

GLOBAL JOURNAL OF MEDICAL RESEARCH: B PHARMA, DRUG DISCOVERY, TOXICOLOGY & MEDICINE Volume 20 Issue 1 Version 1.0 Year 2020 Type: Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal Publisher: Global Journals Online ISSN: 2249-4618 & Print ISSN: 0975-5888

# Neuromodulation of Mu-opioid Receptor (MOR-1) Gene (OPRM1) Alternatively-Spliced Variants Following Exposure to Morphine with Alma Fig (*Ficus carica*) Leaf Extract in Human Neuroblastoma (SH-SY5Y) Cells: Review & Pilot Study

By Alrena V. Lightbourn, Zhi-Ping Zhu & Carl B. Goodman

Florida A&M University

Abstract- The role of morphine in regulating the mu-opioid receptor (MOR-1) relative to pain is wellestablished. Efforts are ongoing to elucidate the pharmacological significance of newly identified MOR-1 alternative splice variants. Aberrant splicing events have been implicated in a growing number of diseases, including cancer, but it is uncertain whether any pharmacological benefit may be derived from the use of these variants. Chronic use of opioids yields tolerance, withdrawal, and potentially fatal addiction. With current interests so high on developing marijuana as a marketable drug, there is concern whether its introduction as a mainstay may interfere with pain medications, such as opioids, for which there is a growing concern of epidemic proportions. We, therefore, hypothesized that the introduction of traditional herbal medicines while taking morphine would interfere with normal pain receptor functions.

Keywords: Ficus carica; G-protein; mu-opioid receptor; opioid; morphine; tolerance; alternative splicing; alternative splice variant; differentiation; posttranscriptional; gene expression; Nanodrop; vehicle; agonist; antagonist; neuropeptide; cultivar.

GJMR-B Classification: NLMC Code: QV 701



Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of:



© 2020. Alrena V. Lightbourn, Zhi-Ping Zhu & Carl B. Goodman. This is a research/review paper, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 3.0 Unported License http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/), permitting all non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Neuromodulation of Mu-opioid Receptor (MOR-1) Gene (OPRM1) Alternatively-Spliced Variants Following Exposure to Morphine with Alma Fig (*Ficus carica*) Leaf Extract in Human Neuroblastoma (SH-SY5Y) Cells: Review & Pilot Study

### Alma Fig Effect on MOR-1 Variant mRNA

Alrena V. Lightbourn<sup> a</sup>, Zhi-Ping Zhu<sup> a</sup> & Carl B. Goodman<sup> e</sup>

Abstract- The role of morphine in regulating the mu-opioid receptor (MOR-1) relative to pain is well-established. Efforts are ongoing to elucidate the pharmacological significance of newly identified MOR-1 alternative splice variants. Aberrant splicing events have been implicated in a growing number of diseases, including cancer, but it is uncertain whether any pharmacological benefit may be derived from the use of these variants. Chronic use of opioids yields tolerance, withdrawal, and potentially fatal addiction. With current interests so high on developing marijuana as a marketable drug, there is concern whether its introduction as a mainstay may interfere with pain medications, such as opioids, for which there is a growing concern of epidemic proportions. We, therefore, hypothesized that the introduction of traditional herbal medicines while taking morphine would interfere with normal pain receptor functions. We tested this hypothesis by chronically (48hrs) exposing human neuroblastoma (SH-SY5Y) cells to a pain medication (morphine) followed by a natural herb, and measuring its effect on the expression of MOR-1 alternatively-spliced variants. (RA)-differentiated human neuroblastoma (SH-SY5Y) cells treated with morphine (10  $\mu$ M), fig leaf extract (3 µL/30 mL media), or both for 48 hours, were analyzed by quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) using the Bio-Rad iCycler/MyiQ<sup>™</sup>. Of the seven fig (Ficus carica L.) cultivars (Green Ishia, Brown Turkey, Mission, Alma, Giant Celeste, Nero, Hollier) identified for this pilot study, Alma fig leaf extract was selected for combined therapy with morphine. Statistically significant differential regulation of MOR-1 alternative splice variants was widely observed in control, morphine, Alma fig leaf extract, and morphine/Alma fig samples. The results of this pilot study confirm our hypothesis that MOR-1 splice variants are differentially regulated following chronic exposure to morphine and Ficus carica. Further examination of the relationship between morphine and herbs used in traditional medicine may enhance our understanding of the mechanistic basis of morphine tolerance and may give clues concerning the therapeutic benefit of using Ficus carica

Author α σ ρ: Basic & Pharmaceutical Sciences Division, Florida A&M University, College of Pharmacy & Pharmaceutical Sciences, Tallahassee, FL, USA. e-mail: lightbournal@yahoo.com

#### leaf extracts to counteract the effects of opioids via targeted posttranscriptional isoforms of the mu-opioid receptor. (333 words)

Keywords: Ficus carica; G-protein; mu-opioid receptor; opioid; morphine; tolerance; alternative splicing; alternative splice variant; differentiation; posttranscriptional; gene expression; Nanodrop; vehicle; agonist; antagonist; neuropeptide; cultivar.

### Abbreviations

GPCR: g-protein-coupled receptor;

OPRM1: mu-opioid receptor gene;

*MOR-1:* mu-opioid receptor subtype 1;

ASV: alternatively-spliced variant;

*qRT-PCR:* quantitative reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction;

*mRNA:* messenger ribonucleic acid;

DNA: deoxyribonucleic acid;

SH-SY5Y: human neuroblastoma cells;

RA: trans-retinoic acid;

cDNA: DNA complementary to RNA;

BACT: beta-actin;

*MOR-1:* mu-opioid receptor subtype 1;

*MOR-1A:* mu-opioid receptor subtype 1, alternatively-spliced variant A;

*MOR-1B1:* mu-opioid receptor subtype 1, alternatively-spliced variant B1;

*MOR-1B2:* mu-opioid receptor subtype 1, alternatively-spliced variant B2;

*MOR-1B3:* mu-opioid receptor subtype 1, alternatively-spliced variant B3;

*MOR-1B4:* mu-opioid receptor subtype 1, alternatively-spliced variant B4;

*MOR-1B5:* mu-opioid receptor subtype 1, alternatively spliced variant B5;

*MOR-1K1:* mu-opioid receptor subtype 1, alternatively-spliced variant K1.

### I. INTRODUCTION

he human nervous system is composed of a complex and highly organized network of excitable tissues, neurons, and their receptors, effectors, interneurons, neurotransmitters, hormones, and a host of structures through which to orchestrate anatomic homeostasis, in tandem with the endocrine system. The neuron is the central functional unit of the nervous system tasked with synchronizing action potentials that govern sensory, integrative, and motor functions. This small but rapid and highly efficient communication system regulates processes of learning and memory, sensations (e.g., pain, thermal, tactile, proprioceptive), perception, analgesia, differentiation, development, emotional responses, emotional behaviors, wakefulness and sleep (Tortora & Grabowski, 2003; Massirer et al., 2010). An extensive annual review (not elaborated here) covering the endogenous opioid system reflects its diverse contributions to matters concerning: "behavior, pain, and analgesia; stress and social status; tolerance and dependence; learning and memory; eating and drinking; alcohol and drugs of abuse; sexual activity and hormones: pregnancy: development and endocrinology: mental illness and mood; seizures and neurologic disorders; electrical-related activity, neurophysiology and transmitter release; general activity and locomotion; gastrointestinal, renal, and hepatic function; cardiovascular responses; respiration and thermoregulation; [and] immunological responses" (Bodnar & Klein, 2006). The activities of each body system are regulated through action potentials generated by the neuron. Extensive alternative splicing in the nervous system is, therefore, likely to play a role in many of these physiological processes and conditions (Grabowski & Black, 2001).

The endogenous opioid system, which is resident within the mammalian nervous system, plays a significant role in a variety of physiological processes within the mammalian body. The nervous system is naturally resilient. It does not easily succumb to toxicity or insult, instituting neuroadaptive changes and selfrecovery instead. Importantly, the nervous system has a unique integrative capacity to resolve at the molecular level problems that arise at the cellular level. Psychotropic substances of plant origin, such as morphine from the opium poppy (Papaver somniferum), mimic the action of neurotransmitter action of enkephalins, the natural ligand for this receptor. Specialized (sensory) neurons throughout the body mediate pain sensation by regulating the human muopioid receptor (MOR-1) gene (OPRM1) expression. Among natural medicines used for pain, the fig (Ficus carica) plant is commonly not listed. It more often finds prominence relative to conditions such as diabetes, hyperlipidemia, eczema, psoriasis, constipation, skin tumors and warts, and vitiligo (Jellin et al., 2009), some

of which are side effects of opioids (Stephan & Parsa, 2016). Hence, it would be interesting to learn of a role for the fig plant in the mediation of pain, analgesia, and opioid receptor pharmacology.

### Consumption of Pain Medications

Pain drugs are the second most dominant pharmaceutical class in the global market. US market. For centuries, the alkaloid-derived morphine (Figure 1) has remained the prototypical anti-nociceptive agent (WHO, 1986; Pasternak, 2001; Vanguelin & von Mentzer, 2007; Yu & Sadee, 1988; Corbett et al., 2006). Its analgesic superiority underscores the use of morphine а preferred clinical and non-medical as psychotherapeutic drug (Tremblay & Hamet, 2010). Contrary to controversial reports that the United States alone utilized eighty percent (80%) of the global supply of morphine (Manchikanti et al., 2006, 2010; CNBC, 2016), recent findings of the International Narcotics Control Board (INCB) scale this gross overestimate down to just 30.2%. In 2010, with only 5.2% of the world's population, the United States (US) led the world in its consumption of morphine, averaging roughly 55.4% (22.9 tons) of the world's morphine (UN, 2011). Unfortunately, this figure rose to 57.3% in 2013 (GCDP, 2015) but later dropped to 29.3% by 2015 (Chris, 2018). Of the morphine manufactured globally in 2011, the United States produced 78.4 tons, or 19% (UN, 2011). In 2018, the United Nations INCB reports flagged the US with having a continuing disparate consumption of opioid analgesics (UN, 2018).

#### Cause for Concern: Variability of Response to Drug-Disease Interactions

The observation that there exist inter- and intraindividual differences in response to prescribed or illicitly used medications reinforces the significance of modernday precision medicine (Samer et al., 2006; Rollason et al., 2008; Dorn & Cresci, 2008). Characteristically, differences in age as well as in drug interactions with cytochrome P450 metabolic enzymes have historically separated subpopulations from generalized use of medications to more patient-centered determinations of appropriate pharmacological treatments (Samer et al., 2006; Rollason et al., 2008; Finklestein, 2017; Krebs & Milani, 2019). The ongoing discussion of genetic polymorphisms continues to inform this process.

The current literature on alternative splicing (Figure 2) indicates that this posttranscriptional process is essential for life but may to contribute inter- and intraindividual variability by altering gene function (House & Lynch, 2008); switching substrate specificity (Christmas et al., 2001; Bauman et al., 2009); or causing disease (e.g., cancer) through aberrant splicing events (Faustino 2003; Buratti et al., 2006). At least ten alternatively-spliced isoforms (Figure 3) of the human mu-opioid receptor (MOR-1) gene (OPRM1) have been identified (Pasternak and Pan, 2009). Moreover, each splice variant may exhibit different agonist-induced activation, signal transduction, and protein expression patterns. Within pharmacogenomics, understanding how a person's genetic profile influences his response to a drug is a treasured clinical endeavor, in which is embedded great hope for the improvement in the medical use and administration of drugs across all ages and stages of development (Finklestein, 2017). Central to these efforts is the drug-receptor (Danhof et al., 2007; Ploeger et al., 2009).

### Historical Receptor Theories

The receptor is the smallest pharmacological unit necessary to differentiate between drugs (Kenakin, 2004). The idea that receptors are responsible for drug effects is an evolving theory that developed between the late 19<sup>th</sup> century and early 20<sup>th</sup> century due to the pioneering work of several scientists. Credited for the concept of "locus of effect," Claude Bernard (1813-1878) pioneered a methodological blueprint for elucidating the specificity and selectivity of drug action (Bernard, 1856). As an offshoot of interest in finding a more rational approach for therapy, Hungarian scientist Rudolf Buchheim (1820-1879) opened the first pharmacology laboratory with the intent of measuring drug effects and their associated mechanisms of action (Hollinger, 1997). In1848, Blake framed the structureactivity relationship (SAR). He made observations to correlate the biological effects of a substance with its chemical structure, arguing that a specific component was responsible for the observed change rather than the complex as a whole. He garnered theoretical support from the later work of Arrhenius on electrolytic dissociation, and Crum Brown and Fraser who found differing physiological actions by alternating alkaloid structures. Hans Horst Meyer (1899) and Charles Ernest Overton (1901), independently described lipid solubility. Among other discoveries during this period, other scientists were discovering the high physiological specificity of opioids on smooth muscle versus smooth muscles.

The existence of receptors was first suggested in 1878 by John Newport Langley (1852-1925), followed in 1905 by him coining the term "receptive substances." Paul Ehrlich (1854-1915) specifically introduced the concept word "receptor" in his medical correspondence wherein he attributed a therapeutic effect only to an agent having "the right sort of affinity" (Ehrlich, 1923; Hollinger, 1997). Ehrlich envisioned receptors as "sidechains" that interacted with a "combining group of the protoplasmic molecule to which the introduced group is anchored" in mammalian cells (Hollinger, 1997).

As he studied the interactions between enzymes and substrates, Emil Fischer, a German chemist, and enzymologist, was the first to propose a "lock and key" relationship between a drug and its receptor. Fischer postulated that a specific similar geometric configuration of the receptor was necessary for a chemical reaction to proceed from contact between these molecules. The precise fit was required to produce the optimal response (Hollinger, 1997). This theory was consistent with existing science showing that the primary amino acid sequence of a protein determines its three-dimensional structure, and according to Christian Anfinsen, these molecules were capable of unfolding (denaturing) and folding (renaturing) to vary their conformation (Hollinger, 1997).

As Alfred Joseph Clark (1885-1941) first "receptor occupancy proposed, the theory" demonstrates the interaction of a first messenger (e.g., a signal molecule such as a drug, chemical, or neurotransmitter) with its specific physiological cellular receptor (e.g., mu-opioid receptor, subtype 1 - MOR-1) to produce a measurable biological response (Limbird, 2005). The curve of a dose-response graph resembles a mathematical hyperbole. Subsequent research by Raymond P. Ahlquist (1914-1983) led to the discovery of differences between alphaunique and betaadrenoceptors, and this served one catalyst for Sir James Black's (1988) Nobel winning interrogation of drugs with receptor-selective subtypes. Not too long afterward, Gilman and Rodbell won the Nobel Prize for GPCRs and receptor coupling. These monumental works have moved the field of receptor pharmacology to uncharted heights that continue to influence today's society. A summary of the general characteristics of receptors appears in Table 3.

### Characteristics of the Mu-opioid Receptor

The opioid receptor is a member of Class A of the superfamily of guanosine nucleotide-binding protein (G-protein)-coupled receptors (GPCRs) that constitute  $\sim$ 3% of the human genome. They contain a total of 7 extracellular and intracellular transmembrane (7TM) domains linked to three subunits:  $alpha - \alpha$ ,  $beta - \beta$ , and gamma –  $\gamma$ . The beta and gamma subunits are tightly linked, while the alpha subunit more freely associates or dissociates from this dimer. Ligands approach and engage the receptor from the extracellular space, and receptor activation results in coupling to heterotrimeric G-proteins on the intracellular face of the membrane. Binding and hydrolysis of guanosine triphosphate (GTP) to the  $\alpha$ -subunit of the G-protein activates a resting receptor and results in the dissociation of the  $\beta\gamma$  subunit from the receptor. The  $\beta\gamma$ subunit in conjunction with downstream effectors or the GTP-bound  $\alpha$ -subunit can trigger a plethora of downstream events. The association of guanosine diphosphate (GDP) with the  $\alpha$ -subunit promotes its further association with the  $\beta\gamma$  subunits, returning it to an inactive state. Opioid receptor signals are transduced by intracellular inhibitory G-proteins (G<sub>i</sub>/G<sub>o</sub>), which are relatively resistant to tolerance and desensitization (Pasternak, 2001; Pan et al., 1999, 2001, 2005).

In studies conducted by Kuhar (2010), autoradiographic localization of opiate receptors rendered these microscopic molecules as being saturable, primarily particulate-bound, and accessible in proportion to the high level of activity of opiate drugs, but seemingly unaffected by drugs not of opiate origin. Observed drug effects are a consequence of physiological responses associated with control mechanisms that permit access to the drug via the action of its physiological intermediate.

When an endogenous opioid, such as enkephalin, binds to and activates MOR-1, the ensuing conformational changes help to modulate synaptic transmission in the neuron, ultimately resulting in a cascade of intracellular signaling events that amplify the signal and produce a diverse array of pharmacological outcomes, depending on the tissue (Limbird, 2005; Benye et al., 2015). The intensity of the response to a signaling 'messenger' molecule (ligand) depends in large part on the specificity with which that ligand attaches to the receptor recognition site (binding pocket).

### MOR-1 Alternative Splicing

Although the pharmacological and physiological attributes of morphine and its receptors have been extensively elaborated over the past three decades (Zadina et al., 1993; Pasternak, 2001; Kuhar, 2010; Benyhe et al., 2015), recent identification of multiple splice variants of the mu-opioid receptor (MOR-1) (Zadina et al., 1993; Pan et al., 1999; Pan et al., 2001; Braaco & Kearney, 2003; Pan et al., 2005; Oldfield et al., 2008; Shabalina et al., 2009) raises a plethora of questions as to the functional significance of these variants (Pasternak, 2001). Gene expression is regulated at the transcriptional level; hence, contributions by MOR-1 splice variants are of interest. There is also a dearth of information about mechanisms that account for the substantial diminution of the efficacy of morphine, which gives rise to the development of tolerance following longterm use (Yu & Sadee, 1988; Zadina et al., 1993; Taylor & Fleming, 2001; Willner et al., 2014).

Up to sixty percent of the human genome is estimated to contain alternatively-spliced gene isoforms (Lee & Irizarry, 2003). Aberrant splicing events have been implicated in a growing number of diseases, including cancer (Mercadante & Kole, 2000; Braaco & Kearney, 2003; Lee & Irizarry, 2003; Brinkman, 2004). The C-terminus of cell-surface, seven-transmembranes (7TM) receptors is home to the biggest array of splice variants, which may occur at more than one site on the receptor, adding to the complex structure of the gene (Kilpatrick et al., 1999). The opioid receptor is one example of a 7TM receptor within the guanine nucleotide-binding proteins (g-protein)-coupled receptor (GPCR) family, which transfers signals for hundreds of cellular receptors. This highly diversified family of gprotein receptors execute neurotransmission, cellular differentiation, hormonal activities, signal transduction, metabolism, and other processes (Kilpatrick et al., 1999). The pharmacological significance of newly identified mu-opioid receptor (MOR-1) alternative splice variants (Pasternak & Pan, 2004; House & Lynch, 2008) has not been characterized relative to drug response mechanisms and may inform the issue of morphine tolerance. Among the 70-90% of cancer patients requiring individualized opioid therapy for intense chronic pain, the response to prototypical opiates like morphine is highly variable, necessitating dose escalation with an increased risk of developing tolerance (WHO, 1986; Bracco and Kearsey, 2003).

Given the central role of MOR-1 in pain mediation, brain reward systems, opiate addiction and homeostasis (Cox, 1991; Trujillo & Akil, 1991; Di Chara & North, 1992; Meunier, 1992; Law & Loh, 1999; Nestler & Aghajanian, 2007), a plethora of questions exist as to the functionality of these alternatively spliced variants, selectivity of ligand binding, and the implications of these potential associations in disease and therapy (Braaco & Kearney, 2003; Lee & Irizarry, 2003; Brinkman, 2004). The functional capacity of MOR1 splice variants is unknown, and it is yet unclear whether alternativelyspliced isoforms respond to botanical products like the prototypical ligand for the mu-opioid receptor, morphine.

### Discovery of the Medicinal Properties of Morphine

Recognition of the pharmacological properties of plants and the medicinal use of morphine date far back to ancient civilizations (e.g., Sumeria, Egypt, Ancient Greece, Roman Empire). Among modern narcotic analogsics, morphine is the oldest and remains the gold standard (prototype) that is the most widely used. Morphine is the principal active ingredient in the poppy (Papaver somniferum). The opium groundbreaking discovery of morphine as the first alkaloid isolated from naturally occurring plant species by Wilhelm Serturner, a German Pharmacist, forever changed organic chemistry, medicine, and history. Notwithstanding, morphine is also present in appreciable amounts in Theriaca, laudanum, Doveri, and paregoric (Benyhe et al., 2015). The recreational use of opium is widely (but not exclusively) practiced in the Middle East and the Far East provinces (e.g., Arabia, Turkey, Iran, India, and China), but the illicit sale and use of opium and its synthetic derivatives have since reached global proportions (Benyhe et al., 2015).

Subsequent determination of the chemical formula of morphine (Laurent, 1847), the structure of morphine (Robinson, 1925), and its industrial extraction (Kabay, 1925) have led to the total synthesis of morphine (1952-1956) (Gates and Tsudi, 1952-1956). Gulland elucidated the stereochemical structure of morphine as having a rigid phenanthrene ring system

comprised of five condensed rings (A - phenolic, aromatic; B - cyclohexane; C - cyclohexanol, cyclohexene; D-N-methyl-piperidine, piperidine; and E - a partially saturated furan ring, tetrahydrofuran) (Gulland and Robinson, 1925). The phenolic makeup of the A-ring makes it a weak acid (Lemke, 2003). Primary and secondary alcohol (-OH) group substitutions at the A-ring C3 and the D-ring C6 positions, respectively, confer chemical reactivity on the molecule. Morphine has five chiral centers at carbon-5 (C5), C6 C9, C13, and C14 positions. The piperidine constituency of the D ring renders morphine the classification of a weak base (Benyhe et al., 2015). By this latter classification, morphine "does not readily donate its electrons and forms an unstable ammonium ion that dissociates readily with a large dissociation constant (K<sub>a</sub>), and thus has a small pK<sub>a</sub>" (Lemke, 2003).

### Brief History of the Fig Plant

The fig tree is a deciduous shrub of the Moraceae family that can typically grow to massive proportions up to 15 to 30 feet tall (and often wide) (Patil & Patil 2011). These keystone plant species favor tropical and subtropical regions where it is sunny, and the soil is well-drained, and are capable of withstanding drought conditions (Jeong & Lachance, 2001; Solomon et al., 2006; Ahmed et al., 2012; Mawa et al., 2013). The fig tree is one of the oldest known plants in history, dating as far back as the days of Creation as penned in the Bible (Saif et al., 2020). Its fruit is the botanical embodiment of stem tissue, called a syconium, which contains both male and female flower parts (Aradhya et al., 2010). Hence, these plants develop parthenocarpically without pollination (Flaishman et al., 2008; Mawa et al., 2013; Lyons & McEachern, 2019). Fig trees in the Arabian Peninsula date as afar back as 3000 BC (Saif et al., 2020). They were first cultivated approximately 11,000 years ago, presumably as far east as South-Central Asia before spreading westward (Ashton, 2019) toward Turkey, Syria, and the Mediterranean basin (Saif et al., 2020), soon becoming a favorite of Greek, Egyptian and Roman civilizations (Saif et al., 2020).

Transport of the duly named "Mission" fig plant by Spanish missionaries to the West in the midnineteenth century accounts for its arrival in Texas and California (Aradhya et al., 2010). The various classes of horticulturally-important, edible figs (i.e., Capri, San Pedro, Smyrna, Common Fig) are so classified based on the floral biology and pollination behavior (Aradhya et al., 2010). Of these, the Common Fig (*Ficus carica*) genus is the only one that persistently yields fruit. It produces over 2000 varieties of fruit and semi-tropical plants, and over 700 varieties of common garden figs (Aradhya et al., 2010; Ashton, 2019).

### Herb-Disease Interactions

The therapeutic efficacy of *Ficus carica* has also not been demonstrated; neither is it established as having potential drug-herb interactions with narcotic drugs or nutrients (Jellin et al., 2009). Yet, fig is a highly abundant staple of the Mediterranean diet (Croft, 1998, Visioli & Galli, 2001; Solomon et al., 2006; Gomez-Romero et al., 2007) and its global health-promoting potential is underutilized (Dragsted et al., 1993; Genkinger et al., 2004; Solomon et al., 2006).

Many of the pharmacological effects associated with the fig plant (Patil & Patil, 2011) correlate to its high antioxidant capacity (Sirisha et al., 2010). The leaves possess a robust antioxidant potential and the highest concentration of phenolics (Sirisha et al., 2010). In traditional applications, both the fig leaves and the fruits are beneficial "as laxative, stimulant, against throat diseases, antitussive, emollient, emmenagogue, and resolvent" (Bellakhdar et al., 1991; Guarrera et al., 2003; Konvahoglu et al., 2005). The high flavonoids content of fig leaves (Saeed & Sabit, 2002) is of interest given the tremendous anti-inflammatory, cardioprotection, and anti-cancer effects associated with this phytochemical (Croft; 1998; Jeong & Lachance, 2001; Amir et al., 2007). Reports (Jouad et al., 2001; Leoporatti & Ivancheva, 2003; Konyahoglu et al., 2005; Jellin et al., 2009) have also upheld the unique hypoglycemic properties of fig leaves in humans (Serraclara et al., 1998) and rats (Perez et al., 1996).

Studies assessing the  $\alpha$ -tocopherol, flavonoid, and phenol contents relative to the antioxidant activity of fig leaves have established the antioxidant capacity of Ficus carica leaf extracts and raised hopes for the role of  $\alpha$ -tocopherol in clarifying its mechanism of action (Konyahoglu et al., 2005). Phytosterols have, in part, been credited for the hypocholesterolemic effect observed in Mission fig (Jeong & Lachance, 2001). In Ghana, the Ficus plant is a popular galactagogue (Bekoe et al., 2018). Also, the nutritive value of the high dietary fiber and high mineral content of figs is superior to many other fruits. There is an established high correlation between total polyphenols, or total anthocyanins, and the antioxidant capacity of Mission fig, and to a much lesser extent, Brown Turkey fig class (Solomon et al., 2006; Crisosto et al., 2010). The potential irritant effects of Ficus carica leaves on the ears of albino mice (Saeed & Sabir, 2002) is presumably due to the psoralens present in leaves (Jellin et al., 2009), but their standard use in the dietary prevention of anemia and as an anti-helminthic underscores the benefit of their medicinal properties (Saeed & Sabir, 2002; Jeong et al., 2009). Thus, similar to fruit, the value of commonly discarded fig (Ficus carica) leaves for health promotion and medical treatment offers potentially viable opportunities for further research and the discovery of nutraceuticals and pharmacotherapies from promising cultivars.

### Herb-Drug Interactions

Individual Ficus carica cultivars reportedly vary in the antioxidant capacity (Crisosto et al., 2010; Mawa et al., 2013) and the antioxidant and phenolic constituencies are may be an artifact of the genetic makeup (Scalzo et al., 2005; Aradhya et al., 2010). Among commercial varieties (e.g., Mission, Brown-Turkey, Bursa, Brunswick, and Kadota), Mission fig has the highest concentration of total polyphenols, total flavonoids, total anthocyanins, and Trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity (TEAC) in fig fruits, skins and pulps (Solomon et al., 2006). Another study by Jeong and colleagues (2009) also found Mission fig to have exceptional total polyphenol content in the leaf extract compared to that of Brown Turkey. This advantage may be tied to the original geographical and/or geological source of the Mission fig tree, brought to the US by Franciscan missionaries; it may be less resistant to genetic changes imposed by environmental differences.

Researchers have examined the weight-ofevidence of herb-drug interactions pertaining to the fig leaf and have concluded that concern is both relevant and valid based on available literature (i.e., nonrandomized clinical trial [RCT]; non-quantitative systematic review; lower quality RCT; clinical cohort study; case-control study; historical control; or epidemiologic study) (Jellin et al., 2009). The severity of interactions of fig leaf with two drugs has been rated as "moderate," and caution is advised with these combinations. Clinical research or pharmacokinetic data in humans suggests that this interaction is "probable," meaning that it will occur in a significant portion of patients. Fig leaf may interact with anti-diabetic drugs or insulin. In both cases, fig leaf lowers blood glucose levels by enhancing the effect of hypoglycemic drugs (Jellin et al., 2009). Mechanistically, fig leaf is capable of improving glucose update by skeletal muscle (Jellin et al., 2009). However, there was no clear evidence as to the implications of other herb-drug interactions [such as motor function drugs (e.g., skeletal muscle relaxants benzodiazepines; anti-seizure drugs - phenobarbital), or centrally acting drugs that affect smooth muscles (e.g., morphine)] or herb-disease interactions [such as drugs affecting skeletal muscle tone in Parkinson's Disease and other movement disorders].

Finally, an analysis of the mineral content of the fructus and folium of *Ficus carica* L. revealed superior concentrations of calcium, potassium, magnesium, phosphorus and sulfur in folium (27,611 ± 152  $\mu$ g/g; 16,000 ± 234  $\mu$ g/g; 3,565 ± 174  $\mu$ g/g; 1,285 ± 31  $\mu$ g/g; and 1,150 ± 67  $\mu$ g/g respectively) versus fructus (6,006 ± 613  $\mu$ g/g; 13,892 ± 415  $\mu$ g/g; 1,381 ± 186  $\mu$ g/g; 1,054 ± 44  $\mu$ g/g; and 536.1 ± 7.5  $\mu$ g/g respectively) (Ficsor et al., 2013). No documented fig-food interactions, or morphine-mineral interactions, were found (Jellin et al., 2009). It is also unclear whether high concentrations of the above minerals in fig folium or

fructus affect the bioavailability of morphine. Hence, caution to comply with existing recommended dietary reference intake (DRI) values (*calcium*: RDA 1,000mg/d –male, 1,200 mg/d – female; *potassium*: DRI 4.7 g/d; *magnesium*: RDA 420mg/d –male, 320 mg/d – female; *phosphorus*: RDA 700mg/d, Upper Limit 4,000 mg/d) (NAS, 1997, 2001, 2005, 2011) is important. Comparatively, opportunities to remove nutritional deficiencies through supplemental use of *Ficus carica* may become necessary. These attributes support the assumption that the leaves may also possess a high nutritional and medicinal value that warrants further exploration. Nine cultivars grown in the United States were selected for further interrogation in the present research (Table 1).

### PCR Comes of Age

One celebrated outcome of the Human Genome Project is its propulsion of the field of molecular genomics into the research spotlight. Innovations in molecular biology and pharmacogenomics, as well as technological advances in guantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (gRT-PCR), have led to the identification of several new human mu-opioid receptor (MOR-1) splice variants that to date have not been fully characterized (Saiki et al., 1985, 1988; Watson, 1990; Olson, 1993; Collins et al., 1998; Pollock, 2002). The polymerase chain reaction (PCR) is a sensitive technology which was discovered by Kary Mullis in 1983 for the original purpose of improving DNA quantification (Mullis et al., 1986; Bartlett & Starling, 2003). However, PCR has also led to our improved knowledge of biological processes such as RNA transcription, cellular growth, and proliferation, differentiation, development Advances in PCR technology have advanced the field of gene expression analysis for over twenty-five years, namely: the introduction of real-time PCR (Williams, 2009), discovery of reverse transcription (Baltimore, 1970; Temlin & Mizutani, 1970); qRT-PCR and the emergence of sophisticated instrumentation to detect vanishingly small quantities of nucleic acids (Saiki et al., 1985, 1988; Zimmerman & Mannhetter, 1996; Snider et al., 2001; VanGuilder et al., 2008).

PCR capitalizes on the well-established significance of DNA in living cells following elucidation of the genetic code (Watson & Crick, 1953) as well as the central dogma of molecular biology which posits that the uni-directional flow of genetic information is from DNA to RNA, via transcription, and from mRNA (the product of transcription) to protein, via translation (Crick, 1958). Transcription is the first and rate-limiting step in the process of gene expression. The term 'gene expression' is synonymous with 'messenger ribonucleic acid (mRNA) levels.' Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction precisely and reliably measures gene expression levels of specific nucleic acid sequences

(Kaltenboeck & Wang, 2005; Bustin, 2000, 2010; Bustin & Nolan, 2004; Bustin et al., 2005). Close examination of emerging patterns of gene expression can provide insight into physiological responses to cellular stressors or signals, or whether the genes are functionally related (Pollock, 2002). The evident superiority of qRT-PCR surpasses older technologies (e.g., Northern blot, RNase protection assays) and affirms its designation as the "gold standard" or method-of-choice for analyzing gene expression of modest numbers of genes (Nedelman, 1992).

The biological significance of qRT-PCR to modern biology and biomedical sciences is irrefutable. In the aftermath of discoveries made in the Human Genome Project, scientists have begun to explore more intensely the molecular underpinnings of sickness, chronic disease, and drug interactions in the body (Snider et al., 2001; Bernard & Wittwer, 2002; Pollock, 2002; Kaltenboeck & Wang, 2005). Answers to elusive medical conditions, such as cancer and drug tolerance. can be elucidated at the molecular level to shed greater insight into the nature of these conditions as well as the mechanisms by which they occur (Braaco & Kearney, 2003; Brinkman, 2004; Kaltenboeck & Wang, 2005). The use of this generalized PCR equipment to characterize the plant genome is not new. The efficiency of quantitative real-time PCR in detectina posttranscriptional changes in human cells induced by natural plant products gives way to future consideration of the mechanistic actions of plant extracts, as well as drug-herb interactions. The enhanced capacity for comparative analysis of critical neurological systems, such as the opioid system, using this technology, as well as the potential discovery of relevant interventions to eliminate the cause of chronic diseases, gives hope for the future of medicine.

# Using Ancient Medicinal Herbs to Counteract an Age-Old Enigma

For centuries, morphine has been utilized as the prototypical analgesic drug in the treatment of chronic and intractable pain. Morphine exerts its pain-relieving effects primarily through the mu-opioid receptor (MOR-1). Moreover, ancient civilizations have used the fig (*Ficus carica* L.) plant for wound healing, digestive clearance, and as a hypolipidemic agent in diabetes. Since morphine induces constipation and hyperglycemia, we hypothesized that fig leaf extract could attenuate or abrogate these adrenergic effects of morphine, as well as its central effects.

With the recent advances of receptor polymorphisms and gene splicing, several variant forms of MOR-1 have recently been identified. Through the use of polymerase chain reaction (PCR) technology, the fields of molecular genetics and pharmacology have begun to converge and so enable a deeper understanding of the mechanistic basis of opioid-related diseases, like opioid dependence, addiction, and withdrawal, which are chronic outcomes of morphine tolerance.

The present study examined the prototypic effects of morphine on MOR-1 variant mRNA expression compared that of fig (*Ficus carica*) leaf extract. The objective of this study was to employ advanced molecular genetics techniques, including real-time qRT-PCR, to assess the ability of fig leaf extract, in the presence or absence of morphine, to interact with MOR-1 receptor alternatively-spliced variants (ASVs) and to modify its transcriptional machinery, a rate-limiting step in MOR-1 protein synthesis and functionality of the muopioid receptor (OPRM1).

### II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### a) General Chemical Reagents and Pharmacologic Agents

Isopropanol, chloroform, morphine, distilled water, and consumable supplies were supplied by Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Absolute ethanol (100%) was obtained in-house.

### i. gRT-PCR Chemicals

The DNAse treatment and removal kit were purchased from Ambion (Foster City, CA, USA). iQ<sup>™</sup> SYBR Green Supermix and iScript cDNA Synthesis System were ordered from Bio-Rad Laboratories (Hercules, CA).

ii. Ficus carica L. Cultivars

"Just Fruits & Exotics Nursery" of Crawfordville, FL donated the *Ficus carica* leaves from nine cultivars (Green Ishia [FIG1], Brown Turkey [FIG2], Mission [FIG3], Alma [FIG4], Celeste [FIG5], Giant Celeste [FIG6], Black Jack [FIG7], Nero [FIG8] and Hollier [FIG9]). In this paper, we present a pilot study of only one of these cultivars, the Alma fig, as well as some common characteristics of the other fig varieties and their extracts (Table 1).

### b) Methods

### i. Human Neuroblastoma (SH-SY5Y) Cell Line

Human neuroblastoma (SH-SY5Y) cells are epithelial cells that were derived from the bone marrow of a metastasized tumor originating in the brain of a 4year old girl. SH-SY5Y cells are stable neuroblasts that were thrice-cloned from the original SK-N-SH cell line (Ross et al., 1983). The expression of mu-opioid receptors in SK-N-SH cells was determined to be five times higher than that of delta-opioid receptors (Yu et al., 1986), which is reproduced in SH-SY5Y subclones (Yu et al., 1986). SH-SY5Y cells are a reproducible cell model for studying the biochemical correlates of opiate efficacy and tolerance (Yu and Sadee, 1988). Additionally, SH-SY5Y cells can express several distinct phenotypes, including immature neuroblast forms that differentiate into mature neurons (Ross et al., 1983) following treatment with retinoic acid (RA) (Pahlman et al., 1984). It is advantageous to use an *in vitro* model of specialized nervous system cells (i.e., neurons) in this study because isolation of the effects of chemicals at the molecular level is complicated by the heterogeneity of *in vivo* nervous system networks within tissues.

### ii. Cell Culture

Human neuroblastoma (SH-SY5Y) cells (ATCC, Bethesda, MD) were maintained under sterile conditions in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM)/Ham's F-12 (1:1) (Gibco Laboratories, Grand Island, NY) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and penicillin/streptomycin (Sigma, St. Louis, MO). The cells were stored in a humidified incubator at 37°C and 5%  $CO_2$ . Cells were grown to 70-80% confluence (15 x 10<sup>6</sup> cells) before differentiation with retinoic acid (RA).

### a. RA Differentiation of SH-SY5Y Cells

Human neuroblastoma SH-SY5Y cells were the first neuronally-derived cell line suitable for studying chronic opiate (morphine) effects (Zadina et al., 1993). Two unique properties of SH-SY5Y cells that auger well for their use in opioid research are their constitutive expression of the mu opiate receptor in measurable quantities (Toll, 1990; Bare et al., 1994; Edsjo et al., 2007) and the rare ability of this cell line to be induced to express the neuronal phenotype by addition of retinoic acid (Sidell 1982; Sidell et al., 1983; Zadina et al., 1993;

Yu and Sadeee, 1988; Borner et al., 2007). Differentiation of SH-SY5Y cells ensued after addition of *all-trans* retinoic acid (10 mM), dissolved in absolute ethanol, to fresh culture medium (final concentration:  $10\mu$ M). At 70-80% confluence (~15 x 10<sup>6</sup> cells), the cells were exposed to RA for 48 hrs before all media was removed and refreshed. The cells were reintroduced to RA (10 $\mu$ M) for a further 24-hr period.

### b. Treatment of SH-SY5Y Cells

Three categories of treatments were used in the experiments, including morphine (the prototypical, full opioid receptor agonist and potent analgesic), fig leaf extract (a crude botanical product), or control (media alone). Plated cells, at or near confluence, were randomly assigned to the respective treatment groups.

A stock solution of morphine (10 mM) was prepared according to manufacturer's instructions. SH-SY5Y cells were treated with morphine (10 $\mu$ M), fig leaf extract (3 $\mu$ L/30 $\mu$ L media), or both for 48 hours as independent triplicate samples. On harvesting, the SH-SY5Y cells were thrice washed with 1X PBS then stored at -70°C until analysis.

#### c. Differentiated but Untreated SH-SY5Y Cells [Experimental Controls]

The measurement outcome (dependent variable) of these experiments is "gene expression." The independent variables examined in this study are "treatment," "time," and "genotype." Control (media alone) received no chemical treatment but a

supplemental volume of media only. Triplicate control plates accompanied each set of independent experiments. We evaluated MOR-1, MOR1-A, MOR1-B1, MOR1-B2, MOR1-B3, MOR-1B4, MOR1-B5, MOR1-K1, and  $\beta$ -ACT genotypes (n=8) in each sample at one of three time-points (n=3), 24hr, 48hr, and 72hr. Plated cells were randomly allocated to the respective treatment groups at or near confluency. A total of 9 plates comprising a single experimental unit were analyzed.

### d. Phase Contrast Microscopy

We monitored the growth of SH-SY5Y cell cultures differentiated with RA using phase-contrast microscopy to ensure the progression of neuritogenesis under experimental conditions.

### c) Primer Design

Primer pairs (Table 2) were designed to recognize and amplify the specific region within the c-terminus of the MOR-1 gene where the variant is located. Invitrogen's OligoPerfect<sup>™</sup> Designer online system was used to design forward and reverse oligonucleotide primers (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), no more than 26 base pairs in length. Primers to detect the expression of transcription factors are listed in Table 3.

### d) RNA Isolation

All procedures were performed according to the manufacturer's protocols. Trizol extraction (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and DNase treatment of total ribonucleic acid (RNA) were used to purify the sample for reverse transcription (Turbo DNA-free<sup>TM</sup> Kit, Ambion, Foster City, CA). The final concentration of the reaction mixture was 10  $\mu$ g of RNA/50  $\mu$ l DNase cocktail. Total RNA concentrations were measured before proceeding with the remaining procedures. Samples with Nanodrop<sup>TM</sup> A<sub>260</sub>/A<sub>280</sub> absorbance ratios  $\geq$  1.8 and adequate RNA concentrations were selected for amplification by real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) using the Bio-Rad iCycler/MyIQ<sup>TM</sup> (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA).

### e) cDNA Synthesis

First-strand cDNA was reverse-transcribed from purified RNA using a 20  $\mu$ l reaction mixture (iScript<sup>TM</sup> cDNA Synthesis Kit, Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) containing 5  $\mu$ l (1  $\mu$ g) of RNA. Samples were incubated in the thermocycler for 30 minutes (25°C, 5 min; 42°C, 15 min, twice; 85°C, 5 min) before storage at -70°C.

# f) Real-Time Quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction (gRT-PCR)

Reverse-transcribed cDNA was amplified by RTqPCR using iQ SYBR Green Supermix<sup>®</sup>  $\stackrel{\text{\tiny M}}{=}$  (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) with human forward/reverse primer-probe sets for  $\beta$ -actin (housekeeping gene), human MOR1A (HMOR-1A), HMOR-1B1, HMOR-1B2, HMOR-1B3, HMOR-1B4, HMOR-1B5 and HMOR-1Y genes (25  $\mu$ l SYBR, 20  $\mu$ l water, 3  $\mu$ l primer, 2  $\mu$ l cDNA). Optimization of the thermal profile at 95°C (5 min) was followed by a 2-step amplification and melt process over 40 cycles (95°C, 10 sec; 55°C, 45 sec). The thermal cycler (Figure 4) was set to proceed at 95°C (1 hr) followed by 55°C (1 hr), and finally, 55°C (10 sec). The specificity of qRT-PCR was checked by examining melt curves generated for each set of triplicate control, treated, and standard curve samples.

### i. Standard Curve

Relative gene expression levels were determined using the standard curve method. For each primer pair (forward and reverse), the amplification efficiency for each gene of interest was based on a fourpoint, 5-fold sample dilution series. Signal threshold cycle, or C<sub>t</sub>, values were logarithmically transformed to extrapolate the level of MOR-1 variant mRNA relative to  $\beta$ -actin (reference gene). Relative expression of an individual gene of interest was defined as the percentage ratio of log-transformed Ct values for treated (C<sub>t</sub>-treat) samples to the C<sub>t</sub> value for  $\beta$ -actin, relative to controls (C<sub>t</sub>-control).

### g) Quality Assurance/Control

The specificity of qRT-PCR was checked by examining melt curves generated for each set of triplicate control, treated, and standard curve samples. Before each use, the Nanodrop<sup>™</sup> and analytical scale were sanitized and calibrated between after each use according to standard laboratory procedures. Microvolumes of each sample were loaded onto the pedestal as RNA purity and quantity were assessed spectrophotometrically.

### h) Normalization of qRT-PCR Data

Replicate samples should be run at least in triplicate assays, and the experiments repeated at least thrice. Relative gene expression is calculated based on the standard curve method (as above) and normalized by housekeeping and control genes. The data should be subjected to dual normalization based on the ratio of 'log base two' equivalent values for target and control genes. For example, the proportion of 'target gene: housekeeping gene' and 'target gene: control gene' were computed.

### i) Statistical Analysis

The measurement outcome (dependent variable) of these experiments is "gene expression," quantified as relative messenger RNA (mRNA) levels. The independent variables examined in this study are "treatment" and "genotype." For qRT-PCR, MOR-1 and selected variant forms (i.e., MOR-1A, MOR-1B1, MOR-1B2, MOR-1B3, MOR-1B4, MOR-1B5, and MOR-1K1), as well as  $\beta$ -ACT genotypes (n=8) were evaluated.

The data (mean  $\pm$  SEM) represent triplicate assays of samples obtained from three independent experiments. The small sample size represents a

limitation on this pilot study that does not appear to deface the quality of the data. Dataset organization and basic descriptive statistics were calculated using Microsoft Excel®. The data were then normalized to  $\beta$ -actin mRNA and control values.

Statistical analyses and graphics were performed using the Prism  $6.0^{\text{TM}}$  software. Statistical significance of t-tests was set at an alpha level of p < 0.05.

### III. Results

### a) RA Differentiation in SH-SY5Y Cells

Retinoic acid (RA) induced differentiation of native SH-SY5Y cells into cells morphologically classifiable as neuronal cells, as confirmed by the presence of dendritic formations, neurite outgrowths, and axonal extensions.

### i. Expression of MOR-1 ASVs in Experimental Control SH-SY5Y Cells

Untreated but RA-differentiated (control) cells exhibited significant (p<.0001) constitutive, differential expression of all MOR-1 alternative splice variants as well as beta-actin (Figure 5). MOR-1B4 was undetected.

### b) Tolerogenic Effect of Morphine on Differentiated SH-SY5Y Cells

Based upon our present preliminary screen of extracted from RA-differentiated human mRNA neuroblastoma (SH-SY5Y) cells and analyzed by gRT-PCR using Bio-Rad Thermocycler/MvIQ® software. prototypical opioids induced measurable tolerogenic effects within 48 hours of opioid exposure. Treatment with morphine alone significantly down-regulated MOR-1B1 (77.32%, p<.0001), MOR-1B2 (70.10%, p<.0001), MOR-1B3 (92.96%, p<.005), and MOR-1K1 (82.18%, p<.0001) mRNA levels relative to controls in BACTnormalized samples. In contrast, MOR-1A (179.7%, p<.05) and MOR-1B5 (109.3%, p<.0001) in these samples were significantly up-regulated following morphine treatment (Figure 6). Compared to the responses of the other variants in morphine-treated samples, the effect on MOR-1A may be an outlier as an artifact of a small sample size. MOR-1B4 was undetected.

# c) Effect of Fig Leaf Extract on Differentiated SH-SY5Y Cells

Treatment of SH-SY5Y cells with Alma fig leaf extract for 48hr substantially amplified the expression of MOR-1A (396.1%, p<.0001), MOR-1B1 (440.1%, p<.05), MOR-1B2 (239.1%, p<.05), MOR-1B5 (259.1%, p<.005), and MOR-1K1 (230.2%, p<.05), relative to controls. There was inadequate evidence of MOR-1B3 down-regulation by the Alma fig cultivar (Figure 7).

Compared to the responses of the other variants in Alma fig leaf extract-treated samples, the effect on MOR-1B3 may be an outlier as an artifact of a

small sample size. MOR-1B4 was undetected. On examining patterns of expression following administration of Alma fig only, the inflated mRNA values suggest a synergistic interaction with endogenous opiates.

### d) Combined Effect of Morphine and Fig Leaf Extract on MOR-1 ASV Expression

Cells initially treated with morphine were subsequently treated with Alma fig leaf extract. In the morphine/Alma fig treatment group, MOR-1B1 (459.6%, p<.05), MOR-1B2 (228.1%, p<.05), MOR-1B5 (301.8%, p=.0069), and MOR-1K1 (156.6%, p<.005) mRNA levels were found to be up-regulated, whereas MOR-1A1 (65.4%, p>.05) and MOR-1B3 (77.02%, p<.0001) mRNA levels were down-regulated (Figure 8).

Compared to the responses of the other variants in morphine-treated samples, the effect on MOR-1A and MOR-1B3 may be an outlier as an artifact of a small sample size. MOR-1B4 was undetected.

The addition of Alma fig extract completely abrogated the tolerogenic effects of morphine on MOR-1B1, MOR-1B2, and MOR-1K1. When morphine was was administered alone, there an observed characteristic attenuation of mRNA levels. The marked inflation of mRNA levels in morphine/fig samples suggests that Alma fig leaf extract may indeed have "inverse agonist" properties, as it is customary for inverse agonists to elicit the opposite effect to that of an agonist to the receptor. This pattern of opposites was observed relative to MOR-1A, MOR-1B1, MOR-1B2, and MOR-1K1 when comparing "morphine"-treated to "morphine/fig"-treated samples. Also prominent were the double to triple amplification of MOR-1B5 signals, approximating additive effects (morphine alone -109.3%; Alma fig alone - 259.10%; morphine+Alma fig - 301.8%).

### IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

### a) Model Selection

Human neuroblastoma (SH-SY5Y) cells were the first neuronally-derived cell line deemed suitable for the *in vitro* study of chronic opiate (morphine) effects (Zadina et al., 1993). SH-SY5Y cells also continue to be a reliable model for its current use in the expression of mu-opioid receptor variants (Toll, 1990; Bare et al., 1994; Edsjo et al., 2007) due to its high constitutive expression of this receptor and its ability to be induced by retinoic acid to express the neuronal phenotype (Sidell et al., 1983; Zadina et al., 1993; Yu and Sadee, 1988).

### b) Pilot Study

This study confirms our hypothesis that muopioid receptor (MOR-1) alternatively spliced variants are sensitive and differentially responsive to prototypical opioids as well as botanical products (i.e., *Ficus carica* leaf extract). Relative to ligand binding, these data indirectly suggest that some constituent in the fig (*Ficus carica*) leaf appears compatible with the mu-opioid receptor, can bind to the MOR-1 binding site, and is capable of triggering a signaling cascade that elicits genetic effects at successive DNA, RNA and posttranscriptional levels. This constituent is probably structurally similar to morphine or one of its precursors. Given the dependency of gene expression on the tightly regulated, successive steps of transcription, it is reasonable to conclude that there is evidence for functional modulation of MOR-1 in neurons.

### c) Limitations

Due to the small sample size of this pilot study, expanded analyses under experimental conditions are warranted. The data confirm the efficacy of customdesigned primers for targeting specific regions of the OPRM1 gene and the distinctive value of individual *Ficus* cultivars in interacting with the mu-opioid receptor.

### d) Conclusions

Alma fig leaf extract targets specific exons within the mu-opioid receptor (MOR-1) gene (OPRM1) to reverse morphine-induced down-regulation of MOR-1 alternatively-spliced variants. The differential expression of MOR-1 isoforms in response to Alma fig and/or morphine/Alma fig leaf extract, as well as the appearance of additive, synergistic and inverse interactions between these botanicals and human cells, suggests a potential future role in resolving inter- and intra-individual differences in response to morphine. The current finding brings us a little closer to an approach for discriminating the functions of individual MOR-1 ASVs and may play a future role in identifying herb-drug interactions that affect medical prescribing and medication management practices.

Further work is needed to characterize Alma fig leaf extract and its implications for cancer and pain therapy. Added attention to the reversing effects of Alma fig leaf extract following morphine treatment is needed as this outcome may prove useful for reversing morphine-induced side effects, such as constipation and tolerance

### Acknowledgements

This manuscript was originally inspired through personalized mentorship by Dr. Ronald Thomas (posthumous). The author is also very grateful for guidance, training, and support from Drs. Carl B. Goodman, Zhi-Ping Zhu, Magdi Soliman (posthumous), Dinithea Sampson, and Seth Ablordeppey that made the advancement of this effort possible. Thanks also go to Mrs. Pauline Ellis-Hicks (posthumous, librarian) and Betty Johnson (librarian) who helped me forge a deeper connection with pharmacology research. The author is also greatly indebted to Mrs. Janet P. Barber for her indelible efforts to engrave a technical writing and scientific communications signature on the bedrock of my professional training that will last a lifetime. Funding sources included the National Institutes of Health (NIH) grants (RR08111 and RR03020), the FAMU Title III Program, as well as minimal personal support. This research is associated with the American Association for Cancer Research (AACR) 101<sup>st</sup> Conference Abstract #10-A-7923-AACR and earned an AACR Scholar In-Training Award.

### Conflict of Interest

The author knows of no financial interest or any conflict of interest relative to this article.

### References Références Referencias

- Ahmed F, Ahmed M, Abedin Z, and Karim AA (2012). Traditional uses and pharmacological potential of Ficus exasperate Vahl. Systematic Reviews in Pharmacy 3(1): 15-23).
- Amic D, Davidovic-Amic D, Beslo D, Rastija V, Lucic B, et al. (2007). SAR and QSAR of the antioxidant activity of flavonoids. Current Medicinal Chemistry 14(7): 827-845.
- Aradhya MK, Stover E, Velasco D, and Koehmstedt A (2010). Genetic structure and differentiation in cultivated fig (*Ficus carica* L.). Genetica 138: 681-694.
- 4. Ashton R (2019). Figs: A Texas Heritage. Accessed online on June 20, 2019 from: (https://www. texasgardener.com/pastissues/marapr08/Figs.html)
- 5. Baltimore D (1970). Viral RNA-dependent DNA polymerase: RNA-dependent DNA polymerase in virions of RNA tumor viruses. Nature 226: 1209-1211.
- 6. Barile FA (2008). Principles of Toxicology testing. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.
- Bartlett & Starling (2003). A short history of the polymerase chain reaction: In: Methods Mol. Biol. 226: 3-6.
- 8. Bauman J, Jearawiriyapaisarn N, and Kole R (2009) Therapeutic potential of splice=switching oligonucleotides. Oligonucleotides 19(1): 1-13.
- 9. Bekoe EO, Kitcher C, Gyima NAM, Schwinger G, and Frempong M (2018). Medicinal plants used as galactagogues. IntechOpen pp. 1-21.
- Bellakhdar J, Claisse R, Fleurentin J, Younos C (1991). Repertory of standard herbal drugs in the Moraccan pharmacopoea. J Ethnopharmacol 35: 123-143.
- 11. Benyhe S, Zador F, and Otvos F (2015). Biochemistry of opioid (morphine) receptors: Binding, structure and molecular modeling. Acta Biologica Szegediensis 59(Suppl.1): 17-37.
- Bernard C (1856) Physiological analysis of the properties of the muscular and nervous system by means of curare. Comptes Rendus Acad. de Sci. 43:825-829. In: Readings in Pharmacology, L.

Shuster (ed.). Boston: Little, Brown and Company, pp. 73-81.

- Bernard PS, and Wittwer CT (2002). Real-time PCR technology for cancer diagnostics. Clinical Chemistry 48(8): 1178-1185.
- 14. Bodnar RJ, and Klein GE (2006). Endogenous opiate and behavior: 2005. Peptides 27: 3391-3478.
- Braaco L, and Kearney J (2003). The relevance of alternative RNA splicing to pharmacogenomics. Trends in Biotechnology, 21(8):346-353.
- 16. Brinkman, BM (2004). Splice variants as cancer biomarkers. Clinical Biochemistry, 37(7): 584-594.
- Buratti E, Baralle M, and Baralle FE (2006). Defective splicing, disease and therapy: Searching for master checkpoints in exon definition. Nucleic Acids Research 34(12): 3494-3510.
- Bustin SA (2000). Absolute quantification of mRNA using real-time reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction assays. J. Mol. Endocrinol. 25: 169-193.
- 19. Bustin SA (2010). Wht the need for qPCR publication guidelines? The case for MIQE. Methoods 50: 217-226.
- 20. Bustin SA, and Nolan T (2004). Analysis of mRNA expression by real-time PCR. Current PCR, Horizon Scientific Press, 60 pp., online at http://www. horizonpress.com/pcrbooks
- 21. Bustin SA, Benes V, Nolan T, and Pfaffl MW (2005). Quantitative real-time RT-PCR – a perspective. Journal of Molecular Endocrinology 34: 597-601.
- 22. Chris R (2018). It's a myth: America consumes 80% of world's opioids. Pain News Network.
- 23. Christmas P, Jones JP, Patten CJ, Rock DA, Zheng Y, et al. (2001). Alternative splicing determines the function of CYP4F3 by switching substrate specificity. J Biol Chem 276(41): 38166–38172.
- 24. Collier HOJ (1965). A general theory of the genesis of drug dependence by induction of receptors. Nature 4967: 181-182.
- 25. Collins FS, Patrinosa A., Jordan E, Chakravarti A., Gesteland R., et al. (1998). New goals for the US Human Genome Project: 1998-2003. Online at: www.painnewsnetwork.org
- 26. Condit IJ (1955). Fig varieties: A monograph. Hilgardia 23: 323-538.
- 27. Corbett AD, Henderson G, McKnight AT, and Paterson SJ (2006). 75 years of opioid research: The exciting but vain quest for the Holy Grail. British Journal of Pharmacology, 147(Suppl. 1):S153-S162.
- 28. Cox BM (1991). Molecular and cellular mechanisms of opioid tolerance. In Basbaum AI, and Besson JM, eds., towards a new pharmacotherapy of pain. John Wiley and Sons, 137-156.
- 29. Crick F (1958). The biological replication of macromolecules: Symposium for the Society of Experimental Biology 12: 138-162.

- Crisosto CH, Bremer V, Ferguson L, and Crisosto GM (2010). Evaluating quality attributes of four fresh fig (*Ficus carica* L.) cultivars harvested at two maturity stages. HortScience 45(4): 707-710.
- Croft KD (1998) The chemistry and biological effects of flavonoids and phenolic acids. Ann NY Acad Sci 854: 435-442.
- 32. Danhof M, de Jongh J, De Lange EC, Della Pasqua O, Ploeger BA, et al. (2007). Mechanism-based pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic modeling: Biophase distribution, receptor theory, and dynamical systems analysis. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol. 47: 357-400.
- 33. Di Chara G, and North RA (1992). Neurobiology of opiate abuse. Trends Pharmacol Sci 13: 185-192.
- 34. Dorn GW, and Cresci S (2008). The mechanistic imperative for pharmacogenomics. Pharmacogenomics 9(7): 801-803.
- 35. Dragsted LO, Strube M, and Larsen JC (1993). Cancer-protective factors in fruits and vegetables: Biochemical and biological background. Pharmacol Toxicol 72(Suppl.) 1): 116-135.
- 36. Erhlich P. (1913). Chemotherapeutics: Scientific principles, methods and results. Lancet 2:445-451.
- 37. Faustino NA, and Cooper TA (2003). Pre-mRNA splicing and human disease. Genes Dev. 17(4): 419-37.
- Ficsor E, Szentmihalyi K, Lemberkovics A, Blazovics A, and Balazs A (2013). Analysis of *Ficus carica* L. – volatile compounds and mineral content. Eur Chem Bull 2(3): 126-129.
- 39. Flaishman MA, Rodov V, and Stover E (2008). The fig: Botany, horticulture, and breeding. Horticultural Reviews 34: 113-127.
- 40. Genkinger JM, Platz EA, Hoffman SC, Cornstock GW, and Helzisouer KM (2004). Fruit, vegetable and antioxidant intake and all-cause, cancer, and cardiovascular disease mortality in a community-dwelling population in Washington County, Maryland. Am J Epdemiol 160: 1223-1233.
- 41. Global Commission on Drug Policy (GCDP) (2015). The negative impact of drug control on public health: The global crisis of avoidable pain. Online at: www.globalcommisionondrugs.org
- Gomez-Romero A, Arraez-Roman D, Segura-Carretero A, Fernandez-Gutierrez A (2007). Analytical determination of antioxidants in tomato: Typical components of the Mediterranean diet. J Sep Sci 30(4): 452-461.
- Gomez-Romero A, Arraez-Roman D, Segura-Carretero A, Fernandez-Gutierrez A (2007). Analytical determination of antioxidants in tomato: Typical components of the Mediterranean diet. J Sep Sci 30(4); 452-461.
- 44. Grabowski PJ, and Black DL (2001). Alternative RNA splicing in the nervous system. Progress in Neurobiology 65: 289-308.

- 45. Guarrera P (2003). Food medicine and minor nourishment in the folk traditions of central Italy (Marche, Abruzzo and Latinum). Fitoterapia 74: 515-544.
- 46. Gusovsky D (2016). Americans consume vast majority of the world's opioids. Online at: www.cnbc. com
- 47. Hollinger MA (1997). Introduction to pharmacology. Bristol, PA: Taylor & Francis, p. 61.
- 48. House AE, and Lynch KW (2008). Regulation of alternative splicing: more than just the ABCs. J. Biol. Chem. 283(3): 1217-1221.
- 49. Jeong M, Kim HY, and Cha J-D (2009). Antimicrobial activity of methanol extract of *Ficus carica* leaves against oral bacteria. J Bacteriol. Virol. 39(2): 97-102.
- 50. Jeong WS, and Lachance PA (2001). Phytosterols and fatty acids in fig (*Ficus carica*, var. Mission) Fruit and Tree Components. Food Chem Tox 66(2): 278-
- 51. Kaltenboeck B, and Wang C (2005). Advances in real-time PCR: Application to clinical laboratory diagnostics. Advances in Clinical Chemistry 40: 219-259.
- 52. Kenakin T (2004). Principles: Receptor theory in pharmacology. Trends in Pharmacological Sciences 25(4): 186-192.
- Kilpatrick GJ, Dautzenberg, Martin GR, and Eglen RM (1999). 7<sup>TH</sup> receptors: The splicing on the cake. TiPS 20: 294-301.
- 54. Konyahoglu S, Saglam H, and Kivcak B (2005). α-Tocopherol, flavonoid, and phenol contents and antioxidant activity of *Ficus carica* leaves. Pharmaceutical Biology 43(8(: 683-686.
- 55. Krebs K, and Milani L (2019). Translating pharmacogenomics into clinical decisions: Do not let the perfect be the enemy of the good. Human Genomics 12: 39-51.
- 56. Kuhar MJ (2010). Opiate receptors: Some anatomical and physiological aspects. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences 311: 35-48.
- 57. Law P-Y, and Loh HH (1999) Regulation of opioid receptor activities. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 289(2): 607-624.
- 58. Lee CJ, and Irizarry K (2003). Alternative splicing in the nervous system: An emerging source of diversity and regulation. Biol Psychiatry 54: 771-776.
- 59. Lianju W, Weibin J, Kai M, Zhifeng L, and Yelin W (2003). The production and research of fig (*Ficus carica* L.) in China. Acta Hortic. 605: 191-196.
- Limbird LE (2005). Introduction to receptor theory. In: Cell Surface Receptors. pp. 1-28. Boston, MA: Springer.
- Lopez AJ (1998). Alternative splicing of pre-mRNA: Developmental consequences and mechanisms of regulation. Annu. Rev. Genet. 32:279-305.
- 62. Lynch J, McEwan J, and Beck CW (2011). Analysis of the expression of retinoic acid metabolizing

genes during Xenopus laevis organogenesis. Gene Expression Patterns 11(1-2): 112-117.

- 63. Lyons CG, and McEachern GR, 2019. Home fruit production – figs. Aggie Horticulture, AgriLIFE Extension, Texas A&M University. Accessed online at https://aggie-horticulture.tamu.edu/extension/home fruit/fig/fig.html
- 64. Manchikanti L (2006). Prescription drug abuse: What is being done to address this new drug epidemic? Testimony before the Subcommittee on Criminal Justice, Drug Policy and Human Resources. Health Policy Review. Pain Physician 9: 287-321.
- 65. Manchikanti L, Fellows MA, Ailinani MD, and Pampati V (2010). Therapeutic use, abuse, and nonmedical use of opoids: A ten-year perspective. Perspective Review 13: 401-435.
- Massirer KB, Carromeu C, Griesi-Oliveira K, and Muotri AR (2010). Maintenance and differentiation of neural stem cells (Focus Article). WIRSs Syst Biol Med .8 pp.
- Mawa S, Husain K, and Jantan I (2013). *Ficus carica* L. (Moraceae): Phytochemistry, traditional uses and biological activities. Evidence-based Complementary and Alternative Medicine, ID 974256, 8 pp.
- 68. Mercadante D, and Kole R. (2000). Modification of alternative splicing pathways as a potential approach to chemotherapy. Pharmacol. Therapeutics 85: 237-243.
- 69. Meunier JC (1992). Opioid receptors, tolerance and dependence. Therapie 47: 495-502.
- Meyer H (1899). Zur theorie der alkoholnarkose. Welche eigenschaft der anasthetica bedingt ihre narkotische wirkung? Archiv. Exp. Pathol. Pharmakol. 42: 109-118.
- 71. Miaskowski C, Cleary J, Burney R, Coyne P, Finley R, et al. (2005). American Pain Society: Guideline for the management of cancer pain in adults and children. Glenview, IL: American Pain Society.
- 72. Miller CP, and Bohnhoff M (1947). Two Streptomycinresistant variants of meningococcus. J Bacteriol. 54(4): 467-481.
- Mullis K, Faloona F, Scharf S, Saiki R, Horn G, et al. (1986). Specific enzymatic amplification of DNA in vitro: The polymerase chain reaction. Cold Spring Harbor Symp Quant Biol 51: 263-273.
- 74. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering & Medicine (NAS) (1997). Dietary reference intakes for calcium, phosphorus, magnesium, Vitamin D, and fluoride. Online at: www.nap.edu.
- 75. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering & Medicine (NAS) (2001). Dietary reference intakes for Vitamin A, Vitamin K, arsenic, boron, chromium, copper, iodine, iron, manganese, molybdenum, nickel, silicon, vanadium, and zinc. Online at: www.nap.edu.

- 76. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering & Medicine (NAS) (2005). Dietary reference intakes for water, potassium, sodium, chloride, and sulfate. Online at: www.nap.edu.
- 77. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering & Medicine (NAS) (2011). Dietary reference intakes for calcium and Vitamin D. Online at: www.nap.edu.
- 78. Nedelman J, Heagerty P, and Lawrence C (1992). Quantitative PCR: procedures and precisions. Bull. Math. Biol. 54(4):477-502.
- 79. Nestler EJ, and Aghajanian, GK (1997). Molecular and cellular basis of addiction. Science 278: 58.
- 80. Norman AW, and Litwack G (1997). Hormones, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
- Oldfield S, Braksator E, Rodriguez-Martin I, Bailey CP, Donaldson LF, et al. (2008). C-terminal splice variants of the μ-opioid receptor: existence, distribution and functional characterization. J. Neurochem. 104: 937-945.
- 82. Olson, MV (1993). The human genome project. Proc. Natl Acad Sci 90:4338-4344.
- 83. Overton E (1901). Studien uber die narkose. Jena, Gernay: Verlag von Gustav Fischer.
- Pan L, Xu J, Yu R, Xu M-M., Pan Y-X, et al. (2005). Identification and characterization of six new alternatively spliced variants of the human muopioid receptor gene, OPRM. Neuroscience 133: 209-220.
- Pan, Y.X., Xu, J., Bolan, E.A., et al. (1999). Identification and characterization of three new alternatively spliced mu-opioid receptor isoforms. Mol. Pharmacol. 56: 396-403.
- Pan, Y-X, Xu, J., Mahurter, L., Xu, M.M., Gilbert, A-K. and Pasternak, G.W. (2001). Generation of the muopioid receptor (MOR-1) protein by three new splice variants of the Oprm gene. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 98: 14084-14089.
- Pasternak GW, and Pan, Y-X (2004). Alternative splicing of mu-opioid receptors. In: J.S. Mogil, editor. The Genetics of Pain. Prog. Pain Res. Mgmt. 28: 85-103.
- 88. Pasternak, GW (2001). Insights into  $\mu$  opioid pharmacology: the role of  $\mu$  opioid receptor subtypes. Life Sci. 68: 2213-2219.
- Patil VV, and Patil, VR (2011). *Ficus carica* Linn. an overview. Research Journal of Medicinal Plant 5(3): 246-253.
- Ploeger BA, van der Graaf PH, and Danhof M (2009). Incorporating Receptor Theory in Mechanism-Based Pharmacokinetic-Pharmacodynamic (PK-PD) Modeling. Drug Metab. Pharmacokinet. 24 (1): 3–15.
- Pollock, JD (2002). Gene expression profiling: methodological challenges, results, and prospects for addiction research. Chem. Phys. Lipids 121: 241-256.

- Rollason V, Samer C, Piquet V, Dayer P, and Desmeules J (2008). Pharmacogenetics of analgesics: Toward the individualization of prescription. Pharmacogenomics 9(7): 905-933.
- 93. Ross EM, and Kenakin TP (2001). Pharmacodynamics. Mechanisms of drug action and the relationship between drug concentration and effect. In: Goodman & Gilman's The Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics, Vol. Tenth. J.G. Hardman & L.E. Limbird, Eds. McGraw-Hill. New York.
- 94. Saeed AM, Sabir AW (2002) Irritant potential of triterpenoids from *Ficus carica* leaves. Fitoterapia 71: 417-420.
- Saif S, Hanif MA, Rehman R, Hanif M, Khan O, et al. (2020) Chapter 21. Figs. In: Medicinal plants of South Asia: Novel sources for drug discovery. Cambridge, MA: Elsevier, pp. 273-274.
- 96. Saiki RK, Gelfand DH, Stoffel S, Scharf SJ, Higuchi R, et al. (1988) Science 239, 487-491.
- 97. Saiki RK, Scharf S, Faloona F, Mullis KB, Horn GT, et al. (1985) Science 230, 1350-1354.
- 98. Samer CF, Desmeules JA, and Dayer P (2006). Individualizing analgesic prescription, Part 1: Pharmacogenetics of opioid analgesics. Personalized Medicine 3(3): 239-269.
- 99. Scalzo JA, Politi N, Pellegrini B, Mezzetti B, and Battino M (2005). Plant genotype affects total antioxidant capacity and phenolic contents in fruit. Nutrition 21:207-213.
- 100. Shabalina SA, Zaykin DV, Gris P, Ogurtsov AY, Gauthier J, et al. (2009). Expansion of the novel human  $\mu$ -opioid receptor gene architecture: novel functional variants. Human Molecular Genetics 18(6):1037-1051.
- Sidell N (1982). Retinoic acid-induced growth inhibition and morphologic differentiation of human neuroblastoma cells in vitro. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 68(4): 589-596.
- 102. Sirisha, N., Sreenivasulu, M., Sangeeta, K., and Chetty, C.M. (2010). Antioxidant properties of Ficus species – a review. International Journal of PharmTech Research 2(4): 2174-2182.
- 103. Snider JV, Wechser MA, and Lossos IS (2001). Human disease characterization" Real-time quantitative PCR analysis of gene expression. Drig Discovery Today (DDT) 6(20): 1062-1067.
- 104. Solomon A, Golubowicz S, Yablowicz Z, Grossman S, Bergman M, et al. (2006). Antioxidant activities and anthocyanin content of fresh fruits of common fig (*Ficus carica* L.). J Agric Food Chem 54: 7717-7723.
- 105. Stephan BC, and Parsa FD (2016). Avoiding Opioids and Their Harmful Side Effects in the Postoperative Patient: Exogenous Opioids, Endogenous Endorphins, Wellness, Mood, and Their Relation to Postoperative Pain. Hawaii J Med Pub Hlth 75(3): 63-67.

- 106. Taylor DA, and Fleming WW (2001). Unifying perspectives of the mechanisms underlying the development of tolerance and physical dependence to opioids. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 297(1): 11-18.
- 107. Temlin HM, and Mizutani S (1970). Viral RNAdependent DNA polymerase: RNA-dependent DNA polymerase in virions of rous sarcoma virus. Nature 226: 1211-1213.
- 108. Thorn CF, Klein TE, and Altman RB (2009). Codeine and morphine pathway. Pharmacogenetics and Genomics 19: 556-558.
- 109. Timbrell JA (2009) Principles of biochemical toxicology, 4<sup>th</sup> Edition. New York: Informa Healthcare USA, Inc.
- 110. Tortora GJ, and Grabowski SR (2003). Principles of Anatomy and Physiology, 10th Edition. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., pp. 387-585.
- 111. Tremblay J, and Hamet P (2010). Genetics of pain, opioids, and opioid responsiveness. Metabolism 59 (Suppl. 1): S5-S8.
- 112. Trujillo KA, and Akil H (1991). Opiate tolerance and dependence: recent findings and synthesis. New Biol 3: 915-923.
- United Nations (2017). Report of the International Narcotics Control Board (INCB) for 2017. Pub No. E.18.XI.1. Vienna, Austria: United Nations Information Service.
- 114. United Nations (2018). Report of the International Narcotics Control Board (INCB) for 2018 (Press Kit). Vienna, Austria: United Nations Information Service.
- 115. VanGuilder HD, Vrana KE, and Freeman WM (2008). Twenty-five years of quantitative PCR for gene expression analysis, BioTechniques 44(5):619-626.
- 116. Vauquelin G, and von Mentzer, B (2007). G proteincoupled receptors. Wiley & Sons, West Sussex, England. p. 125.
- 117. Visioli F, Galli C (2001) The role of antioxidants in the Mediterranean diet. Lipids 36 Suppl.: S49-S52.
- 118. Watson F, and Crick F (1953). Genetical implications of the structure of deoxyribonucleic acid. Nature 171:964.
- 119. Watson J (1990). The Human Genome Project: Past, present, and future. Science 248:44-49.
- 120. Williams PM (2009). The beginnings of real-time PCR. Clinical Chemistry 55(4): 833-834.
- 121. Willner D, Cohen-Yeshurun A, Avidan A, Ozersky V, Shonami E, et al. (2014). Short-term morphine exposure in vitro alters proliferation and differentiation of neural progenitor cells and promotes apoptosis via mu receptors. PLoS ONE 9(7):e103043.
- 122. World Health Organization (WHO) (1986). Cancer pain relief (Publication 1211). World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland.
- 123. Yu VC, and Sadee W (1988). Efficacy and tolerance of narcotic analgesics at the mu-opioid receptor in

differentiated human neuroblastoma cells. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther., 245(1): 350–355.

- 124. Zadina JE, Chang SL, Ge LJ, and Kastin AJ (1993). Mu-opioid receptor downregulation by morphine and up-regulation by naloxone in SH-SY5Y human neuroblastoma cells. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther., 265(1): 254–262.
- cells. J. 125. Zimmerman K, and Mannhalter JW (1996). Technical aspects of quantitative competitive PCR. AJ (1993). BioTechniques 21:268-269.

### FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1: Pain relief: "The Prototypical Role of Morphine in Solving a Universal Health Condition".

*Figure 2:* Splicing versus alternative splicing in the multistage process of eukaryotic gene expression. Splicing occurs co-transcriptionally prior to the export of mRNA from the nucleus to the cytosol. After transcription and RNA processing, the mature mRNA has an open reading frame (ORF) that encodes a human mu-opioid receptor protein of 400 amino acids.

*Figure 3:* Schematic representation of the role of alternative splicing in mu-opioid receptor (MOR-1) physiology.

*Figure 4:* Some instruments of gene expression analysis. The process of gene expression analysis typically involves four (4) biochemical steps: RNA isolation, removal of contaminating DNA, first-strand complementary DNA (cDNA) synthesis, and real-time reverse transcription (RT)-PCR, in that order. A homogenizer, Nanodrop<sup>™</sup>, and thermocycler are relevant and necessary to complete this process.

*Figure 5:* Relative expression of MOR-1 variant mRNA in untreated, retinoic acid (RA)-differentiated (Control) human neuroblastoma (SH-SY5Y) cells. Human neuroblastoma (SH-SY5Y) cells were grown under sterile conditions in phenol red-free, Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM)/Ham's F-12 (1:1) containing 2.5 mM L-glutamine and supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, v/v) and 100 Units of penicillin/0.1 mg streptomycin. The cells were maintained in a humidified incubator at 37°C, 95% O<sub>2</sub>/5% CO<sub>2</sub>. At roughly 80% confluence, SH-SY5Y cells were treated with retinoic acid (RA, 10  $\mu$ M) for 72 hr then harvested for RNA isolation. A reaction mixture containing 10  $\mu$ g of RNA/50  $\mu$ I DNase cocktail was used in conjunction with the iScript<sup>™</sup> cDNA Synthesis Kit to prepare first-strand cDNA for qRT-PCR. Target nucleic acid sequences of MOR-1 variants (i.e., MOR-1A, MOR-1B1, MOR-1B2, MOR-1B3 and MOR-1B5) were simultaneously amplified and quantified via qRT-PCR. Data are mean ± SEM of log<sub>2</sub> transformed C<sub>T</sub> values based on the variant-specific standard curve. Statistical significance presented as: \*\*\*, p<.0001.

*Figure* 6: Effect of morphine on mu-opioid receptor (MOR-1) alternatively-spliced variants expression in retinoic acid (RA)-differentiated human neuroblastoma (SH-SY5Y) cells. Human neuroblastoma (SH-SY5Y) cells were grown under sterile conditions in phenol red-free, Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM)/Ham's F-12 (1:1) containing 2.5 mM L-glutamine and supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, v/v) and 100 Units of penicillin/0.1 mg streptomycin. The cells were maintained in a humidified incubator at 37°C, 95% O<sub>2</sub>/5% CO<sub>2</sub>. At roughly 80% confluence, SH-SY5Y cells were treated with retinoic acid (RA, 10  $\mu$ M) for 72 hr, then with morphine (10  $\mu$ M) for 48 hr before being harvested for RNA isolation. A reaction mixture containing 10  $\mu$ g of RNA/50  $\mu$ l DNase cocktail was used in conjunction with the iScript<sup>TM</sup> cDNA Synthesis Kit to prepare first-strand cDNA for qRT-PCR. Target nucleic acid sequences of MOR-1 variants (i.e., MOR-1A, MOR-1B1, MOR-1B2, MOR-1B3 and MOR-1B5) were simultaneously amplified and quantified via qRT-PCR. Data are mean ± SEM of log<sub>2</sub> transformed C<sub>T</sub> values based on the variant-specific standard curve. Data manipulations were performed in Microsoft Excel and the graph was developed in GraphPad Prism Version 6.0. Statistical significance presented as: \*, p<.05; \*\*, p<.005, \*\*\*, p<.0001.

*Figure 7:* Effect of of Alma Fig (*Ficus carica*) leaf extract on mu-opioid receptor (MOR-1) alternatively-spliced variants expression in retinoic acid (RA)-differentiated human neuroblastoma (SH-SY5Y) cells. Human neuroblastoma (SH-SY5Y) cells were grown under sterile conditions in phenol red-free, Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM)/Ham's F-12 (1:1) containing 2.5 mM L-glutamine and supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, v/v) and 100 Units of penicillin/0.1 mg streptomycin. The cells were maintained in a humidified incubator at 37°C, 95%  $O_2/5\%$   $CO_2$ . At roughly 80% confluence, SH-SY5Y cells were treated with retinoic acid (RA, 10  $\mu$ M) for 72 hr, then treated with Alma Fig leaf extract ( $3\mu$ L/30 $\mu$ L media, v/v) before being harvested for RNA isolation. A reaction mixture containing 10  $\mu$ g of RNA/50  $\mu$ l DNase cocktail was used in conjunction with the iScript<sup>TM</sup> cDNA Synthesis Kit to prepare first-strand cDNA for qRT-PCR. Target nucleic acid sequences of MOR-1 variants (i.e., MOR-1A, MOR-1B1, MOR-1B2, MOR-1B3 and MOR-1B5) were simultaneously amplified and quantified via qRT-PCR. Data are mean ± SEM of log<sub>2</sub> transformed C<sub>T</sub> values based on the variant-specific standard curve. Statistical significance presented as: \*, p<.005, \*\*, p<.005; \*\*\*, p<.0001, p=.0009.

*Figure 8:* Combined effect of morphine plus "Alma" fig leaf extract on the expression of mu-opioid receptor (MOR-1) alternatively-spliced variants in human neuroblastoma (SH-SY5Y) cells. Expression of mu-opioid receptor (MOR-1) alternatively-spliced variant (MOR-1; MOR-1A; MOR-1B1; MOR-1B2; MOR-1B3; MOR-1B5; MOR-1K1) genes in morphine-treated human neuroblastoma (SH-SY5Y) cells, as a percent of control values and normalized to beta-acting (housekeeping gene). Bars represent mean  $\pm$  SEM. Data manipulations were performed in Microsoft Excel and the graph was developed in GraphPad Prism Version 6.0. Statistical significance presented as: \*, p<0.05; \*\*\*, p<0.0001, p=.0004, p=.0009.







### (http://www.google.com/images)

Figure 2: Splicing versus. Alternative Splicing in the Multistage Process of Eukaryotic Gene Expression



Figure 3: Schematic Representation of the Role of Alternative Splicing in Mu-opioid Receptor (MOR-1) Physiology



Virtishir<sup>™</sup> Homogenizer

Nanodrop™

Bio-Rad MyIQ™ Thermocycler

Figure 4: Some Instruments of Gene Expression Analysis.



		Mean Log Copy No.		SEM	n
Control	BACT	2.719	±	0.05	3
	MOR1A	2.993	<b>±</b>	0.52	3
	MOR1B1	4.491	±	0.11	3
	MORB2	4.103	±	0.19	3
	MOR1B3	1.883	$\pm$	0.10	3
	MORB4	ND			З
	MOR1B5	3.531	±	0.27	3
	MOR1K1	3.310	$\pm$	0.05	3

\*\*\* P<.0001

<sup>#</sup> Represents number of independent samples. Each sample was run in triplicate.

SEM - standard error of the mean; n - number of samples





	Gene	Mean (% Control)		SEM	n#
Morphine	MOR1A	179.7	±	48.1	2##
	MOR1B1	77.3	$\pm$	5.3	3
	MORB2	70.1	$\pm$	4.4	3
	MOR1B3	92.9	$\pm$	20.9	3
	MORB4	ND			
	MOR1B5	109.3	$\pm$	6.7	3
	MOR1K1	82.2	$\pm$	4.9	3

\* p<.05; \*\* p<.005; \*\*\* p<.0001

<sup>#</sup> Represents number of independent samples Each sample was run in triplicate.

## Effect not detected in remaining sample for MOR1A.

SEM - standard error of the mean; n - number of samples

*Figure 6:* Effect of Morphine on Mu-opioid Receptor (MOR-1) Alternatively-spliced Variants Expression in Retinoic Acid (RA)-differentiated Human Neuroblastoma (SH-SY5Y) Cells



	MOR1K1	230.2	土	82.5
a ** :05. ≥a	<.005: *** p	<.0001. p:	=.0009	

MORB4

MOR1B5

# Represents number of independent samples. Each sample was run in triplicate.

±

55.2

3

3

ND

259.1

## Effect not detected in remaining two samples.

SEM – standard error of the mean; n – number of samples





	Gene	Mean (% Contro	ol)	SEM	n#
Morphine/					
Fig	MOR1A	65.4	$\pm$	40.7	2##
	MOR1B1	459.6	$\pm$	177.4	3
	MORB2	228.1	$\pm$	72.8	3
	MOR1B3	77.0	±	3.0	2##
	MORB4	ND			
	MOR1B5	301.8	$\pm$	83.7	3
	MOR1K1	156.6	±	40.5	3

p<.05; \*\* p<.005, P=.0069; \*\*\* p<.0001

\* Represents number of independent samples. Each sample was run in triplicate.

## Effect not detected in remaining sample(s).

SEM – standard error of the mean; n – number of samples

Figure 8: Combined Effect of Morphine Plus "Alma" Fig Leaf Extract on the Expression of Mu-opioid Receptor (MOR- 1) Alternatively-spliced Variants in Human Neuroblastoma (SH-SY5Y) Cells

## TABLES

Cultivar (Variety)	Description of Leaf <sup>1</sup>	Description of Fruit <sup>1,2</sup>	Origin <sup>3</sup>	Leaf Extract Pigment (pH)
Alma	The leaf has a decurrent base and is unlobed to tri- lobed.	Golden brown skin; amber-tan flesh; small eye; shape is pyriform with a neck.	USA (Released by Texas Agricultural Experiment Station, Texas A&M Univ., 1974); cross between female Allison and male Hamma Caprifig.	Green-yellow (6.01, 6.03)
Black Jack	Similar to San Piero	Large to very large, purple-brown fig; oblate in shape.	USA	Bright green (pH 5.52, 5.53)
Brown Turkey (*San Piero; California Brown Turkey)	The leaf has a subcordate base with 3 lobes and a crenate margin. (The leaf has a calcarate base with lyrate lobes and a crenate margin.) Antiooxidants <sup>5</sup> : polyphenols, flavonoids, anthocyanins	Medium to large sized, bell shaped, purplish brown fig; small eye; pinkish amber flesh; turbinate to oblique mostly without necks. (Very large; red flesh; breba fruit are oblique- pyriform, sometimes elongated; main crop fruit are obolate to oblique- pyriform with variable necks; typically flattened at the eye end; eye is large and open)	Spain (Clone introduced in 1769)	Dark green (pH 7.02, 7.03)
Celeste (Malta)	The leaf has a subcordate base, 3 to 5 lobes and crenate margins.	Small, brown to purple in color <sup>2</sup> ; tightly closed eye. (Light brown to violet- brown; flesh is reddish- amber in color; pyriform with tapering neck.)	France	Color N (pH 5.96, 5.97)R
Giant Celeste (Tiger)	Has large palmate leaves with 5 to 7 lobes. The middle lobe is spatulate and the margins are slightly toothed.	Large, brown fig with short neck; partially closed eye; yellow to gold pulp;	USA (LSU)	Dark green, brown (pH 6.51, 6.52)
Green Ishia (Verte)	Leaf has broadly subcordate to truncate base and 3 lobes.	Medium-sized, green to greenish-yellow, thin- skinned fig; red flesh resembling strawberry; shape is oblate to spherical.	Spain	Dark green (pH 5.69, 5.70)
Hollier	The leaf has a cordate base and 5 latate lobes.	Greenish-yellow skin; amber pulp tinged strawberry; Oblate- spheroid shape	USA (LSU)	Dark green (pH 6.30,6.31)
Mission (Franciscana)	The leaf has a cacarate base and 5 latate lobes. Phytosterols <sup>4</sup> : campesterol, stigmasterol, sitosterol, fucosterol. Antiooxidants <sup>5</sup> : polyphenols (higher), flavonoids (higher), anthocyanins (highest).	Huge, pear-shaped, purple-black fig; pink flesh; breba fruit are pyriform with prominent, thick necks; main crop is smaller with more variable necks	Spain	Dark green (pH 5.13, 5.14)

### Table 1: Characteristics of Selected Ficus carica L. Cultivars

#### NEUROMODULATION OF MU-OPIOID RECEPTOR (MOR-1) GENE (OPRM1) ALTERNATIVELY-SPLICED VARIANTS FOLLOWING EXPOSURE TO MORPHINE WITH ALMA FIG (*FICUS CARICA*) LEAF EXTRACT IN HUMAN NEUROBLASTOMA (SH-SY5Y) CELLS: REVIEW & PILOT STUDY

Nero	Leaf has a cordate base and	Large, reddish black fig;	Italy	Dark green
(Barnisotte)	5 lobes with the middle one	eye is medium-sized and		(pH 5.78, 5.79)
	being spatulate and the	open; shape is turbinate-		
	others latate.	pyriform, sometimes		
		oblique with a broad apex.		

<sup>1</sup> Source: www.durionursery.biz/figs.htm

<sup>2</sup> Source: Aggie Horticulture, online at http://aggie-horticulture.tamu.edu/extension/homefruit/fig/fig.html

<sup>3</sup> Source: Aradhya et al. (2010).

<sup>4</sup> Jeong & Lachance (2001).

<sup>5</sup> Bekoe et al. (2011)

NR = not recorded

able 2: Oligonucleotide Pr	rimers Used for	r qRT-PCR Analys	sis
----------------------------	-----------------	------------------	-----

Primer	Туре	Sequence (5' to 3')	Bases	G/C Count
hMOR-1 1F	Forward (Sense)	ATGCCAGTGCTCATCATTAC	20	9
hMOR-1 1R	Reverse (Antisense)	GATCCTTCGAAGATTCCTGTCCT	23	11
hMOR-1A 1F	Forward (Sense)	CAGGTACGCAGTCTCTAGAATTAGG	25	12
hMOR-1A 1R	Reverse (Antisense)	TTCCCTCCATTCTCATCCTC	20	10
hMOR-1B1 1F	Forward (Sense)	TCAAAAGTCATCTTTACTCAACTGTG	26	9
hMOR-1B1 1R	Reverse (Antisense)	GCTTCCAATCTTATATTCTTTCACG	25	9
hMOR-1B2 1F	Forward (Sense)	AAAGAAGACAGAAATCTGACTGGTAA	26	9
hMOR-1B2 1R	Reverse (Antisense)	GCAAGCCGGATCACTAGG	18	11
hMOR-1B3 1F	Forward (Sense)	TTTGTTGCTGACCAACTTGC	20	9
hMOR-1B3 1R	Reverse (Antisense)	GGTCGTTTTTCTGTGTTGAGG	21	10
hMOR-1B5 1F	Forward (Sense)	GGAATTGAACCTGGACTGTCA	21	10
hMOR-1B5 1R	Reverse (Antisense)	AAGCCTTCGCAAACTCAAAA	20	8
hMOR–1K1 1F	Forward (Sense)	CTGGGTAGGAAAGTGGCAAA	20	10
hMOR-1K1 1R	Reverse (Antisense)	TGACCTTGGTGCTCAAGAAGT	21	10

### Table 3: General Characteristics of Receptors

Туре	Characteristics <sup>1</sup>
Nature <sup>2</sup>	Mostly protein – lipoprotein, glycoprotein
Location	Mostly on the cell surface; some intracellular <sup>2</sup>
Molecular Mass	pprox45 to 200 kilodaltons; may consist of subunits
Dissociation Constant (K <sub>d</sub> )	Binding capacity – 1 to 100 nM
Reversibility	Binding to the ligand-binding domain is reversible (non-covalently bound) and stereospecific. (Neurotransmitters, hormones, and most drugs act in a reversible manner.
	Hence, binding should also be reversible.)
Specificity of binding	Affinity not absolute; drug may bind to several receptor types (a continuum)
Saturability	Affirmative due to finite number of receptors
Drug Specificity	Specific binding to receptor triggers signal transduction to intracellular site
Ligand	>1 Drug molecule may be required to bind in order to generate a signal.
	Binding of a ligand to a receptor should be dissociable and recoverable in its natural (non-metabolized) form <sup>4</sup> .
Signal Intensity	Magnitude of signal depends on number of receptors occupied or on receptor occupancy rate; signal is amplified by intracellular mechanisms
Drug-Receptor Interaction	By acting on a receptor, drugs can enhance, diminish, or block generation or transmission of signal
Drug-Cell or Drug-Tissue Interaction	Drugs are receptor modulators and do not confer new properties on cells or tissues.
Essential Properties	Receptors must have properties of recognition and transduction.
Effect on Receptor Numbers	Receptors can be up-regulated or down-regulated.
Restoration of Function Upon	An authentic receptor should be recoverable in its natural (non-metabolized) form. If the
Reconstitution <sup>2</sup>	gene for such receptor is isolated and expressed, it should be exactly similar to the cloned receptor of the natural receptor.

<sup>1</sup> Adopted from Hollinger (1997)

<sup>2</sup>Receptors can contain secondary modifications of carbohydrate and be selectively embedded into the lipid membrane bilayer (Norman & Litwack, 1997).

<sup>3</sup> Regardless of where they have been isolated from, studies show that neurotransmitter and peptide hormone receptors are localized on the cell surface. Steroid and thyroid hormones are located intracellulary with the nucleus and cytoplasm, respectively (Cooper et al., 2003).

<sup>4</sup> Adopted from Cooper et al., 2003

<sup>5</sup> All receptors have an effector domain that "recognizes" the presence of the hormone bound to the ligand domain and that then initiates the generation of the biological response(s) (Norman & Litwack, 1997).