## Global Journals LaTeX JournalKaleidoscope<sup>TM</sup>

Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.

# Rupture Uterus in a Tertiary Care Centre: A Retrospective Study

Sandhya Tiwary

Received: 8 December 2018 Accepted: 2 January 2019 Published: 15 January 2019

#### $_{f 6}$ Abstract

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

Introduction: Uterine rupture is a major obstetric hazard. It leads to high maternal and perinatal mortality-morbidity and loss of future fertility. Aims Objectives: The aim of this study was to calculate the incidence of rupture uterus and study its various aspects in the department of obs gynae at RIMS, Ranchi, Jharkhand, India. Material Methods: This was a 10 retrospective study done in the department of obstetrics gynaecology during September 2017 11 to August 2018. Data was collected from the registers of labour room, labour OT and medical 12 records department of RIMS, Ranchi. Total cases of rupture uterus cases during the study 13 period were included in the study. There was no exclusion criteria. Incidence of rupture 14 uterus per 1000 deliveries was calculated. Observation Result: In our study, the incidence of 15 rupture uterus was 10 per 1000 deliveries. All 70 cases were unbooked, had rupture outside RIMS; 60 (85.7

*Index terms*— rupture uterus, maternal mortality, maternal morbidity, hysterectomy, previous cesarean, unbooked case, tertiary care hospital.

### 1 Introduction

isruption in the continuity of all the uterine layers (endometrium, myometrium and serosa) any time beyond 28 weeks of pregnancy is called rupture of the uterus. The prevalence widely varies from 1 in 2,000 to 1 in 200 deliveries. During the past few decades, the prevalence has been found to be almost static. Whereas improved obstetric care reduces the rupture from obstructed labor but there has been increased prevalence of scar rupture following increased incidence of cesarean section over the years. 1 Uterine rupture is a major obstetric hazard. It leads to high maternal and perinatal mortality-morbidity and loss of future fertility. Despite advances in modern obstetric practice, rupture of gravid uterus still remains as a fetal and maternal life threatening complication especially in developing countries; the incidence is high due to a greater number of unbooked obstetric emergencies, often originating from rural areas with poor antenatal care. In India it still accounts for 5-10% of all maternal deaths. 2 The perinatal mortality ranges from 80 to 95%.

Uterine rupture typically is classified as either: 1) Complete uterine rupture -when all layers of the uterine wall are separated, with or without expulsion of the fetus or 2) Incomplete uterine rupture-when the uterine muscle is separated but the visceral peritoneum is intact. Uterine rupture is also classified on the basis of previous surgery into 1) Rupture of scarred uterus 2) Rupture of unscarred uterus.

With the liberal use of primary cesarean section, scar rupture constitutes significantly to the overall incidence of uterine rupture. The incidence of LSCS scar rupture is about 1-2%, while that following classical one is 5-10 times higher. Uterine scar, following hysterotomy behaves like that of a classical scar and is of growing concern. 3 Rupture of an unscarred uterus may be either traumatic or spontaneous. Traumatic factors include instrumental deliveries, internal podalic version, assisted breech delivery, abdominal trauma, labor induction, and in particular the unmonitored usage of oxytocin or prostaglandins. Fundal pressure during third stage of labor also has been linked to traumatic rupture. Spontaneous rupture is usually observed with cephalopelvic disproportion, delivery of a macrosomic or a grossly anomalous fetus, malpresentation. Rupture may also develop spontaneously in grand multiparas, congenitally abnormal uteri (e.g. Unicornuate or bicornuate), abnormal placental implantation,

previous history of uterine perforation and in women with a history of invasive mole in previous pregnancy. 4,5 Maternal consequences are related to whether there is rupture of an intact uterus or a prior uterine scar. Scar 46 separation following a trial of scar is associated with a lower risk of maternal death compared to D spontaneous 47 rupture of an unscarred uterus. 6 Fetal morbidity invariably occurs because of catastrophic hemorrhage leading 48 to fetal anoxia. With rupture and expulsion of the fetus into the peritoneal cavity the chances of fetal survival 49 are minimal. If the fetus is alive at the time of rupture, the only chance of continued survival is afforded by 50 immediate diagnosis and delivery by laparatomy. Case fatality rate in rupture uterus may be reduced by early diagnosis, urgent resuscitation and laparatomy. Any form of delay increases the chances of dying from severe 52 hemorrhage. 7 Once a diagnosis of uterine rupture is established, immediate stabilization of the mother and the 53 delivery of the fetus are imperative. After the fetus is delivered, the type of surgical treatment for the mother 54 will depend on the type of uterine rupture, extent of uterine rupture, degree of haemorrhage, general condition 55 of the mother and mother's desire for future childbearing. 8 Other factors that may determine the type of 56 surgical intervention includes urgency, patient's general condition as well as surgeons experience. Conservative 57 surgical management involving uterine repair should be reserved for women who have low transverse uterine 58 rupture, no extension of the tear to the broad ligament, cervix, or paracolpos, previous LSCS scar dehiscence, 59 60 easily controllable uterine haemorrhage, good general condition, desire for future childbearing and no clinical or 61 laboratory evidence of an evolving coagulopathy. Hysterectomy should be considered the treatment of choice 62 when intractable uterine bleeding occurs or when the uterine rupture sites are multiple, longitudinal or low lying, 63 bruised and contaminated. 9 This obstetrics complication is also associated with short term maternal morbidities such as vesicovaginal fistula, rectovaginal fistula, bladder rupture, foot drop, psychological trauma, anemia and 64 in the long term because of the surgical intervention, the woman may be sterilized which can lead to divorce and 65 loss of economic support. 10 66

## 67 2 II.

69

70

71

72

73

75

76

77

78

79

80

81 82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

100

101

102

68 Aims and Objectives III.

## 3 Material and Methods

This was a retrospective observational study done in the department of obstetrics & gynaecology during September 2017 to August 2018. Data was collected from the registers of labour room, labour OT and medical records department of RIMS, Ranchi and entered in Microsoft excel 2007. Total cases of rupture uterus cases during the study period were included in the study. Cases of rupture /perforation of uterus due to MTP, Hydatiform mole, cornual pregnancy were excluded from the study. Incidence of rupture uterus per 1000 deliveries was calculated. Result of other parameters was obtained using percentage in each category.

IV.

## 4 Observation and Result

Table 1 shows that the total number of deliveries during the study period was 6895 and the total number of rupture during the period was 70 giving an incidence of 10 per 1000 deliveries. This incidence is quite high because RIMS, Ranchi is a tertiary care and referral institute where maximum cases of rupture uterus are being referred from periphery. An early diagnosis, their timely referral from gross route level and prompt treatment of the condition is the most important factor in improving the maternal and perinatal outcome. This retrospective study was undertaken to evaluate the incidence of rupture uterus and analyse its various aspects. Table 4 shows surgical history and findings. 47 (67.1%) had rupture of previous cs scar, others were non scarred uterus. Among the scarred uterus, only 4 (8.5%) had history of VBAC whereas 53 out of 70 had history of previous D & E (surgical method of MTP). 57 (81.4%) had rupture of lower uterine segment, whereas 13 (18.6%) had upper segment rupture. In none of the previous cesarian cases, we could get history of previous classical incision as majority were illiterate with no previous papers. 11 (15.7%) had undergone repair of the uterus without tubectomy, 20 (28.6%) had repair with tubectomy. 29 (41.4%) underwent subtotal hysterectomy and total hysterectomy was done in 10 (14.3%) patients. Decision of surgical management was guided by the number of living children, general condition of the patient, repairability of the uterus, adhesions, skill of the surgeon on duty, dissection of bladder from the lower uterine segment, availability of blood components and other comorbidities of the patient . Table 5 shows maternal and fetal mortality. There were 4 maternal mortality cases (5.7%) out of 70 cases of rupture uterus; the causes were acute renal failure, acute respiratory distress syndrome, sepsis and severe anemia leading to congestive cardiac failure. Fetal mortality was 68 (97%). Only two babies were delivered alive; in one case there was complete rupture of the LSCS scar but baby was lying still inside the uterine cavity, in the another one the baby was preterm, lying inside the peritoneal cavity outside the uterus, but was attached with the placenta which was still inside the uterus and the placenta was morbidly adherent placenta. The high maternal and fetal mortality was due to the fact that all cases were received at RIMS in a very bad condition, that too very late. V.

## 5 Discussion

Rupture uterus is a devastating complication with high maternal and perinatal morbidity-mortality rates. The incidence of rupture uterus varies widely from country to country and between different centres of the same

country. In our study, the incidence of rupture uterus was 10 per 1000 deliveries (1.01%) whereas it was 0.64~%in the study by Kalewad P S 11, it was 0.763 % in the study by Beck R et al 12. In developing countries like Nigeria it is higher i.e. 1.69% according to study conducted by Ibrahim S M, Umar N I et al. 13 The incidence in developed countries is at least ten times lower i.e. 0.086% in Australia study conducted by Lynch J C, Pardy J P et al. 14 In our study, majority of the patients (60%) were in the age group 20-30 years of age, followed by 30 -40 years age group (35.7%). In the study by Kalewad P S, 60% of the cases were in the 26-30 year age group whereas 27% were in the 21-25 years age group 11 (42.5%) in the age group 21 to 25 years followed by 26-30 years age group. 12 Shastrakar reported maximum incidence of rupture uterus in age group 16-20 years followed by age group 21-35 year. 15 Khattak Z et al found majority of the patient were of age group 31-40 year followed by 21-30 years. 16 Latika S et al found maximum number of rupture uterus between age group 21-30 years. 17 Omole-Ohonsi A et al also found most of the rupture occurred between 21-30 years. 18 Study conducted in Nigeria by Ibrahim S M, Umar N I et al uterine rupture was seen most commonly in 25-35 years of age group. 13 At our centre, majority cases of rupture uterus were from rural areas (92.9%) with low socioeconomic status (92.9%). In the similar study at the same centre by Beck R et al 12, majority of the patients (92.5%) were from rural background .In the study by Kalewad P S, 90 % of the patients belonged to the low socioeconomic status. 11 Illiteracy, poor transport, no antenatal check up, social customs and prior successful home deliveries prevent these pregnant women from seeking medical advice. They come to hospital only when some unavoidable complications has taken place and that too very late.

In the present study, 27 (38.57%) patients were para 2, 23 (32.85%) patients were? 4 th para, 1 was primipara and none were primigravida. In the study by Beck R et al, majority of the patients were para 2 and 3 (63.75%) and 3 cases (3.75%) of rupture was seen in primigravida. 12 In the study by Kalewad P, 51% cases were para 2, 14.5% were ? para 3, 33% were para 1 and 1 was primigravida. 11 All 70 cases were unbooked, had rupture outside RIMS; 60 (85.7%) were referred case, whereas 10 (14.37%) had no referral paper. Beck et al observed 97.5 % of the cases to be unbooked whereas in the study by Kalewad PS et al, 45 (65.3%) cases were unbooked and 24 (34.7%) were booked cases. 12,11 In the present study, 11 patients (15.7%) had gestational age < 36 weeks whereas 59 (84.3%) had rupture at ?36 weeks gestational age. Beck et al observed 93.75% cases at gestational age 35 weeks and above. 12 47 (67.1%) had rupture of previous of previous cs scar ,the incidence being 0.97% which was very high as compared to the study by Preety Aggarwal (0.057%) 19. 66% cases were previous cesarean in the study by Kalewad P S, 45.33% cases had scar rupture in the study by Beck et al and study conducted in Rawalpindi medical college by Ara J et al, 86.7% were scarred uterus ??1,12,20 57 (81.4%) had rupture of lower uterine segment. In the study by Sinha M, rupture of prior low transverse cesarean section was 84.8%. 21 in the study by Beck et al, it was 94.12%, in the study by Aggarwal P, it was 30.8%, in the study by Kalewad P and in the study by Ibha K, Poonam G, Sehgal A , it was 49.1%. 12,19,11,22 The decision to perform uterine repair or hysterectomy in cases of rupture uterus is influenced by factors like parity, number of living children, extent of uterine repair, condition of the tissues and the general condition of the patient. In the present study 11 (15.7%) had undergone repair of the uterus without tubectomy, 20 (28.6%) had repair with tubectomy. 29 (41.4%) underwent subtotal hysterectomy and total hysterectomy was done in 10 (14.3%) patients. In the study by Beck et al, repair of the uterus without tubectomy was done in 15% cases, repair with bilateral tubectomy in 25 (31.25%) cases, hysterectomy in 53.75% cases. 12 Habiba U et al reported that hysterectomy either total or subtotal was performed in 70% of their cases of rupture uterus. 23 Total hysterectomy was performed in 5.85 cases, subtotal hysterectomy in 15.2% cases and primary repair with sterilization in 67% of cases. 11 There were 4 (5.71 %) maternal mortality cases out of 70 cases of rupture uterus; the causes were acute renal failure, acute respiratory distress syndrome, sepsis and severe anemia leading to congestive cardiac failure. Maternal death was 2.9% in the study by Kalewad 11,12,19,21, ??4,13 Fetal mortality was 97%. Only two babies were delivered alive; in one case there was complete rupture of the LSCS scar but baby was lying still inside the uterine cavity, in the another one the baby was lying inside the peritoneal cavity outside the uterus, but was attached with the placenta which was still inside the uterus and the placenta was morbidly adherent placenta. In the study by Sinha M, there were 32 cases of intrauterine fetal demise, 5 cases of stillbirths, 8 babies with low appar scores and 3 of them died in neonatal ICU. 21 Perinatal mortality was 97.5% in the study by Beck et al, 53.8% in Aggarwal P et al and 87.4% in a study in Nigeria. 12,19,13 In the study by Kalewad P, the perinatal mortality was only 10.1%.

## 6 VI.

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118 119

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

## 7 Conclusion

As a large population of Jharkhand reside in remote rural areas with low socioeconomic status and illiteracy, still a large population misses good antenatal checkup and proper delivery facility resulting in rupture uterus. The incidence of rupture uterus in a teaching and referral hospitals is high due to a large proportion of them being referred cases and increasing incidence of VBAC. Rupture uterus is an important cause of maternal and fetal morbidity -mortality. Incidence of rupture uterus can be reduced through effective and quality antenatal checkup, institutional VBAC, delivery by skilled healthcare providers and timely referral of cases like

1

Figure 1: Table 1:

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

? Age Group		
< 20	01	1.4%
20-30	42	60%
30-40	25	35.7%
>40	02	2.9%
? Area Of Residence		
Urban	05	7.1%
Rural	65	92.9%
? Socioeconomic Status		
Low	65	92.9%
Middle	05	7.1%

Figure 2: Table 2:

3

shows distribution of cases on the basis of obstetric history, booking and referring status. 27 (38.57%) patients were para 2, 23 (32.85%) patients were ? para 4, 19 (27.1%) were para3 and 1 was primipara. All 70 cases were unbooked, had rupture outside RIMS; 60~(85.7%) were referred case, whereas

Figure 3: Table 3

3

	No.	of	
	Cases		
? Gravida			
P1	1	1.42%	
P2	27	38.57%	
P3	19	27.1%	
?P4	23	32.85%	
? Booking Status			
Booked	00	0%	
Unbooked	70	100%	
? Referring Status			
Referred	60	85.7%	
Non referred	10	14.3%	
? Gestational Age			
< 36 weeks	11	15.7%	
?36 weeks	59	84.3%	

Figure 4: Table 3:

4

? Previous Uterine Scar		
No	23	32.9%
Yes	47	67.1%
? H/O VBAC		
Yes	04	8.5%
No	43	91.5%
? H/O D& E		
Yes	17	24.3%
No	53	75.7%
? Site of Rupture		
Upper segment	13	18.6%
Lower segment	57	81.4%

Figure 5: Table 4:

5

? Maternal Mortality	04	5.7%
? Causes of Maternal		
Mortality		
Acute renal failure ( ARF )	01	25%
Acute respiratory distress syndrome ( ARDS )	01	25%
Sepsis	01	25%
Severe anemia leading to congestive cardiac failure	01	25%
? Fetal Mortality	68	97%

Figure 6: Table 5 :

Figure 7:

## 162 .1 Acknowledgement

163 We would like to thank all those people who helped us in completing this research work.

## .2 Conflict of Interest: None.

- 165 Funding: None.
- [Bhaskar Rao and Labor ()] , K Bhaskar Rao , Obstructed Labor . Obstetrics and Gynecology for Postgraduates
   Ratnam SS, Bhasker Rao K, Arulkumaran S (ed.) 1992. 1 (1) p. . (Orient Longman)
- [Kalewad ()], P Kalewad . www.ijrcog.org Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol 2016. 5 (9) p. . (Sept)
- [Beck (2018)] , R Beck . www.ijrcog.org Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol 2018 Mar. 7 (3) p. .
- 170 [Latika ()] 'A 10-year analysis of uterine rupture at a teaching institution'. S A Latika . J Obstet Gynecol India 2006. 56 p. .
- [Ummi et al. ()] 'A review of 66 cases of rupture uterus in a district general hospital'. H Ummi , K Zaibunnisa , A Manzoor . *JPMI* 2002. 16 (1) p. .
- [Habiba et al. ()] 'A review of 66 cases of rupture uterus in a district general hospital'. U Habiba , Z Khattak , M Ali . J Postgraduate Med Inst 2002. 16 p. .
- 176 [Ibrahim et al.] Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, University of Maiduguri Teaching Hospital, Maiduguri, Nigeria. International journal of medical and applied sciences, S M Ibrahim, N I Umar, N A Garba, M Bukar, H A Ibrahim.
- [Dutta] D C Dutta . Textbook of obstetrics. 6 th edition. New Delhi. Jaypee Brothers Medical Publishers (P) Ltd, p. .
- [Yap et al. ()] 'Maternal and neonatal outcomes after uterine rupture in labour'. O W Yap , E S Kim , R LarosJr .  $AM\ J\ Obstet\ Gynecol\ 2001.\ 184\ p.$  .
- [Philpott ()] 'Obstructed labour'. R Philpott . Clinics in obstetrics and gynecology 1982. 9 (3) p. .
- [Anklesaria et al. ()] 'Pregnancy at Risk, Current concepts. 4 th edn'. B S Anklesaria , M V. Rupture Savaliya , Uterus . Jaypee Brothers (P) Ltd Krishna U, Tank DK, Daftary S (ed.) 2001. p. 46871.
- [Soltan et al. ()] 'Pregnancy following rupture of the pregnant uterus'. M H Soltan , T Khashoggi , B Adelusi . Int J Gynecol Obstet 1996. 52 p. .
- [Flamm et al. ()] 'Prostaglandin E2 for cervical ripening: a multicentre study of patients with prior caesarean delivery'. B L Flamm , Anton D Goings , J R Newman , J . Am J Perinatol 1997. 14 p. .
- 190 [Omole-Ohonsi and Attah ()] 'Risk factors for ruptured uterus in a developing country'. A Omole-Ohonsi , R 191 Attah . *Gynecol Obstetric* 2011. 21 p. 102.
- [Ibha et al. ()] 'Rupture of the gravid uterus: Experience at an urban medical centre in Northern India'. K Ibha , G Poonam , A Sehgal . *J Obstet Gynaecol India* 2003. 53 p. .
- 194 [Shastrakar ()] 'Trends in uterine rupture'. V Shastrakar . J Obstet Gynaecol India 1962. 12 p. 391.
- [Aggarwal and Terhase (2015)] 'Unscarred uterine rupture: a retrospective study'. P Aggarwal , N Terhase . Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol 2015 Dec. 4 (6) p. .
- [Leung et al. ()] 'Uterine rupture after previous caesarean delivery: maternal and fetal consequences'. A S Leung , E K Leung , R Paul .  $AM\ J\ Obstet\ Gynecol\ 1993.\ 169\ p.$  .
- [Lynch and Pardy ()] 'Uterine rupture and scar dehiscence. A five year survey'. J C Lynch , J P Pardy . Anaesth Intensive care 1996. 24 p. .
- [Ara et al. ()] 'Uterine rupture: A catastrophic complication'. J Ara , K Naheed , F Kazmi , S S Sial . *Journal of Rawalpindi medical college* 2010. 14 (1) p. .
- 203 [Sinha et al. ()] 'Uterine rupture: A seven year review at a tertiary care hospital in New Delhi, India'. M Sinha , R Gupta , P Gupta , R Rani , R Kaur . *Indian J Community Med* 2016. 41 p. .
- [Ofir et al. ()] 'Uterine rupture: Risk factors and pregnancy outcome'. K Ofir , E Sheiner , A Levy .  $Am\ J\ Obstet$  Gynecol 2003. 189 p. .
- [Singh and Shrivastava ()] 'Uterine Rupture: Still a Harsh Reality'. A Singh , C Shrivastava . J Obstet Gynaecol
   India 2015. 65 (3) p. .