Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.* 

# <sup>1</sup> Results of the Olfactory Cognition Test Performed on 117 Peoples

 $_2$  Naomi Katayama<sup>1</sup>, Shoko Kondo<sup>2</sup>, Satoko Ishiguro<sup>3</sup>, Nijiho Kondo<sup>4</sup>, Nana Amano<sup>5</sup> and Kaho Okuda<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Nagoya Women?s University

Received: 8 December 2019 Accepted: 3 January 2020 Published: 15 January 2020

#### 7 Abstract

л

5

Recently, many types of research have reported odors. There are several types of lits used for 8 testing, but in Japan, there re odor sticks, open essences, TT olfactometry, etc. This time, we 9 report that we conducted an olfactory cognitive test using open essence on healthy 117 10 peoples (35 males and 83 females). The Open Essence (made by FUJIFILM) has the smell as 11 same as the odor Stick Identification Test (OSIT-J). The aromas used in the open essence 12 includes curry, perfume, Japanese cypress, India ink, menthol, rose, wood, stinkysocks/sweat, 13 roasted garlic, condensed milk, gas for cooking and Japanese mandarin aromas. This 12 14 different kinds of perception is not necessarily culture-free; the Japanese version employed. 15 Depending on the type of odor, that were difficult to understand and some that were easy to 16 understand. The most will-recognized odor was the smell of Curry, and the most hard to 17 understand odor was mandarin orange. In males, the highest cognitive odor was Curry, and 18 the lowest odor was Stir-fried garlic. In females, the highest cognitive odor was Curry, and the 19 lowest odor was mandarin orange. In the future, it will be necessary to perform olfactory 20

<sup>21</sup> cognitive ability by age, using open essence.

22

23 Index terms— olfaction test, open essence, cognition, gender.

#### 24 1 Introduction

here are various types of olfactory recognition tests, but as a simple test, odor sticks or open essences are currently 25 used in Japan. Olfactory disorders are classified into 1. Respiratory, 2. Peripheral, 3. Central. There are, of 26 course, cases of age-related decline in olfactory ability. However, in recent years, it has been reported that an 27 olfactory disorder appears an an initial symptom of Alzheimer's dementia. It is a central olfactory disorder in 28 which the brain that processes odor information damaged. Also, the olfactory disorder is also presenting as an 29 initial symptom in the current problem of COVID-19 infection. It is a peripheral olfactory disorder, and olfactory 30 mucosal olfactory disorder in which the olfactory mucosa degenerates is suspected. Olfactory cognitive ability is 31 closely related to the quality of life. 32

Therefore, this study focused on the perception of smell and aimed to understand the actual situation of 12 different kinds of odors closely related to Japanese life in each age and gender. To begin with, we report on the olfactory perception of 35 male and 83 female.

#### 36 **2** II.

# <sup>37</sup> **3** Materials and Methods

### <sup>38</sup> 4 a) Participants

<sup>39</sup> The participants were 35 meals and 83 females (n=117) who voluntarily participated in olfactory tests. Males <sup>40</sup> were 35 peoples and females were 83 peoples. Age  $\pm$  standard deviation was 29.29 $\pm$ 16.96 years old males and 41 41.89±24.66 years old female. The maximum was 82 years old, and the minimum was 12 years old male. The 42 maximum was 87 years old, and the minimum was 16 years old female. They were healthy, not going to the

hospital and taking no medication. They were self-reported and had no colds and no fever. (Table 1).

# 44 5 b) Assessment of odour identification

The Odor Stick Identification Test (OSIT-J) was used to assess odor perception for many years for our study.
This test possesses high reliability and validity 1 ).

The basic procedure resembles that of the San Diego Odor Identification Test 2). The aromas used in the OSIT-47 J include curry, perfume, Japanese cypress, India ink, menthol, rose, wood, stinky socks/sweat, roasted garlic, 48 condensed milk, gas for cooking; and Japanese mandarin aromas 3,4). This 12 different odorants perception is not 49 necessarily culture-free, the Japanese version was employed 3,4). Each fragrance was enclosed in microcapsules 50 made of melamine resin 3,4 In this study, we use The Open Essence (made by FUJIFILM) has the smell as same 51 52 as the odor Stick Identification Test (OSIT-J). The open essence is a card type, and the scent had already applied 53 to the card. When participants open the card, it has the same scent as OSIT-J. Each correct answer was scored 54 as one point with the total performance score ranging from 0 to 12 points 5,6). We defined it as follows: normal 55 range as more than six points, borderline as 3 to 5 points, and abnormal as less than 2 points 5,6). All of these

<sup>56</sup> methods are the same as in the previously reported paper 5,6 ).

### <sup>57</sup> 6 c) Ethical review board

This study conducted with the approval of the Ethical Review Board (Nagoya women's university Ethics 59 Committee: 'hitowomochiitakennkyuunikansuruiinnkai'). The approval number is 30-11.

### 60 7 III.

#### 61 8 Results

## <sup>62</sup> 9 a) Odour identification (number of correct answer)

Twelve different kinds of olfactory cognitive tests conducted on female students by using the Open Essence. The 63 results shown in Table 1. When there are six or more types of recognition among the 12 types of odors, it 64 considered as an acceptable range (we call it a normal range). This time, 23 out of 35 males could recognize 65 more than six kinds of odors, as same as 75 out of 83 females could recognize more than six kinds of odors. By 66 the way, one student had four types of perceptible odors. The average value of the olfactory recognition test 67 results of 35 males was  $7.3\pm2.3$  and 83 females was  $8.6\pm2.2$  (Table2. and Table 3.). Next, Tables4 and 5 show the 68 results of individually examining each of the 12 odors. Curry was the smell that both ales and females showed 69 the highest olfactory perception. The odor that males had the lowest olfactory perception was Stir-fried garlic. 70 But, the odor that female has the lowest olfactory perception was mandarin orange. It was the smell of Wood 71 and Cypress that both males and females had about the same olfactory cognition in both senses. However, the 72 olfactory perception of other odors was better in females than in males. Females had a 12.7% better olfactory 73 perception than males with India Ink. As well, females had a 20.4% better olfactory perception than males with 74 Perfume. Females had 18.6% better olfactory perception than males with Menthol. Females had a 16.9% better 75 olfactory perception than males with Household gas. Females had a 14.1% better olfactory perception than males 76 with Rose. Females had a 16.1% better olfactory perception than males with Stinky socks/ Sweaty. Females had 77 a 33.8% better olfactory perception than males with Condensed milk. There were four types of odors in males 78 and six types in females with olfactory recognition of 70% or more. Three of them (Curry, Household gas, Stinky 79

 $_{\rm 80}$   $\,$  socks/ Sweaty) were the same for both males and females.

# 81 10 Discussion

The male and female olfactory cognitive test results examined. Comparing the results, there was no difference 82 between males and females, but there was a big difference when the odors examined individually. The smell of 83 Curry, Household gas, and Stinky socks/Sweaty had higher cognitive scores in both males and females. On the 84 other hand, Mandarin orange and Stir-fried garlic have lower cognitive scores in males and females. Regarding 85 86 other odors, females had better cognitive scores than males except for the smell of Cypress. When considering 87 the quality of life, it is necessary to be able to recognize the odor associated with the deliciousness of food (Curry, 88 Condensed milk, etc.). Since the Curry has a lot of recovery after eating, the smell can be recognized. However, 89 since there are few opportunities to eat condensed milk, the smell may be difficult to understand. Higher olfactory cognition scores for Household gas and Stinky socks/ Sweaty in this study indicated that healthy people could 90 avoid dangers (gas explosions and food poisoning). However, since there was a difference in olfactory cognition 91 scores between males and females, it is necessary to collect more data in the future to investigate the difference 92 in sex. 93

94 V.

### 95 11 Conclusions

The participants were 35 meals and 83 females (n=117) who voluntarily participated in olfactory tests. The 96 average value of the olfactory recognition test results of 35 males was  $7.3\pm2.3$  and 83 females was  $8.6\pm2.2$ . 97 Comparing the results, there was no difference between males and females, but there was a big difference when 98 the odors examined individually. The smell of Curry, Household gas, and Stinky socks/Sweaty had higher 99 cognitive scores in both males and females. On the other hand, Mandarin orange and Stir-fried garlic have lower 100 cognitive scores in males and females. However, the olfactory perception of other odors was better in females 101 than in males. From this result, it is necessary to examine not only the number of correct answers but also the 102 recognition score of 12 kinds of odors individually. In the future, we would like to perform the same olfactory 103 cognitive test on more participants and compare the differences by sex and age.

1

Figure 1: Table 1 :

|                 | 10's | 20's | 30's | 40'a | 50's | 60's | 70's | 80's |
|-----------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Male(n=35)      | 7    | 17   | 6    | 2    | 0    | 1    | 0    | 2    |
| Female $(n=82)$ | 8    | 34   | 3    | 6    | 6    | 7    | 11   | 7    |
| Total (n=117)   | 15   | 51   | 9    | 8    | 6    | 8    | 11   | 9    |

Figure 2:

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

Figure 3: Table 2 :

104

#### 3

[Note: b) Odour identification (percentage of each smell)]

Figure 4: Table 3 :

#### $\mathbf{4}$

|                     | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5              | 6  | 7               | 8  | 9  | 10 | 11 |
|---------------------|---|---|---|---|---|----------------|----|-----------------|----|----|----|----|
| Male(n=35)          | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4              | 2  | 7               | 3  | 8  | 1  | 3  |
| Female $(n=82)$     | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3              | 8  | 2               | 13 | 16 | 22 | 12 |
| Total $(n=117)$     | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | $\overline{7}$ | 10 | 9               | 16 | 24 | 23 | 15 |
| Male $(n = 35)$     |   |   |   |   |   |                |    | $7.3 \pm 2.3$   |    |    |    |    |
| Femela $(n = 83)$   |   |   |   |   |   |                |    | $8.6 {\pm} 2.2$ |    |    |    |    |
| Total ( $m = 117$ ) |   |   |   |   |   |                |    | $8.2 \pm 2.3$   |    |    |    |    |

Figure 5: Table 4 :

| Male $(n=35)$   | 14 | 21 | 19 | 23 | 11 | 35  | 27  | 17 | 26 | 26  | 16 | 10 |
|-----------------|----|----|----|----|----|-----|-----|----|----|-----|----|----|
| Female $(n=82)$ | 52 | 55 | 62 | 70 | 23 | 79  | 78  | 52 | 58 | 75  | 66 | 32 |
| Total $(n=117)$ | 66 | 76 | 81 | 93 | 34 | 114 | 105 | 69 | 84 | 101 | 82 | 42 |

Figure 6: Numver of recognition±Standard Deviation Indea Ink Wood Perfume Menthol Mandarin orange Curry Household gas Rose Cypress Stinky socks/Sweaty Condensed milk Stir-fried garlic

#### $\mathbf{5}$

IV.

Figure 7: Table 5 :

| Male $(n=35)$ (%)      | 40.0 | 60.0 | 54.3 | 65.7 | 31.4 | 100.0 | 77.1 | 48.6 | 74.3 | 74.3 | 45.7 | 28 |
|------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|----|
| Female (n=82) (%) 62.7 |      | 66.3 | 74.7 | 84.3 | 27.7 | 95.2  | 94.0 | 62.7 | 69.9 | 90.4 | 79.5 | 38 |
| Total (n=117) (%)      | 56.4 | 65.0 | 69.2 | 79.5 | 29.1 | 97.4  | 89.7 | 59.0 | 71.8 | 86.3 | 70.1 | 35 |

Figure 8: Indea Ink Wood Perfume Menthol Mandarin orange Curry Household gas Rose Cypress Stinky socks/Sweaty Condensed milk Stir-fried garlic

#### 105 .1 Acknowledgements

- This study was supported by the research aid of Choju-iryo-kenkyu-kaihatsuhi 30-14 and the Japanese Society
   of Taste Technology, 2019.
- [Kobayashi et al. ()] 'A new clinical olfactory function test: cultural influence'. M Kobayashi , E R Reiter , J L
   Dinardo , M R Costanzo . Arch. Otolaryngol-Head Neck Surg 2007. 133 (4) p. .
- [Kobayashi et al. ()] 'Cross-cultural comparison of data using the Odor Stick Identification Test for Japanese
   (OSIT-J)'. M Kobayashi , S Saito , T Kobayakawa , Y Deguchi , R M Costanzo . *Chem. Senses* 2006. 31 (4)
   p. .
- [Katayama et al. ()] 'Odour and salt taste identification in older adults: Evidence from the Yakumo'. Naomi
  Katayama , Shoko Kondo , Satofumi Sugimoto , Tadao Yoshida , Masaaki Teranishi , Michihiko Sone3
  Yasushi Fujimoto , Hironao Otake , Hirokazu Suzuki , Takafumi Nakada , Naoki Saji . Seiichi Nakata and
- 116 *Tsutomu Nakashima*, 2019. 8 p. .
- [Katayama et al. ()] 'Odour and Salt Taste Identification in Older Adults: Evidence from the Yakumo Study in
   August'. N Katayama , S Kondo , H Ootake . Acade. J. Med. Plants 2018. 2018. 7 (3) p. .
- [Murphy et al. (ed.) ()] Psychophysical assessment of chemosensory disorders in clinical populations, C Murphy
   A J Anderson , S Markinson . K. Kurihara, N. Suzuki, & H. Ogawa (ed.) 1994. Tokyo; Tokyo: Springer
   Verlag. p. . (Olfaction and Taste)
- [Kobayashi ()] 'The odor Stick Identification Test for the Japanese (OSIT-J): Clinical suitability for patients
   suffering from olfactory disturbance'. M Kobayashi . *Chemical Senses* 2005. 30 p. . (Suppl 1)