

# Simulation model for breast cancer management in India

Radheshyam Naik

*Received: 11 December 2019 Accepted: 2 January 2020 Published: 15 January 2020*

---

## Abstract

The natural history of cancer in a patient can be simulated in a flexible broad-based disease model. Such models describe events and outcomes at the person level, the results of which can be aggregated to estimate the population morbidity. With minimal assumptions regarding the natural history of disease, models could project outcomes of interventions for cancer screening and treatment. The same could be used for testing conventional treatment protocols and assess the reasons for failure of a particular strategy. Leads from the analysis can be utilized for proposing improvisations for the treatment protocol such as combinatorial strategies, which enables better suppression of tumor despite the resistant cells. This commentary describes relevant concepts associated with simulation modeling of tumor growth and tumor-host interactions, and summarizes some of the prominent approaches.

---

*Index terms*— breast cancer, simulation model, estimation.

## 1 Introduction

Mathematical models could potentially simulate the dynamic nature of biological processes. These models are the product of research in the interface between mathematics and biology. Such quantitative approaches benefit research in the field of cancer. Computational techniques should be applied to various aspects of tumor growth with an intent to understand the response of cancer cells to therapeutic interventions. Models could tally outcomes across individuals and gain insight into the underlying dynamics in risk factors and cancer interventions.

The life history of an individual might include events such as his current age, accumulation of risk factors for cancer since birth, age at which preclinical cancer develops, age's at which cancer landmarks progress and their metastatic spread, diagnosis of cancer (through screening or symptomatic presentation), treatment of cancer and death from cancer or other co-incident causes. The onset and progression rates of adenomas could be modified by risk factors, screening could detect pre-cancerous lesions and pre-clinical cancer, and treatment can alter post-diagnosis survival rates.

Models use indirect evidence for making assumptions about the process of carcinogenesis based on data from biopsy studies, prevention, screening and treatment trials, research studies, cancer registries and other types of studies. Such models account that tumor progression is a statistically distributed characteristic of cells influencing their global behavior. The parameters of the model are estimated using empirical data inputs initially and later through statistical algorithms. Such parameters will be predicted initially using observed data on cancer outcomes, and subsequently the impact of interventions could be studied. Outputs can include the full range of benefits and costs of the interventions.

In-silico trials will facilitate optimization of patient care by predicting patient-specific responses to various treatment combinations or dosage schedules.

The National Cancer Institute's (NCI) Cancer Intervention and Surveillance modeling network (CISNET) model relies on assumptions regarding the natural history of the disease and is utilized for recommending mammography as a screening method in the USA. The research concept in this short report is to model the adenoma-carcinoma natural history sequence of breast cancer (BC) suitable to the Indian context.

## 2 II.

### 3 Content

65% of BC related deaths are estimated to occur in low and middle income (LMIC) countries, by 2025 1 . Simulation models of BC progression, detection, and outcome usually include the natural history of the disease. Few other transparent models focus on observable events in disease progression, thus requiring fewer inputs from users and rendering portability across applications.

CISNET 2 Breast working group conducts collaborative modeling research to address critical early detection and clinical management issues in breast cancer. The aim is to evaluate improvised screening strategies such as using polygenic risk and emerging imaging technologies for their impact on the population. It also evaluates clinical management strategies with targeted treatment paradigms in the adjuvant setting and at recurrence. Such modeling leads to synthesizing research information for estimating future mortality trends in the United States. The common inputs are from observational studies from sources such as: National health interview survey (NHIS), Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results (SEER), Breast cancer surveillance consortium (BCSC) and National center for health statistics (NCHS).

Guidelines should enable good practices in modeling, which includes designing the approach, selecting a technique, implementing and validating the model, parameterizing the inputs and assessing uncertainty, and using the resulting tool to enable decision making 3 .

We can summarize the six components of a model 3 We can capture the dynamics of sub-cellular interaction of a tumor in the model. We need to develop simulation tools for visualizing the same, with the possibility of interventions to control the action of tumor cells. Treatment as an outcome is defined either as induction of cell death among proliferating cancer cells or reduction of tumor support such as by decreasing the carrying capacity. We can define the strategies for tumor treatment in a differential equation model (DEM) 4 , which captures the tumor cell differentiation (proliferation/death) based on the considered time difference.

The total number of cancer cells in the patient's body is not the only determinant of the health outcome. Among cancer patients, the local invasion of tissues and metastasis to distant sites of the body are the main causes of death as an outcome. The DEM does not capture such spatial processes, which however can be simulated in a partial differential equation model 4

## 4 (PEM).

PEM models could also examine the interplay between cancer cells, degrading enzymes, and the tissue, thus further improvising equations towards a reaction-diffusion-taxis model. Such models explain 'haptotaxis'; which describes determinants of cancer cell migration, such as extracellular matrix density and gradients of adhesive molecules in the matrix 4 .

The Surgeons tend to visualize the visible margin of the cancerous tissue, however individual cancer cells have the potential to migrate beyond the same. Discrete models of tumor growth could explore the context of stochastic events and their probability of invasion 4 .

The immune system recognizes the tumor cells, and tries to compete and deplete them. When the tumor cells win, they start condensing into a solid form. Such tumor cells diffuse signals to the outer environment, which in-turn are the means of communication for cellular interaction. The sequential evolution of steps in such a system could be summarized as 5 may not be cost-effective, and its availability in rural India is a concern. The peak incidence of BC in India is among pre-menopausal women who are relatively young for using mammography as a screening tool. Hence, the analysis in the model 5 includes screening with CBE among varying eligible age groups and screening intervals.

The differences in natural history of breast cancer in India when compared with other developed countries, includes the fact that beneficiaries are not subjected to effective screening procedures regularly, and access to care is delayed due to late presentation of symptoms. The assumption of the model 5 is that when an early stage is detected, it leads to improved survival of the patient.

This Model 6 finds that given the young demographics of the Indian population, it is cost-effective to screen the 40 to 60 years age group, when compared with 50 to 70 years group. The younger age group strata chosen for screening in this model, is influenced by determinants such as low life expectancy (62 years) and peak incidence among younger ages. The frequency of screening could be either every-5 year intervals or biennial or annual CBEs, all of which considerably reduce the mortality and increase the gain in the number of life years.

The concept that screening service is a privilege that needs to be sought, is reinforced by the estimates of the screening costs for CBE and mammography in the model 6 . These were 10% and 28% respectively, of the routine BC management strategies. The model 6 shows that the cost-effectiveness ratio gained is Int \$1341 per life-year, which is 50% of the GNI per capita. Thus screening with CBE is a very cost-effective measure in India, as the WHO commission on Macroeconomics and health proposes a guideline of cost-effectiveness ratio being less than the per-capita GDP 6 .

The microenvironment of a tumor comprises of the immune cells and cytokines, which act as the 'soil' nourishing the development of the tumor. The formation of tumor triggers the production of cytokines from the immune system. The core of tumor comprises of the following cells 7 The model can virtually depict the seed-soil interaction in the development of a tumor. 'S' numbers are low in the initial stages of tumor and due to

---

104 their slow replication rate are resistant to radiotherapy and are partially sensitive to chemotherapy. The models  
105 can thus analyze treatment failures due to conventional chemotherapy and radiotherapy, which probably could be  
106 due to SR and CR within the tumor. Since S have an immunosuppressive effect on the soil, treatment protocols  
107 should additionally include immunotherapy. Models could simulate the temporal evolution dynamics of such  
108 tumor-immune interaction.

109 The efficacy of the treatment protocol is an outcome measure in this model 7 . This concept should be  
110 defined in terms of reduction in tumor size, and recovery from immune-suppression induced by the tumor. The  
111 parameters which should be included are the fold change of tumor mass and the TH1/TH2 (T helper cells)  
112 ratio. Mathematical strategies could be combined in the model to encompass the effect of molecular events (viz..  
113 angiogenesis), chemokines and exosomes as mediators of cellular interactions, and the influence of miRNA in the  
114 pathways. Such models could enable optimizing drug dosage and advanced protocols for cancer treatment.

115 Advanced models could further shed light on cancer prognosis through studying the role of M2 macrophages in  
116 regulating tumor proliferation through feedback loops, differentiation of S from symmetric to asymmetric pattern  
117 rendering refractoriness for treatment, IL10 (Interleukin) feedback influence on TH1/TH2 ratio, and TH1 derived  
118 IFN- $\gamma$  (Interferon) differential elimination of S.

119 It is imperative to develop modeling tools because the impact of indicators on benefits (eg.: mortality reduction)  
120 and harm (e.g.: over-diagnosis) cannot be observed directly. It is not possible to immediately measure the  
121 outcomes among population, who either did or did not undergo screening procedures. Changes in screening  
122 programs are inevitable, and they tend to accumulate over time. The impact of one change can be entangled  
123 with another, and it is difficult to assess them discretely.

124 Randomized controlled trials (RCT) usually provide the required data to build the models. The results of RCT  
125 are from diverse centers, and usually depict post-hoc meta-analysis of the research studies. However, comparative  
126 modeling could facilitate the synthesis of evidence and relevant comparisons. estimating their population-level  
127 impact. Valid models enable inference on the natural history of cancer from partially observed processes, including  
128 the impact of interventions (prevention, screening, treatment). Such models find utility as risk assessment tools  
129 during screening activities, which in-turn could enable devising either targeted high risk or population-based  
130 interventions. Novel models which focus on complex biological processes such as tumor-immune interactions and  
131 the effect of microenvironment, will enable improvising cancer treatment protocols. Model simplicity ensures  
132 transparency, description, and ease of validation. Simultaneously, models should preserve face validity for clinical  
133 experts. Such models provide a framework to support evidence-based policy decisions in India. <sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup>© 2020 Global JournalsSimulation model for breast cancer management in India



- 
- 134 [Harry et al. (2008)] ‘Breast Cancer Screening Policies in Developing Countries: A Cost effectiveness Analysis  
135 for India’. Koning Harry , J De , M Erasmus . *Journal of the National Cancer Institute* September 2008. 100  
136 (18) p. .
- 137 [Birnbaum ()] ‘Early detection and treatment strategies for breast cancer in low-income and upper middle-income  
138 countries: a modelling study’. J Birnbaum . [https://resources.cisnet.cancer.gov/registry/  
139 site-summary/breast/](https://resources.cisnet.cancer.gov/registry/site-summary/breast/), lastaccessedon12/20/19 *CISNET Model Registry*. Available at 2018. 6 (2)  
140 . (Lancet Glob Health)
- 141 [Piyali et al. (2018)] ‘Exploring immunoregulatory mechanisms in the tumor microenvironment: Model and  
142 design of protocols for cancer remission’. Ganguli Piyali , Ram Sarkar , Rup . *PLOS one* Sep 2018. (9)  
143 p. 13.
- 144 [Heiko and Mark ()] ‘Mathematical modeling of tumor growth and treatment’. Enderling Heiko , Chaplain Mark  
145 , AJ . *Current pharmaceutical design* 2014. 20.
- 146 [Jaime ()] ‘Modeling good research practices -overview: A report of the ISPOR-SMDM Modeling Good research  
147 practices Task Force -1. International society for Pharmacoeconomics and outcomes research (ISPOR) Task  
148 Force Reports’. Caro Jaime , J . *Value in Health* 2012. 15 p. .
- 149 [Ambrosi et al. ()] ‘Modelling and mathematical problems related to tumor evolution and its interaction with  
150 the immune system’. D Ambrosi , N Bellomo , L Preziosi . *Mathematical and computer modelling* 2000. p. .