

GLOBAL JOURNAL OF MEDICAL RESEARCH: G VETERINARY SCIENCE AND VETERINARY MEDICINE Volume 20 Issue 1 Version 1.0 Year 2020 Type: Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal Publisher: Global Journals Online ISSN: 2249-4618 & Print ISSN: 0975-5888

In Vitro Fermentation of Rice Bran by *Ruminococcus* Sp. for Desirable Chemical Changes as Feed for Livestock

By M A Alam, M S R Khan, K M S Islam, U Dickhöfer & M A Grashorn

Bangladesh Agricultural University

Abstract- Using rice bran in broiler diets has limitation due higher content of fiber and lower availability of few micronutrients including phosphorus. So, they were fermented anaerobically using 10% *Ruminococcus albus* isolated from rumen of cattle to get fermented value-added feed ingredient. It was fermented for 48 hours at 39°C giving 60% moisture at different conditions like FRB (Rice Bran treated with *Ruminococcus* sp.), UFRB (Rice Bran treated with 2.0% urea using *Ruminococcus* sp.), MFRB (Rice Bran treated with 2.0% urea using *Ruminococcus* sp.), MFRB (Rice Bran treated with 5.0% molasses using *Ruminococcus* sp.), UMFRB (Rice bran treated with 2% urea & 5% molasses using *Ruminococcus* sp.). The protein content was increased in UFRB (18.43%), UMFRB (17.19%) in comparison to RB group (14.42%) where UFRB showed highest crude protein (p<0.05). The crude fiber was decreased in FRB (11.64), UFRB (9.92), MFRB (11.67), and UMFRB (10.83) in comparison to RB (12.57%). Phytate-P was also decreasing in UFRB (1.00%), MFRB (1.00%), UMFRB (0.82%) then to RB (1.13%). So, in vitro fermentation using *Ruminococcus sp.* reduces phytate-P and fiber content (CF and ADF) and increase crude protein of RB and UFRB.

Keywords: in vitro, fermentation, ruminococcus spp. rice bran, feed.

GJMR-G Classification: NLMC Code: QW 4

INVITROFERMENTATIONOFRICEBRANBYRUMINDCOCCUSSPFORDESIRABLECHEMICALCHANGESASFEEDFORLIVESTOCK

Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of:



© 2020. M A Alam, M S R Khan, K M S Islam, U Dickhöfer & M A Grashorn. This is a research/review paper, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 3.0 Unported License http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/), permitting all non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

In Vitro Fermentation of Rice Bran by *Ruminococcus* Sp. for Desirable Chemical Changes as Feed for Livestock

M A Alam ^α, M S R Khan ^σ, K M S Islam ^ρ, U Dickhöfer ^ω & M A Grashorn[¥]

Abstract- Using rice bran in broiler diets has limitation due higher content of fiber and lower availability of few micronutrients including phosphorus. So, they were fermented anaerobically using 10% Ruminococcus albus isolated from rumen of cattle to get fermented value-added feed ingredient. It was fermented for 48 hours at 39°C giving 60% moisture at different conditions like FRB (Rice Bran treated with Ruminococcus sp.), UFRB (Rice Bran treated with 2.0% urea using Ruminococcus sp.), MFRB (Rice Bran treated with 5.0% molasses using Ruminococcus sp.), UMFRB (Rice bran treated with 2% urea & 5% molasses using Ruminococcus sp.). The protein content was increased in UFRB (18.43%), UMFRB (17.19%) in comparison to RB group (14.42%) where UFRB showed highest crude protein (p<0.05). The crude fiber was decreased in FRB (11.64), UFRB (9.92), MFRB (11.67), and UMFRB (10.83) in comparison to RB (12.57%). Phytate-P was also decreasing in UFRB (1.00%), MFRB (1.00%), UMFRB (0.82%) then to RB (1.13%). So, in vitro fermentation using Ruminococcus spp. reduces phytate-P and fiber content (CF and ADF) and increase crude protein of RB and UFRB.

Keywords: in vitro, fermentation, ruminococcus spp. rice bran, feed.

I. INTRODUCTION

Rin rice-based agricultural by-products in rice-based agricultural countries like Bangladesh and has the potential as a feed ingredient. However, its utilization, especially for poultry is limited. The limitation of its use was due to its high fiber content, low protein and antinutritional factors such as Phytic acid as phytate. These antinutritive factors have been reported by Khalique *et al.*, (2003) cause reduction of feed intake and depressed performance of broiler.

Nutritionally, several factors limited its use in poultry, especially broiler chicken diet. Almost half of phosphorous are in phytates form. Hull adulteration is a factor reducing the quality of rice bran (Farrell, 1994). High level of ash content indicates high level of hull (Warren and Farrell, 1990). Previous researches had attempted to use different techniques like fermentation (wizna *et al.*, 2012), enzyme supplementation (Tirajoh *et al.*, 2010) and the inclusion of fermented product (Kompiang *et al.*, 1995) in increasing rice bran utilization for poultry feed.

Fermentation is one of the most advantageous approaches to improve the nutritive value of rice bran (Hardini, 2010). Microorganisms induced fermentation processes transformations of their metabolic activity and also increase the availability of nutrients in raw materials (Pelizer, Pontieri, & Moraes, 2007) which has been widely adopted to develop novel functional ingredients because this process may promote their functional quality such as antioxidant (Lee et al., 2008; Hardini, 2010; Wang et al., 2011; Cao et al., 2012; Kim et al., 2012) and optimize the use of rice bran in poultry feeding. Bidura et al., (2012) found that inclusion of (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) veast increases the bioavailability of minerals and nutrients of rice bran and increase growth performance of male bali duckling. Also, fermentation of rice bran with Aspergillus niger caused change of nutrient content as poultry feed (Hardini, 2010).

Rice bran consisting of cellulose as the major component composed of cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin are coarse fiber which has some the limitations of the use of rice bran as feed in the broiler due to lack of lignocellulosic enzymes producing by digest tract but enzymes can be aided to hydrolyze the cellulose. This is different to ruminants (cattle, sheep, goats), rumen microbes producing lianocellulosic enzymes help the degradation of cellulose and hemicellulose (Muthukrishnan, 2007) by the species of cellulolytic which are Fibrobacter succinogenes, bacteria Ruminococcus flavefaciens and R. albus (Julliand et al., 1999; Koike et al., 2000; Chen and Weimer, 2001; Koike and Kobayashi, 2001). Cellulolytic ruminococci play a major role in the breakdown of plant cell wall material in the rumen (Bryant et al., 1958; Dehority et al., 1967; Sijpesteijn et al., 1951; Flint et al., 2008) that effectively reduced fiber and increased crude protein from corn stacks with the supplementation of Urea (3% w/w) and Molasses (5% w/w) (Gado et al., 2007; Supyiyati., 2012) due to effect of the non-protein nitrogen contribution from urea (Fontenot et al., 1983) also serves an important role in the metabolism of nitrogen-containing

Author p: Department of Animal Nutrition.

Author α σ: Department of Microbiology and Hygiene, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh-2202, Bangladesh. e-mail: ashiq.alam@gmail.com

Author O: Institute of Agricultural Sciences in the Tropics and Subtropics.

Author ¥: Department of Livestock Population Genomics, University of Hohenheim, Stuttgart, Germany.

compounds by animals (Wizna *et al.*, 2012) that increases the crude protein content of feed materials including rice milling waste (Amaefule *et al.*, (2003).

In this present study, a fermentation technique was used in an attempt to improve the quality of rice bran. *Ruminococcus* sp. was used as the inoculum since it had been reported to produce the various cellulosomal types of enzyme complex which possesses a potential to degrade fiber (Flint *et al.*, 1997) supplementation with urea (3% w/w) and molasses (5% w/w) which supports fermentation media and stimulate the growth of microorganisms to change the nutritional value of rice bran.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was carried out at the Department of Microbiology and Hygiene, Faculty of Veterinary Science and Department of Animal Nutrition, Faculty of Animal Husbandry, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh-2202, Bangladesh.

a) Bacterial Culture

Rumen ingesta was obtained through a permanent rumen fistula from the Sahjalal Animal Nutrition Field Laboratory to the analytical laboratory of the Department of Animal Nutrition Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh-2202 in strictly anaerobic conditions within half an hour for further processing.

Rumen liquor was obtained approximately 8hr. after feeding, strained through two thicknesses of cheesecloth, and collected in a 500 ml. centrifuge bottle. Air was excluded by completely filling the bottle, and closing it with a rubber stopper. The bottle was then held overnight at 2°C and centrifuged at 1200 g for 10 min. before use.

Samples of rumen contents were 10 fold serial diluted in pre-reduced anaerobic diluents solutions (ADS) in the serum bottle with rubber stopper by anaerobic techniques up to 10⁻⁸ dilution (Hungate, 1966) then samples were cultured into the pre-reduced specific media contained serum bottle for rumen bacteria using 1mL syringe; Rumen Fluid Glucose Cellobiose agar (RGCA) medium which was prepared under continuous CO₂ flow and incubate in Anaerobic Jar (OXOID, England) at 39°C for 48 hours. Commercial CO_2 was freed from O_2 , by passing it over heated reduced copper gauze. The RGCA growth media contained: 15 mL Mineral Solution I (KH₂PO₄ 3.0g; (NH₄)₂SO₄ 6.0g; NaCl 6.0g; MgSO₄ 0.6g; CaCl₂ 2H₂O 0.795g p/L), 15 mL Mineral Solution II (K₂HPO₄ 0.3 g/L), 0.25g Yeast Extract, 1g Tryptone, 0.1mL Resazurine (0.1%), 0.2mL Hemin (0.05%), 0.5g Microcrystaline Cellulose, 0.1g Cellobiose, 0.4g Sodium Carbonate, 20 mL Clear Rumen Fluid, 50mL Distilled Water and 50mg Cysteine Hydrochloride. Adjust pH to 6.7 with NaOH.

Morphological characteristics of the bacteria was cocci, single or pair, (figure-2) always grampositive, non-motile. Some were rod shape. Short chain also found. None produced catalase, indole. Those bacteria were fermented cellulose and cellobiose. The acid produced from glucose, d-xylose and cellobiose. Hydrolysis of starch and gelatin liquefaction occurred. Presence of zone of clears around the colony and produce yellow pigment. Positive biochemical test samples were kept for DNA preparation and PCR.

The ADS media contained; 350 mL distilled H_2O , 0.1349g K_2HPO_4 , 0.1349g KH_2PO_4 , 0.2697g NaCl, 0.02697g MgSO₄, 0.0357g CaCl₂·2H₂O, 0.2697g (NH₄)₂SO₄, 3 drops of 0.1% resazurin. After boiling and cooling, slowly add 0.9g Na₂CO₃. Bubble overnight (until color turns pink). Then add 5 mL of 3% (w/v) L-cysteine hydrochloride. Continue bubbling until colorless (usually requires 1 to 4 h). Dispense to serum bottle and autoclave.

DNA Isolation and PCR Amplification: Total DNA extraction was performed with the QIAamp DNA Stool Kit (QIAGEN, Germany). Species-specific primer sets that amplify 16S rRNA of Ruminococcus albus, available to detect these species in rumen microbial ecosystems (Tajima et al., 2001; Koike and Kobayashi, 2001). The PCR mixture was performed using 1X PCR buffer (60 mM Tris-SO₄ pH 8.9, 18mM ammonium sulphate), 0.25mM dNTPs, 2mM MgSO₄, 0.2 mM primer, 1U of Platinum Tag High Fidelity (Invitrogen, USA), 20ng of genomic DNA and DNA/RNA free water adjusted to a total volume of 50µL. The PCR condition was 95°C for 5 min followed by 30 cycles of 94°C for 30 sec/cycle for denaturing, annealing at 60°C(Table 1) for 30 sec and finally 68°C 45sec for elongation, using a PxE 0.2 thermal cycler (Thermo electron corporation, USA). The PCR products were separated by 2% agarose gel electrophoresis using the molecular weight marker 100bp Ladder (Promega, USA) and the image was captured with a gel image analyzer. The purified PCR product was stored and will be sent for sequencing. The isolates were again confirmed by using the specific primer of bacteria.

The DNA fragments of the expected size (Table 1) were amplified from all the samples tested a representative image of the amplification after gel electrophoresis is shown in Figure 1.

Table-01:	Species-Spec	ific primers	sequences	for 16S F	RNA genes us	sed in this	study

Bacterium	Primer name	Sequence (5´-3´)	Annealing temp. (°C)	Product size (bp)	Ref.
D <i>i i</i>	Ra1281 f	CCCTAAAAGCAGTCTTAGTTCG		475	Koike and
Ruminococcus aidus	Ra1439 r	CCTCCTTGCGGTTAGAACA	60 175		Kobayashi, 2001



b) Fermentation of Rice Bran

Rice bran was used throughout the study and was gathered from a local market and screened to remove any impurities and dirt through a sieve. It was kept in a clean polythene bag in the laboratory until used. Rice bran was diluted using carbonated water to get different moisture content at 60% level. 10% bacterial inoculum (on DM basis) were added in the diluted rice bran mixed with 2% urea (UFRB), 5% molasses (MFRB) and 2% urea plus 5% molasses (UMFRB) separately or combinedly. Anaerobic fermentation continued for a period of 48 hours at 39°C in sealed serum bottle. After fermentation of fermented rice bran was immediately transferred to the refrigerator to stop further fermentation. pH, Proximate components (CP, CF, ADF, NDF and Ash), Total-P and Phytate-P were determined before and after fermentation of rice bran in accordance with AOAC (2005). These are the fermentated groups; RB: Rice Bran (control), RBB: Rice Bran treated with Ruminococcus sp. UFRB: Rice Bran treated with 2% urea using Ruminococcus sp. MFRB: Bran treated with 5% molasses using Rice Ruminococcus sp. UMFRB: treated with 2% urea & 5% molasses using Ruminococcus sp.

c) Chemical analysis

The proximate analysis of ingredients was measured by AOAC (2005). The crude protein content was measured by macro Kjehdahl digestion unit using

Kjeltec 1030 and Auto analyzer procedure using autoanalyzer. Total phosphorus was measured according to AOAC (1980) and Phytate-phosphorus was determined according to Latta and Eskin, (1980).

d) Statistical analysis

All variables were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) (Duncan, 1955) in a completely randomized design (CRD) by the statistical package using statistical computer package program (SPSS). Tukey pairwise comparisons were used to compare treatment means (Steel and Torrie, 1980).

III. Results and Discussion

According to Morphological characteristics they were all gram positive coccoid and showed catalase & indole negative, cell arrangement were single or diplococci belong to the genus *Ruminococcus* sp. (Bryant *et al*, 1959) (figure-2). This bacterium including species (*R. albus*) was confirmed identified by molecular techniques (Koike and Kobayashi, 2001) and used for the fermentation of rice bran.

	Fermented groups						
Parameters	RB	RBB	UFRB	MFRB	UMFRB		
рН	*6.62 ^a ±0.03	5.44 ^{cd} ±0.01	6.16 ^b ±0.04	5.35 ^d ±0.01	5.62°±0.18		
Crude Protein (CP)	14.42 ^{bc} ±0.21	13.99°±0.50	$18.43^{a} \pm 3.30$	13.20 ^c ±0.29	17.19 ^{ab} ±0.44		
Crude Fiber (CF)	12.57 ^a ±0.22	$11.64^{ab} \pm 0.41$	$9.92^{b} \pm 1.38$	$11.67^{ab} \pm 0.79$	$10.83^{b} \pm 0.09$		
Total Phosphorus	3.29±08.	2.99 ± 0.30	3.36±0.34	3.28±0.55	2.95 ± 0.29		
Phytate-P	$1.13^{a} \pm 0.03$	1.21 ^a ±0.20	$1.00^{ab} \pm 0.07$	$1.00^{ab} \pm 0.05$	$0.82^{b} \pm 0.05$		
Ash	12.08 ± 0.80	11.96 ± 0.30	11.01±2.11	11.43±0.28	10.58 ± 0.62		
ADF	24.07±4.75	20.60±2.37	18.52±0.48	17.75±1.37	20.23±2.17		

Table-02: The composition of different Fermented Rice Bran

RB: Rice bran (control), RBB: Rice bran treated with *Ruminococcus* sp, UFRB: Rice bran treated with 2% urea using *Ruminococcus* sp. MFRB: Rice bran treated with 5% molasses using *Ruminococcus* sp. UMFRB: Rice bran treated with 2% urea & 5% molasses using *Ruminococcus* sp.

*Mean \pm SD; ^{abc}Means with dissimilar superscripts are significantly different (p<0.05)

Our Study observed that pH changes from 6.62 to 5.35 which were decreased. Results indicate that the phytate degrading enzymes from rice bran were active in the first six hours of the process. The pH changes during production of phytase in the rice bran media over 10 weeks were observed. Initial 3 weeks, a reduction in pH from pH 6 to pH 4.2 (Abd-ElAziem Farouk, 2017). The optimum initial pH for phytase production of *B. cereus* was pH 7.2 (Vohra and Satyanarayana, 2003). pH changes are considered to be due to the production of sugar molecule to an equimolar mixture of organic acids, ethanol and carbon dioxide by fermentation and the period of microbial growth during fermentation (Mackenzie, *et al.*, 1965; Prabhu, *et al.*, 2014).

In this study after 48 hours anaerobic fermentation of rice bran with Ruminococcus albus, the data of Table-2 showed that the crude protein was significantly increased in UFRB (18.43%), UMFRB (17.19%) than control RB (14.42%) but decreased in RBB (13.99), MFRB (13.20%). The highest crude protein was found in UFRB (17.19%) (p<0.05). On another hand, the data of table-02 clearly showed that crude fiber and phytate-P content was significantly decreased in all the treated groups RBB, UFRB, MFRB and UMFRB than RB control. The lowest crude fiber was found in UFRB (9.92%) (p<0.05). These results indicate that the cellulytic bacteria of rumen can improve the quality of rice bran that increased the CP with the addition of urea and molasses. The result also supported that rice bran contain cellulose as the major component, which is best for the growth of microorganisms and the production of single cell protein biomass (Yunus et al., 2015; Khin et al., 2011) which increase the crude protein content of rice bran (Sukaryana, 2001) with the addition of urea in the UFRB using cellulolytic bacteria B. amyloliquefaciens as an inoculum improved fermentation and its microbial population (Wizna et al. 2012). Protein content was also increased after fermentation of cassava waste Supriyati (2002) that agree with the result of the present experiment as protein content was increase when urea and molasses were added during fermentation (Suprivati and Kompiang, 2002). In this study, UFRB showed highest CP (18.435). Ruminococcus sp. produces the various cellulosomal type of enzyme complex which possesses a potential to degrade fiber (Flint, 2008). In this study, crude fiber was decreased in rice bran using R. albus which supports the results of Galil (2008), using bacterial treatments (Ruminococcus albus and Cl. cellulovorans) caused increases crude protein (from 1.45 to 15.16) and decreases in crude fiber (from 44.08 to 28.44%) of rice straw. Wizna et al., (2009) also found that is inoculation of *B. amyloligfacience* was increased enzymes activities during fermentation of cassava waste that produces many kinds of enzymes to decrease crude fiber.

On the other hand, MFRB and RBB could not increase crude protein due to lack of additional nitrogen source to grow microbes that nitrogen was a crucial component needed by ruminal microbes after carbon and oxygen (Griffin, 1991) which need a much amino acid higher.

There was a decrease in phytate-P in a definite order in UFRB (1%), MFRB (1%), UMFRB (0.82%) than RB (1.12%) control but increase in RBB (1.21%) (p<0.05). Ravindran (1995) reported that among the common feedstuff sesame meal and rice bran have the highest level of phytate but after fermentation by *Ruminococcus albus*, phytate-P was decreased. Yanke *et al.*, (1998) reported that the presence of phytase activity was investigated in 334 strains of 22 species of obligatory anaerobic bacteria that decrease phytate phosphorus in fermentation of rice bran by using rumen liquor. this results also agreed with hungate (1966) that Phytate phosphorus degrades by rumen microbes. After fermentation of rice bran, there was no significant difference in total-P, ADF and ash content (p<0.05) but the difference in numerically. However, Total phosphorus content were within the range of 1.26-1.79% reported by Ukil (1999) and 1.62-1.81% reported by Warren and Farrel (1990c). The variations in nutrient composition might be due to the sources from which the bran was obtained. The chemical composition of rice bran varies due to the variation in the milling process and adulteration with hull (Warren and Farrel, 1990a). In this study, Total phosphorus was higher than that report.

IV. Conclusions

It can be concluded that under this study fermentation of rice bran using *Ruminococcus albus* isolate from rumen liquid from cattle might improve nutritional value i.e. increase crude protein and decrease crude fiber, Phytate-phosphorus. However, animal experiments are required to confirm the effectiveness of fermented rice bran using *Ruminococcus albus*.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express most sincere gratitude and appreciation to the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation, Germany for their kind financial support to conduct the research under Research Group Linkage Program between Dept. of Animal Nutrition, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh, Bangladesh and the Dept. of Livestock Populations Genomics and Animal Nutrition and Rangeland Management of the Tropics and Subtropics, University of Hohenheim, Stuttgart, Germany.

References Références Referencias

- AOAC (2005). Official Methods of Analysis. 18th edn. Association of Official Analytical Chemists; Gaithersburg, MD, USA.
- 2. Amaefule KU, Nwogu RK and Ohazuluike N (2003). Influence of treatment of rice mill waste on its nutritional value for broilers. Journal of Sustainable Agricultural Environment. 5: 196-203.
- 3. Abd-ElAziem F, Thoufeek AN, Anis SMH and Othman AlZahrani (2017). Autolysis of Rice Bran Phytate in Long-Term Study on Batch Fermentor. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences, 6: 266-274.
- 4. Bryant MP, Burkey LA (1953). Cultural methods and some characteristics of some of the more numerous groups of bacteria in the bovine rumen. Jounal of Dairy Science. 36:205-217.
- Bryant MP, Small N, Bouma C, Robinson IM (1958) Characteristics of ruminal anaerobic cellulolytic cocci and *Cilliobacterium cellulosolvens* n. sp. Journal of Bacteriology 76: 529–537.

- Bryant MP (1959). Bacterial species of the rumen. Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews. 23: 125-153.
- Bidura I, Mahardika I, Suyadnya B, Partama I, Oka D (2012). The implementation of *Saccharomyces spp.n-2* isolates culture (isolation from traditional yeast culture) for improving feed quality and performance of male Bali ducking. Journal of Agricultural Science Research. 2: 486-492.
- Cao FL, Zhang XH, Yu WW, Zhao LG, Wang T (2012). Effect of feeding fermented Ginko biloba leaves on growth performance, meat quality, and lipid metabolism in broilers. Poultry Science 91:1210-1221.
- 9. Chen J and Weimer PJ (2001). Competition among three predominant ruminal cellulolytic bacteria in the absence or presence of non-cellulolytic bacteria. Microbiology 147: 21-30.
- 10. Bedford MR and Patridge GG (2001). Enzymes in Farm Animal Nutrition. CAB International.
- 11. Dehority BA, Scott HW (1967) Extent of cellulose and hemicellulose digestion in various forages by pure cultures of cellulolytic rumen bacteria. Journal of Dairy Science 50: 1136-1141.
- 12. Duncan DB (1955). Multiple ranges and multiple F test. Biometrics.11:1-42.
- Flint HJ, Bayer EA, Lamed R, White BA (2008) Polysaccharide utilization by gut bacteria: potential for new insights from genomic analysis. Nature Reviews Microbiology 6: 121–131.
- 14. Flint HJ, Bayer EA (2008) Plant cell wall breakdown by anaerobic bacteria from the mammalian digestive tract. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences. 1125: 280–288.
- Fontenot JP, Smith LW and Sutton AL (1983). Alternative utilization of animal wastes. Journal of Animal Science, 57 (Suppl.2): 221-223.
- Farrell DJ (1994). Utilization of rice bran in diets for domestic fowl and duckling. World's Poultry Science Journal. 50: 115-131.
- Hardini D (2010), the nutritive evaluation of fermented rice bran as poultry feed. International Journal of Poultry Science, 9 (2): 152-154.
- Julliand V, De Vaux A, Millet L and Fonty G (1999). Identification of *Ruminococcus flavefaciens* as the predominant cellulolytic bacterial species of the equine cecum. Applied and Environmental Microbiology. 65: 3738-3741.
- 19. Koike S, Shingu Y, Inaba H, Kawai M, Kobayashi Y, Hata H, Tanaka K and Okubo M (2000). Fecal bacteria in Hokkaido native horses as characterized by microscopic enumeration and competitive polymerase chain reaction assays. Journal of Equine Science. 11: 45-50.
- 20. Koike S and Kobayashi Y (2001). Development and use of competitive PCR assays for the rumen cellulolytic bacteria: *Fibrobacter succinogenes*,

Ruminococcus albus and Ruminococcus flavefaciens. FEMS Microbiology Letters. 204: 361-366.

- Khan SA, Haroon RC, Yasser, Saleem M and Tariq J (2013). The effect of phytase enzyme on the performance of broilers. (Review). Biologia (Pakistan),59 (1), 99-106.
- 22. Kim YO, Lee JK, Kim HK, Yu JH and Oh TK (1998). Cloning of the thermostable phytase gene (phy) from *Bacillus* sp. DS11 and its overexpression in *Escherichia coli*. FEMS Microbiology Letters. 162: 185-191.
- 23. Khin SM, Azhar BK, Aini I and Che RS (2011). Effect of Fermented Rice Bran, Bio-Converted Byproduct on Performance of Broiler Chickens. Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances, 10: 2990-2995.
- 24. Khalique A, Lone KP, Pasha TN and Khan AD (2003). Chemical composition and Nutritional evaluation of variously treated defatted rice polishing for broiler feeding. Asian-Aust. J. Animal Science 16:873-879.
- 25. Kompiang IP, Sinurat AP, Supriyat PT (1995). The effect of using protein enriched Sago and its byproducts in comparison with fermented cassava fiber in the rations on the performance of broiler chickens. Research Results on Poultry and Miscellaneous Animals. Research Institute for Animal Production. Ciawi, Bogor, pp. 490-498.
- 26. Kim CH, Kim GB, Chang MB, Bae GS, Paik IK, Kil DY (2012). Effect of dietary supplementation of *Lactobacillus* fermented Artemisia princeps on growth performance, meat lipid peroxidation, and intestinal microflora in Hy-Line Brown male chickens. Poultry Science. 91: 2845-2851.
- 27. Latta M, Eskin M (1980). A simple and rapid colorimetric method for phytate determination. Journal of Agricultural Food Chemistry 28: 1313–1315.
- 28. Lee YL, Yang JH, Mau JL (2008). Antioxidant properties water extracts form Monascus fermented soybeans. Food Chemistry. 106:1128-1137.
- 29. Gado HM, Metwally HM, Soliman HS and Etab RI, Abd El-Galil (2007). Effect of Treatment By Cellulolytic Bacteria On Nutritive Value Of Corn Stalks And On Some Rumen And Blood Parameters Of Sheep. Egyptian Journal of Nutrition and Feeds, 10 (2) Special Issue: 517-534.
- 30. Hungate RE (1950). The Anaerobic Mesophilic Cellulolytic Bacteria. Bacteriological Reviews. 14: 1-49.
- Hungate RE (1966) The Rumen and its Microbes. X
 u. 539 S., 62 Abb., 72 Tab. New York London: Academic Press.
- 32. Morse D, Head HH and Wilcox DJ (1992). Disappearance of phosphorus in phytate from concentrates in vitro rations fed to lactating dairy cows. Journal Dairy Science 75 : 1979- 1986.

- Mackenzie KG, Kenny MC (1965). NonVolatile Organic Acid and P^h Changes during the Fermentation of Distiller's Wort. Journal of the Institute of Brewing, 71:160-165.
- 34. Muthukrishnan R (2007). Characterisation of Cellulase from Organisms Isolated From Rumen Fluid.http://www.pharmainfo.net/reviews/characteris ation-cellulase-organisms-isolated-rumen-fluid.
- 35. Ndams SS, Tegbe TSB and Ogundipe SO (2009). Effects of feeding graded levels of re-fermented brewers' dried grains on performance and carcass characteristics of broiler chickens. Journal of Agricultural Research, 1: 37-45.
- Pelizer LH, Pontieri MH, Moraes IO (2007). Utilização de Resíduos Agro-Industriais em Processos Biotecnológicos como Perspectiva de Redução do Impacto Ambiental. Journal Technology Management. Innovation., 2, pp. 118-127.
- Prabhu AA, Mrudula CM, Rajesh J (2014). Effect of yeast fermentation on nutraceutical and antioxidant properties of rice bran. International Journal of Agricultural and Food Science, 4:59-65.
- Ravindran V, Bryden WL, Kornegay ET (1995). Phytates: occurrence, and implications in poultry nutrition. Poultry and Avian Biology Reviews 6, 125– 143.
- Sijpesteijn AK (1951) On Ruminococcus flavefaciens, a cellulose-decomposing bacterium from the rumen of sheep and cattle. Journal of General Microbiology. 5(5Suppl.): 869–879.
- 40. Supriyati, Kompiang IP (2002). Change of nutrient composition of fermented cassava skin tubers and its utilization in broiler rations. Indonesian Journal Animal Veterinary Science.7:150–154.
- 41. Sukaryana Y (2001). Effect of Fermentation of Palm Oil-cake with *Trichoderma viride* on Change of Chemical Composition, bio-conversion efficiency, and the Food and metabolizable energy in broiler chickens. Thesis. Padjadjaran University Graduate Program. Bandung.
- 42. Steel RGD, Torrie JH (1980). Principles and Procedures of Statistics. A Biometrical Approach. 2nd ed., Mc grawhill co., Inc. USA.
- 43. Tirajoh S, Piliang WG, Ketaren PP (2010). The supplementation of fiber degrading enzymes and phytase in poultry diet on the performance of broiler chickens. Indonesian Journal of Animal and Veterinary Science. 15:40–46.
- 44. Tajima K, Aminov R I, Nagamine T, Matsui H, Nakamura M, Benno Y. (2001). Diet-dependent shifts in the bacterial population of the rumen revealed with realtime PCR. Applied and Environmental Microbiology. 67:2766-74.
- 45. Ukil, MA (1999). Rice bran for broiler chicken (finisher) ration and utilization of phytate

phosphorus. PhD. Thesis, Putra University, Malaysia.

- 46. Vohra A and Satyanarayana T (2003). Phytases: Microbial sources, production, purification, and potential biotechnological applications. Critical Reviews in Biotechnology. 23: 29-60.
- Warren BE, Farrell DJ (1990). The nutritive value of full fat and defatted Australian rice bran. I. Chemical composition. Animal Feed Science and Technology. 27: 219-228.
- Wizna, Abbas H, Rizal Y, Djulardi A, Muis H (2012). The effect of supplementation of micro nutrient on nutrient rice bran which fermented by Bacillus amyloliquefaciens. Pakistan Journal of Nutrition.11:439-443.
- Wizna, Abbas H, Rizal Y, Dharma A, Kompiang IP (2009). Improving the quality of tapioca by-products (onggok) as poultry feed through fermentation by Bacillus amyloliquefaciens. Pakistan Journal of Nutrition, 8:1636-1640.
- 50. Wang RF, Cao WW and Cernigilia CE (1997). PCR detection of *Ruminococcus spp*. in human and animal fecal samples. Molecular and Cellular Probes. 11: 259- 265.
- 51. Wang CY, Lin HT, Wu SC (2011). Influence of dietary supplementation with Bacillus-fermented adlay on lipid metabolism, antioxidant status and intestinal microflora in hamsters. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture 91:2271-2276.
- 52. Yanke LJ, Bae HD, Selinger LB and Cheng KJ (1998). Phytase activity of anaerobic ruminal bacteria. Microbiology (1998), 144, 1565–1573.
- 53. Yunus F, Nadeem M, Rashid F (2015). Single-cell protein production through microbial conversion of lignocellulosic residue (Wheat bran) for animal feed. Institute of Brewing and Distilling. 121: 553 -557.