Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.*

Variations in Gestational Anthropometric Parameters of Pregnant Subjects and their Predictive Values of the Birth Weight of the Neonate Chinwe Wendy Oliobi Received: 15 December 2019 Accepted: 2 January 2020 Published: 15 January 2020

7 Abstract

⁸ Background: Birth weight is an important determinant of an infant's well-being as low or

⁹ large birth weight are associated with morbidities or mortality during pregnancy and later in

¹⁰ life. Maternal anthropometry is a potential veritable tool in evaluation of pregnancy status

and prediction of birth weight. Aim: This study was designed to determine the predictive

¹² values of the gestational variations of some anthropometric parameters in booked pregnant

 $_{13}$ $\,$ subjects at the antenatal clinic of Alex $\,$

14

15 Index terms— body mass index, body surface area, weight, height, neonatal birth weight.

Variations in Gestational Anthropometric Parameters of Pregnant Subjects and their Predictive Values of the Birth Weight of the Neonate

Abstract-Background: Birth weight is an important determinant of an infant's well-being as low or large birth
weight are associated with morbidities or mortality during pregnancy and later in life. Maternal anthropometry
is a potential veritable tool in evaluation of pregnancy status and prediction of birth weight.

Aim: This study was designed to determine the predictive values of the gestational variations of some anthropometric parameters in booked pregnant subjects at the antenatal clinic of Alex Ekwueme Federal University Teaching Hospital, Abakaliki andin which trimester these anthropometric parameters (weight, height, BMI, BSA) correlates better with the birth weight of a neonate.

Subjects and methods: In this cross-sectional study, six hundred and thirty five (635) pregnant subjects 26 27 attending antenatal care at the Alex Ekwueme Federal University Teaching Hospital were recruited and followed up through pregnancy till delivery. Weight and height were measured at booking and weight repeated at each 28 visit. Values obtained from the above measurements were then inserted into appropriate formulae to calculate 29 the body mass index and body surface area. A mini-questionnaire was used to extract information such as age 30 and parity. Variables were coded and analysed with SPSS version 20. Data were presented as percentages and 31 tables. The level of statistical significance was set at 0.05 (providing 95% confidence interval). Associations 32 between variables were tested using linear regression models. A receiver operating characteristic curve was used 33 to determine the sensitivity and specificity of the anthropometric parameters in predicting the birth weight (low 34 35 birth weight-<2.5 kilogrammes or macrosomia-? 4 kilogrammes).

36 Results: The mean age of participants was 29 ± 6.6 years. The mean parity was 2.3. The mean weight of 37 all participants in the first, second and third trimesters were 70.6 \pm 11.2 kilograms, 77 \pm 6.7 kilograms and 38 77.3 ± 13.9 kilograms respectively. The mean height of respondents was 1.63 ± 0.13 meters. The mean first, second and third trimester BMIs were 27.2 \pm 3.2, 27.9 \pm 4.5 and 29.8 \pm 4.2 respectively. The mean birth weight 39 of babies was 3.3 \pm 0.46 kilograms. The mean first, second and third trimester body surface area were 1.71 \pm 40 $0.254, 1.80 \pm 0.167$ and 1.87 ± 0.157 respectively. 53.2% of babies born were females. Linear regression analysis 41 showed there was a positive correlation between first, second and third trimester BMI and birth weight, which 42 was not statistically significant for the first and third trimesters but statistically significant for second trimester 43

44 (r= 0.017, p= 0.037). There was also a positive correlation between parity and birth weight which became 45 statistically significant with increasing parity (r 0 = 0.145, p 0 = 0.875 and r 5 = 0.204 and p 5 = 0.017).

Body surface area (BSA) also showed statistically significant correlation with the birth weight of the neonate in the first, second and third trimesters (r=0.56, p=0.0098, r=0.58, p=0.0076 and r=0.611, p=0.0086). Its correlation was stronger than that of body mass index. Maternal height and weight did not show statistically significant correlation with the birth weight of the baby. BMI had a sensitivity of 73% and specificity of 31% in determining if a baby would be macrosomic (birth weight greater than or equals 4 kilograms) or low birth weight(weight less than 2.5 kilogrammes) while BSA had a sensitivity of 84% and specificity of 65% in predicting same.

⁵³ 2 Conclusion:

From the study it can be concluded that determinants of birth weight are multifactorial. Mid-trimester body mass index and body surface areas in the three trimesters with their inexpensive ways can offer hope as predictors of birth weight of the neonate, with BSA showing more sensitivity and specificity than BMI. More studies are needed especially for BSA to validate or refute the foregoing.

58 3 Introduction

nthropometry is the systematic collection and correlation of measurements of the human body. It is one of the principal techniques of physical anthropology 1. It originated in the 19th century, when early studies of human biological and cultural evolution stimulated an interest in the systematic description of populations both living and extinct 1. In the latter part of the 19th century, anthropometric data were applied, often subjectively, by social scientists attempting to support theories associating biological race with levels of cultural and intellectual development 1.

The body mass index also known as Quetelet index, is proxy for estimating human body fat based on an 65 individual's weight and height 2. It is defined as the individual's body mass divided by the square of his or her 66 height. The formula universally used is in a unit of kg/m 2 (height measured in meters and weight measured 67 in kilograms). The WHO categorized BMI to assess how much an individual's body weight departs from what 68 is normal or desirable for his or her height. The WHO categorization is the most popular and is as follows 2: 69 Underweight (<18.5), normal (18.5 to 24.99), overweight (25 to 29.99), obesity class 1(30 to 34.99), Obesity class 70 11(35 to 39.99) and obesity class 111(40 and above). BMI has not been vastly used in estimating foetal weight 71 but in Obstetrics, a pregnant woman's weight is an extremely important factor in the course of pregnancy as not 72 only obesity but being underweight may lead to complications in pregnancy such as preterm delivery and low 73 neonatal birth weight 3. In recent years, infant birth weight has been increasing in many countries, representing 74 75 an Obstetric hazard and a potential public health problem. Infant survival and birth weight are dependent on the health of the mother during pregnancy so also maternal weight gain as relates BMI, a good predictor of 76 infant birth weight (Shrestha I & Sunuwar L, 2010). Some of the limitations of BMI include its inexactness of the 77 distribution between lean mass and adipose tissue due to its dependence only weight and height. Body surface 78 area (BSA) on the other hand is the measured or calculated surface area of a human body. For many clinical 79 purposes BSA is a better indicator of metabolic mass than body weight because it is less affected by abnormal 80 adipose mass. Estimation of BSA is simpler than many measures of volume 2. BSA is calculated as follows: 81 BSA = ?W X H/60 if H is in centimetres or BSA = ?W X Ht/6. The average BSA for men is 1.91 m 2 and for 82 women was 1.6 m 2. However, there is some evidence that BSA values are less accurate at extremes of height 83 and weight, where it may be a better estimate. The normal ranges of average body surface area of the population 84 (WHO, 2014): neonate (0.25 m 2), children 2 years (0.5 m 2), and children 9 years (1.07 m 2). Values for adult 85 male and female respectively are: 1.9 m 2 and 1.6 m 2 respectively. II. 86

⁸⁷ 4 Subjects and Methods

Between 14 th January, 2017 and 13 th October, 2017, we conducted a prospective cohort study of 700 pregnant 88 subjects at the antenatal clinic of Alex Ekwueme Federal University Teaching Hospital, Abakaliki. Out of this 89 number 65 were lost to follow up, with 635 being followed up till delivery. Alex Ekwueme Federal University 90 Teaching Hospital is located in the heart of Abakaliki which is the capital of Ebonyi State, South east Nigeria. 91 It offers specialized Medical care to people resident in Ebonyi and neighbouring states of Benue, Cross River 92 and Enugu. The Antenatal clinic is run by Consultant Obstetricians from the department of Obstetrics and 93 94 Gynaecology. There are five teams of doctors led by the Consultant who take care of pregnant women at the 95 clinic, in addition to Midwives and other support staff. The clinic runs Mondays through Fridays. During the year 96 prior to commencement of this study, there were 10,651 pregnant subjects who registered for antenatal care at 97 the centre. All consenting pregnant subjects who booked in the first trimester were recruited and followed up till delivery. Unbooked subjects and those with medical illnesses like diabetes, hypertensive disorders of pregnancy 98 and HIV that complicate pregnancy and affect birth weight were excluded from the study. Subjects with twin 99 gestation and those with physical deformities were also excluded from the study. Subjects were recruited from 100 the antenatal clinic after approval was obtained from the ethical committee of the institution. The research 101 topic, procedure and benefits were thoroughly explained to them. The pregnant subjects were recruited at the 102

waiting area of the Antenatal clinic using a systematic random sampling technique where the 3 rd seated pregnant 103 subject was recruited after randomly selecting a starting point. The weight and height measurements of recruited 104 subjects were made by the use of a standard and functional stadiometer. Two assistants were recruited and all 105 procedures as regards measurements were explained to them to maintain quality assurance. The body surface 106 107 area was calculated with the weight and height measurement for each trimester, using the formula ?height in centimetres multiplied by weight in kilograms divided by 3600. The body mass index was calculated using the 108 formula: weight in kilograms divided by the square of height in metres. A mini questionnaire was also structured 109 to collect information that included the responder's age, parity, weight at each visit, height and birth weight of 110 the baby at delivery. The pregnant subjects were weighed with minimal clothing and with shoes removed. The 111 measurements were made to the nearest 0.1kg by the use of a standard Secastadiometer R . The scale was ensured 112 to be at the zero mark. The patient was made to stand at the centre of the scale without support, with weight 113 evenly distributed on both feet. The process was repeated as Infant birth weight has been increasing in recent 114 times with the risk of obesity later in life 5. Birth weight is an important determinant of infant's well-being 115 and as such its prediction will aid in reducing the risks associated with obesity 4. Maternal anthropometry is 116 a potential veritable tool in evaluation of pregnancy status and prediction of foetal weight 5. Policy makers 117 need evidence about the state of maternal and child health to make the practice of Obstetrics safer, as facilities 118 119 for prediction and estimation of birth weight of the newborn during pregnancy are not readily available in our 120 environment. Identification of reliable anthropometric parameters for the estimation and prediction of the birth 121 weight of the newborn will bridge this gap and make practice safer.

above. If the measurements differed by 0.4kg, then another measurement was made. If two measurements were taken then, the average value was recorded while the median value was recorded if three measurements were taken.

For height, the stretch stature method was used. The stature is the maximum distance from the floor to the 125 vertex of the skull (the highest point on the skull when the head is in the Frankfort plane). The shoes were 126 also removed while the patient was asked to stand with the back, buttocks and heels against the stadiometer. 127 The patient's feet were placed flat and together on the floor. The patient's head was placed in the Frankfort's 128 position. The patient was instructed to take and hold a deep breath while maintaining the position above. The 129 head board was placed firmly on the vertex, crushing the hair as much as possible. The measurement was then 130 taken to the nearest 0.1 cm at the end of the subject's deep breath. The steps taken above were repeated again. 131 If two measurements differ by more than 0.4cm, a third measurement was taken. If two measurements were 132 taken, the average value was recorded. If three, the median value was recorded III. 133

$_{134}$ 5 Results

A total of 635 subjects were enrolled into the study and followed through antenatal care and delivery. Most (58.1%) of the participants belonged to the age group 25-29 while expectedly the age group 15-19 and 40-44 had the least number each (2%). The mean age of patients was 29 ± 6.6 years while the mean parity was 2.3.

The mean weight in the first trimester was 70.6 \pm 11.2 kilograms, mean weight for the second trimester was 77 \pm 8.9 kilograms for all subjects while that for the third trimester was 77.3 \pm 13.9. The mean height was 1.63 \pm 0.15 metres. The mean first trimester BMI was 27.2 \pm 3.2, mean second trimester BMI was for participants was 27.9 \pm 4.5 while that for third trimester was 29.8 \pm 4.2. Of the babies born, 338 (53.2%) were females while the males were 297 (46.8%). The mean birth weight was 3.3 \pm 0.46 kilograms. The mean first, second third trimester body surface area were 1.71 \pm 0.254, 1.80 \pm 0.167 and 1.87 \pm 0.157 respectively.

The socio-demographic characteristics of participants are presented in the frequency tables below. The 25-29 144 age group had the highest number of subjects while expectedly the 40-44 had the least number of subjects. The 145 1-4 parity group had the highest frequency while 5 and above had the least number of subjects. Parity had a 146 positive correlation with the birth weight of the baby which became stronger and statistically significant with 147 increasing parity. Age range of 40-44 also had a stronger positive correlation with the birth weight of the baby 148 compared with other age ranges. This relationship was however not statistically significant. The first and second 149 trimester mean body mass index had a positive correlation with weight which was statistically significant. The 150 first, second and third trimester body surface areas had positive correlation with the birth weight of the baby 151 which were statistically significant. The sex of the baby also had a positive correlation that was statistically 152 significant while the weight of the baby in the third trimester and height correlated positively with the birth 153 weight of the baby while that of the third trimester weight was statistically significant, that of height was not 154 statistically significant. Overall, body surface area had a better sensitivity, specificity, negative and positive 155 predictive value in predicting a large or small baby than body mass index. 156 IV. 157

158 6 Discussion

This study was carried out in the Obstetrics and Gynaecology department of Federal Teaching Hospital, Abakaliki among 635 booked pregnant subjects attending antenatal care in the facility. These subjects were followed through antenatal care and delivery. It was aimed at determining if there was any correlation between maternal anthropometric measurements and the birth weight of the baby. There is considerable evidence that the birth

weight of a baby is dependent on the mother, whose influence acts more through genes transmitted to the 163 baby. Trans-placental exchange provides all the metabolic demands of fetal growth. Uterine and umbilical flow 164 rates are in turn dependent to a large extent on the vascularisation of the placenta. Hence, factors influencing 165 placental vascular development are likely to impact on fetal growth and development. 4 The findings of this study 166 highlighted the interrelations between the body physique of the mother (BMI at different trimesters, weight at 167 different trimesters, height), socioeconomic class, parity, sex of the baby, age of the mother and the birth weight 168 of the baby. Significant positive correlations were observed as regards the parameters. The results of the study 169 are in agreement with many other studies which indicated that neonatal growth, as reflected by the birth weight 170 are mostly influenced by maternal BMI (evidenced by weight and height of participants), body surface area 171 and several other factors including the sex of the baby, parity and socio-economic factors which also has some 172 on the health of any pregnancy. 4,6,7,8,9 One study however did not find any statistically correlation between 173 the neonatal birth weight and BMI as found in this study 3 .As was noted in most of the literature reviewed, 174 pre-pregnancy BMI and BSA could not be measured as pre-conception care is an evolving field in Obstetrics care 175 in those study areas, as in our environment. 176

Linear regression analysis showed positive and negative correlation between age and the birth weight of baby, 177 though this was not statistically significant. This shows that age as a possible confounding variable did not 178 179 influence the birth weight as much and cannot be grouped as a factor that affects the neonatal birth weight and 180 any increment in neonatal weight attributed to age may be due to chance. Parity has long been attributed as a 181 predictor for birth weight of the baby with weight of the baby thought to increase with increasing parity. This was supported by the index study where there was a positive correlation between parity and birth weight for all 182 levels of parity but became statistically significant with increasing parity especially for parity level, 5 and above. 183 The sex of the baby has also been known to be predictor for birth weight. Male babies are generally thought to 184 weigh more than female babies. This study also supported the foregoing as there was a statistically significant 185 positive correlation between birth weight and sex of the baby. The mean body surface area in the first, second 186 and third trimesters differed from the average body surface area of 1.61 for women and may have been caused 187 by the increase in weight occasioned by pregnancy. Weight is a significant variable in the calculation of body 188 surface area and as such any increment in it would likely also increase the body surface area. The body surface 189 area in all the trimesters correlated with the birth weight of the baby and were statistically significant. There 190 are at the moment no studies comparing body surface area of pregnant women and the birth weight of the baby. 191 However, BMI and body surface area are similar and use height and weight for their calculations, the statistically 192 193 significant result of correlations between the trimester body surface area and the birth weight of the baby is not surprising (although it was first and second trimester BMI that showed a statistically significant positive 194 correlation in this study). 195

¹⁹⁶ 7 V. Conclusion and Recommendations

From the study it can be concluded that determinants of birth weight are multifactorial. Midtrimester body mass index and body surface areas in the three trimesters with their inexpensive ways can offer hope as predictors of birth weight of the neonate, with BSA showing more sensitivity and specificity than BMI. More studies are needed especially for BSA to validate or refute the foregoing. Maternal anthropometric measurements are potentially veritable tools in the evaluation of pregnancy status and prediction of birth weight to assist policy makers with evidence about the state of maternal and child health.

203 8 Conflict of Interest

The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

1

Age 15-19 20-24 25-29	Frequency N= 635 4 108 260	Percentage 0.6% 17.1% 40.9%	Year 2020 13 Volume XX Issue IV Version I
		26.3% Percentage 23.6% 65.5% 10.9% 0.6% 14.5%	(

© 2020 Global Journals

Figure 1: Table 1 :

$\mathbf{2}$

Parameter	Co-efficient (r)	P-value
Parity		
0	0.01	0.723
1-4	0.145	0.875
5 and above	0.204	0.017
Age of subjects		
15-19	-0.11	0.816
20-24	0.116	0.802
25-29	-0.041	0.929
30-34	-0.015	0.975
35-39	0.054	0.907
40-44	0.400	0.554
Body mass index		
First trimester	0.021	0.026
Second trimester	0.017	0.037
Third trimester	0.016	0.065

Figure 2: Table 2 :

3

			Negative	Positive
Parameter	Sensitivity	Specificity	predictive	predictive
			value	value
Body mass index	73%	31%	97.8%	64%
Body surface area	84%	65%	99.4%	73%

204

Figure 3: Table 3 :

- [Kent ()] 'Correlation between birth weight and maternal body composition'. E Kent . Obstet Gynecol 2013. 121
 p. .
- [Shrestha I Sunuwar ()] 'Correlation between gestational weight gain and birth weight of the infants'. L Shrestha
 I & Sunuwar . Nepal Med Coll J 2010. 12 p. .
- [Sachar ()] 'Correlation of some maternal variables with birth weight'. R K Sachar . Indian J Maternal Child
 Health 1994. 5 p. .
- [Thorsodottir I Birgisdottir ()] 'Different weight gain in women of normal weight before pregnancy, post-partum
 and birth weight'. B E Thorsodottir I & Birgisdottir . Obstet Gynecol 1998. 92 p. .
- [Lertbunnaphong ()] 'Does gestational weight gain in normal pre-pregnancy BMI pregnant women reflect foetal
 weight gain?'. T Lertbunnaphong . Jmed Assoc Thai 2012. 95 p. .
- [Lof ()] 'Effects of pre-pregnancy physical activity and maternal BMI on gestational weight gain and birth weight'.
 M Lof . Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 2008. 87 p. .
- [Omanwa ()] 'Is low pre-pregnancy body mass index a risk factor for preterm birth low neonatal birth weight?'.
 K Omanwa . *Ginekologia Polska* 2006. 12 p. .
- [Baten and Komlos ()] 'Looking backwards and looking forwards: Anthropometric Research and the development of Social Science history'. J Baten , J Komlos . Social science history 2004. Elsevier Science direct. 28
 p. .
- [Ugwa ()] 'Maternal anthropometric characteristics as determinants of birth weight in North-West Nigeria: a
 prospective study'. E A Ugwa . Niger J Basic Clin Sci 2014. 11 p. .
- [Jananthan et al. ()] 'Maternal anthropometry as a predictor of birth weight'. R Jananthan , D G Wijesinghe ,
 T Sivanantha . Tropical Agricultural research 2009. 21 p. .
- [Who Expert Committee] Physical status: the use and interpretation of anthropometry, Who Expert Committee
 . series No.854. (Technical Report)
- [Su ()] 'Prediction of newborn birth weight based on estimation at 20-24 weeks of gestation'. C F Su . Taiwan J Obstet Gynecol 2010. 49 p. .
- [Ne Shalaan ()] 'Relationship between maternal characteristics and neonatal birth size in Egypt'. Hassan Ne &
 Shalaan , AH . Eastern Mediterranean Health Journal 2011. 17 (4) p. .
- [Baba ()] 'The effect of maternal pregnancy body mass index as a measure of pregnancy weight gain on neonatal
 birth weight in Maiduguri'. U Baba . Greener Journal of Medical sciences 2012. 2 p. .