Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.* 

# Epidemiology of Staphylococcus spp. with Analysis of Various Available Methods for Detection of Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus Aureus Dr. Gitali Bhagawati Received: 8 December 2019 Accepted: 2 January 2020 Published: 15 January 2020

## 7 Abstract

8 Staphylococcus aureus is one of the major resistant pathogens in clinical practice; Methicillin

<sup>9</sup> Resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) has come out as superbugs. Apart from this, with

<sup>10</sup> the increase in the number of hospitalized immunocompromised patients, Coagulase negative

11 Staphylococcus (CONS) have become a major cause of nosocomial infections. Although

<sup>12</sup> molecular method like mecA gene detection is gold standard for MRSA, minimum inhibitory

<sup>13</sup> concentration (MIC) of cefoxitin or oxacillin can also be considered as standard where

<sup>14</sup> molecular methods are not available. Cefoxitin 30 ?g disc or PBP 2a agglutination test can

also be used as standard marker for MRSA identification. In this study, out of total 184

<sup>16</sup> clinically significant, non-duplicate specimens, 150 (81.52

17

## 18 Index terms—

# <sup>19</sup> 1 Introduction

The aims and objectives of the study were: 1. To detect the prevalence of Staphylococcus aureus and clinically significant CONS in various clinical specimens 2. Speciation of CONS 3. To isolate MRSA by easily available phenotypic methods: MIC level detection of Cefoxitin/Oxacillin, Disk diffution of Cefoxitin 30 ?g disc and PBP 2a agglutination test. 4. To evaluate the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV) and negative predictive value (NPV) of these methods for identification of these strains.

Since PCR was not available for routine tests in the laboratory, MIC level detection of Cefoxitin/Oxacillin was considered as a gold standard.

# 27 **2** II.

# <sup>28</sup> 3 Materials and Method

There are many traditional and commercial systems for detection of MRSA in clinical microbiology laboratories. 29 Until 2006, Oxacillin disc and agar screening methods were used for detection of MRSA, however, in January 30 2006, Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) recommended use of Cefoxitin 30 ?g disc as standard 31 marker for MRSA identification. 6 The shift towards use of Cefoxitin disc is emphasized because of its property 32 to induce production of PBP2a in-vitro, thus it has better predictive value for detection of hetero-resistance 33 34 in MRSA isolates. 7 The gold standard method for antimicrobial susceptibility testing has been the minimum 35 inhibitory concentration (MIC) test determined by dilution methods. In the recent years, MIC methods have been 36 replaced by molecular methods which detect mecA gene as a gold standard for determining classical methicilin 37 resistance in S. aureus. However, the use of molecular methods for detection of MRSA is largely restricted to reference laboratories and is not utilized in many microbiology laboratories as a routine test. 2 taphylococcus 38 aureus is one of the major resistant pathogens in clinical practice. Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus aureus 39 (MRSA) is defined as a strain of S. aureus that is resistant to a large group of antibiotics called ?-lactams, that 40 includes penicillins and cephalosporins. ?? The first case of MRSA was reported in Britain in 1961 and is now 41 "quite common" in hospitals. ??,2 Methicillin resistance in S. aureus is primarily mediated by overproduction of 42

PBP2a protein, an altered penicillin-binding protein with lower affinity for betalactam antibiotics than PBP2, 43 the main physiological methicillin target. PBP2a is encoded by the mecA gene, a component of a larger DNA 44 fragment designated the mec region. ??,3,4 Coagulase negative Staphylococcus (CONS) have been considered as 45 non-pathogenic and were rarely reported to cause severe infections. However, with the increase in the number of 46 hospitalized immunocompromised patients, CONS have become a major cause of nosocomial infection and they 47 account for 9% of these infections. 5 endotracheal (ET) tube secretion, discharge from eye and ear, joint aspirate 48 and Central venous catheter line (CVP) tip. A total of 184 consecutive, non-duplicate, clinically significant 49 isolates were collected for this study. 50

#### a) Bacterial identification and antimicrobial susceptibility 4 51 testing

## 52

The clinical specimens were inoculated on 5% sheep blood agar and MacConkey's agar (HiMedia, New Delhi, 53 India), incubated at 37°C for 24-48 h, and examined for bacterial growth. The identification was done by manual 54 as well as by Automated System (Vitek 2 Compact System, bioMérieux). Manual methods were based on colony 55 morphology, Gram's stain, catalase test, mannitol fermentation, and coagulase test (slide and tube method). All 56 the isolates were subjected to three methods of identification of methicillin resistance: 57

1. MIC breakpoints of oxacillin given by Vitek 2 58

Compact system or MIC level detection of Cefoxitin by E-test (Himedia). Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 29213 59 were used as control for MIC level detection. 60

#### 5 Modified Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion method using 61

Cefoxitin disks (30?g) on Mueller-Hinton agar (MHA). MHA plates were overlaid with clinical strain of the S. 62 aureus with an inoculum of 0.5 McFarland turbidity standards. Cefoxitin 30 ?g discs were used and incubated at 63 35°C for 24 hours. Cut off zone diameters for Cefoxitin was according to CLSI 2015. For quality control, ATCC 64

controls strains for MRSA and MSSA were placed on the same plate. 65

- 3. PBP2' Latex agglutination test. 66
- (Oxoid, ThermoFisher Scientific, Basingstoke, England). 67

#### b) PBP2' Latex Agglutination test 6 68

A loop-full of organisms was placed into a microcentrifuge tube with 4 drops of Extraction Reagent 1; the tubes 69 were then placed in a heating block  $(>90^{\circ}C)$ , and after 5 minutes, the tubes were removed and allowed to cool to 70 room temperature. A single drop of Extraction Reagent 2 was added to each tube, mixed well, and centrifuged 71 at 1,500g for 5 minutes. The supernatant, 50 ?L, was used for testing with 1 drop of the latex particles. The 72 supernatant and latex particles were mixed together with a stick, and the test card was rocked for 3 minutes. 73 74 Tests were read visually. Agglutination of the test but not the control latex was considered positive, while no

agglutination was considered negative. 75

The data obtained was recorded on Microsoft excel (2007 version) and analyzed. The results are explained in 76 frequency (number) and in percentage (%). Overall, the predominating specimen of isolating the Gram positive 77 cocci was found to be pus 105 (57%), followed by blood 57(31%). Specimen wise distributions of Staphylococcus 78

aureus and CONS have been shown in Table2. 79

- III. 7 80
- Results 8 81
- 9 Out 82

#### Table 2: Specimen wise distribution of isolate 10 83

All the isolates of Staphylococcus aureus were subjected to three phenotypic methods of identifying methicillin 84

resistance. Considering MIC level as gold standard, Cefoxitin disk diffusion test was found to have sensitivity 85 100%, specificity 92.12% and negative predictive value (NPV) 100% while PBP2a latex agglutination test was 86

found to have sensitivity 99%, specificity 97.87% and negative predictive value (NPV) 97.87%. 87

#### 11 Discussion 88

89 Among the Gram-positive pathogens, S. aureus continues to cause skin and soft tissue infections (SSTI) in the community as well as invasive infections in the hospitalized patients. 9 In our study, out of total 184 clinically 90 significant, non-duplicate (except blood) specimens, 150 (81.52%) isolates were S.aureus. The most common 91 clinical sample from which S. aureus have been isolated was pus or wound swabs 86 (57.33%). [Fig 1 ?? Table 92 2] One similar finding corresponded S.aureus 165, out of which out of 131 (79.39%) were from pus samples. ?? 93 In a Europian survey, the most common organisms in skin and soft tissue infections (SSTI) were S. aureus (71%) 94 cases) with 22% being MRSA. 10,11 In a study from Germany, out of 1037 bacteraemic episodes in children over 95

10 years, Grampositive bacteria accounted for two third of all episodes in paediatric patients. 12 In another 96 study from UK, out of 131 episodes of blood stream infection in a paediatric ICU over a period of 3 years, 97 63% was because of Gram-positive organisms. 13 In our set up, bacteraemia due to Gram positive cocci have 98 been isolated in 57(31%) cases over a period of one year which is corresponding with above mentioned studies. 99 However, our finding is in contrast to one Indian study [7 (4.24%)]. ?? Coagulase Negative Staphylococci (CONS) 100 form a part of the normal commensal flora. To know the pathogenic potential, speciation of CONS is necessary. 101 Out of total 184 clinically significant samples 34 (18.48%) were CONS. Among the CONS, the predominating 102 isolate was Staphylococcus haemolyticus 15 (44.12%), followed by Staphylococcus epidermidis 10 (29.41%) [Fig1, 103 Table ??]. This corresponds to other findings for S. epidermidis, 30.72% 14 and 44.8% 5. Isolation rate of 104 Staphylococcus haemolyticus 23.84% 14 and 19.7% 5 are not corresponding to our findings. Out of total 15 105 isolates of Staphylococcus haemolyticus, 9 (60%) isolates were from pus or wound swab, followed by blood 106 4(11.76%).[Table ??] This is almost similar to another study, Staphylococcus haemolyticus, 6 (13%) in blood 107 and 7 (7.3%) in skin infection. 15 Our study shows isolation rate of MRSA by cefoxitin disc diffusion was 99 108 (66%). [Table 3] This is similar to the study done by R. ?? aur 4 in which out of 97 S. aureus strains, 53 (56.64%) 109 were MRSA. The study done by INSAR 16 also shows similar pattern of resistance, 42 % in 2008 and 40% in 110 2009. The prevalence of MRSA varies between regions and between hospitals in the same region as seen in a 111 112 study from Delhi, where the MRSA prevalence in nosocomial SSTI varied from 7.5 to 41.3 % between three 113 tertiary care teaching hospitals. 16 The cause of varied prevalence rate of MRSA depends on multiple factors like 114 proper sample collection, monitoring of infection control protocol implementations like hand hygiene protocol, barrier nursing or isolation policy, antibiotic policy of the hospital, prophylaxis policy protocol etc. 115

In our study, isolation rate of MRSA as per PBP2 a latex agglutination test was 102 (68%) [Table 3]; this is similar to findings of other studies, 42.4% 17 and 45.36% 4.

In our study, cefoxitin disk diffusion test was found to have sensitivity 100%, specificity 92.15% and negative 118 predictive value (NPV) 100%. [Table 3] This is similar to study (sensitivity 100%, specificity 96.23% and NPV 119 100%) 4 but dissimilar to other studies (sensitivity 92% and specificity 98%) 3 and (sensitivity 90.9% and 120 specificity 98.2%). 17 Authors revealed in their study that low level Oxacillin resistance was detected better by 121 Cefoxitin DD test. 18 PBP2a latex agglutination test was found to have sensitivity 99%, specificity 97.87% and 122 negative predictive value (NPV) 97.87% in our study. [Table 3] This is in concordance with 97.6% sensitivity 123 with this assay. 19 In one study, the authors have mentioned PBP2a latex agglutination 100% correlation with 124 125 the oxacillin MIC which is almost similar with our finding. 20 Our finding is in contrast to another finding, sensitivity 100%, specificity 100% and NPV 100% 4 . 126

# 127 12 Limitations of the Study

The limitation of the present study is that it mec A gene could not be detected among the isolates.
V.

# 130 **13** Conclusion

To know the prevalence of Gram positive cocci, Staphylococcus aureus along with MRSA in a hospital set up is 131 an urgent need so that the spread of resistant strains can be controlled in that environment. Speciation of CONS, 132 mainly in immunocompromised patients helps us to learn about diversity, epidemiological pattern and virulence. 133 Correlation with patient's clinical status adds to the diagnosis. Proper quality control of the microbiological 134 testing methods including Gram's staining to check the arrangements of Gram positive cocci, agglutination in 135 coagulase testing, 0.5 Mac Farland Standard during Antimicrobial Susceptibility testing and measuring zone sizes 136 according to CLSI guideline taking ATCC strains as control should not be subjective. Standardisation in each 137 step can detect the resistant strains by these fast and effective methods which are easily available and applicable 138 without having the facility of detection of mecA gene. 139

Table1: Distribution of isolates a	according to sex	and hospital	admission
------------------------------------	------------------	--------------	-----------

Isolates	Male	Fen	nHCEU	NICU	Indoor	Ou
Staphylococcus aureus(150)	84	66	16	13	106	15
S. haemolyticus(15)	8	7	2	4	9	0
S. $epidermidis(10)$	6	4	2	0	8	0
S. hominis. $hominis(4)$	3	1	0	2	2	0
S. xylosus(3)	3	0	0	0	3	0
S. arlette(1)	0	1	0	1	0	0
S. $simulans(1)$	0	1	0	0	1	0
TOTAL(184)	104	80	20	20	129	15
			Staphyl	ococcus haen	nolyticus15 (4	44.12%

5%2%

Staphylococcus epidermidis10 (29.41%)

Staphylococcus aureus(150)

S. haemolyticus(15)S. epidermidis(10)S. hominis. Hominis(4)

S. xylosus(3) S. arlette(1)

S. simulans(1)

Fig1: Speciation of Staphylococcus in various samples 2%

	1%	
	1%	
8%		
	8	1%
Out of total 184 Gram positive cocci, 104		
$(r_0, r_0)(r_0)$ $(1) + 1.0 + 1.0 + 1.00 + 0.07)$		

(56.52%) were isolated from males and 80 (43.48%) from female patients. (Table1) Staphylococcus aureus strains were isolated from106 (70.66%) indoor patients, followed by 29 (19.33%) intensive care unit (ICU) and neonatal ICU (NICU) patients. Among the clinically significant CONS, 23(67.65%) were isolated from indoor patients and the rest 11(32.36%) were from ICU and NICU patients. (Table1)

## Figure 1:

Phenotypic ods	Meth-	Result	MIC Level: Resis- tant	MIC Level: Sus- cepti- ble	Sensiti	av <b>Stp</b> ecific	Positive itPredictive Value	Negative Predictive Value
				510			(PPV)	(NPV)
PBP2'a Late	х	Positive	102	1			. ,	. ,
Agglutination	n Test	Indeterminate/ Negative	1	46	99%	97.87%	99%	97.87%
Cefoxitin 30 µg Disk		Resistant	99	0	100%	92.15%	96.12%	100%
Diffusion		Susceptible	4	47				

Figure 2: Table 3 :

# 13 CONCLUSION

# <sup>140</sup> .1 Conflict of interest: None

- 141 References Références Referencias
- 142 [Comparative Study. J Lab Physicians (2012)], Comparative Study. J Lab Physicians 2012 Jul-Dec.
- 143 [Sader et al. ()] 'Antimicrobial susceptibility of Gram-positive cocci isolated from skin and skin-structure
  144 infections in European medical centres'. H S Sader , D J Farrell , R N Jones . Int J Antimicrob Agents
  145 2010. 36 p. .
- [Berner et al. ()] Bacteremic episodes in pediatric oncologic patients, especially caused by the Streptococcus viridans group. Clinical Padiatrics, R Berner, S Sauter, U Duffner, M Brandis, C M Niemeyer. 1998. 210
   p. .
- [Gupta et al. ()] 'Cefoxitin disk diffusion test -Better predictor of methicillin resistance in Staphylococcus aureus'.
   M Gupta , Singh , Kumar , Kaur . *IJMM* 2009. 27 (4) p. .
- [Kaur et al. ()] 'Comparative Evaluation of Latex agglutination method with the phenotypic methods for
   detection of Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus aureus'. R Kaur , L Oberoi , A Aggarwal . *IJMM* 2012. 30
   p. .
- [Özel G 1, Aslan et al. (2011)] 'Comparison of oxacillin, cefoxitin, ceftizoxime, and moxalactam disk diffusion
  methods for detection of methicillin susceptibility in staphylococci'. V Özel G 1, Aslan, G Baharerdem, M
  Ga?atay, I Sencan, A Mert. Mikrobiyol Bul April 2011. (2) p. 45.
- $[ Mimica \ et \ al. \ (2007) ] \ \ Detection \ of \ methicillin \ resistance \ in \ Staphylococcus \ aureus \ isolated \ from \ pediatric \ patients:$
- is the cefoxitin disk diffusion test accurate enough? Brazilian Journal of Infectious Diseases, M J Mimica, E
  N Berezin, R L B Carvalho, I M Mimica, L M J Mimica, M A P Sáfadi, E Schneider, H H Caiaffa-Filho
  . 2007 August. 11.
- 161 [Stephen et al. ()] 'Evaluation of Three Methods for Detection of Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus aureus
   162 (MRSA)'. T Stephen , Odonkora , K Kennedy , Addob . Int J Biol Med Res 2011. 2 (4) p. .
- [Stephen et al. ()] 'Evaluation of Three Methods For Detection of Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus aureus
   (MRSA)'. T Stephen , Odonkor , K Kennedy , Addo . J Biol Med Res 2011Int. 2011. 2 (4) p. .
- IJain et al. ()] 'Intravenous device associated blood stream staphylococcal infection in paediatric patients'. Amita
  Jain , Astha Agarwal , Raj Kumar Verma , \* & K P Shallyawasthi , Singh . Indian J Med Res August 2011.
  134. p. .
- [James et al. ()] Kevin Threeyear survey of bacteremia and fungemia in a pediatric intensive care unit. The
   Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal, Gray James, Gossain Savita, P Morris. 2001. 20 p.
- 170 [Methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) in India: Prevalence susceptibility pattern Indian Journal of Medical Resea
- 'Methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) in India: Prevalence & susceptibility pattern'. Indian
   Journal of Medical Research 2013. 2 (137) p. . Indian Network for Surveillance of Antimicrobial Resistance
   (INSAR) group
- [Parasher and Khatana (2020)] 'Methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus: a review of the present Indian
  scenario and drug treatment'. Anant Parasher , Pushpender Khatana . Int J Adv Med 2020 May. 7 (5)
  p. .
- Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Sixteenth informational supplement. M100-S16 ()]
   Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Sixteenth informational supplement. M100-S16,
- 179 2006. Wayne, PA: NCCLS. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standard
- [Marlowe et al. ()] 'Practical Therapeutic Application of the Oxoid PBP2' Latex Agglutination Test for the
  Rapid Identification of Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus in Blood Cultures'. Elizabeth M Marlowe
  , Andrea J Linscott , Meganne Kanatani , David A Bruckner . *Clinical Pathology Am J ClinPathol* 2002.
  American Society for. 118 p. .
- [Zeeshan et al. ()] 'Saira Ibrahim, ZebaParween and AfiaZafar. Comparison of Different Phenotypic Methods of
   Detection of Methicillin Resistance in Staphylococcus aureuswith The Molecular Detection of mec-A Gene'.
   Mohammad Zeeshan, Erum Kausarjabeen, Seema Khan, Irfan. JCPSP 2007. 17 (11) p.
- [Amilcar et al. (2015)] 'Skin and Soft-Tissue Infections: A Critical Review and the Role of Telavancin in Their
   Treatment'. F Amilcar , Samuel E Cardona , Wilson . *Issue suppl\_2*, September 2015. 61 p. .
- [Surekha et al. ()] 'Speciation of clinically significant Coagulase Negative Staphylococci and their antibiotic
   resistant patterns in a tertiary care hospital'. Y Surekha, Asangi, J Mariraj, M.S Sathyanarayan,
   Rashmi Nagabhushan . International Journal of Biological & Medical Research 2011. 2 (3) p. .
- [Robert et al. (1982)] 'Species Identification of Coagulage-Negative Staphylococcal Isolates from Blood Cultures'.
   H K Robert , Cynthia Eng , Audrey Wang , Timothy E Person , Donald Kiehn , Armstrong . Journal of
- 194 Clinical Microbiology March 1982. p. .