

1 Shear Wave Elastography Detects Asymptomatic Changes of the 2 Liver among Diabetes Mellitus Type 2 Patients

3 Loida A. González-Rodríguez

4 Received: 6 December 2019 Accepted: 4 January 2020 Published: 15 January 2020

5

6 **Abstract**

7 Damage to the liver is a common clinical consequence of chronic diabetes mellitus type 2
8 (DM2). This study evaluates whether ultrasound shear wave elastography and hemodynamics
9 of the portal vein and the hepatic artery can complement traditional clinical work-up data for
10 the monitoring of liver health among DM2 patients. Methods: Sixty-four (64) participants (31
11 controls and 33 patients with confirmed type 2 diabetes mellitus) between 21 to 74 years of
12 age were recruited. Liver size, stiffness and hemodynamics of the portal vein and the hepatic
13 artery were evaluated. Glycated hemoglobin (HbA 1c), aspartate aminotransferase (AST),
14 alanine a minotransferase (ALT), and alkaline phosphatase (ALP) were monitored. Student's
15 t-test was employed with significance attained at $p <0.05$. Results: Asymptomatic significant
16 differences were detected among DMT2 patients: (1) Largest Liver size ($p=0.04$); (2) Higher
17 liver stiffness ($p=0.04$); (3) Higher alkaline phosphate levels ($p=0.03$); (4) Higher HbA1c levels
18 (<0.001) and (7) presence of moderate to severe liver fibrosis. DM2 F1 stage has higher liver
19 stiffness (0.006) and HbA1c levels (<0.001).

20

21 **Index terms—**

22 **1 Introduction**

23 ccording to the International Diabetes Federation, in 2019, diabetes affects 463 million people around the world.
24 It is a source of major concern that this prevalence is expected to increase to 700 million by 2045. 1 Detrimental
25 effects on liver health such as steatosis or fatty liver are common clinical consequences of chronic diabetes
26 mellitus type 2 (DM2). More than 70% of adult patients with DM2 develop steatosis or non-alcoholic fatty liver
27 disease (NAFLD), with significant anatomical and physiological detrimental effects. [2][3][4] In fact, NAFLD is
28 a worldwide epidemic of great financial impact with an estimated prevalence as high as 30% of the worldwide
29 population, most likely due to the fact that obesity and diabetes are risk factors of this fatal condition when left
30 untreated. [5][6][7] Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) usually precedes NAFLD, as the liver becomes inflamed
31 and fibrosis develops. [8][9] Fibrosis is characterized by an excess of connective tissue that produces an increase
32 in liver density, which in turn, eventually leads to organ dysfunction. Liver fibrosis can worsen into cirrhosis and
33 cancer. Unfortunately, as is the case for NAFLD, liver fibrosis can also be asymptomatic. Therefore, a gap in
34 standard clinical algorithms for the long-term management of DM2 is to be able to monitor liver health with a
35 cost-effective approach.

36 Even though liver enzymes are used as screening for liver disease, they may not correlate with severity of
37 disease. 10 Liver biopsy is considered the gold standard to confirm liver pathology, but this is an expensive
38 diagnostic tool. In addition, it is a high risk invasive procedure with unwarranted potential side effects. 11 In
39 contrast, shear wave hepatic elastography is a non-invasive and a cost-effective diagnostic tool that measures
40 the elasticity and hardening of liver tissue across the organ. [12][13][14] We aim to determine whether the use
41 of shear wave hepatic elastography can complement traditional clinical work-up data for the monitoring of liver
42 health among DM2 patients.

9 RESULTS

43 2 II.

44 3 Material and Methods

45 4 a) Subjects

46 Sixty-four (64) participants (31 controls and 33 patients with confirmed type 2 diabetes mellitus) between 21 to 74
47 years of age were recruited in two clinical sites: a university based endocrinology hospital clinic in Puerto Rico and
48 an endocrinology clinic, associated with a Puerto Rican school of medicine. The recruitment was carried out with
49 the following exclusion criteria: previous hepatic disease, hyperlipidemia, right upper quadrant trauma, chronic
50 kidney disease, morbid obesity, alcoholism, and cardiac disease. The study adhered to the approved research
51 protocol by the Protection of Human Research Participants Office of the Medical Sciences Campus, University
52 of Puerto Rico (protocol number A9000113). All participants signed and provided written informed consent
53 prior to recruitment. All sonographic images were made by one of the authors (BLRC) who is an experienced
54 sonographer; and were independently evaluated by the same diagnostic radiologist who is the president of the
55 Radiological Society of Puerto Rico (GBO).

56 5 b) Laboratory test results

57 Laboratory test results were obtained from medical records: blood levels of glycated hemoglobin (HbA 1c),
58 hepatic enzymes (aspartate aminotransferase [AST], alanine aminotransferase [ALT], and alkaline phosphatase
59 [ALP]. Laboratory test report were obtained within a time window of 6 months of the ultrasound imaging session.
60 For the purpose of this study, HbA 1c levels were used to confirm diabetes, whereas ALT, AST and ALP levels
61 were used as indicators of liver function.

62 6 c) Sonographic imaging of the liver

63 A real time abdominal sonogram study was performed to evaluate liver anatomy and hemodynamics of the portal
64 vein and hepatic artery, with a Logiq E9 ultrasound machine (GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, USA) with
65 a C1-6-VN 2D convex probe. Hepatic ultrasound images and craniocaudal measurements were obtained with the
66 patient in a left anterior oblique position (15° -20°) with the right arm placed above the head. The scan was
67 performed in the anterior axillary region (AAR). The craniocaudal measurement of the right liver lobe (RLL) was
68 traced from the highest right hemi-diaphragm visualized in the ultrasound image to the inferior tip of the right
69 lobe, as parallel as possible to the anterior wall of the liver. 15 Ultrasound images of the main portal vein (MPV)
70 and hepatic artery (HA) were also obtained in oblique position to evaluated MPV vein diameter (cm), MPV
71 velocity (cm/seg), MPV pulsatility index (PI = V_2 / V_1), HA velocity (cm/seg) and HA resistive index(RI =
72 $V_1 - V_2 / V_1$).

73 For liver stiffness, RLL images were obtained with study participants placed in a left anterior oblique position
74 (15°-20°), with the right arm placed above the head and with the skin exposed from the hip to the xiphoid
75 process. The intercostal right upper quadrant was scanned to obtain a longitudinal image of a given region of
76 interest (ROI) in the segment VIII of the liver, at a depth of < 8 cm under the skin to avoid blood vessels,
77 shadowing areas and anatomical boundaries between organs. Patients were asked to hold breath and avoid deep
78 inspiration while elastography measurements were taken. Mean values (in kPa) are reported. The presence and
79 degree of fibrosis was identified following METAVIR Scale classification for GE LogiqE9: Healthy liver F0 (<
80 5.48 kPa), Normal to Mild fibrosis F1 (5.48 -8.29 kPa), Mild to Moderate fibrosis F2 (8.29 -9.40 kPa), Moderate
81 to severe fibrosis F3 (9.40 -11.9 kPa), and Cirrhosis F4 (> 11.9 kPa).

82 7 d) Statistical Analysis

83 Data shown is expressed as mean \pm standard deviation unless otherwise specified. Analyses were performed
84 with XLSTAT-Biomed software (Version 2018.5, Add in soft, New York City, New York, USA). Normality was
85 assessed by the Shapiro-Wilk test and homogeneity of variance was evaluated according to normality results.
86 16 Normally distributed data were analyzed with a Student's t-test; otherwise, Mann-Whitney test was used.
87 Statistical significance was attained at $p < 0.05$. When significance reached four decimal points, p value is reported
88 as < 0.001 , otherwise specific value is reported.

89 8 III.

90 9 Results

91 Significant ultrasound differences of the liver were noted between controls and diabetes mellitus type 2 patients
92 (Table 1). Patients with DM2 showed larger ($p=0.04$) and stiffer livers ($p=0.01$) in comparison with controls
93 patients. HbA1 c , ALT, AST and were also measured. As expected, HbA1 c levels confirmed diabetes status
94 ($p < 0.001$).

95 With regard to liver function, alkalinephosphate ($p=0.03$) was significantly higher amongDM2 patients (Table
96 1).

97 A distinct patient distribution was detected when stratified by fibrosis category. Specifically, the distribution of
98 control patients was as follows: F0 (n=6), F1 (n=19), F2 (n=3), F3 (n=1) and F4 (n=0), whereas the distribution
99 of DM2 patients was as follows: F0 (n=4), F1 (n=18), F2 (n=4), F3 (n=7), F4 (n=1). Table 2 shows the HbA1c,
100 liver enzymes and stiffness values when stratified by fibrosis category. It is of interest that a significant difference
101 was noted between F1 groups for liver stiffness ($p=0.006$) and HbA1c levels ($p<0.001$). Regarding blood vessels
102 hemodynamics, no statistical difference was found in main portal vein (MPV) velocity and hepatic artery velocity
103 (HAV) between controls and DM2 patients (Table 3). In contrast, a significant difference was noted between MPV
104 diameter ($p=0.05$), MPV pulsatility index (PI) ($p=0.002$) and hepatic artery resistive index (HARI; $p=0.002$).

105 10 IV.

106 11 Discussion

107 Diagnostic ultrasound with shear wave elastography of the liver shows some asymptomatic differences in DM2
108 patients. This study reported that the liver size was larger and liver stiffness was higher in DM2 groups when
109 compared to controls. Although the largest number of patients in our cohort showed to be in an early stage
110 category (F1), the diabetic group showed a greater proportion of patients in advanced stages (F2 to F4) of liver
111 fibrosis. In agreement with previous studies, no significant differences in the levels of the liver enzymes AST or
112 ALT was detected, which further supports the emergent opinion that liver enzymes may not always correlate with
113 the severity of liver disease. 10 Hence, accurate and cost-effective diagnostic tools are needed for the long-term
114 monitoring of liver health.

115 Among the hemodynamic parameters of interest, we found higher hepatic artery resistive index (HARI) in
116 diabetic patients, which is consistent with the findings of greater liver stiffness among this group. This finding
117 is similar to other studies that found a positive correlation between HARI and fibrosis degree. [17][18] Our
118 study also found lower portal vein pulsatility index (PVPI) among DM2 patients. There is evidence of decreased
119 venous pulsatility index in patients with NAFLD. [19][20] Taken together; these findings suggest a compensatory
120 mechanism in vascular compliance that is secondary to fatty infiltration of the liver. This hypothesis warrants
121 further research.

122 Over the last decade, NASH has become one of the main indicators for liver transplantation. [21][22] Our
123 study detected significant changes in liver stiffness in diabetic patients at early stages (F1), where changes can
124 be potentially reversible with early treatment to avoid further clinical complications. This is of great significance
125 in preventive care as advanced stages of liver fibrosis had been associated with increased cardiovascular risk and
126 mortality. 23 Whether the changes observed in hemodynamic parameters correlates with cardiovascular disease
127 in our patient cohort warrants further evaluation.

128 There are a number of limitations of this study. First, this is a transversal study that did not control for the
129 time with DM2 diagnosis. Therefore, it is of interest to conduct a longitudinal study where timing of the disease
130 ought to be monitored. Second, this study did not include liver biopsy sampling, albeit this still remains as the
131 gold standard confirmation of liver damage. Third, it could have been valuable to collect data on platelets and
132 albumin levels as part of the blood panel to further assess long-term biochemical changes among DM2 patients.

133 This study supports the notion that hepatic ultrasound with shear wave elastography is a useful tool for the
134 diagnosis and classification of liver fibrosis among DM2 patients. [12][13][14] A main advantage of this clinical
135 approach is the ability to evaluate the elasticity of the tissue while obtaining a visual image of the area of interest
136 in real time. In addition, it allows for the evaluation of different areas of the organ within a single imaging session.
137 As a non-invasive procedure, it is clinically feasible to follow-up the patient over time to assess liver health and
138 to implement early therapeutic intervention whenever necessary. Taken together, we believe that the use of shear
139 wave elastography in low resource and fast-paced environments provides an insightful first-line clinical assessment
of liver health among DM2 patients. ¹

1

	CONTROL	DM2	P value
STIFFNESS (kPa)	6.6 (1.5)	7.9 (2.1)	0.01
LIVER SIZE (cm)	14.3 (1.6)	15.5 (2.8)	0.04
HbA1c (%)	5.4 (0.3)	7.7 (1.7)	< 0.001
ALT (units/L)	25.7 (14.4)	34.2 (27.1)	0.12
AST (units/L)	21.8 (6.0)	25.5 (15.2)	0.21
ALK PHOSP (units/L)	71.8 (15.2)	82.7 (23.9)	0.03

DM2=diabetes mellitus type 2

Figure 1: Table 1 :

11 DISCUSSION

2

	C-F0	DM2-F0	P value	C-F1	DM2-F1	P value	C-F2	DM2-F2	P value
STIFFNESS (kPa)	5.1 (0.6)	4.4 (1.1)	0.28	6.6 (0.7)	7.2 (0.6)	0.006	8.9 (0.4)	8.8 (0.4)	0.83
LIVER SIZE (cm)	14.2 (1.7)	14.8 (1.8)	0.57	14.2 (1.7)	15.8 (3.1)	0.13	14.6 (0.7)	13.7 (1.0)	0.24
HbA1c (%)	5.4 (0.4)	7.7 (2.0)	0.01	5.4 (0.3)	7.6 (1.9)	< 0.001	5.3 (0.3)	7.8 (2.4)	0.06
ALT (units/L)	22.1 (11.0)	27.3 (12.3)	0.56	25.5 (16.2)	37.6 (31.3)	0.11	37.0 (7.5)	28.0 (12.4)	0.32
AST (units/L)	21.3 (6.2)	23.0 (6.8)	0.66	21.3 (6.0)	27.3 (16.6)	0.20	27.7 (3.8)	22.0 (5.3)	0.18
ALK (units/L)	PHOSP 68.6 (17.3)	92.5 (12.4)	0.04	72.4 (14.0)	83.3 (28.0)	0.14	82.3 (17.1)	67.0 (18.8)	0.32

C= Control, DM2=diabetes mellitus type 2

Figure 2: Table 2 :

3

Control	DM2	P value
---------	-----	---------

Figure 3: Table 3 :

140 [Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol] , *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 18 (3) p. . (Diabetes. e1)

141 [Noureddin et al. (2018)] , M Noureddin , A Vipani , C Bresee , T Todo , I K Kim , N Alkhouri , V W Setiawan
142 , T Tran , W S Ayoub , S C Lu , A S Klein , V Sundaram , N N Nissen . 2018 Nov.

143 [Fu et al. ()] ‘Accuracy of realtime shear wave elastography in staging hepatic fibrosis: a meta-analysis’ J Fu ,
144 B Wu , H Wu . *BMC Med Imaging* 2020. 20 p. 16.

145 [Rockey et al. ()] ‘American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases. Liver biopsy’ D C Rockey , S H Caldwell
146 , Z D Goodman , R C Nelson , A D Smith . *Hepatology* 2009. 49 (3) p. .

147 [Riestra-Candelaria and Rodriguez-Mojica ()] ‘Anatomical criteria to measure the adult right liver lobe by
148 ultrasound’. B L Riestra-Candelaria , W Rodríguez-Mojica , JorgeJc . *Sonography* 2018. 5 (4) p. .

149 [Solhjoo et al. (2011)] ‘Comparison of portal vein doppler indices and hepatic vein doppler waveform in patients
150 with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease with healthy control’. E Solhjoo , F Mansour-Ghanaei , R Moulaei-
151 Langorudi , F Joukar . *Hepat Mon* 2011 Sep. 11 (9) p. .

152 [Tanaka et al. ()] ‘Current status, problems, and perspectives of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease research’. N
153 Tanaka , T Kimura , N Fujimori , T Nagaya , M Komatsu , E Tanaka . *World journal of gastroenterology*
154 2019. 25 (2) p. .

155 [Li et al. ()] ‘Diabetes Mellitus and Risk of Hepatic Fibrosis/Cirrhosis’. Xu Li , Yuan Jiao , Yunlong Xing , Pujun
156 Gao . *BioMed Research International* 2019.

157 [Tana et al. (2018)] ‘Hepatic artery resistive index as surrogate marker for fibrosis progression in NAFLD
158 patients: A clinical perspective’. C Tana , C Schiavone , A Ticinesi , F Lauretani , A Nouvenne , C F
159 Dietrich , T Meschi . *Int J Immunopathol Pharmacol* 2018. Jan-Dec. 32 p. 2058738418781373.

160 [Portillo-Sanchez et al. ()] ‘High Prevalence of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes
161 Mellitus and Normal Plasma Aminotransferase Levels’. P Portillo-Sanchez , F Bril , M Maximos , R Lomonaco
162 , D Biernacki , B Orsak , S Subbarayan , A Webb , J Hecht , K Cusi . *The Journal of clinical endocrinology
and metabolism* 2015. 100 (6) p. .

164 [IDF Diabetes Atlas ()] <https://www.diabetesatlas.org/en/> *IDF Diabetes Atlas*, 2019. (9th edition)

165 [Ahmed et al. ()] ‘Liver function tests in identifying patients with liver disease’. Z Ahmed , U Ahmed , S
166 Walayat , J Ren , D K Martin , H Moole , S Koppe , S Yong , S Dhillon . 10.2147/CEG.S160537.
167 <https://doi.org/10.2147/CEG.S160537> *Clinical and experimental gastroenterology* 2018. 11 p. .

168 [Mangla et al. (2020)] N Mangla , V H Ajmera , C Caussy , C Sirlin , S Brouha , S Bajwa-Dulai , E Madamba ,
169 R Bettencourt , L Richards , R Loomba . *Liver Stiffness Severity is Associated with Increased Cardiovascular
170 Risk in Patients With Type*, 2020 Mar.

171 [Lomonaco et al. ()] ‘Metabolic Impact of Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis in Obese Patients with Type 2 diabetes’.
172 R Lomonaco , F Bril , P Portillo-Sanchez . *Diabetes Care* 2016. 39 (4) p. .

173 [NASH Leading Cause of Liver Transplant in Women: Updated Analysis of Indications For Liver Transplant and Ethnic and Gen
174 ‘NASH Leading Cause of Liver Transplant in Women: Updated Analysis of Indications For Liver Transplant
175 and Ethnic and Gender Variances’. *Am J Gastroenterol* 113 (11) p. .

176 [Hazlehurst Jonathan et al. ()] ‘Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and diabetes’. M Hazlehurst Jonathan , Woods
177 Conor , Marjot Thomas , Cobbold Jeremy , F Tomlinson , JeremyW . *Metabolism* 2016. 65 (8) p. .

178 [Pappachan et al. (2014)] ‘Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a diabetologist’s perspective’. J M Pappachan , F A
179 Antonio , M Edavalath , A Mukherjee . *Endocrine* April 2014. 45 (3) p. .

180 [Shehab et al. ()] ‘Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: The diagnosis and management’. M Abd Shehab , Eman M
181 Salah El-Den El-Kader , Ashmawy . *World J Hepatol* 2015. 7 (6) p. .

182 [Mikolasevic et al. ()] ‘Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and liver transplantation -Where do we stand’. I Mikola-
183 sevic , T Filipec-Kanizaj , M Mijic , I Jakopcic , S Milic , I Hrstic , N Sobocan , D Stimac , P Burra . *World
184 journal of gastroenterology* 2018. 24 (14) p. .

185 [Mantovani et al. (2020)] ‘Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and risk of incident diabetes mellitus: an updated
186 meta-analysis of 501 022 adult individuals’. A Mantovani , G Petracca , G Beatrice . *Gut Published Online
187 First September 2020*. p. 16.

188 [Baikpour et al. (2020)] ‘Portal Venous Pulsatility Index: A Novel Biomarker for Diagnosis of High-Risk
189 Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease’. M Baikpour , A Ozturk , M Dhyani , N D Mercaldo , T T Pierce , J
190 R Grajo , A E Samir . *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2020 Apr. 214 (4) p. .

191 [Razali and Wah ()] ‘Power Comparisons of Shapiro-Wilk, Kolmogorov-Smirnov, Lilliefors and Anderson-Darling
192 Tests’. N M Razali , Y B Wah . *Journal of Statistical Modeling and Analytics* 2011. 2 p. .

193 [Stulic et al. (2018)] ‘The Clinical Importance of Cystatin C and Hepatic Artery Resistive Index in Liver
194 Cirrhosis’. M Stulic , D Culafic , R Obrenovic , G Jankovic , T Alempijevic , M S Lalosevic , N Dostanic , S
195 V Kovacevic , M Culafic . *Medicina (Kaunas)* 2018 May. 28 (3) p. 37.

11 DISCUSSION

196 [Gharibvand et al. ()] 'Ultrasound shear wave elastography and liver biopsy to determine liver fibrosis in adult
197 patients'. M M Gharibvand , M Asare , A Motamedfar , P Alavinejad , M Momeni . *Journal of family medicine
198 and primary care* 2020. 9 (2) p. .

199 [Sande et al. ()] 'Ultrasound shear wave elastography and liver fibrosis: A Prospective Multicenter Study'. J A
200 Sande , S Verjee , S Vinayak , F Amersi , M Ghesani . *World journal of hepatology* 2017. 9 (1) p. .