Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.*

Results of Hygiene Education of Kitchen Cutting Board by using ATP Inspection -Comparison of Vegetable Cutting Board and Meat Cutting Board

Naomi Katayama¹, Mayumi Hirabayashi², Akemi Ito³, Shoko Kondo⁴, Yui Nakayama⁵ and Ayari Naka⁶

¹ Nagoya Women?s University

Received: 12 December 2019 Accepted: 1 January 2020 Published: 15 January 2020

9 Abstract

6

7

¹⁰ Since bacteria grow in high temperature and high humidity, bacterial food poisoning

¹¹ frequently occurs from the rainy season to summer. In Japan, the number of food poisoning

¹² cases is high from June to October. Maintaining a hygienic environment in the kitchen is very

¹³ important for preventing food poisoning. In particular, cutting boards on which various foods

¹⁴ are places may cause secondary pollution. Therefore, to avoid food poisoning, this study

¹⁵ compared the ATP value of the cutting board before and after the hygiene education using the

¹⁶ ATP wiping test and investigated the educational effect. Before hygiene education, the

¹⁷ inspector conducted an ATP wiping test on the cutting boards for vegetables and meat that

18 washed before and after cooking and notified the cooks of the values. The inspector conducted

¹⁹ hygiene education while showing the cook how to clean the cutting board. The cutting board

²⁰ washed with detergent and sponge, rinsed with running water for 30 seconds or more, then

²¹ this process was repeated twice

22

23 Index terms—gender; ATP wiping test, Cutting board, Hygiene education, double wash.

²⁴ 1 I. Introduction

n Japan, bacterial food poisoning frequently occurs from the hot and humid rainy season to summer. This season 25 is because bacteria are high temperature and humid and tend to multiply. The number of past food poisoning 26 notifications to the Japanese Ministry of Health, Labor, and Welfare tends to be high from May to October. 27 Alao, these numbers are only those delivered to Public Health Center, so it presumed that they are higher. The 28 number of outbreaks of salmonella, vibrio parahaemolyticus, Escherichia coli, etc., which were the representative 29 bacteria for food poisoning has been decreasing year by year. In contrast, no decrease in bacterial food poisoning 30 due to Campylobacter has been observed, and 60% or more of the bacterial food poisoning cases have observed. 31 Hygienic handling of food is needed. Furthermore, to prevent crosscontamination, sanitary handling of cooking 32 33 utensils, especially cutting boards on which various foods placed, must be ensured. However, the problem is that 34 the bacteria are so small that they cannot be seen. Since the microorganisms are invisible, it is not possible to 35 see if the cooking utensils are hygienic just by looking at them during cooking. Hospitals perform ATP wiping tests when performing hygiene management, and use the number of microorganisms as a visible ATP value to 36 help protect the sanitary environment 1,2) . Also, the ATP wiping test can be used in kitchens to help maintain 37 a hygienic environment 3,4). It has also reported that it is useful to provide hygiene education for staff using 38 the ATP wipe test 5). Therefore, in this study, we performed an ATP wiping test on cutting boards that are 39 susceptible to secondary contamination from various foods in the kitchen and compared the ATP values before 40

41 and after hygiene education.

⁴² 2 II. Materials and Methods

⁴³ 3 a) Kitchen cutting board

The 12 kitchen vegetable cutting board and 12 kitchen meat cutting board prepared in the kitchen were stored in the sterilization storage the day before the start of cooking.

⁴⁶ 4 b) ATP inspection procedure

Each of the 24 cooks carried a kitchen cutting board for vegetables or meat at the start of their work and bring 47 it to the cooking table. Before the education of hygiene, the work start time depends on the working conditions 48 of the cooks. Still the inspector always performed an ATP inspection before using vegetables or meat with a 49 kitchen cutting board. Then, each cook finished the work, washed the kitchen cutting board by himself, and 50 they inspected the ATP inspection by the inspector again. The value of ATP recorded. In the same way, after 51 the education of hygiene, the work start time depends on the working conditions of the cooks. Still the inspector 52 always performed an ATP inspection before using vegetables or meat with a kitchen cutting board. Then, each 53 cook finished the work, washed the kitchen cutting board by himself, and inspected the ATP inspection by the 54

55 inspector again. The value of ATP recorded.

⁵⁶ 5 c) Hygiene education procedure i. Cleaning instruction

While showing the ATP result before the hygiene education to the cook, ATP inspector washes the cutting board firmly with detergent and sponge, rinse with running water for 30 seconds or more. Then, the inspector repeated

⁵⁹ this process twice. The cook tries to do it as same as the inspector did. Then, the cook tries to do it next ⁶⁰ cooking. After the education of ATP value was scored.

61 6 ii. Statistical processing

The results obtained were compared using statistical methods. The data was statistically processed, was subjected to an F test to determine whether to use a parametric test or nonparametric test. When there is no difference in the F test, the presence or absence of a significant difference was confirmed using the student-t-test with or without a correspondence. If there was a difference in the F test, the presence or absence of a significant difference was confirmed using the Wilcoxon test with a pair or the Mann-Whitney test without correlation.

67 7 III. RESULTS

a) Before hygiene education: Vegetable cutting board and meat cutting board Tables 1 and 2 show the results of
ATP wiping tests on cutting board for vegetables and meat before hygiene education. It can see that the average
value of the ATP values measured after washing before and after cleaning, this data is significantly lowers the
ATP value. However, even after washing, the ATP value did not drop below 100 for both vegetables and meat.

⁷² 8 b) After hygiene education: Vegetable cutting board and ⁷³ meat cutting board

Tables 3 and 4 show the results of ATP wiping tests on cutting board for vegetables and meat after hygiene education. It can see that the average value of the ATP values measured after washing before and after cleaning, this data is significantly lowers the ATP value. After washing, the ATP value was drop below 100 for both vegetables and meat. Both the cutting boards was very hygienic.

⁷⁸ 9 a) Comparison of ATP test values of vegetable and meat ⁷⁹ cutting boards: before and after education

Before and after hygiene education, the results of the ATP wiping test on vegetable and meat cutting boards
statistically compared. The results shown in Tables 5 and 6. There was a statistically significant difference in the
ATP wiping test values after hygiene education for the cutting board for vegetables and meat. Although there
was a statistically significant difference even before hygiene education, the ATP wiping test values for vegetables

and meat was not less than 100, so it can say that hygiene is still insufficient.

85 10 V. Discussion

The ATP wiping test reveals the ATP value within 1 minute, and it is possible to know the number of invisible bacteria 6,7). For a reason, it used in facilities such as hospitals that require hygiene management 8), this time, focusing on the cutting board of the kitchen. We conducted an ATP wiping test, the ATP values measured before washing and after washing after cooking. Before hygiene education, ATP values for vegetables and meat decreased after washing but did not fall below 100. However, after the hygiene education of washing the cutting board twice, the ATP value was less than 100 when washed, and it was clean. The important thing is that the cutting board is filed with various food material many times a day, so it is necessary to clean it every time.

However, since microorganisms are invisible, there is a risk of neglecting cleaning. It is time-consuming to wash 93 twice in busy work, but it is necessary to do it. According to the Japanese Ministry of Health, Labor, and 94 Welfare, the number of food poisoning cases was 1330 in FY2019, the number of patients was 17,282, of which 95 3 were fatal cases. The breakdown of the number of patients due to food poisoning by the facility was the top 96 three, with 50.4% for restaurants 16.0% for caterers and 11.7% for business establishments. But the hospitals 97 was 0.6%. Since food poisoning will cause many patients to occur once, it is necessary to pay close attention to 98 hygiene management. Since hygiene education by the ATP wiping test is useful, it is need to carry out regular 99 inspections and call attention. 100

¹⁰¹ 11 VI. Conclusions

Using the ATP wipe test, the effects of hygiene education were compared by ATP value on the cutting boards, 102 which are likely to cause secondary contamination from various foods in the kitchen. Each of the 24 cooks carried 103 a kitchen cutting board for vegetables or meat at the start of their work and prepare it to the cooking table. The 104 inspector conducted an ATP wipe inspection on the cutting boards for vegetables and meat. The ATP values 105 of the cutting board washed before and after cooking before hygiene education were compared. There was a 106 statistically significant difference even before hygiene education, the ATP wiping test values for vegetables and 107 meat was not less than 100, so it can say that hygiene is still insufficient. The cook learned how to wash the 108 hygienic cutting board twice according to the instructions of the auditor, and cooked again. Then, the inspector 109 again inspected the cutting board. The results, there was a statistically significant difference in the ATP wiping 110 test values after hygiene education for the cutting board for vegetables and meat. After washing, the ATP value 111 was drop below 100 for both vegetables and meat. Both the cutting boards was very hygienic. It found that 112 hygiene education for preventing food poisoning in the kitchen can effectively performed by making invisible 113

bacteria visible numerically as the ATP value by the ATP wiping test. ¹

	before cleaning		
	instruction		
		Meat cutting	befor a fter
		board	
		Ctting board 1	$798 \ 131$
Ctting board 8	230	194	
Ctting board 9	516	128	
Ctting board 10	1315	216	
Ctting board 11	1554	30	
Ctting board 12	1941	646	
Average	$1119.42 \ 141.917$		
Standard deviation 602.085 178.313			
Median	1274.5	81	
Maximum	1941	646	
Minimum	201	18	

Figure 1: Vegitable cutting board before after Ctting board 1 522 219 Ctting board 2 1234 20 Ctting board 3 1447 22 Ctting board 4 1548 30 Ctting board 5 1771 34 Ctting board 6 1154 18 Ctting board 7 201 146

114

¹Results of Hygiene Education of Kitchen Cutting Board by using ATP Inspection -Comparison of Vegetable-Cutting Board and Meat Cutting Board

11 VI. CONCLUSIONS

	before cleaning	
	instruction	
Ctting board 8	578	379
Ctting board 9	682	127
Ctting board 10	964	73
Ctting board 11	2220	2781
Ctting board 12	869	281
Average	1150	458.25
Standard deviation642.163 761.099		
Median	946	206
Maximum	2613	2781
Minimum	528	31

Figure 2: Ctting board 2 928 31 Ctting board 3 1091 590 Ctting board 4 1239 617 Ctting board 5 1290 34 Ctting board 6 2613 51 Ctting board 7 528 404

1

Figure 3: Table 1 :

 $\mathbf{2}$

[Note: ATP test result of the meat cutting board before cleaning instruction IV. Statistical Processing Results]

Figure 4: Table 2 :

3

Figure 5: Table 3 :

 $\mathbf{4}$

Figure 6: Table 4 :

 $\mathbf{5}$

Figure 7: Table 5 :

6

Figure 8: Table 6 :

115 .1 Acknowledgments

- We would like to thank all the cooks who participated in this experiment. Also, we would like to thank the inspectors who also performed the ATP inspection.
- 118 [Stanley ()] 'A review of bioluminescent STP techniques in papid microbiology'. P E Stanley . J Biolumin 119 Chemilumin 1989. 4 (1) p. .
- [Griffith et al. ()] 'An evaluation of hospital cleaning refimes and standards'. C J Griffith , R A Coooper , J
 Gilmore , C Davies , M Lweis . J Hosp Infect 2000. 45 (1) p. .
- [Lee ()] 'An investigation of Factors that influence Hygiene Practices at a small Day Care Center'. J H Lee . J
 Food Prot 2018. 2018. 81 (1) p. .
- 124 [Osimani et al. ()] 'Bioluminescence ATP monitoring for the routine assessment of food contact surface cleanli-
- ness in a university canteen'. A Osimani , C Garofalo , F Clementi , S Tavoletti , L Aquilanti . Int J Environ
 Res Public Health 2014. 17 (10) p. .
- [Aycieck et al. ()] 'Comparison of results of ATP bioluminescence and traditional ygiene swabbing methods for
 the determinaton of surface cleanliness at a hospital kitchen'. H Aycieck , U Oquz , K Karci . Int J Hyg Environ
 Heatth 2006. 209 (2) p. .
- [Nante et al. ()] 'Effectiveness of ATP bioluminescence to assess hospital cleaning: a review'. N Nante , E Ceriale
 , G Messina , D Lenzi , P Manzi . J Prev. Med. Hyg 2017. 58 (2) p. .
- IStannard and Gibbs ()] 'Rapid microbiology: application s of bioluminescence in the food industry-a review'. C
 J Stannard , P A Gibbs . J Biolumin Chemilumin 1986. 1 (1) p. .
- [Amodio and Dubi ()] 'Use of ATP bioluminescence for assessing h eclealiness of hospital surfaces: a review of
 the published literature'. E Amodio , C Dubi . J infect Public Health 1990-2012. 2014. 7 (2) p. .