Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.*

Study of Maternal and Fetal Outcomes in High Risk Pregnancies StudyofMaternalandFetalOutcomesinHighRiskPregnancies

Dr. Tushar Tatyana Palve

Received: 9 December 2019 Accepted: 1 January 2020 Published: 15 January 2020

6 Abstract

3

4

7 Background: Objectives of the current study were to detect high-risk-risk factors in

 $_{\rm 8}~$ pregnancy their presentations and to develop a simple scoring system to identify and

⁹ categorize high-risk pregnancies and to predict the maternal and neonatal outcomes by

¹⁰ comparing our results to previous studies. Methods: In this retrospective study, antepartum,

¹¹ intrapartum and neonatal parameters were integrated into the clinical records and the

¹² relationship of a risk score to the outcome was evaluated for 346 randomly selected pregnant

¹³ patients over 7 months Conclusions: The present study shows that we achieve comparative

¹⁴ and better results in high-risk pregnancy, improving both maternal and fetal outcome at our

¹⁵ institute. Methods:In this retrospective study, antepartum, intrapartum and neonatal

¹⁶ parameters were integrated into the clinical records and the relationship of a risk score to the

¹⁷ outcome was evaluated for 346 randomly selected pregnant patients over 7 months

¹⁸ Conclusions: The present study shows that we achieve comparative and better results in

¹⁹ highrisk pregnancy, improving both maternal and fetal outcome at our institute.

20

21 Index terms— high-risk pregnancies, perinatal and maternal mortality, scoring system.

22 **1** Introduction

23 igh-risk pregnancy is defined as one which is complicated by a factor or factors that adversely affect the pregnancy outcome-maternal or perinatal or both. A high-risk pregnancy may be identified by using a scoring system such as 24 25 the system developed by Hobel et al.1 Risk scoring system may be defined as a formalized method of recognizing, 26 documenting and cumulating antepartum, intrapartum and neonatal risk factors to predict complications for the fetus and newborn. Among the mothers seen in the antenatal period, only 10-30% of mothers have been 27 classified as high-risk. Out of those, 70-80% end up with perinatal mortality or morbidity. One of the most 28 pressing public health issues in developing countries is perinatal mortality. Recent studies have shown that still 29 perinatal mortality and morbidity is high-risk in India. It shows high-risk pregnancy is one of the leading causes of 30 increasing perinatal morbidity and mortality. Early detection of high-risk pregnancy followed by special intensive 31 care will show a significant change in the perinatal outcome. Treating high-risk pregnancies with extra attention 32 and proper care will give a significant decrease in maternal morbidity and mortality. 33

A high-risk pregnancy is one of significant risk to the mother or her fetus than an uncomplicated pregnancy. 34 Pregnancy places additional physical and emotional stress on a woman's body. Health problems that occur 35 36 before a woman becomes pregnant or occuring during pregnancy may also increase the likelihood of a high-risk 37 pregnancy. Any pregnancy can turn into a high-risk one anytime during its course. A pregnancy at risk needs 38 to be identified at an earlier stage, often in the prenatal period to have an effective intervention strategy to deal with its complications. Highrisk pregnancy requires sophisticated maternal and fetal surveillance to help in its 39 management decisions to ensure optimal outcomes for both mother and her newborn. In this study, of all the 40 patients who were a case of previous LSCS, 83.80% of them were previous 1 LSCS, and the rest were previous 41 2 LSCS. The majority of them were subjected to LSCS in their present conception, and only 9.52% delivered 42 by VBAC (vaginal birth following caesarean section). In a study done by Nagamnand et al. although a trial of 43 labour was given, VBAC was successful only in 16%, and 84% women underwent LSCS. 44

$_{45}$ 2 About this Study

According to the study done in our hospital 6.66% of the babies were admitted in the NICU with fetal respiratory
distress is the most common cause for the same accounting for 42.85% of the total, followed by PROM > 18 hrs
(28.57%), ELBW + extreme preterm (14.28%) and for HGT monitoring (14.28%). 0.95% was the IUFD rate in

⁴⁹ the case of previous LSCS.

50 K Manga In this study, all the patients with GDM were found to be multigravidas, and all of them underwent 51 LSCS. 40% of the babies born to GDM mothers were admitted to NICU for HGT monitoring. 60% of them went

 $_{52}$ to term, and 20% of them were postdated and preterm each.

⁵³ 3 g) Anemia

54 4 Parity

Primigravida 10 The breech presentation was found to be prevalent among Primigravidas in our study, but 55 contrary to it in the study done by Bushra et al. breech was more common in multigravida (75%). The 56 outcome of maximum pregnancies was uneventful, with only 13.63% of babies admitted in NICU of which LBW 57 with preterm being the most common cause followed by the need for post-resuscitation care. 72.72% of the 58 59 pregnancies went up to term, followed by preterm and postdated deliveries respectively, but in the study by 60 Bushra et al. only 4.7 % breech were full-term , 14% between 29-32 wks and maximum were pre-term. LSCS 61 was the most common mode of delivery (86.36%) in our institution, although in the comparative study vaginal delivery was more common, probably in view of, preterm breech presented in their study. The major cause of 62 LSCS in their study was fetal distress followed by failure to progress. Term: 17% Preterm: 83% Antepartum 63 hemorrhage was found to be equally prevalent among primigravidas and multigravidas in our institution, but in 64 the study by Siddhartha Mujemdar it was high-risk-risker in multigravidas in incidence (82%) Our study showed 65 that there were no NICU admission and no IUFDs. 66

₆₇ 5 j) Antepartum Hemorrhage

Where in, the study by Mujemdar et al. showed Perinatal mortality of 12.1% in placenta previa and 44.1% in 68 Abruptio placentae. At our institute LSCS was the most prevalent mode of delivery (75%) followed by FTND 69 and PTVgD similar to the study done by Mujemdar et al. in which the LSCS rate was 85% although all of 70 placenta previa cases were delivered by LSCS and 44.2% v of abruption placentae delivered vaginally. Contrary 71 to our study in which Three-fourth of the pregnancies went up-to term and one-fourth had to be terminated 72 at preterm, the other study showed the incidence of APH to be high-risk-risker in preterm (83%). This study 73 showed that multiple gestations were more prevalent among multigravidas, with 66.66% reaching term and the 74 rest being terminated pre-term. Similarly, in the study done by Amiben Gajera et al. in 2015, Twin gestation was 75 more in multigravidas, but contrary to our study only 26% reached full term while 64% delivered prematurely and 76 a stand out of 10% were of <28 weeks of gestation. Regarding the perinatal outcome, 33.33% babies admitted 77 in NICU, with respiratory distress being the most common cause followed by LBW for NICU admission. Mode 78 of delivery was equally distributed among FTND, LSCS and, PTVgD in our study. 79

80 6 III. Summary

PIH: PIH was more prevalent in Primigravida, more common mode of delivery was LSCS and significant rate 81 82 of PTVgD (11.76%) In our institution 15.68% of the babies born were admitted in the NICU for indications 83 like Respiratory distress (87.5%) 5.88% of the pregnancies resulted in Intrauterine fetal demise in contrast to 9% IUFD and 2.72% obstetric hysterectomy in the study compared, 1 patient with a vaginally delivered IUFD 84 underwent Obstetric hysterectomy i/v/o liver capsular hematoma. PROM: Premature rupture of membranes 85 was found to be more prevalent among Primigravida (50.66%) and, 18.66% of the babies were admitted in the 86 NICU with the indication of prolonged PROM (>18 hrs) being the most common, while FTND was found to be 87 the common mode of delivery overall, and no neonatal mortality was associated with PROM. 88

Oligohydramnios: More prevalent among Multigravidas, 0.51% were postdated and, 17.94% were preterm. 87.17% of them underwent LSCS, whereas in the study compared termination by LSCS was done in 48.78% cases, Eventually 36.585% babies were admitted in NICU, with 9.76% perinatal mortality rate which wasn't the case in our institute with 10.25% NICU admissions and no neonatal mortality associated.

Polyhydramnios: It can be said that Polyhydramnios have been studied at a lower rate as high-risk compared to others. In our institute, it was seen more commonly in multigravidas, with equal incidence of term and preterm delivery (42% each). Polyhydramnios associated with IUFD was seen in 14% cases in our institute in contrast to 5% IUFD in the study compared.

GDM: At our institute, all mothers with GDM were Multigravida and, the choice of mode of delivery was
LSCS in all of them with 40 % NICU admissions for HGT monitoring as compared to 76 5 NICU admissions in
the study compared.

Anemia: As mentioned in literature, both the studies show anemia being prevalent in multigravidas and the choice of mode of delivery being Vaginal delivery. IUFD: In patients with IUFD Preterm Delivery rates were high-risk at our centre with the rate of MSB almost double than that of FSB, which was equal in the study
 compared. LSCS was the least opted mode of delivery at the both places.

Breech presentation: AT our center, the breech presentation in a primigravida was more prevalent, resulting in delivery by LSCS in contrast to the study compared, which has more incidence of multigravida presenting with the breech in preterm labor and resulting into vaginal delivery.

¹⁰⁷ 7 Antepartum Heamorrhage:

APH incidence was equal in both multi and primigravida with the preferred mode of delivery being an emergency LSCS resulting in no NICU admissions at our center, whereas in the study compared mode of delivery was LSCS bu incidence was high-risk among multigravidas and resulted in increased NICU admissions and perinatal mortality & morbidity.

Multiple gestations is seen more commonly seen in multigravidas, with nn equal rate of delivery by FTVD, LSCS and Preterm delivery.

Hence it is evidently seen that the fetomaternal outcomes in various High-Risk Pregnancies were comparable and if can be said, were better at our institution, as compared to various individual studies done for the individual risk factors at different places.

117 8 Conclusion

Our study is a retrospective study done at a tertiary care hospital in Mumbai, Maharashtra inclusive of 346 cases to assess fetomaternal outcomes in various High-Risk Pregnancies enrolled at our hospital. High-risk pregnancies included hypertension in pregnancy, PROM, Amniotic fluid diseases, Gestational Diabetes Mellitus, Anemia, IUFD, breech presentation, Multiple gestation and, APH. It can be said that the results for each of the high-risk states at tertiary care institutions are equivalent with a freedom to choose the appropriate method of termination and medical and surgical expertise and NICU facilities aiding to improved fetomaternal outcomes, proving the importance and evident good outcomes at a tertiary care center.

Of all the high-risk cases observed in this study only one was associated with maternal mortality, which stands out the critical role played by the modular infrastructure, expertise and facilities offered at a tertiary care centre, such as continuous NST monitoring, monitoring of fetomaternal well being, availability of expert obstetricians and anaesthesia -medicine team, availability of emergency interventions and medicines, well equipped operation theatre and post-operative and post-delivery monitoring, NICU and ventilator availability. All these facilities account to safe delivery and good health of both mother and the neonate with adequate care.

At any concerned center to have a good fetomaternal outcome it is essential to have a keen eye to pick out high-risk cases at the earliest on the OPD basis, cater to the required investigations and close follow up or in-patient admissions if required and essential active medical management at the minimal. Lastly but not least, a very vigilant labor monitoring is required to decide on a mode of delivery, to assess fetal well being and, to provide to the required care.

136 9 Limitations

The primary limitation of the study was that, since it was conducted in a tertiary-care hospital set-up, the number of high-risk cases maybe more, and it may not truly reflect the prevailing situation in a community setting.

¹³⁹ 10 References Références Referencias

140

1

¹© 2020 Global Journals

Types of High-Risk Pregnancies c) Oligohydramnios			
a) Gestational Hypertension			Vee
			Vic
			et
			al
			4
At our institution:	Pa Ptj migravida	Multigra	avida
	33.33%	66.66%	Stu
			by
			$\tilde{\mathrm{Shc}}$
			\mathbf{et}
			al
			2
			- Mu
			53.
Parity Baby status	Primigravida 54	90% Baby	
	1 1111010100000		1011
			I
			I

Baby status Mode of delivery Baby with mother 12.82% FTND	Baby in NICU 15.68% LS
--	---------------------------

Period of	78.43% Postdated	Term	5.8
Mode of gestation	FTN20.65%S	61.53%	\mathbf{PT}

(deliverv	Period	of gestat	ion As p	er the	e observ	ations	made.	gestatic	onal 39	.21%	47.05%	Postdated	19.60%
Ď	actively	1 0110 4	01 800 000	ion ito p	01 0110	0.0001		inaac,	000000000			1110070	1 050440004	1010070
D														
D														
D														
)														
É														
2														
Vol	-													
um	e													
XX	-													
Is-														
sue														
Ι														
Ver						4								
sior	n													
Ι														
Yea	ar													

f) Gestational Diabetes						
Mellitus						
Parity	Primigravida	Multigravida		Primi 40.8%		
		$100\%^{-1}$		Multi 59.1%		
Baby status	Baby with	Baby in NICU	IUFD	Baby in NICU		
-	mother	-		76%		
	60%	40%	-			
Mode of delivery	FTND	LSCS	PTVgD	VD 38%		
	-	100%	-	LSCS 62%		
Period of	Postdated	Term	Pre-			
			term			
gestation	20%	60%	20%			

Figure 2:

¹⁴¹ .1 This page is intentionally left blank

- 142 [] ,
- [Majumder (2015)], Gynecology Majumder, S. www.ijrcog.orgl2 Obstetrics and Gynecology Gajera AV Int
 J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol 2015 Dec. 2015. 4 (6) p. . (Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol.)

[Rajgire (2017)], Gynecology Rajgire, AA. WWW.ijrcog.org Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol
 www.ijrcog.org p 2320-1770|e 2320-1789 5. 2017 Jan. 4 (1) p. . (International Journal of Reproduction)

- [Maka (2017)] , Gynecology Maka , SS . Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol www.ijrcog.org p 2320-1770 |
 e 2320-1789 8. 2017 Nov. 6 (8) p. . (International Journal of Reproduction)
- [J of Evolution of Med and Dent Sci (2014)], 2278-4802. J of Evolution of Med and Dent Sci Sep 25. 2014. 3
 p. 11369.
- 151 [Karale (2018)] Gynecology Karale , A . Contraception, Obstetrics, 2018 Aug. (International Journal of 152 Reproduction)
- [Rauf and Ayub] 'Maternal and perinatal outcome in term singleton breech presentation'. B Rauf , T Ayub .
 Journal of Postgraduate Medical Institute
- [Pillai and Nagaria (2017)] Gynecology Pillai , Ss ; , Gynecology Nagaria , T . Contraception, Obstetrics, 2017
 Sep. 6 p. . (Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol)
- 157 [Hobel and Hyvarinen ()] 'Prenatal and intrapartum high-risk-risk-risk screening'. C J Hobel , M A Hyvarinen . 158 $Am \ J \ Obstet \ Gynecol \ 1973. \ 117 \ p. \ .$
- 159 [Reddy (2017)] Gynecology Reddy , KM . Contraception, Obstetrics, 2017 Aug. (International Journal of Reproduction)
- ¹⁶¹ [Vidyasagar (2015)] Gynecology Vidyasagar, V. www.ijrcog.org4 Contraception, Obstetrics, Dec. 2015 Feb.
- ¹⁶² 5 p. . (International Journal of Reproduction)