Prevalence and Associated Risk Factor of Intestinal Parasitic Infection between Marginalized and Non-marginalized People in AL-Turbah City. Taiz Governorate, Yemen

⁴ Cao Deping¹, Emad Shamsan², Khadeeja Abees Hmood Al-Khalidy³, Mustafa abdo Saif ₅ Dehwah⁴, Khadeeja Al-Khalidy⁵ and Fan Hai-Ning⁶

¹ Qinghai university

Received: 14 December 2019 Accepted: 31 December 2019 Published: 15 January 2020

9 Abstract

The infection of individuals due to their behavior and extent of health education. In marginalized people often live in crowded conditions, limited sanitation, and personal hygiene is lack. they suffer a lack of health education and low income. Aim: This study was carried out to determine the prevalence of intestinal parasites at marginalized people (Al-akhdam-The neglected group) and other people in different areas in Al -Turbah city, and the relationship between parasites infection and race, age, sex, Family size, educational level, water source and type of job. Methods: The study was carried out from areas in Al-Turbah city, during March

17 to December 2016.

18

6

7

19 Index terms— marginalized people, parasites, al-turbah city, yemen.

²⁰ 1 I. Introduction

n intestinal parasitic infection (IPI) caused by intestinal helminthes and protozoan parasites are one of the 21 most prevalent infections in humans residing in developing countries [1]. It is estimated that 3.5 billion people 22 are affected, the majority being children [2]. The happening and prevalence of intestinal parasitic infections 23 varies in countries due to environmental, social and geographical factors [3]. high prevalence of intestinal 24 parasitic infections in human are positively correlated with poverty and poor personal hygiene, lack of safe 25 water supply and contamination of the environment by human excreta and animal wastes [4]. Morbidity and 26 mortality due to intestinal parasitic infections are usually more pronounced in children compared to adults due 27 to their higher nutritional requirements and less mature immune systems [5]. Intestinal protozoan infections are 28 endemic worldwide. In developed countries the prevalence of human intestinal parasitic protozoan infection is 29 estimated to be between 1-7%, but it may be as high as 50% in developing countries. All age groups are equally 30 affected during epidemics, but both subclinical infection and clinical disease are more common in children in 31 endemic areas. Outbreaks occur regularly in childcare facilities. Immuno-compromised individuals are also more 32 commonly affected than members of the general population [6]. 33

34 **2 A**

Abstract-The infection of individuals due to their behavior and extent of health education. In marginalized people often live in crowded conditions, limited sanitation, and personal hygiene is lack. they suffer a lack of

37 health education and low income.

Aim: This study was carried out to determine the prevalence of intestinal parasites at marginalized people (Al-akhdam-The neglected group) and other people in different areas in Al -Turbah city, and the relationship between parasites infection and race, age, sex, Family size, educational level, water source and type of job.

Methods: The study was carried out from areas in Al-Turbah city, during March to December 2016.

Methodology: cross-sectional descriptive and comparative study a total of 322 cases were randomly collected, aged between 5 and 45 years were examined using examined by direct wet mount technique and formalinether concentration technique, the questionnaire data was used for determining the correlation between parasites infection and other factors such as race, age, sex, Family size, educational level, water source and type of job.

46 **3** Statistical analysis:

The data analyzed by SPSS program. Results: The study found that 124 cases of parasites infection are positive 47 for marginal people from a total of 153 and 89 cases are positive for non-marginal (other people) from a total of 48 169 specimens were collected from areas in Al-Turbah city. Also found from 124 +ve in marginal people 60 cases 49 (78.9%) are mal and 64 cases (64%) female are positive while found from 89 cases in other people 44 cases (51.2%) 50 are male and 45 cases (54.2%) are female. The results of the study indicate that there was highly significant 51 associated between positive of parasites infection and marginal people (p=0, 01) and there significant differences 52 between age (p=0.04), illiterate aged between 5 and 45 years were tasted. As a standard protocol, after reached 53 the samples in the laboratory, the fecal specimens also processed by the formalin-ether concentration technique. 54 Were examined for detection the present of ova, larva, cyst, and trophozoites, using Wet mount method with 55 both saline and iodine were prepared within 2 h of sample collection [7]. Stool samples were also processed by 56 the formalin-ether concentration technique, Each wet-mount method and formalin-ether concentration technique 57 were examined by team researchers independently and findings were crosschecked. 58

⁵⁹ 4 III. Statistical Analysis

Data was presented in form of tables by using SPSS, after those demographic data and other factors were collected
 in a standard questionnaire. Next, findings of positive intestinal parasites were analyzed data was presented in

62 form of tables by using SPSS.

⁶³ 5 IV. Results

The study results illustrated in Table1 to Table9. The prevalence of intestinal parasites in marginalized were 81% while, in the other people were (52.7%). There was significant association between marginalized people and non-marginalized (OR= 3.84 times, P=0.01), and shows statistically significant among the illiterate (p=0.05, OR=OR=1.69), secondary school (p=0.05, OR=OR=0.55, while there was no significant association between positive of marginalized and non-marginalized people and others factors studied.

⁶⁹ 6 V. Discussion

Intestinal parasitic infections of humans are important threats to healthy living in developing countries [8]. These
infections are usually associated with poor sanitary habits, lack of access to safe water and improper hygiene.
The degree of each factor and prevalence of infections varies from one region to the non-marginalized [9].

In our result, table (1) shows that the marginalized was much higher infected by IPIs [124/153(81%)] compared 73 74 to non-marginalized people [89/169(52.7%)] and was statistically significant (p.value=0.01). These variations in prevalence of IPIs among two race might be due to the majority of marginalized do not care for education, poor 75 sanitary disposal of sewage system, poverty of personal hygiene, also the randomly, crowdedness, and type of job 76 play role in the transmission of parasites where the majorities of marginalized worker in the refuse collector and 77 clean worker ?ect. Also, the results showed were three species of protozoa were found in the population studied, (E. 78 histolytica was the most frequent intestinal protozoan infection in marginalized and non-marginal-ized was (41.2% 79 80 and 29.6%) respectively. followed by E. Coli 67(20.8%), were in marginalized and non-marginalize (29.4%), (13%) 81 respectively, G. Lamblia 39(12.1%) were marginalized and non-marginalized people (11.1%), (0.6%) respectively. There high significant between E. histolytica and marginalized people. As in table (2). In contrast, other studies 82 conducted in Yemen found that the most predominant parasite was G. lamblia [10]. 83

The results in table (3) which showed the type of parasites infection, find the rate prevalence of H. nana10.5%, 84 0.6%, A. lambricoides 3.6%, 3.6%, S. mansoni 4.6%, 0.65, Teania. Spp2.6%, 0.0%, E. vermecularis 0.7%, 0.6% 85 in Marginalized, Non-marg. respectively. There are only significant among H. nana and T.spp. Parasites and 86 marginal people. Our results agree with the results in Soudia Arabia, Who confirmed H. nana was dominant 87 followed by A. lambricoides, S. mansoni and E. vermicularis [11]. In table (4) The majorities of the positive cases 88 of IPI among 213/322 were single infections among race[50.6] with p=0.02 and OR=1.99 and was [91/124(59.5%)89 , 72/89(42.6%)], in marginalized and non-marginalized at respectively, followed by double infection was [14.6] 90 91 with p = 0.01, followed by triple infection that was = 0.9 with 0R = 2.23 and p = 0.5052 and was [(1.3), 1(0.6)] in the 92 marginalized and non -marginalized at respectively. It is clear that double infection and triple infection because 93 of the highly exposure to the infection sources such as contaminated food or water [12].

From the table (5) in our result shows there no significant between parasites infection and gender (p=0.46) the rate of infection was slightly higher in females than males the modes of transmission of the parasites, study population and the methods used probable attribute to this observed difference in detections of various parasites, a recent study in Cameroon found that the higher prevalence of human intestinal protozoan in females was attributed to the fact that women usually eat unwashed fruits and vegetables or un boiled salads which may be contaminated with protozoan cysts [13], also other study showed Female participants the highest infection rate (41.0%), followed closely by male participants (38.6%) [14] While, the study detect significant association between the occurrence intestinal parasitic infection and age group 5-15 [94/129(72.9%)] when compared to age group 16-45 [119/193(61.7%)] (p=04) and OR=1.67, which indicated that younger children are more exposed since the usually play in the open fields and eat food without washing hands .thus, as age increases (16-45yr) the prevalence of parasitic infection decreases possibly due to improved personal hygiene and reduced contact with soil (These findings are in agreement with that reported by ??15].

Table (6) in our result shows no significant between IPI and family size, but the IPIs was related to family size ?6 person [115/152 (75.7) (p>0, 43, OR=1.22 times) when compared to family size ?5 person (p=0.43 and OR=0.82time). Studies of ??16]. supported our study, large families are more susceptible to parasitic infections than small families, because of the crowding in houses leading to participation in food tools, clothes and bed finally these lead to poor personal hygiene thus, increasing the infection.

Table (7) shows statistically significant among the illiterate (p=0.05, OR=OR=1.69), secondary school (p=0.05, OR=OR=0.55) and IPI. 60/87(69%), IPI was related to primary school (p=more than 0.05) when compared to high school (p=more than 0.05) and diploma (P. value more than 0.05), our results similar of study of **??**17].their illiterate showed high significant than other levels of education.

Table (8) shows relationship between parasites infection and source of water in marginal and nonmarginal people, the rate of prevalence of intestinal parasites was 83.9%, 51.6% in the protect water in marginal, and 76.6%,66.7% in Non-protect water in marginal, non-marginal respectively. according to study of **??**17]it was significant between parasites infection and source of water, this study disagree with our results.

Table (9) shows association between IPI and kind of occupation there high significant among parasites 119 infection and workers, while there was no significant associated between positive of parasites infection and others 120 occupations studied. schools' students about the intestinal parasite infections, sources and routes of parasites 121 transmission, some students frequently eating street cooked foods that may be contaminated or not properly 122 cooked could attributed to the infections by intestinal parasites some Childs like working without shoes which 123 could assists the infections by intestinal parasites especially soil transmitted parasites, food that may increase 124 the infections by intestinal parasites in housewives who considered the most connecting with water compared to 125 other of the family members, agriculture working and the connection with animal and their wastes may although 126 responsible for prevalence of IPIs among housewives, the dealing with wastes and low personal hygiene with 127 culpa hand washing before eating practices mentioned among refuse collectors, that make them more prone to 128 129 the infection by intestinal parasites, the present findings showed that those who do not practice proper hand washing before eating was at two fold higher risk of acquiring E. histolytica /dispar infection ??18]. 130

¹³¹ 7 VI. Conclusion

The study highlights the high prevalence of parasites infection between marginal people and nonmarginal people in Yemen. The clinicians in Yemen need to be aware that parasites are a potential cause of endemic specially in children.

¹³⁵ 8 Level especially in primary and secondary

¹³⁶ 9 Conflict of interest

Al-Mahaweel district, Babylon province. 2000, MSc. Thesis, Sci. Coll., Babylon Univ.: 122pp.(In Arabic). 13. Mbuh, J.V., H.N. Ntonifor, and J.T. ??jong Tables Legends

1

Race	Number	Percentage%	OR	?2	Р
Marginalized	124	81.0	3.84	28.89	0.01
Non-Marginalized	89	52.7	0.26	28.89	0.01

Figure 1: Table 1 :

138

 $^{^1 \}odot$ 2020 Global Journals Prevalence and Associated Risk Factor of Intestinal Parasitic Infection between Marginalized and Nonmarginalized People in AL-Turbah City. Taiz Governorate, Yemen

$\mathbf{2}$

	Marginalized		Non-mar	g.			
Type of parasites In-	Number	Percentage%	Number	Percentage	e%fotal	OR	Р
fection							
E.histolytica	63	41.2	50	29.6	113(35.1%)	1.66	0.03
E.coli	45	29.4	22	13.0	113(35.1%)	2.78	0.01
G.lamblia	17	11.1	1	0.6	39(12.1%)	0.84	0.60

Figure 2: Table 2 :

3

Type of parasites	Marginal	ized	Non-mai	rg.			
Infection	Number	Percentage Xumb		Percentage T otal		OR	Р
			er				
H. nana	16	10.5	1	0.6	17(5.3%)	1.9	0.01
A . lambricoides	6	3.6	6	3.6	12(3.7%)	1.1	0.8
S. mansoni	7	4.6	1	0.6	8(2.5%)	0.85	0.2
Teania. Spp	4	2.6	0	0.0	4(1.2%)	2.1	0.03
E. vermecularis	1	0.7	1	0.6	2(0.6)	1.1	0.9

Figure 3: Table 3 :

$\mathbf{4}$

Year 2020 22 Volume XX Issue I Version I D D D D) C (Medical Research Global Journal of

	Margina	lized	Non-ma	arg.			
Type of Infection	Number	Percenta	. Manber	Percenta	a Fe% al	OR	Р
Single infection	91	59.5	72	42.6	(163)50.6%	1.98	0.02
Double infection	31	20.3	16	9.5	(47)14.6%	2.4	0.03
Triple infection	2	1.3	1	0.6	$(3) \ 0.9$	2.2	0.5

Figure 4: Table 4 :

Characters	Positive Ma	rg.	Positive Non-	Marg.	Total	OR	?2	Р
Sex	Ν	%	No	%				
Male	60/76	78.9	44/86	51.2	104/162(64.2%)	0.84	0.56	0.46
Female	64/77	83.1	45/83	54.2	109/160(68.1%)	1.2	0.56	0.46
Age groups								
5-15 years	47/59	79.7	47/70	67.1	94/129(72.9%)	1.67	4.34	0.04
16-45 years	77/94	81.9	42/99	42.4	119/193(61.7%)	0.6	4.34	0.04

Figure 5: Table 5 :

6

Family size	Positive Marg. 1	Ν %	Positive Non-Mar	g. No %	Total	OR	?2	Р
?5 person	37/52	71	61/118	51.7	98/170(57.6%)	0.82	0.63	0.43
?6 person	87/101	86.1	28/51	54.9	115/152~(75.7%)	1.22	0.63	0.43

Figure 6: Table 6 :

7

Figure 7: Table 7 :

8

Type of water	Ν	Positive Marg. $\%$	Positive Non-Marg.	No $\%$	OR	?2	Р
protected	78/93	83.9	81/157	51.6	0.58	3.24	0.07
Non protected	36/47	76.6	4/6	66.7	1.71	2.46	0.12

Figure 8: Table 8 :

9

Figure 9: Table 9 :

139 .1 Acknowledgments

- 140 The authors would like to acknowledge Faculty of medical science, Taiz university, Yemen for support and 141 provided working space and materials.
- ¹⁴² "No conflict of interest associated with this work".
- [Al-Mamouri] Epidemiology of intestinal parasites and head lice in pupils of some primary schools at, A Al Mamouri .
- [Alyousefi ()] 'Factors associated with high prevalence of intestinal protozoan infections among patients in Sana'a
 City'. N A Alyousefi . *PLoS One* 2011. 6 (7) p. e22044.
- [Karaman ()] 'Incidence of intestinal parasites in municipal sanitary workers in Malatya'. U Karaman . Turkiye
 parazitolojii dergisi 2006. 30 (3) p. .
- [Persson and Rombo ()] Intestinal parasites in refugees and asylum seekers entering the Stockholm area, 1987-88:
 evaluation of routine stool screening. Scandinavian journal of infectious diseases, A Persson, L Rombo . 1994.
 26 p. .
- [Al-Madani ()] 'Intestinal parasites in urban and rural communities of Abha, Saudi Arabia'. A A Al-Madani .
 Annals of Saudi Medicine 1989. 9 (2) p. .
- [Dpdx ()] 'Laboratory identification of parasites of public health concern'. C Dpdx . Atlanta: Center for Disease
 Control & 2006.
- [Legesse and Erko ()] 'Prevalence of intestinal parasites among schoolchildren in a rural area close to the
 southeast of Lake Langano'. M Legesse , B Erko . *Ethiopia. Ethiop J Health Dev* 2004. 18 p. 120.
- [Zaglool ()] Prevalence of intestinal parasites and bacteria among food handlers in a tertiary care hospital.
 Nigerian medical journal: journal of the Nigeria Medical Association, D Zaglool . 2011. 52 p. 266.
- [Gupta et al.] Prevalence of Intestinal Parasitic Diseases, N Gupta , S Gupta , M M Saini . North Western
 Region of India.
- [Pradhan ()] 'Prevalence of intestinal parasitic infections among public school children in a rural village of
 Kathmandu Valley'. P Pradhan . Nepal Med Coll J 2014. 16 (1) p. .
- [Kidane ()] 'Prevalence of intestinal parasitic infections and their associations with anthropometric measurements
 of school children in selected primary schools'. E Kidane . Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci 2014. 3 (3) p. .
- [Kia ()] 'Study of intestinal protozoan parasites in rural inhabitants of Mazandaran province'. E Kia . Northern
 Iran. Iranian Journal of Parasitology 2008. p. .