However, this technology is currently in beta. Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.

# <sup>1</sup> Zygomatic and Conventional Implants for Management of Severe <sup>2</sup> Alveolar Atrophy in Partial Edentulous Maxilla and Completely <sup>3</sup> Edentulous Mandible

Dr. Anshuman Dwivedi<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Manmeet Kour<sup>2</sup> and Dr. Amit Sarkar<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> maxillofacial surgery

Received: 9 December 2018 Accepted: 2 January 2019 Published: 15 January 2019

#### 7

16

4

5

6

### 8 Abstract

<sup>9</sup> The geriatric population, atrophic maxilla is a common condition.1. Severely resorbed maxilla <sup>10</sup> is challenging for the installation of conventional osseointegrated implants.2. To reduce the <sup>11</sup> complications associated with bone grafting procedures and to simplify the rehabilitation of <sup>12</sup> atrophic maxilla, zygomatic implants play an essential role.3. With the use of zygomatic <sup>13</sup> implants the wait for osseous graft maturation is eliminated saving the treatment time and <sup>14</sup> money.4. In this case report, nasal floor lift for anterior implants was performed with <sup>15</sup> placement of bilateral zygomatic implants and conventional implants.

#### 17 Index terms—

# 18 1 Introduction

O rehabilitate the atrophic maxilla is very challenging for the oral and maxillofacial surgeons. Restoration is 19 not possible in majority of patients of atropic maxilla with conventional implants due to lack of alveolar bone 20 caused by pneumatization of the maxillary sinus. From the past few years, these cases had been treated with 21 cortical-medullar bone grafts from the iliac crest performed under general anesthesia. 2. Zygomatic implants 22 23 offer a satisfactory function, improves aesthetic results, costs low, execute time and also provide low morbidity 24 for patients as it is less invasive surgery as compared to other treatment options for atrophic maxilla such as reconstructions with autologous grafts. For the very first time, zygomatic implants were used in cancer patients 25 who underwent maxillectomies or tumor resections, trauma and congenital defects by Professor P-I Branemark 26 27 in 1987. An excellent alternative in the rehabilitation of the atropic maxilla was proposed by Aparicio, et al in 1993 that Zygomaticmalar bone can be used as an anchorage for oral implants 5. Zygomatic implants are 28 immediately loaded as their length is enough to provide anchorage as there is a larger contact between the surface 29 of the implant and the bone; therefore, the stability is also greater 5. 30

T towards piriform aperture then the nasal floor was lifted carefully then after osteotomy was performed from 31 the anterior maxillary crest and implants placed simultaneously and bovine bone graft was used to graft the 32 deficit site. (Figure ??). Interrupted 3-0 silk sutures were placed on the incision line and post-operatively a 33 34 prescription of Xylometazoline nasal spray (to reduce swelling and congestion), and antibiotics was made. The 35 patient was instructed to strictly follow the oral hygiene, and regular follow-ups. A placement of Eleven freehand 36 implants were done in both the arches in which six ADIN Implants were placed in mandibular arch and five implants were placed in the maxillary arch out of which two were Zygomatic, and three were conventional of 37 NORRIS MEDICAL ITALY. Delivery of the provisional prosthesis was made possible on the same day of surgery, 38 and the final prosthesis was given 12 weeks post-operatively. CBCT scans were performed post-operatively, which 39 showed excellent integrated implants with new bone formation at the region of nasal floor lift. (Figure ??). The 40 patient was followed up for two years; on CBCT all implants were osseointegrated with no marginal bone loss. 41 IV. 42

## 43 2 Discussion

The latest scientific technology had provided a huge benefit for recuperation of maxilla in patients. Various 44 possibilities like traditional implants, bone reconstruction, or zygomatic implants were used for the rehabilitation 45 of totally edentulous patients with severe atrophy of the maxilla. 2 Out of various treatments, zygomatic implants 46 have been in clinical use for 20 years and is an excellent treatment plan for patients with severely resorbed fully 47 or partially edentulous maxillary arches. 9 When direct alveolar support for conventional implants is lacking; 48 Zygomatic implants offer a relatively measured approach to restore missing upper dentition. Parel et al developed 49 the concept of remote implant anchorage from which the zygomatic implant is derived. In many studies, the 50 zygomatic implant has been demonstrated a high survival rate of 97% after more than 12 years of follow-51 up. Malevezand Bedrossian reported a 100% survival rate using 2 stage protocols. 3 In our case report, the 52 patient was partially edentulous with the severely atrophic maxilla. Besides placing the zygomatic implants, 53 the nasal floor of the patient was also lifted, and placement of conventional implants in the maxillary anterior 54 and mandibular region was also done. According to El-Ghareeb et al. Nasal Floor Lift is the most reliable 55 method for reconstruction of the anterior atrophic maxilla when the residual height is less than 10 mm for 56 implant-supported overdentures. The use of osteoconductive bone graft substitutes with simultaneous implant 57 placement is a predictable approach for augmentation of up to 5 mm in height, and eliminates the need for more 58 invasive procedures such as Le Fort I osteotomy, as well as donor-site morbidity associated with autogenous bone 59 graft harvest. 6 Using a fixed prosthesis to connect all implants with adequate anteroposterior spread provides 60 crossarch stabilization and allows the transmission of masticatory forces on the zygoma bone. Thus crossarch 61 stabilization from the prosthesis, just after placement of the implants, could alleviate the load on the anterior 62 implants and could be one of the reasons to explain the loss of only three implants in such atrophied sites. This 63 loss of implants may be related to the fact that they were placed in an area with an extensive bony defect since 64 immediate loading itself does not seem to preclude osseointegration 7. 65 66 With the use of zygomatic implants either in combination with or without conventional implants in anterior

atrophic fully or partial edentulous maxilla may be considered as the replacement to osseous grafting for providing
bone formation for conventional implants. It has been reported that the success and survival rates of the zygomatic
and conventional implants are equally same. Zygomatic implants provide short treatment time which would be
normally required for osseous graft maturation and until maturation occurs it also subsequently delays the implant

<sup>71</sup> placement. Therefore, the treatment costs are also lowered as the complex grafting procedures are eliminated. As

<sup>72</sup> the use of CBCT, virtual planning, and surgical guides' progresses, it is anticipated that these implants may be

<sup>73</sup> utilized more readily and would result in the reduction of potential complications associated with prior placement

74 techniques. 4

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ © 2019 Global Journals



Figure 1: Figure 1 :



Figure 2: Figure 2 :



Figure 3: Figure 3 :



Figure 4: Figure 4 :



Figure 5: Figure 5 : Figure 6 :



Figure 6: Figure 7 :



Figure 7: Figure 8 :



Figure 8: Figure 9 : Figure 10 :



Figure 9: Figure 11 :



Figure 10: Figure 12 : Figure 13 :

# 2 DISCUSSION

- [Yates et al. ()] 'Bolt: Treatment of the edentulous atrophic maxilla using zygomatic implants: evaluation of 75 survival rates over 5-10 years'. J M Yates , I M Brook , R R Patel , P F Wragg , S A Atkins , A El-Awa , I 76
- Bakri, R. Int. J. Oral Maxillofac. Surg 2014. 43 p. . 77
- [Davo et al. ()] 'Immediate function in the atrophic maxilla using zygoma implants: A preliminary study'. R 78 Davo, C Malevez, J Rojas. The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry 2007. 97 (6) p. . 79
- [Barbu et al. (2018)] 'Maxillary Sinus Floor Augmentation to Enable One-Stage Implant Placement by Using 80 Bovine Bone Substitute and Platelet-Rich Fibrin'. H M Barbu , C F Andreescu , M R Comaneanu , D 81 Referendaru, E Mijiritsky. BioMed Research 2018. Aug. p. .
- 82
- [Ghareeb et al. (2012)] 'Nasal Floor Augmentation for the Reconstruction of the Atrophic Maxilla: A Case 83 Series'. M E Ghareeb, J P Anfruns, M Khosousi, T Aghaloo, P Moy. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2012 March. 84 70 (3) p. . 85
- [Mittal et al. ()] Rehabilitation of Posterior Maxilla with Obturator Supported by Zygomatic Implants. Case 86
- Reports in Dentistry, S Mittal, M Agarwal, D Chatterjee. 2018. p. . 87
- [Ujigawa et al. ()] 'Three dimensional finite elemental analysis of zygomatic implant in craniofacial structures'. 88 K Ujigawa, Y Kato, Y Kizu, M Tonogi, G Yamane. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2007. 36 (7) p. . 89
- [Rodríguez-Chessa ()] 'Treatment of atrophic maxilla with zygomatic implants in 29 consecutives patients'. J 90 Rodríguez-Chessa. Int J Clin Exp Med 2014. 7 (2) p. . 91
- [Lozada ()] 'Use of Zygomatic Implants for Atrophic Maxilla Rehabilitation -A Clinical Case Report of Unilateral 92 Zygomatic Implant'. García Lozada, V. J Oral Health Dent 2018. 2 p. 105. 93
- [Petrungaro et al. (2018)] 'Zygomatic Implants for the Management of Severe Alveolar Atrophy in the Partial 94 or Completely Edentulous Maxilla'. P S Petrungaro, G M Kurtzman, S Gonzales, C Villegas. Compend 95
- Contin Educ Dent 2018. Oct. 39 (9) p. . 96
- [Romeed et al. ()] 'Zygomatic Implants: The Impact of Zygoma Bone Support on Biomechanics'. S A Romeed, 97
- 98 R Malik, S M Dunne. Journal of Oral Implantology 2014. (3) p. .