Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.*

Impact of Pharmacist Interventions on Direct-Acting Antivirals Sustained Virologic Response and Drug-Drug Interactions

Marcel Nogueira

Received: 8 December 2019 Accepted: 31 December 2019 Published: 15 January 2020

6 Abstract

Infection by HCV is a growing global concern, given its effect on the mortality rate [1]. It is
an important cause of cirrhosis, hepatocellular carcinoma, and liver transplant [2, 3]. In 2015,
71 million people were living with HCV. Several studies have shown that the number of new
cases declined from the second half of the twentieth century. However, the incidence rate in

11 2015 was 23.7

12

3

4

13 Index terms—

14 **1** Introduction

nfection by HCV is a growing global concern, given its effect on the mortality rate [1]. It is an important cause of 15 cirrhosis, hepatocellular carcinoma, and liver transplant [2,3]. In 2015, 71 million people were living with HCV. 16 17 Several studies have shown that the number of new cases declined from the second half of the twentieth century. However, the incidence rate in 2015 was 23.7% (1.75 million new infections by HCV). This increase is related to 18 19 different mechanisms of transmission. Besides the growing number of young injecting drug users in rural areas, there are reports of HCV transmission among men who have sex with men (MSM) infected with HIV. ??4]. In 20 21 2016, the World Health Organization (WHO) showed overall goals for the elimination of HCV infection by 2030. This include a 90% reduction in new cases of chronic hepatitis C, a reduction of 65% of deaths, and treatment of 22 80% of eligible patients [5]. The old therapy in chronic hepatitis C has been a challenge because of the adverse 23 events related to the use of oral ribavirin (RBV) and subcutaneous administration of peginterferon (PEG-IFN). 24 This old therapy had low rates of SVR. In 2015, direct-acting antivirals (DAAs) were incorporated in Brazil. 25 DAAs shows a better efficacy and safety profile, and has a better tolerability for patients [6]. The Brazilian 26 27 Ministry of Health has issued a protocol with the criteria for eligible patients and guidelines for the treatment of 28 chronic hepatitis C. DAAs such as sofosbuvir (SOF), daclatasvir (DCV) and simeprevir (SMV) have been made available. In the second half of 2017, 3D (ombitasvir/paritaprevir/ritonavir+dasabuvir)(OBV/PTV/r +DSV) 29 were included into this protocol [7]. Despite the aforementioned benefits over the old therapy, DAAs therapy 30 presents a high risk of drug-drug interactions (DDIs) [7], [8] and there are some contraindications for all DAAs 31 regimens [9]. The use of cytochrome P450 (CYP)/P-glycoprotein (P-GP) inducers (such as carbamazepine and 32 phenytoin) are contraindicated, because of the risk of reduced concentrations of DAAs and high risk of virological 33 failure [9]. Thus, it is essential to evaluate the continuous-use medication before starting treatment. DAAs have 34 interactions with many 35 Author: e-mail: marcelnogueira7@gmail.com drugs, especially in HCV-HIV co-infected patients in antiretro-36 viral therapy [7]. CYP3A4 is the metabolic pathway for protease inhibitors such as SMV and NS5A inhibitor 37 38 (DCV). These drugs can interact with enzyme inhibitors such as ketoconazole [10], [11], and inducers of CYP3A4, 39 such as dipyrone and phenobarbital [12]. Similarly, daclatasvir (DCV) acts as a substrate and an inhibitor of 40 P-glycoprotein (P-GP). Moreover, DCV is a weak inhibitor of organic anion transporters (OAT1B1/OATP1B3)

and breast cancer resistance protein (BRCP) [11]. Sofosbuvir (SOF) is less involved in this, but it is as P-GP

 $_{\rm 42}$ $\,$ substrate and concomitant use of P-GP inducers should be avoided [10].

As a specialist in the management of pharmacotherapy, clinical pharmacist contributes to patient care by promoting the rational use of drugs and providing pharmacotherapy services [13]. A clinical pharmacist can identify cases of medication nonadherence, and provides support to hepatologists, optimizing patient care [14]. As well as encourages prevention measures, contributes to the reduction of HCV transmission, increases 47 adherence to treatment and monitors adverse reactions [15]. Thereby, patient understands risks and benefits of

48 pharmacotherapy, improving adherence and treatment outcome [16]. The involvement of the clinical pharmacist 49 is beneficial forhepatology team because DDIs are a common event in the treatment of chronic hepatitis C. The

⁵⁰ identification and management of this is an intensive resource that requires adjustments to pharmacotherapy,

51 in addition to continuous monitoring of patients. The assessment of DDIs in DAAs therapy and pharmacist

⁵² interventions was recently published in the scientific literature [15], [17]. Nevertheless, in these studies, it was

⁵³ unclear whether the medical staff approves the pharmacist interventions.

54 **2** II.

55 3 Aim of the Study

⁵⁶ Our primary objective was to evaluate the impact of pharmacist interventions related to DDIs on SVR. As ⁵⁷ secondary objectives: 1) to quantify DDIs identified by drug class and drug interaction potential; 2) to quantify ⁵⁸ pharmacist interventions recommended to medical staff and patient.

59 **4** III.

5 Ethics Approval

Research Ethics Committee (Plataforma Brasilprotocol number 81497617.1.0000.0068) approved this retrospec tive study conducted under the STROBE Initiative. Informedconsentwasnotethicallyrequired for this research.
 IV.

⁶⁴ 6 Method a) Participants

We included patients with chronic hepatitis C, with DAAs prescription (SOF/DCV/SMV) with or without RBV
 or PEG-IFN, that received medication counseling by the Clinical Pharmacy of Hospital das Clínicas da Faculdade

67 de Medicina da Universidade de São Paulo (HCFMUSP). We excluded patients who died, who had DAAs therapy

⁶⁸ suspended or without the final hepatitis C virus RNA-polymerase chain reaction test(HCV RNA-PCR).

⁶⁹ 7 b) Setting

70 We assessed data tabulated in Microsoft Excel between December 2015 and June 2017, collected from patients of 71 infectious disease, liver transplantation, and gastroenterology services of HCFMUSP, a public tertiary teaching hospital. Before starting DAAs therapy, all patients were referred for Clinical Pharmacy of HCFMUSP and 72 73 received medication counseling. This service promotes the rational use of medicines, patient care, and recommends 74 conducts for medical staff to optimize pharmacotherapy. Concomitant use of drugs was analyzed by the electronic 75 prescription system or by manual prescriptions. All included patients have received medication counseling by Clinical Pharmacy as established by the following steps: 1) individual or group counseling supported by an 76 information leaflet that addresses issues such as chronic hepatitis C, HCV transmission, prevention, medication, 77 adherence and patient care during DAAs therapy; 2) DDIs analysis on the HEP Drugs Interactions [8] and 78 as necessary, pharmacist intervention addressed to medical staff, for management of DDIs; 3) Individualized 79 guidance to facilitate medication administration times, according to routine of each patient; 4) tabulation of 80 baseline characteristics, DDIs and pharmacist interventions on the database. By identifying DDIs, Clinical 81 82 Pharmacy staff performed management of DDIs according to the clinical experience of each pharmacist and 83 severity of interaction. Discussions were conducted with medical staff to solve this, in addition to sending letters 84 when face-to-face contact was not possible. 5) DAAs dispensation. After these steps, all patients were referred for medical staff to authorize starting treatment. We performed the acceptance of pharmacist interventions accessing 85 electronic medical records, new medical prescriptions, and by telephone follow-up. 86

Hence, we divided patients into three different groups: 1) Drug Interaction Avoided (DIA), those with pharmacist interventions approved, 2) Drug Interaction Persisted (DIP), those pharmacist interventions not accepted for any reason; 3) no drug interaction (NDI).

90 8 c) Variables

91 The primary endpoint was SVR, defined as an undetectable viral load, three months after completion of DAAs 92 therapy [7]. Among the secondary endpoints are: 1) number of DDIs (identified by drug or drug class); 2) 93 severity of each DDIs according to HEP Drug Interactions -weak interaction, potential interaction and do not 94 coadminister [8]

95 9 d) Data sources/measurement

⁹⁶ For the primary outcome, we used logistic regression to compare SVR rates between DIA, DIP and NDI groups.

97 The results were collected from electronic hospital records and recorded on the database. To minimize the risk 98 of bias, three authors (MSN, NLL, and GDRS) performed double-checking of all collected data presented in this 99 study.

100 10 e) Study sample size

No sample size calculation was done before the conduction of this study. We recruited all patients from December 2015 to June 2017, who met the inclusion criteria. A post-doc analysis was conducted with G*Power [18]to estimate the achieved power of the primary outcome (association between SVR and groups of intervention by logistic regression), considering ?=5% and observed effect size (OR), sample size and two-tailed regression model R 2.

¹⁰⁶ 11 f) Quantitative variables

The baseline characteristics include gender distribution, age, ethnic origin, DAAs regimen, treatment duration,
 HCV genotype, and presence of cirrhosis. We used frequency and percentage for categorical variables (total sample
 and for each group: DIA, DIP, NDI). We defined the continuous variables as mean and standard deviation.

¹¹⁰ 12 g) Statistical methods

We compared the baseline characteristics between groups by the chi-square test for categorical variables and analysis of variance (ANOVA) for continuous variables. We used intention-to-treat (ITT) for missing data of SVR and obtained the odds ratio (OR) was obtained by a logistic regression model, including SVR as a dependent variable, groups (DIA, DIP and NDI) as independent variable and age, sex, genotype, and presence of cirrhosis as control variables. We considered the level of statistical significance to be 5%, and performed all analyses using STATA 13 (Stata Corp, Texas, USA).

117 V.

118 **13** Results

119 14 a) Participants

We included 1046 patients with chronic hepatitis C, with DAAs prescription. After Clinical Pharmacy guidance, patients were divided into groups DIA (n=273), DIP (n= 26), and NDI (n=747). In total, we excluded 74 patients (74/1046, 7.7%). Of these patients, ten had suspended treatment by adverse events, and 64 did not present the final HCV RNA-PCR test. No information was found about the death of patients on the electronic medical records.

125 15 b) Descriptive data

Overall, there was a ratio of 48.9% men, 51.0% women and mean age of 58.0 ± 11.42 . A statistically significant difference of mean age was found between DIA and NDI groups. The ethnic majority consisted of Caucasians in all groups. The most common DAAs regimen was SOF + DCV + RBV (48.4%) and the overall treatment duration was 12 weeks (84.7%). We observed a higher frequency of genotype 1b (37.0%), followed by 1A (32.9%). Cirrhotic corresponded to 49.5% of overall patients, with statistically significant difference among the three groups. The baseline characteristics are available in table 1.

¹³² 16 c) Outcome data

Our data showed a total of 299 patients (28.5%) identified with DDIs. In this group of patients, 273 had 133 pharmacist intervention approved by the medical staff (DIA), and 26 were not approved (DIP). The total number 134 of DDIs was 464, and 286 (61.6%) were identified only with DCV. For DCV interactions, identified drugs were 135 136 composed of calcium channel blockers such as amlodipine, diltiazem and verapamil (n=85, 29.7%), followed by levothyroxine (n=59, 20.6%) and stating (n=46, 16.0%) (Table ??). The clinical pharmacists performed one 137 hundred thirty-four interventions, such as alter administration time and 261 monitoring for side effects. Given 138 the DDIs between DAAs and levothyroxine or warfarin, the medical staff accepted 54 interventions for laboratory 139 monitoring tests (52.4%), and thirty-five alternative medication interventions (34.0%) because of contraindicated 140 interactions between SOF, DCV, SMV, RBV, and drugs such as dipyrone (metamizole), anticonvulsants 141 (phenobarbital, phenytoin, primidone, carbamazepine), amiodarone and dexamethasone. Paracetamol was 142 recommended for medical staff to replace dipyrone. Drugs such as valproicacid, ethosuximide, lamotrigine and 143 levetiracetam were recommended (after withdrawal) for patients with anticonvulsants prescription. 144

Propafenone and prednisone were recommended to replace amiodarone and dexamethasone, respectively. 145 Dosing adjustment (n=7, 6.7%) was requested for daclatasvir 90 mg and daclatasvir 30 mg (as a resultof 146 147 CYP3A4 inducerefavirenz and CYP3A4 inhibitor such as ritonavir, respectively). Moreover, dosing adjustments 148 for amlodipine (10 mg to 5 mg/day), atorvastatin and simvastatin (both to 20 mg/day) because of potential interaction with DCV, were requested. In seven cases (n=7, 6.79%), discontinuation of drugs such as dipyrone, 149 dexamethasone, orlistat, and colestyramine, was suggested for patients with no treatment indication. In the DIP 150 group, 16 interventions for laboratory monitoring tests (61.5%), eight for the alternative medication (30.7%)151 -given the use of contraindicated drugs such as dipyrone, anticonvulsants, and dexamethasone, and two for DCV 152 dosing adjustment were not approved. The acceptance rate of pharmacist interventions was 79.8% (Table ??). 153 Our team identified three hundred thirteen drugs (68%) as potential interaction, 103 (23%) weak interaction, 154

and 43 (9%) as "do not coadminister" (Figure ??). All identified DDIs by group (DIA and DIP) are available in
 Appendix A and B.

¹⁵⁷ 17 d) Main results

Intention-to-treat analysis (ITT) revealed an overall SVR rate of 80.1% (n=838/1046). In the DIA and DIP 158 groups, SVR rates were 86.1% (n=235/273) and 57.7% (n=15/26), respectively. In the NDI group, 78.7% of 159 patients (n=588/747) achieved SVR. The logistic regression compared SVR rates among the three groups. The 160 results demonstrate that the DIA group had a greater probability of SVR compared to the NDI group (OR: 1.51; 161 95% CI 1.00 -2.28; p=0.048). The DIP group had lower probability of SVR compared to DIA group (OR: 0.26; 162 95% CI 0.10 -0.62; p=0.003) and NDI group (OR: 0.39; 95% CI 0.17 -0.90; p=0.029) (Table ??). The post-doc 163 analysis resulted in an estimated achieved power of 99%, considering ?=5%, effect size=1.51, sample size=1046, 164 and R 2 = 0.0361. 165

166 **18 VI.**

167 **19 Discussion**

Our study shows the impact of pharmacist interventions related to DDIs on the clinical outcome of DAAs 168 169 therapy in 1046 patients. Although we emphasize that our findings reveal an overall SVR of 80.1%, we present 170 a larger sample of patients comparing to others real-life studies of Cheinquer et al. (n=219) [19], Ferreira et al. (n=296) [20] and AI444040 [21](n=211). Cheinquer demonstrated the effectiveness of DAAs (SOF/DCV/SMV), 171 3D therapy (OBV/PTV/r + DSV) and SOF/ledipasvir (LDV), with or without RBV, and showed a higher SVR 172 rate (>90%). Ferreira aimed to evaluate the effectiveness of (SOF/DCV/SMV/LDV/PEG-IFN) with or without 173 RBV, while the clinical study AI444040 assessed the effectiveness of SOF+DCV with or without RBV [21]. Both 174 presented higher SVR rates (>90%). Despite this, samples of real-life studies were composed of 89 cirrhotic 175 176 patients (42.7%) -Cheinquer,99 patients (38.8%) -Ferreira, and 30 patients (14.2%) -AI444040. In our analysis, 513 patients (49.5%) had cirrhosis. In Brazil, only patients with advanced liver disease (fibrosis and cirrhosis) or 177 hepatitis B virus/HIV co-infected are given DAAs therapy [7]. Possibly, this is related to the results of the overall 178 179 SVR rate in our findings. Nevertheless, in our data, patients have made use of concomitant drugs (n=299). Only Ottman, Townsend, Hashem, DiMondi, and Britt assessed the impact of DDIs on SVR in 300 patients [17]. This 180 study evaluated patients on DAAs regimen (SOF, DCV, SMV, LDV, 3D, and elbasvir / grazoprevir), with or 181 without RBV. In comparison to our results, a higher SVR rate was observed (95.6% vs, 80.1%). Ottman et al. did 182 183 not found no statistically significant difference in SVR among patients who had at least one DDI compared with those who had not identified DDIs (94.8% vs, 95.8%; 169 had advanced fibrosis or cirrhosis. However, the authors 184 185 did not compare SVR rates in pharmacist intervention groups, as we presented, our logistic regression showed a higher probability of SVR in the DIAgroup, in comparison to DIP and NDI (Table ??). Possibly, this is related 186 187 to the alternative medication (34.0%) in the DIA group, because interactions between DAAs and contraindicated drugs were solved. Likewise, there was a statistically significant difference of cirrhotic patients between the three 188 189 groups. Regardless, our result highlights the role of the clinical pharmacist in the effectiveness of chronic hepatitis C treatment. This demonstrates that DDIs in DAAs therapy should not be neglected by the medical staff to 190 avoid virological failure [9]. DDIs are also common in therapy with other DAAs. Maasoumy et al. demonstrated 191 that 49% of patients were affected by DDIs with protease inhibitors (boceprevir and telaprevir), and management 192 is required [6]. Other data suggest that the management of DDIs can be performed by laboratory monitoring 193 tests, dosing adjustment, alternative medication, or discontinuation, when necessary [6,15,17,22]. Languess et 194 al. [15] observed DDIs frequency with DAAs such as SOF/LDV, 3D, SMV/SOF, and SOF/RBV. Commonly 195 196 recommended interventions for the management of each interaction were discontinuation (for contraindicated drugs, supplements, and herbal products), as well as monitoring for side effects. In our retrospective cohort 197 study, the risk of self-medication and the use of supplements or herbal products (such as St. John's wort) were 198 part of medication counseling for all patients. Therefore, we only consider the discontinuation intervention to 199 those with DDIs in prescriptions. Besides Ottmanassess SVR, the author identified and quantified a total of 554 200 DDIs in 300 patients on DAAs therapy [17]. 201

Ottman's study presented a greater focus on LDV/SOF and 3D. Only nine patients (3.0%) used SOF + 202 DCV + RBV and of those, six had 11 DDIs identified (n=11/554 2.0%). Among the drug classes involved in 203 DDIs, there are stating (n=87, 15.7%), calcium channel blockers (n=63, 11.4%) and analgesics (n=48%, 8.6%). 204 The most commons pharmacist interventions were dosing adjustment (29.6%), alternative medication (6.9%), 205 206 and discontinuation (4.5%). Overall, 191 interventions were accepted (84.1%). We can compare our results 207 of identified DDIs and the acceptance rate of pharmacist interventions. Our data present a higher frequency 208 of laboratory monitoring tests (n=54, 52.4%) and alternative medication (n=35, 34%) approved interventions than dosing adjustment. This is explained by a higher proportion of patients using LDV/SOF or 3D scheme in 209 Ottman's study. These DAAs act as inhibitors of various transporters (OATP1B1/3 OATP2B1, P-gp, BCRP) 210 and different metabolic pathways (CYP3A4/5, UGT1A1, CYP2D6) in addition to inducing CYP2C19 [22]. 211

Our study has some limitations. We instructed patients to do not start DAAs therapy until receive medical authorization (after medication counseling by Clinical Pharmacy), but we cannot guarantee that all patients followed this conduct. Probably, some have started treatment after medication counseling and dispensation. We advised patients about DDIs and several pharmacist interventions were performed by sending letters to the external medical staff. Possibly, some of them did not handed it to the medical staff and therefore, were included in DIP group. The clinical pharmacy staff advised all patients about the risks of self-medication and herbal product consumption. We told to avoid dipyrone during DAA therapy, because of the risk of interaction [8,12].

Dipyrone is one of the most consumed over-the-counter drugs in Brazil [23], and we must consider the hypothesis that not everyone followed these advices.

Because of methodological limitations of a retrospective cohort study, we did not classify cirrhotic patients according to the Child-Pugh score. Probably, this would make it possible to understand SVR rates showed in our findings.

224 **20** VII.

225 21 Conclusion

Although the overall rate of SVR was lower than other real-life studies, our results indicate that the DIA group had a significant probability of SVR compared to DIP and NDI groups. Furthermore, this in DAA therapy are common and the medical staff should not neglect it. Pharmacist interventions may contribute to the effectiveness of DAAs therapy and makes it possible to avoid treatment failures caused by DDIs.

21 CONCLUSION

- 230 Conflicts of interest: All authors have no conflict of interest to declare.
- 231 Financial Support: None to declare.
- 232 [Abreu et al. ()] Assessment of Adherence to Prescribed Therapy in Patients with Chronic Hepatitis B. Infectious
- diseases and therapy, R M Abreu , C Da Silva Ferreira , A S Ferreira , E Remor , P D Nasser , F J Carrilho
 234 . 2016. 5 p. .
- [Combating Hepatitis B and C to Reach Eliminationby 2030. World Health Organization ()] Combating
- Hepatitis B and C to Reach Eliminationby 2030. World Health Organization, 2016.
- [Sulkowski et al. ()] 'Daclatasvir plus sofosbuvir for previously treated or untreated chronic HCV infection'. M S
 Sulkowski , D F Gardiner , M Rodriguez-Torres , K R Reddy , T Hassanein , I Jacobson . *The New England journal of medicine* 2014. 370 p. .
- [EASL Recommendations on Treatment of Hepatitis C Journal of hepatology ()] 'EASL Recommendations on
 Treatment of Hepatitis C'. Journal of hepatology 2018. 2018.
- 242 [Ferreira et al. ()] Effectiveness and tolerability of direct-acting antivirals for chronic hepatitis C patients in a
- Southern state of Brazil. The Brazilian journal of infectious diseases: an official publication of the, V L
 Ferreira, Hhl Borba, A Wiens, Mla Pedroso, Vfc Radunz, Cap Ivantes. 2018. Brazilian Society of
 Infectious Diseases.
- [Kooy et al. ()] 'Effects of a Telephone Counselling Intervention by Pharmacist (TelCIP) on medication adherence, patient beliefs and satisfaction with information for patients starting treatment: study protocol for a
 cluster randomized controlled trial'. M J Kooy , Ecg Van Geffen , E R Heerdink , L Van Dijk , M L Bouvy .
 BMC Health Serv Res 2014. p. 219.
- [Brasil] Guideline for management and treatment of chronic hepatitis C and co-infections, Brasil . Brasília:
 Brazilian. (Protocolo Clínico e Diretrizes Terapêuticas para o tratamento da hepatite viral crônica C e co-infecções)
- ²⁵³ [Uo ()] *HEP Drug Interactions*, Liverpool Uo . http://www.hep-druginteractions.org/checker 2017.
- [Chhatwal et al. ()] 'Hepatitis C Disease Burden in the United States in the era of oral direct-acting antivirals'.
 J Chhatwal , X Wang , T Ayer , M Kabiri , R T Chung , C Hur . *Hepatology* 2016. 64 p. .
- [Ottman et al. ()] Incidence of Drug Interactions Identified by Clinical Pharmacists in Veterans Initiating
 Treatment for Chronic Hepatitis C Infection. The Annals of pharmacotherapy, A A Ottman, M L Townsend
 M G Hashem, V P Dimondi, R B Britt. 2018. p. 1060028018766507.
- 259 [Ministry of Health ()] Ministry of Health, 2017. (Ministério da Saúde do Brasil)
- [Langness et al. ()] 'Optimizing hepatitis C virus treatment through pharmacist interventions: Identification and
 management of drug-drug interactions'. J A Langness , M Nguyen , A Wieland , G T Everson , J J Kiser .
 World J Gastroenterol 2017. 23 p. .
- [Gauthier et al. ()] 'Pharmacist engagement within a hepatitis C ambulatory care clinic in the era of a treatment
 revolution'. T P Gauthier , E Moreira , C Chan , A Cabrera , M Toro , M Z Carrasquillo . Journal of the
 American Pharmacists Association 2016. 56 p. . (JAPhA)
- [Arrais et al. ()] 'Prevalence of selfmedication in Brazil and associated factors'. P S Arrais , M E Fernandes , T
 D Pizzol , L R Ramos , S S Mengue , V L Luiza . *Revista de saude publica* 2016. 50 p. 13.
- [Saussele et al. ()] 'Selective induction of human hepatic cytochromes P450 2B6 and 3A4 by metamizole'. T
 Saussele, O Burk, J K Blievernicht, K Klein, A Nussler, N Nussler. Clinical pharmacology and therapeutics
 2007. 82 p. .
- [Garimella et al. ()] 'Single-dose pharmacokinetics and safety of daclatasvir in subjects with renal function
 impairment'. T Garimella , R Wang , W L Luo , C Hwang , D Sherman , H Kandoussi . Antiviral therapy
 2015. 20 p. .
- [Faul et al. ()] 'Statistical power analyses using G*Power 3.1: tests for correlation and regression analyses'. F
 Faul , E Erdfelder , A Buchner , A G Lang . Behav Res Methods 2009. 41 p. .
- 276 [Gane et al. ()] 'Strategies to C virus (HCV) infection disease burdenvolume'. E Gane , D Kershenobich , C
- 277 Seguin-Devaux , Kristian P Aho , I , Dalgard . Journal of viral hepatitis 2015. 2 (1) p. . (Suppl)
- [Maasoumy et al. ()] 'The clinical significance of drug-drug interactions in the era of direct-acting anti-viral agents against chronic hepatitis C'. B Maasoumy , K Port , Calle Serrano , B Markova , A A Sollik , L Manns
 MP . Alimentary pharmacology & therapeutics 2013. 38 p. .
- [Stanaway et al. ()] 'The global burden of viral hepatitis from 1990 to 2013: findings from the Global Burden of
 Disease Study'. J D Stanaway , A D Flaxman , M Naghavi , C Fitzmaurice , T Vos , I Abubakar . Lancet
 2013. 2016. 388 p. .
- [Smolders et al. ()] 'The majority of hepatitis C patients treated with direct acting antivirals are at risk for
 relevant drug-drug interactions'. E J Smolders , F A Berden , C T De Kanter , W Kievit , J P Drenth , D M
- Burger . United European Gastroenterol J 2017. 5 p. .

- [Cheinquer et al. ()] 'Treatment of Chronic HCV Infection with the New Direct Acting Antivirals (DAA): First 287
- Report of a Real World Experience in Southern Brazil'. H Cheinquer , H Sette , Jr Wolff , F H De Araujo , 288 A Coelho-Borges, S Soares, Srp. Annals of hepatology 2017. 16 p. .
- 289

[De Kanter et al. ()] 'Viral hepatitis C therapy: pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic considerations'. C T De 290 Kanter , J P Drenth , J E Arends , H W Reesink , M Van Der Valk , R J De Knegt . Clinical pharmacokinetics 291

2014. 53 p. . 292