Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.*

Enhanced Immune Responses of Trivalent Foot and Mouth Disease Vaccine using Montanide Oil and Clinoptilolite Adjuvants in Cattle Hiam M. Fakhry¹ ¹ Veterinary Serum and Vaccine Research Institute, *Received: 15 December 2017 Accepted: 5 January 2018 Published: 15 January 2018*

8 Abstract

25

Adjuvant play an important role in the efficacy of vaccines, the protective immune response 9 produced by vaccines can vary according to the kinds of adjuvant. The comprehensive 10 sero-immunological study was conducted to reveal the adjutant?s effect of Clinoptilolite and 11 oil on the immune response of trivalent Foot and mouth disease (FMD) vaccine in cattle. This 12 study was conducted in five cattle groups; The first group was vaccinated intramuscularly 13 (I/M) with trivalent FMD Clinoptilolite (1 ?g/dose) vaccine, The second group was vaccinated 14 with FMD (Oil + Clinoptilolite) vaccine and Third group was vaccinated with FMD oil 15 vaccine while the fourth group were non vaccinated used as negative control and fifth group 16 were used for safety test. Then conducted tests to compare the enhancement in cattle 17 immunity. The humeral and cellular immune responses were monitored in different tested 18 groups. The obtained results indicated that the incorporation of Clinoptilolite into inactivated 19 FMD vaccine induces an increase of the specific protective immune response. Higher and 20 longer period of immune responses were found in cattle vaccinated with both Montanide oil 21 and Clinoptilolite adjuvanted vaccine up to 40 weeks, while those vaccinated with 22 Clinoptilolite or oil vaccine showed protected immunity up to 32 weeks respectively. Finally, 23 we recommended that using of Clinoptilolite with oil as a potential adjuvant in FMD vaccine. 24

26 Index terms— FMD virus, vaccine, clinoptilolite, XTT, SNT, and ELISA.

³⁴ FMD virus, vaccine, clinoptilolite, XTT, SNT, and ELISA.

NLMC Code: QW 70 vaccines, the protective immune response comprehensive seroreveal the adjutant's effect of Clinoptilolite and oil on the immune vaccine in cattle. This study was conducted in five with trivalent FMD Clinoptilolite h FMD (Oil + Clinoptilolite) vaccine and Third vaccine while the fourth group were non vaccinated used as negative Then conducted tests to compare the enhancement in monitored in different tested groups.

³⁹ 1 I. Introduction

⁴⁰ oot-and-mouth disease (FMD) is an acute infectious disease that infects cloven-hoofed mammals, such as pigs, ⁴¹ cattle, cattle and goats (Dar et al., 2013). The causative agent is a singlestranded positive-sense RNA virus that

Adjuvant play an important role in the efficacy of vaccines, the protective immune response vaccines can vary according to the kinds of adjuvant. The comprehensive sero immunological study was conducted to reveal the adjutant's effect of Clinoptilolite and oil on the alent Foot and mouth disease (FMD) vaccine in cattle. This study was conduc groups; The first group was vaccinated intramuscularly (I/M) with trivalent FMD Clinoptilolite second group was vaccinated with FMD (Oil + Clinoptilolite) vaccine while the fourth group were non vaccinated used as control and fifth group were used for safety test. Then conducted tests to compare the enhancement in immunity. The humeral and cellular immune responses were monitored in different tested groups.

6 F) EVALUATION OF THE PREPARED VACCINE FORMULATIONS: STERILITY AND SAFETY TESTING

belongs to the genus Aphthovirus in the family Picornaviridae. The virus has seven serological types, identified
as; O, A, C, SAT1, SAT2, SAT3 and Asia1 (Dar et al., 2013).

FMD is characterized by fever, lameness and vesicular lesions on the feet, tongue, snout, and teats, with high morbidity and low mortality (Rodriguez and Grubman 2009).

In Egypt, the disease is enzootic, and outbreaks have been reported since 1950, Type O was the most prevalent since1960 Control of FMD in animals was considered to be important to effectively contain the disease in endemic areas, so that vaccination is effective in limiting the spread of FMD (Depa et al., (2012).

The vaccine adjuvant is the very important factor which stimulates specific components of either cellular or humeral immune response Lombard (2007) Adjuvants, also can prolong the immune response and stimulate specific components of the immune response either humeral or cell-mediated (Lombard et al., 2007).Continuous improvement of formulations to obtain the highly immunogenic vaccine, The improvement not only depend on the antigen payload, but also selecting the ideal or the most suitable adjuvant is one of the important tools in improving the efficacy of the FMD vaccine. Adjuvant is one which can stimulate the humeral immune response early (onset), and promote the production of high antibody titers that would long duration. It should also

stimulate the cellular immune response (Park 2013).
The oil adjuvant has the capability for generating a rapid, high and long-lasting immune response. Generally,
the Montanide Series of oil adjuvant (SEPPIC, France) has a immunological effect for inactivated vaccine in
different susceptible animals (Fakhry et al., 2012, Dar et al., 2013 ?? and Ehab et al., 2015).

Clinoptiolite is a natural, non-toxic that has monoclinic crystal structure symmetry (Mansouri et al 2013). Also Clinoptiolite not classified as to their carcinogenicity to humans and animal ??Dong et al., 2003). Clinoptiolite has been extensively tested for toxicity in a wide range of animals, including rats, mice, hamsters, beagles, and pigs appear to lack toxic effects unless ingested in very large quantities (European Parliament 1997), it does not

64 have any side effect (Ray Sahelian 2016).

Clinoptilolite is a micro mineral particle that in earlier studies has shown adjuvant activity against different antigens. Clinoptilolite is safe and effective (Garces 1999 and ??hodes2010). Clinoptilolites play an important role in regulating the immune system. (Aikoh et al., 1998) have reported that silica, silicates, and aluminosilicates act as nonspecific immunostimulators similarly to super antigens. Super antigens are a class of immunostimulatory and disease-causing proteins of bacterial and viral origin with the ability to activate relatively large fractions (5-20%) of the T cell population, as well as humoral immune responses.

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the efficacy of Clinoptilolites in addition to ISA 206 as an adjuvant of inactivated trivalent FMDV, to stimulate the immune response.

⁷³ 2 II. Materials and Methods

74 3 a) Animals

i. Cattle 21 cattle were clinically healthy and free from antibodies against FMDV.

ii. Unweaned baby mice 30 Swiss Albino suckling mice (three to five days old were) classified into six groups,

⁷⁷ used in safety test of inactivated virus and vaccines and supplied by the Lab. animal's farm of Veterinary Serum

⁷⁸ and Vaccine Research Institue, Abbasia, Cairo, Egypt.

79 4 b) FMD virus Strains

Local FMDV strains (O /pan Asia2, A/ Iran 05 and SAT2/ Egypt 2012) were isolated and identified by Veterinary
Serum and Vaccine Research Institute, Abbasia, Cairo. and confirmed by Pirbright (FMD-WRL), United
Kingdom. FMDV were propagated in BHK21 cell line in roller bottles (Huang et al., 2011), each virus had
an infectivity titer of 108 TCID50/ml as described by (Reed and Muench 1938). These viruses were used as virus
mitogens in the lymphocyte proliferation assay, vaccine preparation and SNT

⁸⁵ 5 c) Inactivation of FMD virus

FMD virus strains were inactivated with mixture of 1 mM binary ethyl eneimine (BEI) and 0.04% formaldehyde according to the method described by (Sarkar et al., 2017)

⁸⁸ 6 f) Evaluation of the prepared vaccine formulations: Sterility ⁸⁹ and safety testing

90 The vaccines were cultured on Sabouraud's, nutrient agar; thioglycolate broth, phenol dextrose media and 91 mycoplasma medium. The tested vaccines were free from any aerobic, anaerobic bacteria and fungal contaminants.

⁹² The Safety of inactivated virus and vaccines were done according to (OIE 2013).

i. Evaluation of Cellular Immunity Heparinized blood samples were obtained from vaccinated and control
 non-vaccinated animals at 0, 3, 7, 14, 21, 28, 35 and 42 days post vaccination.

Stimulation of the cellular immune response by the different prepared FMD vaccine was evaluated using cell
proliferation kit (XTT kit) according to EL-Naggar (2012).

ii. Evaluation of humeral immune response of vaccinated animals Serum samples were collected from the
vaccinated and non-vaccinated cattle weekly postvaccination for one month then every 2 weeks post vaccination
up to 40 weeks for evaluation of antibody titers against FMDV strains (O /pan Asia2, A/Iran 05 and SAT2/Egypt
2012) in serum samples were measured using the neutralization assay as described previously (OIE 2012) and
indirect ELISA according to (Voller et al., 1976).

¹⁰² 7 g) Experimental Design

¹⁰³ 21 cattle were classified into five groups, five animals for each first three groups. The first group was vaccinated ¹⁰⁴ with 3 ml intramuscularly (I/M) with trivalent FMD Clinoptilolite (1 ?g/dose) vaccine, the second group was ¹⁰⁵ vaccinated with 3 ml FMD (oil + clinoptilolite) vaccine and Third group was vaccinated with 3ml FMD oil ¹⁰⁶ vaccine. While the fourth group (three animal) were none vaccinated used as negative control and fifth group ¹⁰⁷ (three animal) were used for safety test.

¹⁰⁸ 8 III. Results and Discussion

Foot and Mouth Disease (FMD) is an acute disease caused by Foot and Mouth Disease Virus (FMDV) which causes economy losses (Orsel et al., 2007). In endemic areas the vaccination of animals is effective in control and limiting the spread of FMD.

FMD vaccines can be defined as a specific formulation of chemically inactivated virus strains and mix with a suitable adjuvant.

Selecting the suitable vaccine formulation is dependent on several factors as the onset of protection and the duration of protection against FMD.

The effective formulation of inactivated FMD vaccines requires adjuvant Clinoptilolite, and Montanide ISA 206 mineral oil-based formulations have been widely employed in experimental studies to obtain a vaccine that

stimulates a rapid and long-lasting protective immune response, the formulated vaccines are safe for animal use. In this work, we studied the effect of natural Clinoptilolite particles to induce specific and protective immune

response against foot and mouth disease.

The formulation Clinoptilolites-FMDV is non toxic with adjuvant activity (Batista et al., 2010). Vaccine formulations containing the adjuvant could promote the presentation of the virus so it could increase the immune response and the protection (Batista, et al., 2010 and Fakhry et al., 2012).

124 Stimulation of the cellular immune response by the different prepared FMD vaccine was evaluated using 125 Lymphocyte blastogenesis using XTT assay) according to (Scudiero et al., 1988).

The obtained results of cell-mediated immune response using lymphocyte proliferation test for all animal groups expressed by Î?"OD (Delta Optical Density) were as follow: 3 ml intramuscularly (I/M) with trivalent FMD Clinoptilolite (1 ?g/dose) vaccine. The second group was vaccinated with 3 ml FMD (oil + Clinoptilolite) vaccine and Third group was vaccinated with 3ml FMD oil vaccine.

In group 1 (trivalent FMD Clinoptilolite vaccine): Delta Optical Density was (0.517) by using FMD viruses at
 3rd -day post vaccination(DPV) and still rise reached its highest level (1.557) at 3rd -week post vaccination(WPV)
 and continue high within examination time 35 DPV.

In group 2 (trivalent FMD oil + Clinoptilolite vaccine: Delta Optical Density was (0.515) by using FMD viruses at 3rd -DPV and still rise reached its highest level (1.665) at 2nd -WPV, and continue high within 35 DPV then declined.

In group 3 (trivalent FMD oil vaccine): Delta Optical Density was (0.473) by using FMD viruses at 3rd -DPV
 and still rise reached its highest level (1.136) at 3rd -WPV then declined gradually as shown in Table ??o. (1).

From Tables (1) showed the results of cell-mediated immune response using lymphocyte proliferation test for all animal groups expressed by Î?"OD (Delta Optical Density) appeared to be supported by (Sharma et al., 1984) they reported that cell mediated immune response was a constitute of immune response against FMD virus, and in agreement in some points with (Mercedes et al., 1996

¹⁴² 9 a) Tracing the antibody titer against FMDV serotypes (O, ¹⁴³ A&SAT2)

The SNT and ELISA data (Tables2&3) show differences in the onset, intensity and duration of the FMD serotype 144 O, A &SAT2 antibodies elicited by the different vaccine formulations. Concerning the onset of protection, it is 145 clear that FMD Clinoptilolite vaccine (group1 and FMD Clinoptilolite + oil vaccine (group3) reach the protective 146 level at 2nd WPV early than group (2) FMD oil vaccine which reach protective level at 3rd WPV The results 147 revealed that SNT titers for FMD vaccines, go in hand with the results obtained are consistent with the statement 148 of (Wisniewski et al., 1972) they explained that the SNT measures those antibodies which neutralize the infectivity 149 150 of FMD virion. The peak of antibody titre in all groups at 10-12 WPV and continues with protective level till 32th WPV in FMD Clinoptilolite vaccine and FMD oil vaccine groups while in FMD Clinoptilolite+ oil vaccine 151 group till 40th WPV. The results agreed with (Kreimir et al., 2000, and Rhodes 2010) who showed that adjuvant 152 properties of Clinoptilolite as potent adjuvant induced higher antibody titers than the antigen alone or vaccine 153 adjuvanted with Montanide oil and improved the potency of adjuvants. Results supported also by (Batista et 154

9 A) TRACING THE ANTIBODY TITER AGAINST FMDV SEROTYPES (O, A&SAT2)

al., 2010) they found that Clinoptilolite help the vaccine work more effectively, increasing antibody production.
Who found that Clinoptilolite might help the vaccine work more effectively, increasing antibody production, also
Clinoptilolite improved B-cells function, improved mucosal and humoral immunity and protective activity also
helped vaccine for induction strong immunity when used as adjuvant. Our results also go in hand with the results
obtained were consistent with the statement of (Hamblin et al., 1986) who explained that the SNT measures
those antibodies which neutralize the infectivity of FMD virion, while ELISA probably measure all classes of
antibodies even those produced against incomplete and non-infectious virus.

Finally, it can conclude that: The usage of Clinoptilolite as an adjuvant alone or preferable with ISA 206 oil

in inactivated FMD trivalent vaccine induces long lasting immunity than that induced with oil adjuvant alone

and improve both cellular and humoral immunity and resulted in earlier and more long lasting immunity, also it gave an early immunity when it used alone.

So

Figure 1:

d) Adjuvants i. Montanoid Oil ISA 206 Montanide Oil was obtained from Seppic, Paris, France. ii. Clinoptilolite The fine powder of natural clinoptilolite was obtained by Micronisiertes Klinoptilolith -Hochwertigs Naturminera, Germany. e) Formulation of the prepared vaccines i. Vaccine 1: Clinoptilolites adjuvant vaccine Trivalent inactivated FMD with $1 \mu g/doses$ of Clinoptilolites according to (Mansouri et al., 2013, and (Hiam and Assem 2014). ii. Vaccine 2: Oil and Clinoptilolites adjuvant vaccine Trivalent inactivated FMD with Montanide ISA $206 + 1\mu g/doses$ of Clinoptilolites according to (Alhawary et al., 2017) iii. Vaccine 3 Trivalent inactivated FMD with Montanide ISA 206 according to (Barnett et al., 1999).

Figure 2:

Figure 3:

166

 $^{^{1}}$ © 2018 Global Journals 1

1

Volume XVIII Issue II Version I D D D D) G (Medical Research Global Journal of

Figure 4: Table 1 :

 $\mathbf{2}$

Cattle groups vaccinated with trivalent FMD vaccines Weeks FMD Clinoptilolite vaccine FMD Clinoptilolite+ oil vaccine								F
FMD	FMD	Mean antibody	titer agains	t FMD v	virus strains F	MD FMD FMD FMD	FMI	ci
(\mathbf{O})	(•)		(\mathbf{O})	(\mathbf{A})			(\mathbf{O})	
. ,		· · · ·	· · ·		· /	0.15	(O)	()
0.15	0	0.5	0.15	0.27	0.27	0.15		0
11	1.05	19	0.0	0.0	0.0		19	1
						1.65	1.2	1
						1.00	1 0	2
								$\frac{2}{2}$
								2
								3
								3
								3
							3.0	3
						2.85		2
2.1	2.1	2.4	2.5	2.4	2.37		2.6	2
1.8	1.8	2.1	2.37	2.13	2.25		2.4	2
1.65	1.65	1.8	2.13	2.04	2.16	2.25		2
1.5	1.5	1.65	1.83	1.77	1.7		2.1	2
1.05	1.05	1.2	1.35	1.17	1.20	1.65		1
0.75	0.6	0.75	0.9	0.75	0.6		1.5	1
	FMD (O) 0.15 1.1 1.65 2.1 2.4 2.7 2.85 2.85 2.55 2.55 2.55 2.55 2.4 2.1 1.8 1.65 1.5 1.05	FMDFMD(O) 0.15 (A) 0 1.11.05 1.65 1.651.8 2.1 2.12.1 2.4 2.42.7 2.7 2.85 2.85 2.85 2.55 2.55 2.7 2.4 2.1 2.1 2.1 1.8 1.65 1.65 1.65 1.5 1.05	FMD Clinoptilolite vaccineFMDFMDMean antibody(O) 0.15 (A) 0 (SAT2) 0.3 1.11.051.21.651.81.82.12.11.952.42.42.72.72.852.852.853.153.02.552.72.853.02.552.72.851.51.12.11.651.651.81.81.51.51.51.65	FMD Clinoptilolite vaccineFMD CliFMDFMDMean antibody titer agains(O) 0.15 (A) 0 (SAT2) 0.3 (O) 0.15 1.11.051.20.91.651.81.81.142.12.11.951.712.42.42.41.952.72.72.72.342.852.852.852.582.853.153.02.822.552.853.03.02.552.72.852.82.42.42.552.62.12.12.42.51.81.82.12.371.651.651.82.131.51.051.21.35	FMD Clinoptilolite vaccineFMD ClinoptiloliteFMDFMDMean antibodytiter againstFMD value(O)(A)(SAT2)(O)(A) 0.15 00.30.150.271.11.051.20.90.91.651.81.81.141.292.12.11.951.711.82.42.42.41.952.12.72.72.72.342.252.852.852.582.72.853.153.03.03.02.552.853.62.62.62.12.12.42.552.62.62.152.853.03.03.02.552.72.852.82.82.42.42.552.62.62.12.12.42.52.41.81.82.12.372.131.651.651.82.132.041.51.51.651.831.771.051.051.21.351.17	FMD Clinoptilolite vaccineFMD Clinoptilolite+ oil vaccineFMDFMDMean antibody titer against FMD virus strains F(O)(A)(SAT2)(O)(A)(SAT2) 0.15 00.30.150.270.27 1.1 1.05 1.2 0.90.90.9 1.65 1.8 1.8 1.14 1.29 1.38 2.1 2.1 1.95 1.71 1.8 1.77 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.95 2.1 1.8 2.7 2.7 2.34 2.25 2.1 2.85 2.85 2.58 2.7 2.37 2.85 3.0 3.0 3.0 2.55 2.85 2.8 2.82 2.4 2.4 2.55 2.6 2.6 2.4 2.4 2.55 2.8 2.77 2.55 2.85 3.0 3.0 3.0 2.55 2.7 2.85 2.6 2.6 2.4 2.4 2.55 2.6 2.6 2.4 2.4 2.55 2.6 2.6 2.4 2.4 2.55 2.4 2.37 1.8 1.8 2.13 2.04 2.16 1.5 1.65 1.83 1.77 1.7 1.05 1.05 1.2 1.35 1.17	FMD Clinoptilolite vaccineFMD Clinoptilolite+ oil vaccineFMDFMDMean antibody titer againstFMD virus strainsFMD FMD FMD FMD FMD(O)(A) $(SAT2)$ (O)(A) $(SAT2)$ 0.15 00.30.150.270.270.151.11.051.20.90.90.91.651.81.81.141.291.381.652.12.11.951.711.81.772.42.42.41.952.11.82.72.72.72.342.252.12.852.852.582.72.372.853.153.02.822.822.72.552.853.03.03.03.02.42.42.552.62.62.492.852.12.12.42.572.372.852.552.72.852.82.82.702.42.42.552.62.62.492.852.12.12.372.132.251.651.651.81.651.651.82.132.042.162.251.51.51.651.831.771.71.051.051.051.21.351.171.201.65	FMD Clinoptilolite vaccineFMD Clinoptilolite+ oil vaccineFMDFMDMean antibody titer against FMD virus strains FMD FMD FMD FMD FMDFMI(O)(A)(SAT2)(O)(A)(SAT2)(O) 0.15 00.30.150.270.270.151.11.051.20.90.90.91.21.651.81.81.141.291.381.652.12.11.951.711.81.771.82.42.42.41.952.11.82.72.852.852.582.72.373.02.853.153.02.822.822.73.32.552.853.03.03.03.03.02.42.42.452.62.492.852.112.12.42.52.42.372.61.41.82.12.372.132.252.41.51.651.831.771.72.11.051.051.21.351.171.201.65

Figure 5: Table 2 :

9 A) TRACING THE ANTIBODY TITER AGAINST FMDV SEROTYPES (O, A&SAT2)

3

Time post	vaccine FMD Clinoptilolite	ELISA titers of vaccinated animal groups vaccine FMD (Clinoptil

vaccination	0	А	SAT2	0	А	SAT2
0	0.18*	A 0.21	0.21	0.11	A 0.21	0.21
0			-			
1 week	1.93	1.95	1.93	1.70	1.70	1.69
2 week	2.12	2.12	2.11	1.97	1.99	1.96
3 week	2.42	2.42	2.41	2.61	2.62	2.61
4 week	2.47	2.47	2.46	2.43	2.49	2.48
6 week	2.73	2.73	2.73	2.73	2.79	2.79
8 week	2.92	2.92	2.92	2.92	2.95	2.95
10 week	3.12	3.15	3.13	3.32	3.34	3.33
12 week	3.15	3.15	3.15	3.15	3.19	3.19
14 week	2.85	2.85	2.85	2.97	2.99	2.99
16 week	2.67	2.67	2.67	2.75	2.78	2.76
18 week	2.66	2.66	2.65	2.69	2.71	2.71
20 week	2.34	2.34	2.34	2.60	2.62	2.62
22 week	2.31	2.32	2.32	2.44	2.46	2.46
24 week	2.34	2.34	2.34	2.43	2.46	2.46
26 week	2.11	2.19	2.19	2.43	2.45	2.43
28 week	2.11	2.15	2.15	2.43	2.44	2.44
30 week	2.10	2.12	2.12	2.34	2.36	2.36
32 week	1.95	1.98	1.97	2.27	2.29	2.29
34 week	1.93	1.95	1.95	2.10	2.11	2.10
36 week	1.91	1.92	1.92	1.97	1.99	1.99
38 week	1.71	1.72	1.71	1.97	1.98	1.96
40 week	1.59	1.61	1.61	1.95	1.95	1.92

Figure 6: Table 3 :

- 167 [Abdel-Rahman et al.], A O Abdel-Rahman, M A Farag, Samira El-Kilany.
- [Lombard et al. ()] 'A brief history of vaccines and vaccination'. M Lombard , P P Pastoret , A M Moulin . *Rev. Sci. Tech* 2007. 26 (1) p. .
- 172 [Aikoh et al. ()] 'Activation-induced cell death in human peripheral blood lymphocytes after stimulation with
- silicate in vitro'. T Aikoh , Tomokuni A , T Matsukii , F Hyodoh , H Ueki , T Otsuki , A Ueki . Int J Oncol
 174 1998. 12 p. .
- [Batista et al. (2010)] 'Adjuvant effect of Cliptox on the protective immune response induced by an inactivated
 vaccine against foot and mouth disease virus in mice'. A Batista, V Quattrocchi, V Olivera, C Langellotti
 J S Pappalardo, S Di Giacomo, C Mongini, D I Portuondo, P Zamorano. Vaccine 2010. 2010 Aug 31.
- [Hamblin et al. ()] 'Anew Enzyme-Linked Immuno Sorbent Assay (ELISA) for the detection of antibodies against
 FMD virus. ? Application'. C Hamblin , Barnett I T R , J R Crowther . Journal of immunological methods
 1986. 93 p. .
- [Parliament (1997)] Application for the Approval of Clinoptilolite Regulation (EC) No.258/97 of the European
 Parliament and of the Council of 27th, European Parliament . 1997. January 1997.
- [Sonia et al. ()] 'Comparative study of T cell proliferative response in cattle vaccinated with FMD vaccine using
 Cell titre-Aqueous one solution non radioactive assay (MTS). Zag'. A Sonia , Rizk , M Hiam , Abu-Elnaga H
 I Fakhry . Vet. J 1110- 1458. 2010. 2010. 38 (4) p. .
- [Sarkar et al. (2017)] 'Comparison of different inactivation methods on the stability of Indian vaccine strains of
 foot and mouth disease virus'. A Sarkar , R P Selvan , S Kishore , K Ganesh , V Bhanuprakash . *Biologicals* 2017. 2017 Jul. 48 p. .
- [Farag et al. ()] 'ELISA as a rapid method for detecting the correlation between the field isolates of foot and
 mouth disease and the current used vaccine strain in Egypt'. M A Farag , M A Aggour , A M Daoud . Vet.
 Med. J. Giza 2005. 53 (4) p. .
- [Sonia et al. ()] 'Enhancing effects of Calcium phosphate nanoparticles adjuvant on the Immune response in
 cattle vaccinated with Foot and Mouth Disease trivalent vaccine'. A Sonia , Rizk , Ekbal Abo Bakr Agoor ,
 Hind Farok , Daoud , Hiam M Fakhry . Egyptian J. virology 2015. 2015. p. .
- [Huang et al. ()] 'Establishment of persistent infection with foot and mouth disease virus in BHK-21 cells'. X
 Huang , Y Li , H Fang , C Zheng . Virology Journal 2011. 8 p. 169.
- [David ()] Evaluation of Calcium Phosphate Nanoparticles Mineralized with Proteins and Peptides for Use as
 Adjuvants in Protein and Nucleic Acid Vaccines, David . 2013. 2013. Doctor of Philosophy University of
 Washington
- [Scudiero et al. ()] 'Evaluation of soluble tetrazolium/Formazan Assay for cell growth and drug sensitivity in
 culture using human and other tumer cell lines'. D A Scudiero, R H Shoemaker, K D Paull, A Monks, S
 Tierney, T H Nofziger, M J Currens, D Seniff, M R Boyd. *Cancer Research Journal* 1988. 48 p. .
- [Fakhry et al. ()] 'Field application of bivalent foot and mouth disease vaccine adjuvanted with Montanide ISA
 (25, 50, 206) and IMS (1113-3015) as an alternative to aluminum hydroxide gel'. H M Fakhry, S A Rizk, H
 I Abu-Elnaga, W Deghaidy, A A Talaat, A Z Hegazi. Egypt. J. Virol 2012. 9 (1) p. .
- [Hiam et al. ()] 'Field application of trivalent foot and mouth disease vaccine adjuvant with Zeolite'. F Hiam ,
 Assem M Alhawary , Sonia A Abobaker , Akram Z Rizk , Hegazi , A Abobaker , Agoor ; Alhawary . J. of
 Virol. Sci 2017. 2017. 2 p. .
- [Foot and mouth disease OIE 2012: Manual of diagnostic tests and vaccines for terrestrial animals ()] 'Foot
- and mouth disease'. OIE 2012: Manual of diagnostic tests and vaccines for terrestrial animals, (Paris,
- France) 2012. 2013. 2013. OIE. OIE/FAO Foot-and-Mouth Disease Reference Laboratory Network, Annual
 (Report)
- [Orsel et al. ()] 'Foot and mouth disease virus transmission among vaccinated pigs after exposure to virus shedding pigs'. K Orsel , M C Dejong , A Bouma , J A Stegeman , A Dekker . Vaccine 2007. 2 (21) p.
 .
- [Rodriguez and Grubman ()] 'Foot and mouth disease virus vaccines'. L L Rodriguez , M J Grubman . Vaccine
 2009. 27 p. . (Suppl)
- 218 [Pluimers ()] Foot-and-Mouth disease control using vaccination: the Dutch experience, F H Pluimers . 2004. 2001.
- [Garces and Treacz Marcus Misher Higgins (ed.) ()] J M Garces . Proceedings of the 12th International Confer ence on Clinoptilolites, Mmj Treacz, B K Marcus, M E Misher, J B Higgins (ed.) (the 12th International
- Conference on ClinoptilolitesWarrendale) 1999. Materials Research Society. p. . (Observations on Clinoptilo lite applications)

9 A) TRACING THE ANTIBODY TITER AGAINST FMDV SEROTYPES (O, A&SAT2)

- [Nabiollah and Rikhtegar] 'Homayon Ahmad Panahi, Farideh Atabi and Behrouz Karimi Shahraki 2013:
 Porosity, characterization and structural properties of natural zeolite -clinoptilolite -as a sorbent'. Mansouri
 Nabiollah , Navid Rikhtegar . Environment Protection Engineering 39 p. .
- [El-Din et al. ()] 'Humeral and cellular immune response of Egyptian trivalent foot and mouth disease oil vaccine
 in cattle'. W M El-Din , E E Ibrahim , H Daoud , S M Ali . *Res. Opin. Anim. Vet. Sci* 2014. 4 (4) p. .
- [El-Sayed Ibrahim Gamal Hassan El-Din Mahdy Hegazy ()] 'ISA 206 used in trivalent foot and mouth disease
- vaccine'. Comparative study on the immunopotentiator effect of ISA, Ehab El-Sayed Ibrahim, Wael Mossad
 Gamal, Amr Ismail Hassan, Safy El-Din Mahdy, Akram, Zakria Hegazy, Magdy Mahmoud Abdel-Atty (ed.)
 2015. 201 p. . (ISA. P.(1189-1198)
- 232 [Eman et al. ()] 'Isolation and Identification of FMDV during an outbreak of 2006 in Egypt'. M A Eman , Manal
- Abo El-Yazed , S Zeidan . Kafr El-Sheikh Vet. Med. J 2006. 2006. 4(1) .
- [Shawky et al. ()] 'Isolation and Molecular Characterization of Foot and Mouth Disease SAT2 Virus during
- Outbreak 2012 in Egypt'. M Shawky, Abd El-Aty, M Hiam, . M Fakry, M Hind, Ehab Daoud, I El-Sayed
 , G Mossad, A Sonia, Rizk. J Vet Adv2013 Abu-Elnaga H., Mohamed A. A., Abd El-kreem A. and Farouk
 E. M. (ed.) 2013. 3 (2) p. .
- [Kre Imir Paveli et al. (2000)] Mirko Kre Imir Paveli , Ljiljana Had Ija , Jasminka Bedrica , Ivan Điki Paveli ,
 Marijeta Maakati , Maja Kralj , Sanja Herak Bosnar , Marija Kapitanovi , Ranko Poljak-Blaiimun Kri Anac
 Mislav Stojkovi , Jurin . Natural Clinoptilolite clinoptilolite: new adjuvant in anticancer therapy, 2000. April
- 241 2000. October 2000. (Published online 1-2)
- [Voller and Bartleha ()] 'Micro plate enzyme immuno assay for the immuno diagnosis of virus infection'. A Voller ,
 Bartleha . *Manual of Clinical Immunology*, N Rose, H Friedman (ed.) 1976. American Society for Microbiology.
- 244 69 p. .
- [Tsai et al. ()] 'Molecular epidemio-logical studies on foot-and-mouth disease type O Taiwan viruses from the
 1997epidemic'. C P Tsai , C H Pan , M Y Liu , Y L Lin , C M Chen , T S Huang . Vet Microbiol 2000. 2000.
 74 p. .
- ²⁴⁸ [Dar et al. ()] 'Montanide ISA 201 adjuvanted FMD vaccine induces improved immune responsesand protection ²⁴⁹ in cattle'. P Dar, R Kalaivanan, N Sied, B Mamo, S Kishore, V V Suryanarayana. Vaccine 2013. 2013.
- 250 31 p. .
- [Nader et al. (2014)] M Nader , Sobhy , K Sunil , Mohammed E M Mor , Mohammed , M Iman , Bastawecy ,
 M Hiam , Sagar M Fakhry , Goyal . *Phylogenetic analysis of Egyptian foot and mouth disease virus endemic* strains in 2013. 5th international conference of virology, 2014. December 9-12/2014. 2014. 11 p. .
- [El-Naggar ()] Preparation of inactivated lyophilized NDV vaccine, H El-Naggar . 2012. Sc in Veterinary Science
 (Virology). Cairo University
- [Rhodes ()] 'Properties and applications of Clinoptilolite'. C J Rhodes . *Review PMID* 2010. 93 (Pt3) p. . (Sci
 Prog)
- ²⁵⁸ [Mercedes et al. ()] 'Recognition of foot-andmouth disease virus and its capsid protein VP1 by bovine peripheral
- T lymphocytes'. G V Mercedes , D Timothy , C Trevor , R Martin , R E P Michael . Journal of General
 Virology 1996. 1996. 77 p. .
- [El-Watany et al. ()] 'Relationship between cellular and humoral immunity responses in animal vaccinated with
 FMD vaccine'. H El-Watany , M M Shawky , O M Roshdy , S El-Kelany . Zagazig Vet. J 1999. 27 (1) p. .
- [Sharma et al. ()] Report on the outbreak of foot and mouth disease in buffaloes in the southern part of Vietnam.
 Veterinary viral diseases, M C Sharma, M N Pathak, M N Hung, D L Nhi, N V Vuc. 1984. p. .
- [Park ()] 'Requirements for improved vaccines against foot-and-mouth disease epidemics'. J H Park . Clin Exp
 Vaccine Res 2013. 2013. 2 p. .
- [Hiam et al. (2014)] 'study of zeolite as immune stimulant in foot and mouth disease trivalent oil adjuvant vaccine
- in cattle'. M Hiam , Fakhry , A A Assem . 5th international conference of virology, 2014. December 9-12/2014.
 2014. 11 p. .
- [Li et al. ()] 'The comparison of the efficacy of swine FMD vaccine emulsified with oil adjuvant of ISA 201 VG
 or ISA 206 VG'. Dong Li , Chunxue Zhou , Daliang She , Pinghua Li , Pu Sun , Xingwen Bai , Yingli Chen
 Baoxia Xie , Zaixin Liu . *Journal of Biosciences and Medicines* 2013. 2013. 1 p. .
- [Depa et al. ()] 'Update on epidemiology and control of foot and mouth disease -A menace to international trade and global animal enterprise'. P M Depa , U Dimri , M C Sharma , R Tiwari . *Vet. World* 2012. 5 (11) p. .
- [Satya ()] 'Vaccination against foot-andmouth disease virus: Strategies and effectiveness'. P Satya . Expert Rev.
 Vaccines 2009. 8 (3) p. .
- [Wisniewski et al. ()] J Wisniewski , T Kobusiewiecz , C Baronowski , JankowskoJ . Determination of the level of immunity in cattle on the basis of neutralizing, 1972.
- 279 [Ray Sahelian (2016)] Zeolite supplement benefit and side effects, review, does it have benefits to the body. A
- review of Medline in 15, M D Ray Sahelian . 2016. March 2016.