Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.*

A Study of Maternal and Foetal Outcomes in Cases of Induction of Labour in a Tertiary Care Centre

Dr. Tushar Palve

Received: 15 December 2019 Accepted: 2 January 2020 Published: 15 January 2020

6 Abstract

- ⁷ The aim of the present study was to assess indications for induction, various methods of
- ⁸ induction used, the mode of delivery and study of the maternal and foetal outcome. Inclusion
- ⁹ criteria were singleton pregnancies with cephalic presentation. Multifetal pregnancies,
- ¹⁰ pregnancies, previous caesarean sections were excluded. Indications, pre-induction Bishop
- ¹¹ scores, mode of delivery and adverse maternal and foetal outcomes were registered. Most
- ¹² common indications were post datism (57.78

13

3

4

14 Index terms—

15 **1** Introduction

nduction of labour implies stimulation of contraction before the spontaneous onset of labour, with or without 16 ruptured membranes [1]. The goal of induction is to achieve successful vaginal delivery as natural as possible. 17 Induction of labour is considered when the expected benefits of shortening the duration of pregnancy outweigh 18 the potential harms from continuation of pregnancy with no contraindications for vaginal delivery. [2,3] The 19 rate of induction of labour is increasing. In United states, the incidence of labour induction increased 2.5 folds 20 21 from 9.5 percent in 1991 to 23.8 percent in 2015. [1] Indications for induction include post term pregnancy, 22 premature rupture of membranes, gestational hypertension, oligohydramnios, abruption, non-reassuring foetal 23 surveillance, significant foetal growth restriction, intrauterine death, maternal medical conditions like chronic 24 hypertension, type I diabetes, renal disorders, significant pulmonary disease (ACOG2016). [4,5,6] Induction of labour in post term pregnancy has reduced likelihood of perinatal death [7,8]. Elective induction of labour is 25 defined as induction without any medical indication in healthy pregnant women. [9,10,11] Some experts term it 26 as non-medically indicated induction of labour . [12] The American College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists 27 suggests that labour may be induced for logistic reasons including risk of rapid labour, distance from hospital 28 and psychosocial reasons but not before 39 weeks of gestation. 29

Potential risks associated with induction of labour are increased rate of operative vaginal delivery, caesarean birth, uterine hyperstimulation, non-reactive NST, uterine rupture, mistaken dates leading to preterm deliveries, risk of cord prolapse with artificial rupture of membrane, maternal water intoxication syndrome . [13]. Cervical favourability is the most important factor determining the success of induction. The aim of the study was to assess and evaluate the indications for induction, method of induction used, success rate, maternal and foetal outcome in cases with induction of labour.

36 **2** II.

³⁷ 3 Aims and Objectives

Aim of this study is to assess the clinical profile of patients admitted for induction of labour, indications and different methods of induction used success rates among different methods used, maternal and foetal outcome

40 and complications if any.

41 **4 III.**

42 5 Material and Method

It is a retrospective study conducted over a period of 3 months from January 2020 to March 2020 in Department 43 of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, at a tertiary care centre in Mumbai. We studied the clinical profile of the patients, 44 indications for induction, different methods used, the success rates, mode of delivery, the maternal and foetal 45 outcome in cases of induction, complications. Singleton pregnancies with cephalic presentation at or near term 46 were included in this study. Multifetal pregnancies, malpresentations, transverse lie, previous caesarean sections 47 were excluded. Indication for induction, contraindications, gestational age, cervical favourability (Bishop's score 48 assessment), assessment of the pelvis, foetal size, presentation, membrane status (intact or ruptured) and foetal 49 wellbeing, documentation of discussion of indication of induction and disclosure of risk factors were taken into 50 consideration prior to induction. 51

In our study majority of cases of induction of labour were of 20 -25 years of age (51.11%) followed by 25-30 years (35.56%) and 13.33 % cases between 30-35 years of age.

In this study 60 % of inductions were done in primigravida, followed by 20 % in third gravida, 15.56 % in 2 nd gravida and 4.44 % in 4 th gravida.

⁵⁶ 6 Graph 3: Methods of induction used

In our study 51.11 % inductions were done using transcervical insertion Foley's catheter followed by dinoprostone gel, while 40 % inductions were done using dinoprostone gel alone and remaining 8.89% cases were induced with

 $_{59}$ Intracervical insertion of Foley's catheter. In our study, majority of inductions were done at gestational age >

40 weeks (48.89%) with cause of induction being post-dated pregnancy, PIH, oligo another 44.44 % cases were

induced at gestational age of 37 to 40 weeks and 6.67 % cases were induced at < 37 weeks. Thus almost 93.33

 $_{62}$ $\,$ % cases were induced at full term gestation.

⁶³ 7 Graph 7: Birth weight

In our study, out of 45,25 babies had birth weight between 2.5 to 3 kg, followed by 13 babies had birth weight

between 3 to 3.5 kg,3 babies had birth weight 2 to 2.5 kg another 3 had birth weight 1.5 to 2 kg only 0.22 % had birth weight < 1.5 kg.

67 8 Graph 8: NICU admission

In our study only 4 babies (8.89%) required NICU admission, 3 babies in view of PROM and 1 in view of MSAF with respiratory distress. Rest 41 babies did not require NICU admission. In our study only one patient had postpartum haemorrhage. no maternal complication was seen in remaining 44 cases.

71 **9 IV.**

72 10 Discussion

Most common indication for induction of labour in present study were post-dated pregnancy (57.78 %) Similar findings were observed i.e. 44.5 % in a study 'Outcome of Induction of Labour: A Prospective Study' in Nepal and 45.8% in a study "Outcome and significance of labour induction in a health resource poor setting" in Nigeria. In

the present study, premature rupture of membrane (PROM) is the second most common indication of induction (22.22 %), followed by oligohydramnios (13.33).

In our study 51.11 % inductions were done using transcervical insertion Foley's catheter followed by dinoprostone gel, while 40 % inductions were done using dinoprostone gel alone and remaining 8.89% cases were induced with Intracervical insertion of Foley's catheter.

In our study 60 % cases delivered vaginally and rest 40 % required caesarean section. Lamichhane et al in their study observed that 67.7% patients delivered vaginally and 32.3% underwent caesarean section. They found that

most common indication for caesarean section was for failure of induction 44% followed by foetal distress 29%
and meconium stained liquor in early stage of labour which was about 17%, least common being arrest of descent
and dilatation in active stage of labour around 8.7%. In that study out of 67.7% vaginal delivery, 4.86% had
instrumental vaginal deliveries. Patterson J et al in Australia reported that 30.4% nulliparous women delivered

by caesarean in his study. In a study, Throsell M et al showed that among induced women, 42% nulliparous and
14% multiparous women delivered by caesarean section.

In our study, it was observed that the success rate of induction of labour in the form of vaginal delivery was maximum with transcervical Dinoprostone (PGE2) gel instillation (72.22 %). Transcervical Foley's catheter insertion followed by dinoprostone gel instillation resulted in normal vaginal delivery in 52.17 % cases. Whereas 50% cases induced with transcervical Foley's catheter insertion resulted in normal vaginal delivery.

In our study majority of cases of induction of labour were of 20 -25 years of age (51.11 %) followed by 25-30 years (35.56%) and 13.33 % cases between 30-35 years of age. Lamicchane et al in their study observed that the maximum patients belonged to 20 -30 years of age.

In this study 60 % of inductions were done in primigravida, followed by 20 % in third gravida, 15.56 % in 2 nd 96 gravida and 4.44 % in 4 th gravida. Similar findings were observed in a study by Patil et al prolonged pregnancy 97 occurred more frequently in primigravida than in multigravida. About 69% cases belonged to primigravida and 98 31% cases belonged to multigravida. 99

In our study, majority of inductions were done at gestational age > 40 weeks (48.89%) with another 44.44 % 100 cases were induced at gestational age of 37 to 40 weeks and 6.67~% cases were induced at < 37 weeks. Thus 101 almost 93.33 % cases were induced at full term gestation. 102

In our study, out of 45, 25 babies (55.55) had birth weight between 2.5 to 3 kg, followed by 13 babies (28.89%) 103 had birth weight between 3 to 3.5 kg, 3 babies (6.66%) had birth weight 2 to 2.5 kg another 3 (6.66%) had birth 104 weight 1.5 to 2 kg only 0.22 % had birth weight < 1.5 kg. In a similar study by Lamichanne et al it was found 105 that 88.76% of babies birth weight was in between 2.5 - 3.5kg. In the same way 4.6% of babies weighed less than 106 2.5 kg and 26% of babies weighed more than 3.5kg, which showed that there is less chances of 107

Rates of admissions to a neonatal 11 108

In our study only one patient had postpartum haemorrhage. no maternal complication was seen in remaining 109 44 cases. Patil et al in their study of maternal and perinatal outcome in induction of labour at 40 weeks and 41 110 weeks of gestation observed that maternal morbidity like increased rate of caesarean section, PPH, perineal tear, 111 sepsis and cervical tear are more common in 41-week group in compare to 40-week group. 112 V.

12Conclusion 114

113

In our study, it was observed that the success rate of induction of labour in the form of vaginal delivery was 115 maximum with transcervical dinoprostone (PGE2) gel instillation (72.22 %). Transcervical foley's catheter 116 insertion followed by dinoprostone gel was successful in 52.17 % cases. 50% cases induced with transcervical 117 Foley's catheter insertion resulted in normal vaginal delivery. So induction of labour with dinoprostone gel used 118 alone or with foleys catheter resulted in successful delivery. There was no significant increase in the cesarian 119 section rates with any of the methods. And overall maternal and perinatal mortality and morbidity was reduced 120 with timely induction for indicated cases. 121

Labour induction should be done if the benefits of termination of pregnancy overweighs that of continuation 122 of pregnancy. Pregnancy and labour is a natural process and we should allow its natural course until and unless 123 the indication for induction is justified.



Figure 1: AGraph 5 :



Figure 2: AGraph 9 :A

124

	Graph 4: Method of induction v/s success rate		
In our study, it was observed that the success		instillation resulted in normal vaginal delivery in	
rate of induction of labour in the form of vaginal delivery		cases. Whereas 50% cases induced with transcen	
was maximum with intracervical dinoprostone gel		Foley's catheter insertion resulted in normal vag	
(PGE2) gel instillation (72.22 %). Transcervical Foley's		delivery.	
catheter insertion followed by dinoprostone	gel		
> 40 WEEKS			
37-40 WEEKS			
< 37 WEEKS			
0	10 20	30	$40 50 \ 60$
	Column1 PE	RCENTAGE	NO
			OF
			CASES

[Note: Graph 6: Gestational age at the time of induction]

Figure 3:

Volume XX Issue V Version I D D D D) E (Medical Research Global Journal of © 2020 Global Journals

[Note: A]

Figure 4:

- 125 [Cochrane Database SystRev ()], Cochrane Database SystRev 2000. (2) p. D000170.
- [Baud et al. ()] 'Adverse obstetrical and neonatal outcomes in elective and medically indicated inductions of
 labour at term'. D Baud, S Rouiller, P Hohifeld, J F Tolsa, Y Via. J Matem Fetal Neonatal Med 2013. 26.
- [Colum et al. ()] Elective induction of labour and maternal request; a national population-based study, B Colum
 B Blondel , S Alexander , M Boulvain , Le Ray , C . 2015.
- [Darney and Caughey ()] 'Elective induction of labour symposium: Nonmenclature, research methodological
 issues, and outcomes'. B G Darney , A B Caughey . *Clin ObstetGynecol* 2014. 57 p. .
- [Hannah et al. ()] 'Induction of Labour as Compared with Serial Antenatal Monitoring in Post -Term'. M Hannah
 , W Hannah , J Hellmann , S Hewson , A Milner R Willan . New England Journal of Medicine 1992. 326
- 134
 (24) p. .
- [Hannah et al. ()] 'Induction of Labour Compared with Expectant Management for Pre labour Rupture of The
 membranes at Term'. M Hannah , A Ohlsson , D Farine , S Hewson , E Hodnett , T Myhr . New England
 Journal of Medicine 1996. 334 (16) p. .
- [Lydon -Rochelle et al. ()] 'Induction of labour in absence of standard medical indications: incidences and correlates'. M T Lydon -Rochelle , V Cardenas , J C Nelson , V L Holt , C Gardella , T R Easterling .
 Med Care 2007. 45 (6) p. .
- [Society Of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists Of (2001)] Induction of Labour. Clinical Practice Guidelines for
 Obstetrics, Society Of Obstetricians, Canada Gynaecologists Of. 2001. August. p. .
- [Leduc et al. ()] 'Induction of labour: review. SOGC clinical practice guidelines'. D Leduc , A Biringer , L Lee ,
 Jessida Dy . J Obstet Gynecol 2015. 37 (4) p. .
- 145 [Crowley] Interventions for preventing or improving the outcome of delivery at or beyond term, P Crowley.
- [Tenore ()] 'Methods of cervical ripening and induction of labour'. J L Tenore . American family physician 2003.
 67 (10) p. .
- [Nonmedically indicated early -term deliveries ()] Nonmedically indicated early -term deliveries, 2013. 121 p. .
 (ObstetGynecol)
- [Tan and Hannah ()] Oxytocin for pre labour rupture of membranes at or near term (Cochrane Review). The
 Cochrane Library, B P Tan , M E Hannah . 2000. Oxford U K.
- [Tan and Hannah ()] Prostaglandins for pre labour rupture of membranes at or near term (Cochrane Review).
 The Cochrane Library, B P Tan , M E Hannah . 2000. Oxford U K. 3.
- [Williams obstetrics 25 th edition, Induction and Augmentation of Labour] Williams obstetrics 25 th edition,
 Induction and Augmentation of Labour,