

Imaging Contribution in Headache and Cerebral Venous Thrombosis (CVT)

Cherif Mohamadou Aidara, Simon Joël Manga, Léra Géraud Akpo, Nfally Badji, Sokhna Astou Gawane Thiam, Ndeye Bigue Mar, Aissata Sall, Hamidou Deme, Abdoulaye Dione Diop, Abdoulaye Ndoeye Diop, Sokhna Ba Diop, Elhadj Niang

Received: 10 December 2019 Accepted: 1 January 2020 Published: 15 January 2020

Abstract

Headache is the most frequent and the mean onset symptom in cerebral venous thrombosis (CVT). Recognizing CVT to start treatment early enough is a challenge for both the clinician and the radiologist. They must find the right balance between not to expose the patient to the unnecessary risks of anticoagulants and not to miss a condition that could be fatal. CVT has a wide variety of clinical manifestations that often causes of a delay in diagnosis. Neuroimaging techniques have significantly improved to explore the brain parenchyma and its vascular structures. High-field MRI is the current gold standard because of its high tissue resolution and its multiform contrast without equality. But its limits lie on the accessibility and availability, especially in our developing countries. CT is more accessible and available. Its fast running and current performance because of multidetector technology make it the first-line examination and the only one in many cases of neuroradiology emergency. These techniques present sometimes some trap pictures that must be recognized not to carry the diagnosis by excess or to miss it. We aim in this article to review the semiology of headaches in CVT, some risk factors, CT semiology, and some CT trap pictures.

Index terms— headache; Cerebral Venous Thrombosis; CT semiology; CT trap pictures.

1 Introduction

Cerebral venous thrombosis (CVT) is a neurovascular emergency. It occurs about 1-2% causes of stroke. Its clinical presentation is nonspecific, and often causes a delay in diagnosis. Headache is the most common onset symptom. This condition has benefited significantly from the development of CT and MR imaging techniques. MRI is the current gold standard imaging technique due to its high resolution and multiform contrast. MRI is not available in our developing country in terms of cost and coverage. CT is more available and stay on first line neuroimaging examination. It seems useful to use CT efficiently to not "miss" a CVT. The imaging examination must also consider the existence of possible risk factors amenable to etiological treatment at the same time as the thrombosis treatment. In this paper, we aim to remind semiology of headache in CVT, some risk factors, CT semiology, and some trap pictures.

2 II.

3 Discussion a) Headache in CVT

Most of the time, CVT occurs in young adults and females. Its clinical presentation is widely variable and non-specific, often causes a delay in diagnosis. Headache is the major onset symptom; 75 to 95% of lot of series [1,2,3,4,5,6]. It may be the only manifestation throughout the disease. It is described as rapidly progressive but can be sub-acute (between two and 30 days) in 50%, acute (less than two days) in 30% or chronic (beyond 30 days) in 20%. The severity is variable but often moderate to severe, continuous, and often unresponsive to

42 usual analgesics. Rough presentation "thunderclap" is also described. Headache is sometimes the only reason for
43 admission into the emergency room. Sparaco et al. described it as typically severe and throbbing, with sudden
44 onset, and nonremitting characteristics [4]. According to the IHS (International headache society) classification
45 [7], headache caused by cerebral venous thrombosis has no specific characteristics: it is most often diffuse,
46 progressive and severe, but can be unilateral and sudden (even thunderclap), or mild, and sometimes is migraine-
47 like. Its pathophysiology lies in intracranial hypertension, venous distension, the venous infarction alone, or in
48 association [2].

49 The headache could be associated with other symptoms such as coma, seizure, focal sign syndrome, intracranial
50 hypertension. Tanislav noticed a strong association between headaches, seizures and CVT. Neurological deficit
51 is another major symptom. These signs are not exhaustive. The paper of Ulivi et al. describes the clinical signs
52 according to the affected venous structure [2,5,6,8].

53 4 b) Risk factors

54 Risk factors are numerous and meet Virchow's triad, an alteration of the venous wall, circulatory slowdown, and
55 a thrombophilia condition. It is important, in real time imaging examination, to identify a Year 2020© 2020
56 Global Journals Global Journal of Medical Research Volume XX Issue II Version I (D)

57 regional risk factor such as cervical facial infection. It may be sinusitis, a tonsillar abscess, an otomastoiditis,
58 facial cellulitis, a cholesteatoma etc. (figure 1). These infections must be considered as part of the thrombosis
59 treatment. Anatomical and vascular relationship between sinonasal cavities and the endocranium on the one hand
60 and neck spaces and the endocranium on the other are spreading factors of septic thrombosis in intracranial vein
61 sinuses. Pregnancy and postpartum are frequent thrombophilia situation; unusual headache in these conditions
62 must pay a special attention [6,9].

63 The achievement of the superior sagittal sinuse seems predominant in most of series in the literature. And
64 follow the lateral sinuse. Achievement of the deep veins and the cavernous sinuses is rare [5,10]. Figure 1D shows
65 thrombosis of the cavernous sinuses due to facial cellulitis associated with purulent eye and brain abscess in a
66 young diabetic type 1 patient.

67 5 c) CT scan semiology

68 Post-processing images are more and more efficient and offer opportunities for multi planar reconstruction.
69 Correct visualization of the venous sinuses requires a good window and level to dissociate the vein and parenchyma
70 on the one hand and the sinuses and the vault of the skull on the other hand (figure ??).

71 6 i. Direct signs

72 Hyperattenuating of the thrombosed sinus on unenhanced CT is the most important sign to look after (Figure
73 ??A). It's due to the X-ray beam attenuation by the thrombus and gives to the sinus a triangular dense
74 aspect (figure3B and 3C) and to a cortical vein a cord aspect called "cord sign". It is the protein fraction
75 of the hemoglobin that is responsible for this hyperdensity. This aspect explains the possibility of spontaneous
76 hyperattenuating in polycythemia or children without underlying thrombosis. And conversely, a thrombus can
77 appear hypodense in case of anemia and induce a false negative [11] Therefore, the objective measurement of
78 density becomes of great importance in interpreting CT scan images. Linn et al. found mean values hyperdensity
79 of 62.3 ± 8 HU and 57.8 ± 18 for sinuses and veins, respectively [10]. Garetier et al. report mean density values
80 between 62.47 and 71.1 HU depending on the sinus affected [12]. Buyck et al. found an average density of 73.9
81 ± 9.2 HU.

82 Because of the hematocrit is directly related to the measured density of blood; Buyck introduced the H:H
83 ratio (ratio between the density of the sinus and the percentage of the hematocrit). For an average density of 62
84 HU and a ratio of 1.52 the diagnostic efficiency of sinus hyperdensity is 95% and 97.5%, respectively [11,13].

85 Can a thrombus be hypodense apart from anemia? Neal et al. recently reported an aspect of cerebral venous
86 thrombosis with a hypodense thrombus without an underlying anemia for an acute cerebral venous thrombosis
87 progressing for three days after onset. This observation underlines, in my opinion, the evolving aspect of the
88 thrombus, which appeared subacute on the MRI data and very probably the relative delay of the onset headache
89 [14].

90 Apart from the sinus content to analyze objectively, it's important to take into account the morphology of
91 the thrombosed venous sinus. Normally triangular on a perpendicular slice (figure ??), it very often appears in
92 event of thrombosis, enlarged with thickened hyperdense walls in addition to its content (figure ??B). After the
93 injection of the iodinated contrast medium, the thrombus appears as an endoluminal defect surrounded by the
94 contrast product and the inflamed and enhanced wall of the sinus (figure ??C).

95 On miniMip (mini Maximum Intensity Projection) images, the thrombosed sinus appears with a circulatory
96 defect (Figures 5A and 6A).

97 Parenchymal signs are important to consider but are non-specific such as subarachnoid hemorrhage, edema
98 or, parenchymal hematoma [6,10].

99 In summarize, hyperattenuating sign has reported with a high accuracy value (95%) diagnosis in acute CVT
100 with the threshold of 62 HU, and increase to 97.5% when using the H:H ratio with the threshold of 1.52 [11].

101 Garetier et al. found that spontaneous hyperdensity had a high value of sensitivity, specificity, and negative
102 predictive value (100%, 95%, and 99 to 100%) on the first two weeks after onset [12]. "Cord sign" has been
103 reported to be insensitive [15]. Linn et al. reported that the sensitivity and specificity of attenuated vein signs
104 are 100% and 99,4% respectively for the diagnosis of deep venous thrombosis less than for cord sign values; 64,6
105 and 97,2%. This study of Linn et al. evaluated the sensitivity and specificity value of noncontrast CT 93,7 and
106 98% [10]. On contrast-enhanced CT, the delta sign has been reported with a sensitivity of 73% [11]. Linn et
107 al. [8] also demonstrate that multidetector CT venography had a 100% value of sensitivity and specificity in the
108 diagnosis of CVT.

109 This, underlines the importance of this technique in our so-called "developing countries" where MRI is not
110 available in terms of coverage and cost. If available, it should be the first-line examination if CVT is suspected.

111 The radiologist has important and exhaustive semiological data to integrate both clinically and radiologically
112 (analysis of sinus morphology, sinus density, H:H ratio) to establish an evidence-based diagnosis and decide if
113 the conditions allow him to continue his exploration by CT venography or MRI. This, would minimize the false
114 negative rate estimated at 10 to 25% [16].

115 Given the importance of CT in the management of neurological emergencies, Kozic stressed the importance
116 of training practitioners and trainers in neuroimaging to be able to detect early signs of CVT.

117 **7 ii. Traps pictures**

118 Provenzale et al. [15,17] put a lot of emphasis on trap pictures. We just want to emphasize two points relating
119 to our modest experience: ? If a large thrombus cannot be missed, a very thin thrombus can be missed on
120 multiplanar reconstruction (MPR) and mini-MIP images; it will be drowned by the contrast giving a false
121 appearance of permeability of the sinus. ? Secondly, the thrombus appears better defined on MPR images than
122 on the miniMIP images (figure 5).

123 Hence MPR images should be preferred for the diagnosis of CVT. Figures 5B and 6B show a thrombus
124 embedded by the circulating part of the sinus and the inflamed and enhanced wall on Mini MIP images.

125 iii. Treatment of CVT Treatment is mainly based on curative anticoagulation. Other therapeutic methods
126 include an endovascular procedure (thrombectomy, endovascular thrombolysis), or neurosurgical procedure
127 (decompressive craniotomy) and are part of a multidisciplinary management strategy [6].


128 **8 III.**

129 **9 Conclusion**

130 CT scan (non-enhanced or CT venography) is an essential tool in neuroradiological emergencies. Its performance
131 is sufficient in many cases to establish the diagnosis of CVT. However, MRI is more efficient and should be
132 reserved in doubtful cases where it is available. The authors stress the importance of training practitioners
133 to not overlook the diagnosis or conversely not to overdo it. Its management must be part of a practice of
134 multidisciplinary consensus.

135 **10 Conflict of interest: no**

136 ¹



1

Figure 1: Figure 1 :



5

Figure 2: Figure 5 :

137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174

[Sasidharan ()] *Cerebral Vein Thrombosis Misdiagnosed and Mismanaged*, P Sasidharan . 10.1155/2012/210676. Hindawi Publishing corporation. p. 11.

[Sparaco et al. (2015)] *Cerebral Venous Thrombosis and Headache. A Case-series*, M Sparaco , M Felleppa , M E Bigal . 10.1111/head.12599.Download. <https://headachejournal.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/head.12599.Download> 2015. October 2020.

[Perez-Girbes et al. ()] ‘Cerebral Venous Thrombosis and Polycythemia: a Potential Pitfall’. A Perez-Girbes , P Lemercier , P Leiva-Salinas . 10.1017/cjn.2016.20. *Can J Neurol Sci* 2016. 43 p. .

[Ulivi et al. ()] ‘Cerebral venous thrombosis: a practical guide’. L Ulivi , M Squitieri , H Cohen . *Pract Neurol* 2020. 20 p. .

[Tanislav et al.] *Cerebralveinthrombosis: clinical manifestation and diagnosis*, C Tanislav , R Siekmann , N Sieweke , J Allendörfer , W Pabst , M Kaps . <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2377/11/69>

[Paciaroni et al. ()] ‘Clinical manifestations of Cerebral Vein and Sinus Thrombosis’. M Paciaroni , F Palmerini , J Bougouslavsky . *Handbook of Cerebral Venous Thrombosis pp*, 2008. p. . S Karger Basel

[Buyck et al. ()] ‘Demaerel P. CT Density Measurement and H: H Ratio are useful in diagnosing Acute cérébral Venous Sinus Thrombosis’. P-J Buyck , De Keyzer , F Vanneste , G , Wilms Thijsv . *AJNR* 2013. 34 p. .

[Linn et al. ()] ‘Diagnosis Value of Multidetector-Row CT. Angiography in the Evaluation of Thrombosis of the Cerebral Venous Sinuses’. J Linn , Ertle-Wagner , K C Seelos , M Strupp , M Reiser , H Brückmann , R Brüning . *AJNR* 2007. 28 p. .

[Provenzale et al. ()] ‘Dural Sinus Thrombosis : Findings on CT and MR Imaging and Diagnostic Pitfalls’. J M Provenzale , G J Joseph , D P Barboriak . *AJR* 1998. 170 p. .

[Provenzale and Kranz ()] ‘Dural sinus Thrombosis: Source of Error in Image Interpretation’. J M Provenzale , P G Kranz . *AJR* 2011. 196 p. .

[Agostoni ()] ‘Haedache in cerebral venous thrombosis’. E Agostoni . *Neurol Sci* 2004. 25 p. .

[Alberti et al. ()] ‘Headache and Cerebral Vein Sinus Thrombosis’. A Alberti , M Venti , S Biagini . *Handbook of Cerebral Venous Thrombosis*, 2008. p. . S Karger Basel

[Neal and Sturgeon ()] *Hypodense cerebral venous sinus thrombosis on unenhanced CT: A potential pitfall*, E Neal , Sturgeon . 10.1016/j.radcr.2019.10.012. 2019 Nov 9. 15 p. . (Report of case and review of the literature. Radiol Case Rep)

[Linn and Pfefferkorn ()] ‘Noncontrast CT in Deep Cerebral Venous Thrombosis and Sinus Thrombosis: Comparison of its Diagnosis Value for Both Entities’. J Linn , T Pfefferkorn , Ivanicova . *AJNR* 2009. 30 p. .

[Kozic et al. ()] ‘Overlooked early CT signs of cérébral venous thrombosis with lethal outcome’. D Kozic , M Zarkov , R R Semnic , J Ostojic , B Radovanovic , D Ivanovski . *Acta Neurol Belg* 2010. 110 p. .

[The IHS (International Headache Society) ICHD3] <https://ichd-3.org/6-headache-attributed-to-cranial-or-ce-6-6-headache-attributed-to-cerebral-venous-thrombosis-cvt/6-6-1headache-attributed-cerebral-v>
The IHS (International Headache Society) ICHD3,

[Garetier et al. ()] ‘Value of spontaneous hyperdensity of cérébral venous thrombosis on helical CT’. M Garetier , J Rousset , E Pearson , V Tissot , J-C Gentric , E Nowak . *Acta Radiologica* 2014. 55 (10) p. .