcinoma erroneously diagnosed as grade 3 endometrioid adenocarcinoma is not as harmful to the patients as the reverse (53).

In this study cohort, 61.7% of patients were above 50 years. The discrepancy between initial and final histology has been explained by the fact that postmenopausal women usually have an atrophic endometrium and obtaining an adequate amount of tissue for histological diagnosis is often challenging (54). A large volume of tissue may permit more accurate evaluation of mixed endometrioid and non-endometrioid tumors (55). Lack of technical skills while performing curettage and low reproducibility between pathologists can also explain a magnitude of discrepancy between initial and final histologic subtypes (56,57).

The tumor stage has been recognized as the chief prognostic factor for endometrial carcinoma, irrespective of histology and grade (58). Accurate preoperative staging is of clinical value to guide the surgical approach to avoid over -or under-treatment of patients, especially the elderly ones due to associated comorbidities (33). In the present study, a discordance of 35.8% between the clinical and surgical stage was found. This value coincides with other previous studies, occurring in 26.9%-51% of patients (36,40, ??9,60). In this study, 21.4% of patients with clinical stage 1 were upstaged following surgery. A similar outcome between 19.7% -30.4% was reported (36,40, ??59)(60)(61)(62). However, the highest inaccuracy had been observed in clinical stage II where 73.9% of patients were assigned a different stage postoperatively. Several authors have also evoked this in their literature with a discrepancy rate ranging between 49% -80.5% (36, ??9,60,62,63). This change in staging might have been accounted by the fact that at the time of dilation and curettage lesions of an involved cervix might be omitted or an uninvolved cervix might have been wrongly diagnosed as having tumor cells (62). In this study, 8. © 2018 Global Journals E skipped. Intraoperative neurovascular injury, pelvic lymphocyst formation, and leg edema are complications of lymphadenectomy that are a serious concern to surgeons (64). Orr et al. reported that the long-term risks of lymphocyst formation were 1.3% and that of lymphedema was 0.7% (65). The benefits of lymphadenectomy outweigh the harms of the complications and provide valuable information regarding adjuvant therapy and recurrence.

Our study is limited firstly by its retrospective nature as well as a small number of patients. Secondly, hysterectomy has been performed by a team of multiple surgeons who have different levels of expertise. Thirdly, the number of lymph nodes removed at the time of hysterectomy varies. Finally, the preoperative samplings and final hysterectomy specimens have not been examined by the same pathologists. This alteration may have included bias in the reading of the histological slides. However, all the patients selected for the study were from a single center, and surgical specimens had been analyzed at that same institution which allowed a detailed discussion with the pathologists regarding the intraoperative findings. Another strength of our study is that all the preoperative specimen were obtained by D & C rather than by Pipelle endometrial biopsy as the latter has low sensitivity in the atrophic endometrium.

11 V. Conclusion

In short, tumor grade was similar in 65.4% of patients. 25.9% had been upgraded, and 8.6% downgraded. While 75.3% of preoperative histology corresponded with the final report, 8.3% of aggressive tumors had been missed. Concordance between clinical and surgical staging was 64.2%. 22.2% had been upstaged whereas 13.6% were down-staged. The surgeon should diligently interpret preoperative reports to plan hysterectomy and the extent of lymphadenectomy or adopt fertility-sparing surgery in endometrial cancer as the final histopathological findings and staging might change.

¹Preoperative Histopathological Grading and Clinical Staging versus Surgico-Pathological Grading and Surgical Staging in Endometrial Carcinoma Patients: A Single Centre Retrospective Study

1

Stage	Characteristics
Stage I	The carcinoma is confined to the corpus uteri
Stage IA	The length of the uterine cavity is $\leq$ 8 cm
Stage IB	The length of the uterine cavity is $>$ 8 cm
Stage II	The carcinoma has involved the corpus and the cervix but has not extended outside the uterus
Stage III	The carcinoma has extended outside the uterus but not outside the true pelvis
Stage IV	The carcinoma has extended outside the true pelvis or has obviously involved the mucosa of the bladder or rectum. A bullous edema as such does not permit a case to be allocated to stage IV
Stage IVA	Spread of the growth to adjacent organs
Stage IVB	Spread of distant organs

Figure 1: Table 1 :

2

Stage	Characteristics
I	Tumor confined to the corpus uteri
IA	No or less than half myometrial invasion
IB	Invasion equal to or more than half of the myometrium
II	Tumor invades cervical stroma, but does not extend beyond the uterus
III	Local and/or regional spread of the tumor
IIIA	Tumor invades the serosa of the corpus uteri and/or adnexae
IIIB	Vaginal and/or parametrial involvement
IIIC	Metastasis to pelvic and/or paraaortic lymph nodes
IIIC1	Positive pelvic nodes
IIIC2	Positive paraaortic lymph nodes with or without positive pelvic lymph nodes
IV	Tumor invades bladder and/or bowel mucosa, and/or distant metastases
IVA	Tumor invasion of bladder and/or bowel mucosa
IVB	Distant metastases, including intraabdominal metastases and/or inguinal lymph nodes
II. Materials and Methods Following approval by the Institutional Review Board, a retrospective review had	

1. Patients who had been adequately investigated,

2. Patients with a preoperative histopathological report suggesting endometrial cancer which had been

confirmed after hysterectomy,

Figure 2: Table 2 :

3

Characteristics		(N= 81, 100%)
Age	< 50 years ? 50	31 (38.3%) 50 (61.7%)
	Nulligravida	4 (4.9%)
Gravida	Primigravida	11 (13.6%)
	Multigravida	66 (81.5%)
	Nulliparous	6 (7.4%)
Parity	P1 P2	42 (51.9%) 26 (32.1%)
	P?3	7 (8.6%)
	< 18.5	3 (3.7%)
BMI	18.5-24.9 25.0-29.9	36 (44.4%) 37 (45.7%)
	?30	5 (6.2%)
These women had a mean age of 53.6 years		(range P0-P5). The median body mass index (BMI) was
(range 35-76 years). 81.5% of the cohort were		25.1 kg/m ² (range 17.7-37.2 kg/m ²).
multigravida (range G0-G10), and 51.9% were primipara		

Figure 3: Table 3 :

4

		Hysterectomy Specimen					Total
		Endometrioid carcinoma	Serous carcinoma	Clear cell carcinoma	Mucinous carcinoma	Mixed carcinoma	
and curet- tage	Endometrioid carcinoma	56 1 0 0	3 2 0 0	2 0 1 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	61 3
	Serous carcinoma						1 1
	Clear cell carcinoma						
	Mucinous carcinoma						
Dilation	Mixed carcinoma	0 12	0 0	0 0	0 1	1 1	1 14
	Adenocarcinoma						
	Total	69	5	3	2	2	81

Figure 4: Table 4 :

5

		Postoperative			Total	
		Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3		
Preoperative	Grade 1	10 (45.5%) 4	9 (40.9%) 30	3 (13.6%) 9	22	(27.2%)
	Grade 2	(9.3%) 1 (6.2%)	(69.8%) 2 (12.5%)	(20.9%) 13	43	(53.1%)
	Grade 3			(81.3%) 16	16	(19.7%)
	Total	15 (18.5%)	41 (50.6%)	25 (30.9%)	81	(100%)

Figure 5: Table 5 :

5

Year
2018
Volume
XVIII
Issue
I Ver-
sion
I

(D D summarizes the comparison
D D) of the histologic grades be-
E tween the preoperative sam-
plings

Global and the surgical specimens.
Jour- d) Preoperative Tumor
nal of Grade Based on initial
Med- pathological analysis of
ical endometrial curettage,
Re- 43/81 (53.1%) cases of
search endometrial carcinoma have
been mostly read as Grade
2 tumors, 22/81 (27.2%) as
Grade 1 tumors and 16/81
(19.7%) as Grade 3 tumors.

© 2018 Global Journals 1

In Grade 2 tumors 30/81 (69.8%) of the pre-
operative grading coincided with the final one.
Therefore the

overall concordance rate was 53/81 (65.4%)
g) Upgrading and Downgrading 34.6% (28/81)
of the patients had a revision in their tumor
grade. 21/81(25.9%) had been upgraded while
only 7/81 (8.6%) had been downgraded. 12/22
(54.5%) of Grade 1 tumors were upgraded:
9/22 (40.9%) to Grade 2 and 3/22 (13.6%)
Out of the 43 Grade 2 tumors, 9/43 (20.9%)
were upgraded to Grade 3 while 4/43 (9.3%)
had been downgraded to Grade 1. being
downgraded to Grade 2, and 1/16 (6.2%) had
been downgraded to Grade 1. to Grade 3.

Figure 6: Table 5

6

Of the 16 Grade 3 tumors, 2/16 (12.5%) were

Figure 7: Table 6 :

16.05% (13/81) as stage II and 16.05% Volume XVIII Issue I Version I
(13/81) as stage III.

D D D D) E
(
Medical Research
Global Journal of

© 2018 Global Journals

Figure 8:

Figure 9:

.1 Acknowledgement

We would like to be thankful to all those who have contributed in this manuscript. We are also very grateful for the support provided in this work by The First Affiliated Hospital of Chongqing Medical University (Grant No.2015 qdlx_12), the study of the relationship between miR-99a and insulin-induced endometrial carcinoma, Dr. Qin Zhou.

.2 Disclosures

There is no conflict of interest to declare. This study was not funded by any agency.

[Chen et al.] , Y L Chen , W F Cheng , M C Lin , C Y Huang , C Y Hsieh , C A Chen . *Concurrent endometrial carcinoma in* (1) p. .

[Zhonghua fu chan ke za zhi ()] , *Zhonghua fu chan ke za zhi* 1997. 32 (10) p. .

[Zhonghua fu chan ke za zhi ()] , *Zhonghua fu chan ke za zhi* 2001. 36 (8) p. .

[Townamchai et al. ()] ‘A novel low dose fractionation regimen for adjuvant vaginal brachytherapy in early stage endometrioid endometrial cancer’. K Townamchai , L Lee , A N Viswanathan . *Gynecol Oncol* 2012. 127 (2) p. .

[Visser et al. ()] ‘Accuracy of Endometrial Sampling in Endometrial Carcinoma: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis’. Ncm Visser , C Reijnen , L Massuger , I D Nagtegaal , J Bulten , Jma Pijnenborg . *Obstetrics and gynecology* 2017. 130 (4) p. .

[Su et al. ()] ‘Accuracy of hysteroscopic biopsy, compared to dilation and curettage, as a predictor of final pathology in patients with endometrial cancer’. H Su , L Huang , K G Huang , C F Yen , C M Han , C L Lee . *Taiwanese journal of obstetrics & gynecology* 2015. 54 (6) p. .

[Wang et al. ()] *Accuracy of tumor grade by preoperative curettage and associated clinicopathologic factors in clinical stage I endometriod adenocarcinoma*. Chinese medical journal, X Y Wang , Z M Pan , X D Chen , W G Lu , X Xie . 2009. 122 p. .

[Helpman et al. ()] ‘Assessment of endometrial sampling as a predictor of final surgical pathology in endometrial cancer’. L Helpman , R Kupets , A Covens , R S Saad , M A Khalifa , N Ismiil . *British journal of cancer* 2014. 110 (3) p. .

[Luo et al. ()] ‘Association between diabetes, diabetes treatment and risk of developing endometrial cancer’. J Luo , S Beresford , C Chen , R Chlebowski , L Garcia , L Kuller . *British journal of cancer* 2014. 111 (7) p. .

[Lindemann et al. ()] ‘Body mass, diabetes and smoking, and endometrial cancer risk: a follow-up study’. K Lindemann , L J Vatten , M Ellstrom-Eng , A Eskild . *British journal of cancer* 2008. 98 (9) p. .

[Amant et al. ()] *Cancer of the corpus uteri. International journal of gynaecology and obstetrics: the official organ of the International Federation of Gynaecology and Obstetrics*, F Amant , M R Mirza , M Koskas , C L Creutzberg . 2015. 131 p. . (Suppl)

[Wang et al. ()] ‘Clinical factors affecting the diagnostic accuracy of assessing dilation and curettage vs frozen section specimens for histologic grade and depth of myometrial invasion in endometrial carcinoma’. X Wang , H Zhang , W Di , W Li . *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology* 2009. 201 (2) p. .

[Buranawattanachoke et al. ()] ‘Comparison between clinical and surgical staging for endometrial cancer in Thailand. Asian Pacific journal of cancer prevention’. S Buranawattanachoke , S Leelahakorn , S Tangjitgamol , S Manusirivithaya , J Khunnarong . *APJCP* 2009. 10 (4) p. .

[Ta et al. ()] ‘Comparison of Clinical and Surgical Staging in Patients With Endometrial Carcinoma’. Cowles Ta , Magrina Jf , Masterson Bj , Capen Cv . *Obstetrics & Gynecology* 1985. 66 (3) p. .

[Wang et al. ()] ‘Comparison of D&C and hysterectomy pathologic findings in endometrial cancer patients’. X Wang , Z Huang , W Di , Q Lin . *Archives of gynecology and obstetrics* 2005. 272 (2) p. .

[Kisielewski et al. ()] ‘Comparison of endometrial biopsy and postoperative hysterectomy specimen findings in patients with atypical endometrial hyperplasia and endometrial cancer’. F Kisielewski , M E Gajewska , M J Marczevska , G Panek , M Wielgos , P Kaminski . *Ginekologia polska* 2016. 87 (7) p. .

[Goksedef et al. ()] ‘Comparison of preoperative endometrial biopsy grade and final pathologic diagnosis in patients with endometrioid endometrial cancer’. B P Goksedef , O Akbayir , A Corbacioglu , H Guraslan , F Sencan , O Erol . *Journal of the Turkish German Gynecological Association* 2012. 13 (2) p. .

[Rungruang and Olawaiye ()] ‘Comprehensive Surgical Staging for Endometrial Cancer’. B Rungruang , A B Olawaiye . *Reviews in Obstetrics and Gynecology* 2012. 5 (1) p. .

[Mitchard and Hirschowitz ()] ‘Concordance of FIGO grade of endometrial adenocarcinomas in biopsy and hysterectomy specimens’. J Mitchard , L Hirschowitz . *Histopathology* 2003. 42 (4) p. .

[Vorgias et al. ()] ‘Diagnostic accuracy of pre hysterectomy curettage in determining tumor type and grade in patients with endometrial cancer’. G Vorgias , J Lekka , M Katsoulis , E Varhalama , N Kalinoglou , T Akrivos . *MedGenMed: Medscape general medicine* 2003. 5 (4) p. 7.

- [Kim et al. ()] ‘Dilatation and curettage is more accurate than endometrial aspiration biopsy in early-stage endometrial cancer patients treated with high dose oral progestin and levonorgestrel intrauterine system’. D H Kim , S J Seong , M K Kim , H S Bae , M Kim , B S Yun . *J Gynecol Oncol* 2017. 28 (1) p. e1.
- [Momeni et al. ()] ‘Does the type of surgery for early-stage endometrial cancer affect the rate of reported lymphovascular space invasion in final pathology specimens?’. M Momeni , V Kolev , J Cardenas-Goicoechea , J Getrajdman , D Fishman , L Chuang . *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology* 2013. 208 (1) p. .
- [Jones et al. ()] ‘Dosimetric feasibility of stereotactic body radiation therapy as an alternative to brachytherapy for definitive treatment of medically inoperable early stage endometrial cancer’. R Jones , Q Chen , R Best , B Libby , E F Crandley , T N Showalter . *Radiation oncology* 2014. 9 p. 164.
- [Leminen et al. ()] ‘Endometrial adenocarcinoma with clinical evidence of cervical involvement: accuracy of diagnostic procedures, clinical course, and prognostic factors’. A Leminen , M Forss , P Lehtovirta . *Acta obstetricia et gynecologica Scandinavica* 1995. 74 (1) p. .
- [Petersen et al. ()] *Endometrial adenocarcinoma—presenting pathology is a poor guide to surgical management. The Australian & New Zealand journal of obstetrics & gynaecology*, R W Petersen , J A Quinlivan , G R Casper , J L Nicklin . 2000. 40 p. .
- [Oakley and Nahhas ()] ‘Endometrial adenocarcinoma: therapeutic impact of preoperative histopathologic examination of endometrial tissue’. G Oakley , W A Nahhas . *European journal of gynaecological oncology* 1989. 10 (4) p. .
- [Amant et al. ()] ‘Endometrial cancer’. F Amant , P Moerman , P Neven , D Timmerman , E Van Limbergen , I Vergote . *Lancet* 2005. 366 (9484) p. .
- [Yang et al. ()] ‘Endometrial cancer risk factors by 2 main histologic subtypes: the NIH-AARP Diet and Health Study’. H P Yang , N Wentzensen , B Trabert , G L Gierach , A S Felix , M J Gunter . *American journal of epidemiology* 2013. 177 (2) p. .
- [Clarke and Gilks ()] ‘Endometrial carcinoma: controversies in histopathological assessment of grade and tumour cell type’. B A Clarke , C B Gilks . *Journal of clinical pathology* 2010. 63 (5) p. .
- [Bartosch and Lopes ()] ‘Endometrial carcinomas: a review emphasizing overlapping and distinctive morphological and immunohistochemical features’. C Bartosch , Manuel Lopes , J , OlivaE . *Advances in anatomic pathology* 2011. 18 (6) p. .
- [Jiang et al. ()] ‘Epidemiology of gynecologic cancers in China’. X Jiang , H Tang , T Chen . *J Gynecol Oncol* 2018. 29 (1) p. e7.
- [Lee et al. ()] ‘Hormone therapy for younger patients with endometrial cancer’. W L Lee , F K Lee , W H Su , K H Tsui , C D Kuo , S L Hsieh . *Taiwanese journal of obstetrics & gynecology* 2012. 51 (4) p. .
- [Jaishuen et al. ()] ‘Incidence and clinical outcomes of nonendometrioid carcinoma of endometrium: Siriraj Hospital experience. Asian Pacific journal of cancer prevention’. A Jaishuen , K Kunakornporamat , B Viriyapak , M Benjapibal , P Chaopotong , J Petsuksiri . *APJCP* 2014. 15 (6) p. .
- [Ferlay et al. ()] ‘International Agency for Research on Cancer’. J Ferlay , Si , M Ervik , R Dikshit , S Eser , C Mathers , M Rebelo , D M Parkin , D Forman , F Bray , Globocan . v1.1. *Cancer Incidence and Mortality Worldwide: IARC CancerBase* 2012. 2012. (11) .
- [Nofech-Mozes et al. ()] ‘Interobserver agreement for endometrial cancer characteristics evaluated on biopsy material’. S Nofech-Mozes , N Ismiil , V Dube , R S Saad , Z Ghorab , A Grin . *Obstet Gynecol Int* 2012. 2012 p. 414086.
- [Sirisabya et al. ()] ‘Is complete surgical staging necessary in clinically early-stage endometrial carcinoma?’. N Sirisabya , T Manchana , P Worasethsin , N Khemapech , R Lertkhachonsuk , T Sittisomwong . *International journal of gynecological cancer : official journal of the International Gynecological Cancer Society* 2009. 19 (6) p. .
- [Lu et al. ()] ‘Long-term overweight and weight gain in early adulthood in association with risk of endometrial cancer’. L Lu , H Risch , M L Irwin , S T Mayne , B Cartmel , P Schwartz . *International journal of cancer* 2011. 129 (5) p. .
- [Mills et al. ()] *Lynch syndrome screening should be considered for all patients with newly diagnosed endometrial cancer. The American journal of surgical pathology*, A M Mills , S Liou , J M Ford , J S Berek , R K Pai , T A Longacre . 2014. 38 p. .
- [Talhouk and Mcalpine ()] ‘New classification of endometrial cancers: the development and potential applications of genomic-based classification in research and clinical care’. A Talhouk , J N Mcalpine . *Gynecologic Oncology Research and Practice* 2016. 3 p. 14.
- [Arem and Irwin ()] ‘Obesity and endometrial cancer survival: a systematic review’. H Arem , M L Irwin . *International journal of obesity* 2005. 2013. 37 (5) p. .

- [Barut et al. ()] *Ozmen Bayar U. Comparison of the histopathological diagnoses of preoperative dilatation and curettage and hysterectomy specimens. The journal of obstetrics and gynaecology research*, A Barut , F Barut , I Arikan , M Harma , M I Harma . 2012. 38 p. .
- [Matsuo et al. ()] 'Prediction of concurrent endometrial carcinoma in women with endometrial hyperplasia'. K Matsuo , A A Ramzan , M R Gualtieri , P Mhawech-Fauceglia , H Machida , A Moeini . *Gynecol Oncol* 2015. 139 (2) p. .
- [Okadome et al. ()] 'Prediction of histological types of endometrial cancer by endometrial cytology'. M Okadome , T Saito , N Nishiyama , K Ariyoshi , K Shimamoto , T Shimada , K Kodama , S Imamura , K Nishiyama , K Taguchi . *The journal of obstetrics and gynaecology research* 2014. 40.
- [Cakiroglu et al. ()] 'Prediction of tumor grade and stage in endometrial carcinoma by preoperative assessment of sonographic endometrial thickness: Is it possible?'. Y Cakiroglu , E Doger , Yildirim Kopuk , S Ozcan , C Nalbant , B Corakci , A . *Turkish journal of obstetrics and gynecology* 2014. 11 (4) p. .
- [Frumovitz et al. ()] 'Predictors of final histology in patients with endometrial cancer'. M Frumovitz , D K Singh , L Meyer , D H Smith , I Wertheim , E Resnik . *Gynecol Oncol* 2004. 95 (3) p. .
- [Shukla et al. ()] 'Preoperative high dose rate brachytherapy for clinical stage II endometrial carcinoma'. G Shukla , S Beriwal , T C Krivak , J L Kelley , P Sukumvanich , R P Edwards . *Journal of contemporary brachytherapy* 2011. 3 (2) p. .
- [Baek et al. ()] 'Reliability of tumour grade 1 and endometrioid cell type on preoperative endometrial biopsy'. M H Baek , Y R Park , D S Suh , S W Lee , J Y Park , D Kim . *Journal of obstetrics and gynaecology : the journal of the Institute of Obstetrics and Gynaecology* 2015. 35 (1) p. .
- [Cragun et al. ()] 'Retrospective analysis of selective lymphadenectomy in apparent earlystage endometrial cancer'. J M Cragun , L J Havrilesky , B Calingaert , I Synan , A A Secord , J T Soper . *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* 2005. 23 (16) p. .
- [Ali ()] 'Risk factors for endometrial cancer'. A T Ali . *Ceska gynekologie* 2013. 78 (5) p. .
- [Cote et al. ()] 'Risk factors for endometrial cancer in black and white women: a pooled analysis from the Epidemiology of Endometrial Cancer Consortium (E2C2)'. M L Cote , T Alhajj , J J Ruterbusch , L Bernstein , L A Brinton , W J Blot . *Cancer causes & control : CCC* 2015. 26 (2) p. .
- [Khoury-Collado et al. ()] *Sentinel Lymph Node Mapping in Endometrial Cancer: An Update. The oncologist*, F Khoury-Collado , St Clair , C , Abu-Rustum Nr . 2016. 21 p. .
- [Haltia et al. ()] 'staging for endometrial carcinoma: a comparative study on prediction of survival and stage distribution according to histologic subtype'. U M Haltia , R Butzow , A Leminen , M Loukovaara . *J Gynecol Oncol* 1988 versus 2009. 2014. 25 (1) p. .
- [Falcone et al. ()] *Surgical management of early endometrial cancer: an update and proposal of a therapeutic algorithm*, F Falcone , G Balbi , Di Martino , L Grauso , F Salzillo , M E Messalli , EM . 2014. 20 p. . (Medical science monitor : international medical journal of experimental and clinical research)
- [Creasman et al. ()] 'Surgical pathologic spread patterns of endometrial cancer. A Gynecologic Oncology Group Study'. W T Creasman , C P Morrow , B N Bundy , H D Homesley , J E Graham , P B Heller . *Cancer* 1987. 60 (8) p. . (Suppl)
- [Ben-Shachar et al. ()] 'Surgical staging for patients presenting with grade 1 endometrial carcinoma'. I Ben-Shachar , J Pavelka , D E Cohn , L J Copeland , N Ramirez , T Manolitsas . *Obstetrics and gynecology* 2005. 105 (3) p. .
- [Kirby et al. ()] 'Surgical staging in endometrial cancer'. T O Kirby , C A Leath , L C Kilgore . *Oncology* 2006. 20 (1) p. .
- [Orr et al. ()] 'Surgical staging of uterine cancer: an analysis of perioperative morbidity'. J W Orr Jr , R W Holloway , P F Orr , J L Holimon . *Gynecol Oncol* 1991. 42 (3) p. .
- [Zhang et al.] *The clinical value of surgical-pathological staging for endometrial carcinoma*, W Zhang , P Bai , L Wu .
- [Peng et al.] *The comparison of clinical and surgical-pathological staging for endometrial carcinoma*, Z Peng , X Liu , J Zhang .
- [Goudge et al. ()] 'The impact of complete surgical staging on adjuvant treatment decisions in endometrial cancer'. C Goudge , S Bernhard , N G Cloven , P Morris . *Gynecol Oncol* 2004. 93 (2) p. .
- [Mattes et al. ()] 'The incidence of pelvic and paraaortic lymph node metastasis in uterine papillary serous and clear cell carcinoma according to the SEER registry'. M D Mattes , J C Lee , D J Metzger , H Ashamalla , E Katsoulakis . *J Gynecol Oncol* 2015. 26 (1) p. .
- [Abu-Rustum et al. ()] 'The revised 2009 FIGO staging system for endometrial cancer: should the 1988 FIGO stages IA and IB be altered?'. N R Abu-Rustum , Q Zhou , A Iasonos , K M Alektiar , M M Leitao , Jr Chi , DS . *International journal of gynecological cancer : official journal of the International Gynecological Cancer Society* 2011. 21 (3) p. .

- 373 [May et al. ()] ‘The role of para-aortic lymphadenectomy in the surgical staging of women with intermediate and
374 high-risk endometrial adenocarcinomas’. T May , M Shoni , A F Vitonis , C M Quick , W B Growdon , M G
375 Muto . *International journal of surgical oncology* 2013. 2013. p. 858916.
- 376 [Schmandt et al. ()] ‘Understanding obesity and endometrial cancer risk: opportunities for prevention’. R E
377 Schmandt , D A Iglesias , N N Co , K H Lu . *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology* 2011. 205 (6) p. .
- 378 [Hamilton et al. ()] ‘Uterine papillary serous and clear cell carcinomas predict for poorer survival compared to
379 grade 3 endometrioid corpus cancers’. C A Hamilton , M K Cheung , K Osann , L Chen , N N Teng , T A
380 Longacre . *British journal of cancer* 2006. 94 (5) p. .