Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.* 

# <sup>1</sup> Review: Brewing Conventional Beer with Sorghum Cultivars

2	Chikezie Ihebuzoaju Owuama <sup>1</sup>
3	$^1$ Modibbo Adama University of Technology
4	Received: 10 December 2019 Accepted: 1 January 2020 Published: 15 January 2020

#### 6 Abstract

Malting sorghum grains yield malts with enzymes which hydrolyse their innate carbohydrates, 7 proteins and lipids. Quality of sorghum malt is influenced by steeping regimes, steep liquor 8 constituents, temperature and duration of germination, enzymatic activities during 9 germination and different kilning temperature regimes. Malts of different sorghum cultivars 10 differ in their diastatic power. Different mashing regimes influence composition of sorghum 11 wort extracts, wort viscosity and fermentability. Fermentation conditions, yeast strains and 12 ageing influence beer character. Sorghum beers result from fermenting either wholly sorghum 13 wort, combinations of varying percentages of sorghum and barley wort or wort from sorghum 14 mash treated with exogenous enzymes. Sorghum beers satisfy demand of coeliac sufferers who 15 are allergic to gluten, present in barley beers. Current research results enhance the credibility 16 of sorghum as sustainable substrate in conventional beer brewing. This review evaluates and 17 updates the information on progress made at various stages of conventional beer brewing with 18 sorghum. 19

21 Index terms— sorghum, malting, mashing, malt enzymes, diastatic power, wort, beer.

### <sup>22</sup> 1 Introduction

20

23 orghum is the fifth most produced cereal in the world and belongs to the grass family, Graminae and tribe, Andropogonae. It was first used as a brewing adjunct in conventional lager beer production during the second 24 25 World War (Owuama, 1999). There are two major groups of sorghum varieties viz., the nonsweet sorghum, 26 Sorghum vulgare and the sweet sorghum, Sorghum bicolor [L] Moench), which is characterised by having sweet stalk (Owuama, 2019). Over 14,000 varieties or cultivars of sorghum exist and more new improved varieties of 27 sorghum are being developed through continuous plant breeding research, aimed at selecting and concentrating 28 29 desirable characteristics for industrial livestock feeds and food (Owuama, 1999). Among the improved varieties are those whose malts possess desirable qualities for beer brewing, such as good diastatic power, ?-and ?amylase 30 activities, proteinase activity and good extract recovery (Bekele et al., 2012; Owuama, 1999; Taylor & Daiber, 31 1988). The potential of sorghum as a viable alternative substrate for beer brewing, particularly in the tropics 32 where barley does not thrive well, has been recognized (Hill & Stewart, 2019; Palmer et al., 1989; Owuama, 1999; 33 ??. So far, the research on sorghum as substrate for conventional beer brewing has been going on for several 34 decades (Hill & Stewart, 2019). 35

36 Remarkable progress has been made to date in investigating different factors that influence various stages of 37 beer production with sorghum viz., malting, mashing, fermentation and aging (Agu & Palmer, 1996; Dale et 38 al., 1990;Harry et al., 2019; ??orall et al., 1986;Owuama, 1999). Innate enzymes in sorghum grain and those developed during malting are known to play remarkable roles in the hydrolyses of carbohydrates, proteins and 39 lipids during mashing to yield fermentable wort (Dlamin, et al., 2015; Espinosa-Ramírez et al., 2013; Uvere & 40 Orji, 2002). Variations in steeping, germination and kilning regimes have remarkable impact on sorghum malt 41 quality. The mashing of sorghum malt alone or in combination with sorghum grit at varying proportions, with 42 and without the addition of external enzymes, have also received adequate attention (Heredia-Olea et al., 2017, 43 Hu et al., 2014). Several research results on extracts of sorghum malts and mashes (worts) reveal the presence 44

of sugars, lipids, proteins, total soluble nitrogen and free amino nitrogen adequate to support yeast fermentation
??Evans & Taylor, 1990a;Odibo et al., 2002;Okolo & Ezeogu, 1996b;Owuama, 2019;Pickerell, 1986;). Viscosity
and fermentability of worts as well as character of sorghum beers, which include alcoholic content, specific gravity,
bittamenta and generative properties (monthfeel appearance because and teste) have also have

bitterness and colour, and sensory properties (mouthfeel, appearance, bouquet, aroma and taste) have also been
examined (Dale et al., 1990;Harry et al. 2019;Owuama & Okafor, 1987; ??ailor & Daiber, 1988). Thus, this

<sup>50</sup> review, reappraises and updates the progress made so far in brewing conventional beer with sorghum II.

## <sup>51</sup> 2 Sorghum Grains for Malting

Grain sorghum matures when the moisture in the grain drops to about 30 %, however, the seeds are usually too soft for harvesting when moisture content exceeds 25 % moisture. Usually, sorghum grains are harvested at optimal percentage moisture content of about 20 % so as to minimize losses and drying expense. Further drying and storage of sorghum S however, decrease the moisture content to below 20% moisture ??McNeil & Montros, 2003;Owuama, 2019). The percentage moisture content of sorghum grains for malting range from 12.5 to 20.5 % (Bekele et al., 2012;Owuama, 2019). The variations in moisture content of grains for malting may be attributable

58 to differences in sorghum cultivar, storage conditions, maturity and age of grains (Owuama, 1999).

Sorghum grains have varying physical and biochemical characteristics within and between the two major 59 different sorghum cultivars; Sorghum vulgare and Sorghum bicolor varieties. Sweet sorghum (Sorghum bicolor) 60 varieties have larger granule size, higher water solubility index, lower amylose content and lower swelling power 61 than grain sorghum (Sorghum vulgare) (Ahmed et al., 2016). Major differences between Sorghum vulgare and 62 Sorghum bicolor is the presence of sugary stalk in sweet sorghum unlike the grain sorghum, and this may be a 63 reflection of the physiological differences between the two cultivars (Regassa et al., 2014). Evaluation of sorghum 64 (Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench) accessions showed variations in total starch ??31.01 to 64.88 %), amylose (14.05 65 to 23.0 %), the amylose/amylopectin ratio (0.31 to 0.73), total stalk sugar content (9.36 to 16.84 %) and crude 66 protein (7.0 to 11.9%) (Bekele et al., 2012;Gerrano et al., 2014). 67

Grain characteristics usually considered for selecting sorghum variety for malting include, sorghum kernel 68 shape and size (as reflected by thousand grain weight) (Rooney, 1973), germination energy ??GE] (measure of 69 the percentage of grains expected to germinate fully at the time of test), germination capacity (used to determine 70 71 if seeds that did not germinate in the GE test are dormant or dead i.e. measures percentage of viable corns in a sample) (Owuama, 2019), percentage moisture content and water sensitivity (a reflection of a oxygen requirement 72 for germination by the embryo). Unlike sorghum, barley contains husk, and a surface of film of water in the husk, 73 has been shown to reduce the oxygen uptake, thereby causing embryos of water sensitive barleys to germinate to 74 a lesser extent at low oxygen tension, thus the need for steep-aeration (airrest or air sparging) during steeping 75 (Crabb & Kirsop, 1969; Kelly & Briggs, 1992; ??. Water sensitivity of grains for malting is usually carried out to 76 ascertain if the grains require air-rest period during steeping (Crabb & Kirsop, 1969). Thus, water sensitivity is 77 apparently a reflection of a higher oxygen requirement for germination by the embryo. When the water sensitivity 78 of grains for malting is less than 30 %, the grains are not water sensitive and so do not need air-rest time during 79 steeping. Sorghum grains with water sensitivity values of 7.1 to 27.6 % have been used for malting (Anon, 80 1997; Davidson et al., 1976; Kelly & Briggs, 1992; Owuama, 2019). Nevertheless, no clear relationship has been 81 82 established between grain moisture content and water sensitivity among different varieties of sorghum (Owuama, 83 2019)

Thousand grain weight of sorghum varieties used for malting differs and generally falls within 22.8 g and 58.7 g (Owuama, 2019;Subramanian et al., 1995), apparently due to varietal differences in grain sizes, storage period and conditions (Owuama, 1999;Svenson et al., 2011). The germination energy (GE) of some sorghum grains used for malting range from 96.3 to 100 % while the germination capacity (GC) falls between 99.7 and 100 % (Bekele, 2012;Dewar et al., 1995;Owuama, 2019). The recommended GE value required for sorghum to be considered suitable for malting is greater than 90% (Agu & Palmer, 2013).

## <sup>90</sup> 3 III. Stages in Beer Brewing

There are fundamentally five stages in conventional beer brewing namely; malting, mashing, wort boiling,
fermentation and aging. Except for wort boiling, all the other stages of the brewing process are further discussed
below. Wort boiling has generally been reviewed elsewhere (Willaert Baron, 2001).

## <sup>94</sup> 4 a) Malting

95 Malting of grains for brewing involves essentially steeping, germinating and limiting cereal seedling growth after the production of enzymes required for degradation of starch and proteins in cereal grain but before the exhaustion 96 97 of polysaccharides, plus kilning or drying of green malt. Prior to malting, a small proportion of ?-amylase in 98 cereals such as wheat, rye, barley and sorghum is insoluble (Owuama, 1999;Owuama & Okafor, 1990). However, the percentage of soluble amylases in sorghum appears to be influenced by temperature and time of storage of 99 the grains. Storing sorghum grains for 2 to 3 years at 12 to 23°C gives higher level of amylases (57 to 73%) 100 while newly harvested grains contain about 25%. Lowering storage temperature to 7 °C reduces level of soluble 101 amylases in the grains to about 31% after 3 years. But, storing malts for any period of time seems not to affect 102 soluble amylase content (Owuama, 1999). Nevertheless, malting yields higher proportions of hydrolytic enzymes 103

such as ?-glucosidase, ?and ?-amylases which may be either completely soluble or largely insoluble (Demuyakor &
Ohta, 1992; Jayatissa et al., 1980; Taylor & Dewar, 1994). For example, insoluble amylases and ?-glucosidase have
been detected in malts from sweet sorghum and related variety. The insolubility of these enzymes is apparently
due to their strong adhesion to insoluble malt solids (Taylor & Dewar, 1994).

Malting causes a decrease in density of caryopsis in sorghum grain (Beta et al., 1995), lowers the amount of 108 lysine from 0.25% in unmalted sorghum to 0.18% in sorghum malt 84 and also reduces milling energy (Swanston 109 et al., 1994). Sorghum endosperm contains both vitreous and mealy regions with the percentage of vitreous 110 endosperm highly correlating with grain hardness (Hallgren & Murty, 1983). Sorghum grains with intermediate 111 endosperm texture are more suitable for malting than those with floury endosperm (Adeole, 2002). Also, waxy 112 and hetero-waxy sorghum genotypes have soft endosperm texture which allows hydrolytic enzymes access to 113 starch granules (with enhanced gelatinization vis-à-vis non-waxy genotypes), thus have better malting potential 114 and consequently are more suited for beer brewing (Bekele et al., 2012;Beta et al., 2000;Taylor et al., 2006). The 115 vitreous part of endosperm seems to contribute greatly to grain milling energy and also to malt milling energy 116 since it is largely unmodified during malting (Owuama, 1999). Thus, there is a positive correlation between 117 grain milling energy and malt milling energy (Swanston et al., 1992). The loss in milling energy due to starch 118 granule modification during malting may be responsible for the highly significant correlation between diastatic 119 120 power and malt milling energy. However, grain milling energy shows no significant correlation with percentage 121 extract in sorghum (Swanston et al., 1992). Protein apparently plays a minor role in determining the quality 122 of sorghum malt as high protein content in sorghum malt causes no brewing problems since most of the high molecular weight proteins are degraded into simpler compounds during mashing or coagulated during wort boiling 123 and removed as protein sediment. As well, malting grains of some sorghum hybrids reduced the total phenolic 124 content (TPC), flavan-4-ols, total flavonoid levels but more than doubled the total anthocyanin levels while the 125 3-deoxyanthocyanins in sorghum grains increased by about 8-fold in the malt (Khoddami et al., 2017;Owuama, 126 1999). 127

Nevertheless, malting quality of sorghum is determined by physical and biochemical factors such as temperature
and time of steeping and germinating of grains with their inherent enzymic activities, kilning temperature regimes
(Owuama, 1999;Owuama & Asheno, 1994), and the sorghum cultivar (Owuama & Okafor, 1987;Subramanian et
al., 1995). Malt quality has been shown to influence the type and character of beer produced (Owuama, 1997).

132 The impact of various physical and biochemical factors on various stages of malting are discussed below.

## 133 5 b) Steeping

Steeping involves soaking grains in water with or without air-rest until desirable moisture level (steepout 134 moisture) is attained. During steeping certain physical and biochemical changes occur, such as, swelling of grains, 135 136 degradation of soluble carbohydrates and removal of some pigments, microorganisms and bitter substances from 137 grains. Factors that affect the rate at which the grains absorb water include, grain structure (softer grains absorb 138 more water than hard grains), and grain size (smaller grains absorb moisture more rapidly) (Pitz, 1989). Aeration during steeping has been shown to affect the rate at which the grain absorbs water (Olkku et al., 1991). Steeping 139 is essentially regulated to achieve a suitable moisture level and avoid over-steeping or reaching a saturation point, 140 which usually results in killing of seed germ. Suitable steep moisture varies with sorghum grain variety, steeping 141 time and temperature (Owuama & Asheno, 1994;Owuama & Okafor, 1987), and steep moisture of grain directly 142 affects sorghum malt quality . Steep-out moisture contents of 32 to 35% have a positive correlation with free alpha 143 amino nitrogen (FAN), total non-protein nitrogen (TNPN) and cold water soluble protein (CWS-P) (Ogbonna 144 et al., 2003). 145

Steeping sorghum grains at temperatures of 10 to 30°C causes an increase in steep-out moisture with apparently 146 147 no appreciably effect on diastatic power of malts (Owuama, 1999). Also, steeping temperature (up to 30°C) increase malt diastatic power while free amino nitrogen and extract content peak at a steeping temperature of 148 25°C ??Oikku et al., 1991). Steep moisture affects extract yield, reducing sugar, diastatic power of malt and 149 level of amino acids in wort. Steeping sorghum at 30°C for 18 to 22 h results in steep moisture of 44-48% which 150 is optimal for enzymic activity ??Morall et al., 1986;Owuama & Asheno, 1994;Ratnavathi & Ravi, 1991) while 151 steep moisture of 35-40% seems to encourage rapid germination at a temperature of 22°C, in the dark (Aisien 152 & Ghosh, 1978). Apparently, increase in steep moisture with steeping time from 12 to 20 h at 30°C is directly 153 proportional to diastatic power of malt and consequently an increase in reducing sugar, cold and hot water 154 extracts (Owuama & Asheno, 1994). However, steep moisture levels beyond the optimum, leads to a decrease in 155 extract and diastatic power of malt (Owuama, 1999). 156

157 Steeping methods (i.e. with or without change of water) have virtually no effect on sorghum malt (Owuama, 158 1999). Steeping sorghum with increasing air rest periods of 1 to 4 h at 30°C for 48 h to attain steep moisture 159 of 40-42%, germinating for 4 d and kilning at 50°C result in (a) a decrease in average main rootlet length (b) decrease in malting loss from 14.1-18.1% to 9.5-13.6% and (c) an increase in malt diastatic power (including 160 ?-and ?-amylases) up to 3 h air-rest period followed by a decrease after 4 h. However, variations occur among 161 sorghum cultivars e.g. the optima for ?and ?-amylase activities in cultivar KSV 400 occur at air rest periods of 3 162 h and 1 h respectively but at 2 h and 3 h air rest periods for cultivar KSV 8 (Ezeogu & Okolo, 1995). ?-Amylase 163 activity constitutes 36-50% of total diastatic activity in cultivar KSV 400 but 27-49% in cultivar KSV 8 while 164 cold and hot water extracts give highest values for KSV 400 and KSV 8 after air rest of 3 and 4 h respectively 165

(Ezeogu & Okolo, 1995). Increase in steeping time plus aeration and steep water temperature enhance diastatic power. Steeping grains plus aeration at 30°C for 40 h yield maximum diastatic power of 42.6 SDU/g. Steeping at 25°C for 40 h under air rest condition produce maximum malt FAN (119.8 mg/100g) while 24 h steeping with aeration yield highest malt extract (62.5%) ??Dewar et al., 1997b). And aeration during steeping appears to enhance the extract and free amino nitrogen content of the finished malt ??Dewar et al., 1997a).

Varying the duration of final warm water steep at  $40^{\circ}$ C between 1.5 h to 7.5 h and germinating for 4 d at  $30^{\circ}$ C 171 cause (a) malting loss and a decrease in average main root length with increase in the duration of final warm 172 water steep and (b) increase in diastatic activity, ?and ?-amylolytic activities, and extract yield as the final warm 173 water steep period increases up to 3 h and thereafter declines. However, these observations vary with sorghum 174 cultivars (Okolo & Ezeogu, 1995b). The highest ?-amylolytic activity occurs at relatively shorter duration of final 175 warm water steep e.g. 3 h for KSV 8 and 1.5 h for KSV 400 while peak ?-amylases activity result after 3 h and 176 7.5 h final warm water periods for KSV 400 and KSV 8 respectively. Nevertheless, diastatic activity for KSV 8 177 attains another peak, albeit smaller, after 7.5 h of final warm water steep, thus suggesting the involvement of 178 at least another ?-amylase component. A marked reduction in average main root length of 53% and 25% occur 179 after 1.5 h and 3 h final warm water steep for KSV 400 and KSV 8 respectively (Okolo & Ezeogu, 1995a). 180

Steeping solution (i.e. water with or without amendments), time and temperature have highly significant 181 182 effects on sorghum malt quality. Steeping in dilute sodium hydroxide solution enhances water uptake by sorghum 183 grains. A positive linear relationship exists between increase in NaOH concentrations (0.1-0.6% w/v) and steepout moisture content of grains. Steeping in 0.6% NaOH (w/v) for 48 h results in the highest steepout moisture 184 content of grain (Bekele et al., 2012;Beta et al., 2000). Steeping grain in NaOH (ca 0.2% v/v) and dilute 185 formaldehyde (ca0.05%~v/v) has been shown to improve sorghum malt quality, by suppressing inhibitory effects 186 on the malt enzymes, particularly in cultivars with high levels of condensed tannin (Beta et al., 2000;Taylor 187 et al., 2006). Malt from grains steeped in NaOH solution vis-à-vis control malt (not steeped in NaOH), show 188 enhanced diastatic power, free ?-amino nitrogen and hot water extract (Ukwuru, 2007). In contrast, repression of 189 carbohydrate modification occurs when sorghum grains are steeped in dilute calcium hydroxide solution (Okolo 190 et al., 2010). Steeping sorghum continuously in alkaline liquor (0.1% NaOH) and germinating for 4 d at 30°C 191 repress germinability (by 3-34%), root length and malting loss. However, steeping sorghum cultivar SK 5912 192 continuously in alkaline liquor plus a final warm water steep enhances malt diastatic activity (50-250%) and 193 ?-and ?-amylase activities. ?-Amylase activity constitutes over 70% of the total diastatic activity in alkaline 194 steeped cultivar ICSV 400 malts (Okolo & Ezeogu, 1996a). In contrast, alkaline steeping of ICSV 400 with air 195 rest and final warm water treatment repress diastatic activity by 9% although similar treatment significantly 196 enhance diastatic power and ?-amylase development in cultivars KSV 8 and SK 5912 (Okolo & Ezeogu, 1995a). 197 Nevertheless, cultivar SK 5912 produces relatively low HWE although it has improved amylolytic activity (Okolo 198 & Ezeogu, 1996a). As well, steeping sorghum in 0.1N ammonia solution (NH 4 OH) up to 18 h increasingly 199 reduces enzyme development, cold and hot water extracts, and malting losses (by suppressing the growth), but 200 does not prevent mouldiness (Ilori & Adewusi, 1991). 201

However, soaking white sorghum grains with 1 or 2% (w/w) koji (Aspergillus oryzae) and germinating for 4 d yield malt with diastatic power comparable to barley malt. The addition of 1% (w/w) A. oryzae to sorghum grains before germination does not affect germination capacity (97.3%), whereas inoculation with 2% (w/w) reduces germination capacity by about 5%. The sorghum malts from five d of germination show similar malting losses. Addition of A. oryzae during malting enhances the ?-amylase activity of malts but has no effect on the ?-amylase activity. Addition of 1% koji during malting enhance amyloglucosidase activity (AMG) of malt while 2% koji, causes a reduction in AMG activity of the malt (Heredia-Olea et al., 2017).

### <sup>209</sup> 6 c) Germination Stage

Germination basically involves outgrowth of plumule and radicle of the seedling until the production of adequate 210 enzymes for the malt but prior to the exhaustion of seed nutrients. During seed germination, storage proteins 211 within endosperm are hydrolysed by enzymes to provide nitrogenous compounds for grain outgrowth. Small 212 peptides and products of partial protein hydrolysis in endosperm are translocated across scutellum to embryo 213 where peptides are degraded by peptidases to release amino acids for plant structure and enzyme synthesis. The 214 radicle usually grows out first before the plumule during germination. The lengths of the radicles (rootlets) and 215 plumules (acrospires) increase with d of germination. Sorghum grains germinated for 4 d produce seedlings with 216 radicles 2 to 5-fold longer than the plumules. Nevertheless, vegetative outgrowths in seedlings apparently have no 217 clear relationship with the size of sorghum grains (as reflected by 1000 grain weight) (Owuama, 1999;Owuama, 218 219 2019).

Both germination period (3 to 4 d) and sorghum variety remarkably affect malt quality ??Bekele et Taylor et al., 2006). Germination significantly affects increase in amylase activity, malting loss, soluble solids yield and protein content (Abuajah et al., 2016;Claver et al., 2010;Svenson et al., 2011). As the germination period increases up to 5 d, quality of sorghum malt increases with increase in wort filtration rate, fermentable sugars, the specific gravity and wort extract but a marginal decrease in the specific viscosity (Abuajah et al., 2016).

Germinating sorghum grains at optimal temperatures of 25 to 30°C for 3 to 7 d, depending on the grain variety, leads to rapid growth of radicle, a reduction in adequate germination period and the production of well modified malts (i.e. where horny grain endosperm has completely changed to powdery, chalky state) with high diastatic

power (Demuyakor & Ohta, 1992;Lasekan et al., 1995;Owuama & Okafor, 1991;Palmer et al., 1989;Ratnavathi 228 & Ravi, 1991), hot water extract, sugar contents (Lasekan et al., 1995) and free amino nitrogen (Morrall et al., 229 1986). The optimal germination period varies with sorghum grain varieties and germination conditions such as, 230 illumination and steep moisture. Three days of germination of sorghum grains steeped in the dark for 18 h, 231 produce malts with higher diastatic power than those steeped for 32 h. As well, increasing germination period 232 from 2 to 6 d at 30°C results in an increase in diastatic power, reducing sugar, cold water and hot water extracts 233 (Demuyakor & Ohta, 1992;Lasekan et al., 1995;Palmer et al., 1989), as well as protein content of sorghum malt 234 (Okoh et al., 1989). The DP increases as the germination period increased from 48 to 96 h, but no remarkable 235 difference between 96 and 144 h. Considering the excessive malting loss and marginal increase in HWE beyond 96 236 h, the optimum malting period is about 96 h (Bekele et al., 2012). In contrast, germinating sorghum at relatively 237 higher temperature of 35°C or lower temperatures of between 15 and 20°C, slows down amylase formation and 238 invariably reduces diastatic power (Owuama, 1999;Morrall et al., 1986). 239

Diastatic power, which largely measures the combined activity of ?-and ?-amylases, is of a greater importance 240 in sorghum malt than extract (Raschke et al., 1995) and seems to be directly proportional to its reducing sugar 241 content (Lasekan et al., 1995). Generally, diastatic power, free ?-amino nitrogen, extract and malting loss increase 242 with germination time (Morrall et al., 1986). High moisture level in the early stages i.e. within 8 d of germination, 243 244 usually results in a high diastatic power and consequently early enzymatic hydrolysis and transfer of solubilised 245 products to embryo. The diastatic power subsequently slows down but may in some cases, increase slowly to the end of the germination period (Aisien & Ghosh, 1978;Owuama, 1999). Diastatic activity of malts range from 246 32.3 to 150.0 SDU/g (Subramanian et al., 1995) and over 50% of ?-glucan is digested by enzymes after 2 d of 247 germination ??Ogbonna & Egonwu, 1994). However, diastatic power of 60 to 80 KDU/g is recommended for 248 sorghum grain to be considered for commercial malting (Owuama, 1999). 249

Germination of sorghum grains steeped with air rest at 25-26°C for 6 d, produce malt whose percentage extract 250 has highly significant correlation with the diastatic power (Swanston et al., 1992). Germination temperatures of 24 251 and 28°C are both equally good for the development of diastatic power, FAN and extract but higher temperatures 252 are progressively worse. Germination of sorghum grains for 6 d under high (77%), medium (60.8%) and low 253 (42.8%) moisture conditions affect the diastatic power, FAN, extract and malting loss and moisture content of 254 green malt (Morrall et al., 1986). For example, high moisture during germination causes increases in diastatic 255 power, FAN, extract and malting loss. However, towards the end of germination, high moisture negatively affects 256 257 diastatic power (Morrall et al., 1986). A maximum diastatic power of 46.6 SDU/g occur within 5 d of germination at 24°C under medium moisture. Maximum FAN of 180mg FAN/100g malt is produced under high moisture 258 after 6 d germination at 32°C (Morrall et al., 1986). Treatment of sorghum with thiram (0.2%) plus carbendazim 259 (0.1%) improves seed germination by 8 to 40% and reduces seed mycoflora (Ingle et al., 1994). Sorghum grains 260 heavily infected with mould produce malts with slightly higher amylase activity (Kumar et al., 1992), thus 261 suggesting that fungi contribute towards the increase in amylase activity. Seed mycoflora of sorghum species 262 include Aspergillus flavus, Curvularia lunata, Cladosporium cladosporoides, Fusarium moniliforme, Rhizopus 263 sp., Alternaria sp., Penicillium sp., Dreschlera sp., and Neurospora sp. (Kumar et al., 1992; Owuama, 1991). 264

## <sup>265</sup> 7 d) Kilning

Kilning involves the drying of green (wet and growing) malt in a kiln or oven at a relatively high temperature 266 until the vegetative out growths become friable or brittle, desirable colour develops while the required hydrolytic 267 enzymes for mashing remain intact. Kilning contributes to colour development which is influenced by the extent 268 of modification, duration and levels of temperature-time sequence of kilning cycle and moisture content of green 269 270 malt at different stages of the cycle (Briggs et al., 1981;Owuama & Asheno, 1994). Sorghum malts are kilned 271 at elevated temperatures of 45 to 100°C (Owuama, 1999;Owuama & Asheno 1994), essentially to remove raw flavour of green malt and promote chemical reactions for the formation of components which impart characteristic 272 flavour to malt (Briggs et al., 1981). Commercially produced sorghum malts for brewing are usually dried at 273 moderate temperatures up to 50°C (Abuajah, 2013). Kilning green sorghum malt above 50 ? can lead to loss of 274 volatiles, reduced enzyme activities but enhanced malt flavour (Bekele, 2012; ??ewar et al., 1997b). 275

Storage period of sorghum malts apparently affects the enzyme activity and the malt constituents and extracts 276 (Etokakpan, 2004a). The diastatic power of freshly kilned sorghum malt (68.1°WK) decreases by 29% after six 277 months of storage. Freshly kilned sorghum malt shows high wort turbidity (4.9 EBC) which drops to 0.95 EBC 278 and 1 EBC after storage for 2 and 6 months respectively. Colour of worts derived from the malt diminishes 279 slightly over six month-period from 7.6 EBC in freshly kilned malt to 6.8 EBC. Wort extract remains virtually 280 281 unchanged throughout the six month-period probably due to the use of external amylolytic enzymes during 282 mashing. The protein in wort extract (46.6%) decreases to 43.2% after six months. The apparent wort extract 283 after final attenuation (AEFA) indicates more fermentability starting from two months after storage. Free-amino 284 nitrogen (FAN) decreases from 238 mg/L to 194 mg/L after six months of storage while mash filtration period (86-93 min) using a micro-mash filter was virtually the same throughout the six months of storage (Etokakpan, 285 286 2004b).

Temperature, moisture content of green malt and duration of kilning influence amylase activity of sorghum malts (Malleshi & Desikachar, 1986). Kilning green malts with moisture contents over 10% at elevated temperature accelerates the inactivation of enzymes (Andriotis et al., 2016), but kilning sorghum green malt

with less than 10% moisture at 100°C for 3 to 4 h has little effect on the amount of hydrolytic enzymes and 290 diastatic power (Owuama, 1999). Varying kilning process produces malts of differing characteristics. Kilning 291 malts in two stages i.e. exposing green malt initially to 55°C and subsequently to 65°C, produce malts with 292 higher sugar content than kilning at a single temperature of 65°C (Owuama & Asheno, 1994). In twostage 293 treatment, initial exposure to 55°C for sometimes, considerably reduces moisture content of green malt before 294 final temperature (65°C) treatment (Owuama & Asheno, 1994), a process which apparently encourages greater 295 survival of hydrolytic enzymes while malt acquires characteristic flavour. Higher kilning temperature causes 296 a relatively smaller decrease in reducing sugar and diastatic power of malts than on hot water extract and 297 liquefying power. This is apparently due to inactivation of saccharifying amylase, ?-amylase to a greater extent 298 than liquefying amylase, ?-amylase (Owuama, 1999). During kilning, reducing sugars decrease in quantity while 299 sucrose level often increases (Owuama & Asheno, 1994) possibly because of a reversal in the action of hydrolytic 300 enzymes (Andriotis et al., 2016) that appears not to have a direct relationship with amylase content in sorghum 301 malt (Owuama, 1999;Owuama & Asheno, 1994) suggesting the involvement of other enzymes, with varying 302 contributions in different sorghum varieties (Briggs et al., 1981; Owuama & Asheno, 1994). 303

Diastatic power and extract yield of the sorghum malt show a linear decrease with increase in kilning 304 temperature while the total soluble nitrogen (TSN), permanently soluble nitrogen (PSN), Kolbach index and 305 306 free amino nitrogen (FAN) show parabolic variation (to an optimum temperature range of 50 to 60°C) with 307 increase in kilning temperature (Abuajah, 2013). But the colour of the worts produced from the malts dried at 308 different temperatures show a linear increase with increase in kilning temperatures. However, the pH values of the worts did not show any significant change with increase in kilning temperature. Apparently, a temperature range 309 of 50 to 60°C for kilning sorghum malt is suitable for producing good quality malt (Abuajah, 2013). Percentage 310 moisture content of kilned malts from different sorghum varieties have been shown to fall between 5.2 to 13.8 %311 (Bekele, 2012;Etokakpan, 2004a;Ogu et al., 2006;Owuama, 2019). 312

### <sup>313</sup> 8 IV. Enzymes in Malting

A variety of enzymes are present in sorghum grains and some are developed or activated during malting. These enzymes include; carbohydrases (?-, ?and ?-amylases), proteinases, lipases and peroxidases. Some of these enzymes present in malt are examined in greater details below.

### 317 9 a) Diastatic Power

Diastatic power (DP) refers to the combination of activities of enzymes (carbohydrases) in malt that hydrolyse 318 starch into fermentable sugars. Thus, diastatic power correlates with sugar content in wort derived from mashing 319 (Etokakpan & Palmer, 1990). Diastatic power of malt increases with steeping temperature up to 30°C and 320 321 germination period up to 5 d ??Dewar et al., 1996;Subramanian et al., 1995;Swanston et al., 1994) after which 322 a plateau is reached (Okon E.U. & Uwaifo, 1985). However, brewing with sorghum (Sorghum vulgare) malt is apparently challenging due to low diastatic activity inadequate for complete saccharification, high starch 323 324 gelatinization temperature and low FAN content (Taylor et al. 2013). Sorghum malt has a low ?-amylase activity, but a higher ?-amylase activity than barley malt. This leads to production of low fermentable sugars and a high 325 dextrins content, causing an increase of viscosity (Palmer, 1989). ?-Amylase in sorghum malt may be either 326 completely soluble or largely insoluble depending on variety of sorghum (Demuyakor & Ohta, 1992;Jayatissa et 327 al., 1980). The formation of ?-amylase requires adequate oxygen, however this can be prevented in the presence 328 of excess carbon dioxide (Owuama, 1999). ?-Amylase activity in sorghum malt is 25 to 183 SDU/g depending 329 330 on sorghum variety (Aisien & Ghosh, 1978) and increases with sorghum diastatic power in cultivars with SDU 331 values greater than 30 (Lasekan et al., 1995; Ratnavathi & Ravi, 1991). Differences exist in ?-amylase activities of malts between sorghum cultivars S. bicolor ([sweet sorghum] and S. vulgare [non-sweet sorghum], and within the 332 various sorghum cultivars (Owuama, 2019;Subramanian et al., 1995). Generally, ?-amylase activities of different 333 S. bicolor cultivars (71.8-83.2°) are slightly lower than those of S. vulgare malts (78.8-85.2°). ?-Amylase activities 334 in S. vulgare varieties are 70-75 % of the diastatic power (DP) and substantially higher than the 56 -61 % of 335 DP in S. bicolor. However, ?-Amylases activities in both S. bicolor and S. vulgare malts are 2 to 4-fold those 336 of ?-amylases. In S. bicolor, ?-amylases activities are 3.6 to 5-fold those of amyloglucosidase (AMG) (Owuama, 337 2019;Subramanian et al., 1995). 338

Steeping sweet sorghum grains at three different time intervals of 8, 12 and 16h and germinating subsequently 339 for 2 and 3 d show the highest amylase activity (1266.10  $\mu g$  of protein/15 min/g) and highest reducing sugars 340 341 (33.85 mg/g) in 16h steeped grains, germinated for 3 d. Similarly, addition of different concentrations (0.1, 342 0.5 and 1%) of commercial ?amylase (Palkozyme), show the highest reducing sugar value (78.83 mg/g) at 1% 343 enzyme concentration at 70°C for 24h (Mesta et al., 2018). However, alkaline steeping with final warm water 344 steep improves substantially ?amylase activity in sorghum malt in sorghum cultivar SK 5912 but represses it in cultivars ICSV 400 and KSV 8. The reason for this variation with different cultivars is unclear but may be 345 attributable to ?-amylase polymorphism. It is known that steeping or germinating Year 2020Global Journal of 346 Medical Research Volume XX Issue V Version I ( D D D D ) C  $\odot$  2020 Global Journals 347

conditions influence the inhibition or enhancement of the synthesis of particular isoforms detectable in cereal grains during malting (Jones & Jacobsen, 1983;Owuama, 1999). The inhibition of a specific dominant ?-

amylase isotype by native proteinaceous ?-amylase inhibitor in sorghum (Macgregor & Daussant, 1981) invariably 350 depresses total amylase activity while inactivation of the inhibitor during alkaline steeping enhances total amylase 351 activity (Okolo & Ezeogu, 1996a). Alternatively, enhancement of alkaline ?amylase activity in one cultivar but 352 not in another may be attributable to the capacity of alkaline steep liquor to influence protein-binding properties 353 of tannins/ polyphenols which vary in concentration and distribution in various sorghum cultivars (Chavan et 354 al., 1981). Tannins (located mainly in pericarp and testa) and other polyphenols can bind to proteins including 355 enzymes, and are therefore likely to inactivate enzymes involved in hydrolysis of endosperm materials (Chavan 356 et al., 1981;Owuama, 1999). 357

## 358 10 c) Beta Amylase

Beta-amylases (exo-acting) hydrolyse penultimate ?-1,4 glucan linkages from the nonreducing end of starch 359 yielding maltose and beta-limit dextrins. Non-germinated sorghum grain show virtually no ?-amylase activity 360 (Taylor & Robbins, 1993). Sorghum ?-amylase develops during germination by transforming from a latent bound 361 form to a free or active form in starchy endosperm Owuama, 1999),. ?-Amylase may be either completely 362 soluble or largely insoluble in malt depending on the variety of sorghum (Agu & Palmer, 1997;Demuyakor & 363 Ohta, 1992; Javatissa et al., 1980; Owuama, 1997). Malts made from sweet sorghum and related variety, birdproof 364 kaffircorn usually contain insoluble amylases which appear to adsorb tenaciously to insoluble substances, thus 365 making aqueous extraction impossible (Owuama, 1999). Thus, peptone solutions have been used to liberate 366 the bound ?-amylase, resulting in higher DP of the sorghum malts in coloured and bird-proof varieties (Agu 367 & Palmer, 1996;Kumar et al., 1992;Owuama, 1999). However, a contrary report indicates that ?-amylase is 368 not bound since neither reducing agents nor papain treatment affects its activity (Taylor & Robbins, 1993). 369 Apparently, the difference in observations reflect variation in physiological activities of the sorghum cultivars. 370 Beta amylase activities in malts vary with sorghum cultivars and in S. vulgare cultivars range from 22 -25 %371 of DP and slightly higher than 19-22 % of DP in S. bicolor (Owuama, 2019). ?-Amylase activity in sorghum 372 malt range from 11 to 41 SDU/g (Beta et al., 1995; Taylor & Robbins, 1993) and constitutes 27 to 49% of total 373 diastatic activity in sorghum (Ezeogu & Okolo, 1995). 374

?-Amylase is more labile than ?-amylase and is influenced by germination time and temperature. A rapid 375 increase in ?-amylase activity occurs within the first 2 d of germination and subsequently declines in rate of 376 increase up to 6.5 d. ?-amylase activity is inversely related to temperature, giving the highest activity at 24°C 377 over a range of 24 to 32°C (Taylor & Robbins, 1993). More maltose producing enzyme, ?-amylase is present 378 in sorghum malts made at 25°C and 30°C, producing 66% more maltose during mashing than malts made at 379 20°C (Owuama, 1999). There is a wide variations regarding ?-amylase activity of sorghum malt and this may 380 be due to the assumptions that ?-amylase activity is the difference between total amylase activity and ?-amylase 381 activity. An assumption which ignores activities of other starch degradation enzymes such as ?-glucosidase and 382 limit dextrinase. 383

?-Amylase activity also shows significant correlation with malt diastatic power and is completely inactivated in 384 15 min at 68°C (Taylor & Robbins, 1993). However, alkaline steeping with final warm water steep treatment and 385 air rest result in a decrease in ?-amylolytic activity in cultivar ICSV 400 but an increase in both cultivars KSV 386 8 and SK 5912 (Okolo & Ezeogu, 1996a). The reduction in ?-amylase activity in cultivar ICSV 400 may reflect 387 repression of the synthesis of a major ?amylase isotype. Isoelectric focussing indicates that sorghum ?-amylase has 388 389 a major and a minor isoenzyme of approximate pl 4.4-4.5 (Taylor & Robbins, 1993). ?-Amylase heterogeneity is 390 influenced by malting stage and conditions (Laberge & Marchylo, 1986; Macgregor & Matsuo, 1982). The activity of ?-amylase in sorghum malt significantly increases when a combination of final warm water and air rest cycles 391 are employed during malting. ?-amylase activity of malt is known to be prominently affected by steep regime, 392 alkaline steep liquor, and kilning conditions as well as their various interactions. Steeping in Ca(OH) 2 enhances 393 malt ?amvlase activity at higher kilning temperature (50°C) unlike steeping in KOH that shows a reduced effect. 394 Nevertheless, the extent of ?-amylase activity enhancement is cultivar dependent (Okungbowa et al., 2002). 395

## <sup>396</sup> 11 d) Amyloglucosidase or Glucoamylase (?-Amylase)

Amyloglucosidase or glucoamylase [?amylases] is exo-acting and hydrolyses both ?-1,4 and branching ?-1,6linkages to yield glucose. Amyloglucosidase comprises ?-glucosidase and limit dextrinase. ?-Glucosidase and limit dextrinase have been shown to act synergistically with ?-amylase and ?amylase respectively, in starch hydrolysis, yielding glucose ??Evans et (Owuama, 2019). See below for discussion on ?-glucosidase and limit dextrinase.

## <sup>402</sup> 12 e) Alpha-Glucosidase

Alpha glucosidase or maltase is one of the enzymes involved in starch degradation during cereal seed germination
(Sun & Henson, 1992). ?-Glucosidase in germinating grains catalyses hydrolysis of terminal, non-reducing ?-(1, 4)
glucosidic linkages in both oligosaccharides and ?-glucans yielding glucose (Andriotis et al., 2016;Owuama, 1999;).
?-Glucosidase in sorghum malt contributes to glucose production in wort by hydrolysing terminal ?-1,4 linked
D-glucose residues to release glucose (Agu & Palmer, 1997). Purified alpha-glucosidase is quite thermolabile (less
than 50°C), cleaves a single glucose from a starch chain or splits maltose to produce two glucose units, thus

reducing the level of maltose in the fermentable sugar profile (Fox, 2018). Although, ?-glucosidase in sorghum 409 is soluble in water, it is also active in insoluble state while adhering strongly to insoluble malt solids (Taylor & 410 Dewar, 1994; ??atson & Novellie, 1974). ?-Glucosidase development in sorghum in influenced by germination 411 period and temperature. Limited ?-glucosidase extracted with sodium chloride under alkaline conditions is 412 enhanced by adding papain (Owuama, 1997). Sorghum malt from 5 d germination at 30°C, show highest ?-413 glucosidase activity in extract with sodium phosphate pH 8 containing L-cysteine at pH 3.75 compared to those 414 of 1 to 4 d (Agu & Palmer, 1997; Taylor & Dewar, 1994). The sorghum malt with the highest ?-glucosidase 415 activity however produces the lowest glucose levels in wort, suggesting that ?glucosidase is not the dominant 416 glucose-producing enzyme during mashing of sorghum malts (Agu & Palmer, 1997). Malts from germinating 417 sorghum at 30°C show the highest levels of ?-glucosidase, ?-amylase and ?-amylase as well as the highest maltose 418 to glucose ratio, relative to 20°C and 25°C germinated sorghum malt. However, the role of each enzyme in the 419 sugar ratios is unknown (Agu & Palmer, 1997). Nevertheless, the sorghum malts produced at 20°C and 25°C 420 yield worts which contain more glucose than worts from malts produced at 30°C. The individual activities of 421 ?-glucosidase, ?-amylase and ?-amylase of sorghum malts apparently do not correlate with the sugar profile of 422 the worts (Agu & Palmer, 1997). However, ?glucosidase is known to have synergistic activity with ?amylase in 423 solubilizing starch (MacGregor et al., 1999). 424

425 Mashing at pH 4, near optimum for ?glucosidase yields relatively higher proportion of glucose than at usual 426 mash pH 5-5.5, which is optimal for ?-amylase (Taylor & Dewar, 1994). Although, sorghum malt ?-glucosidase 427 activity is highest at pH 3.75, it is still quite active at pH 5.4 employed in mashing sorghum malt (Agu & Palmer, 1997). However, at pH 5-5.5, both total fermentable sugars and free glucose increase with mashing 428 temperature to a maximum at 70°C but the proportion of glucose declines with increasing mashing temperature 429 from 58.6% at 60°C to 23.1% at 80°C. In contrast, mashing at pH4 produces less amount of total fermentable 430 sugars and free glucose at 70°C than at 60°C (Taylor & Dewar, 1994). Maltose in sorghum worts produced at 431 65°C is limited because of inadequate gelatinization of starch and not ?-amylase and ?-amylase activities since 432 gelatinization of the starch granules of sorghum malt occurs between 68-72°C (Taylor and Taylor, 2018). Hence, 433 the decantation mashing method yielded sorghum worts with high levels of maltose, particularly when sorghum 434 malt is produced at 30°C (Agu and Palmer, 1997). Higher amount of glucose is observed in wort from EBC 435 conventionally mashed malt as against using pre-cooked malt insoluble solids where ?-glucosidase inactivation 436 occurs preventing hydrolysis of maltose to glucose and resulting in high maltose levels in sorghum worts (Taylor 437 & Dewar, 1994). 438

### 439 13 f) Limit dextrinase

The activities of starch degrading enzymes (including ?-amylase, ?-amylase, alpha glucosidase and limit 440 dextrinase) result in the production of a mixture of low molecular weight dextrins Etokakpan & Palmer, 441 1990;Okon & Uwaifo, 1985;Taylor & Robbins, 1993). Limit dextrinase (LD) also called Renzyme, pullulanase, 442 isoamylase or amylopectin 6glucanohydrolase, is a debranching enzyme that hydrolyses ?-(1 ? 6) linkages in 443 amylopectin or in branched dextrins derived from the actions of ?-or ?amylases (Yang et al., 2009). LD cleaves 444 the ?-1,6 branches on amylopectin, producing linear ?-(1 ? 4) linked chains for ?-and ?-amylases to further 445 hydrolyse to glucose and maltose. The degree of branching on amylopectin and amylose in any cereal used either 446 as malt or as an adjunct source, could impact on the residual dextrins which are not fermentable (Denyer et al., 447 1999). Purified limit dextrinase from malted sorghum flour readily hydrolyses alpha-limit dextrins which have 448 maltosyl or maltotriosyl side-chains, pullulan, amylopectin and beta-limit dextrin (Haedi et al, 1976). Though, 449 LD is quite temperature sensitive, it can survive for a reasonable time in mash, where it cleaves ?-1,6 linkages and 450 thereby contributes remarkably to fermentable sugars (Fox, 2018;Hu et al., 2014;Izydorczyk & Edney, 2003). The 451 initial temperature of the brewing process influences LD activity, and with highly branched amylopectin, more 452 non-fermentable solubilized residual dextrins are produced that affect beer flavour and contribute to mouthfeel 453 (Langstaff & Lewis, 1993). Maintaining optimum temperature of 60-62°C for malt limit dextrinase as opposed to 454 50°C of purified LD, and lowering pH from 5.8 to 5.4 increase wort fermentability due to increased LD activity. 455 However, wort fermentability is more strongly correlated to free LD activity of malt than to ?-and ?-amylase 456 activities (Stenholm & Home, 1999). Nevertheless, limit dextrinase has been shown to have synergistic activity 457 with ?-amylase in solubilizing starch (MacGregor et al., 1999). 458

Dextrins containing from 4 to 10 glucose units have been observed in sorghum malt, wort and beer. During 10 d malting period, about 5% fermentable sugars and trace amounts of dextrins are detectable. Using maize adjunct during mashing at pH 4, produce a wide range of dextrins which greatly diminish towards the final stages of mashing. Both sorghum and barley beer contain similar amounts of dextrins, majority of which are branched, and the activity of LD largely reduce their concentration (Glennie & Wigh, 1986).

## <sup>464</sup> 14 g) Carboxypeptidases and Proteinases

Carboxypeptidases (exopeptidases) and proteinases (endopeptidases) are important in protein mobilisation during
 grain germination.

<sup>467</sup> Peptidase formation requires adequate oxygen but is prevented in the presence of excess carbon dioxide ( <sup>468</sup> Owuama, 1997 ). Carboxypeptidases specifically hydrolyse solubilised proteins to free alpha amino nitrogen

(FAN) [proteolytic breakdown products of endosperm proteins comprising amino acids and small peptides], 469 which is the source of nitrogen essential for anabolic functions of germinating seedling and as nutrients for 470 yeast metabolism in wort (Baxter, 1981;Enari & Sopanen, 1986). Germination conditions and sorghum cultivar 471 472 influence carboxypeptidase activity. For example, carboxypeptidase activity increases with germination time up to 4 d showing 4 times the activity in resting grains ?? Evans & Taylor, 1990a). Also moisture, temperature and 473 germination time significantly affect carboxypeptidase activity with the highest activity occurring in malt from 4 474 d germination under medium moisture at 24°C, and yielding maximum FAN value of 275µg FAN/5h/g dry malt 475 ??Evans & Taylor, 1990a;Morrall et al., 1986). Sorghum malts resulting from different final warm steep treatment 476 periods show poor correlation between the period of final warm steep treatment and carboxypeptidase activity, 477 whose levels vary with sorghum cultivars. Also, correlation between sorghum malt FAN and carboxypeptidase 478 activity can be poor or strong depending on cultivar (Okolo & Ezeogu, 1996b). Proteolytic enzyme activity in 479 sorghum is influenced by both cultivar and malting conditions but steeping does not significantly affect proteinase 480 or carboxypeptidase activity. However, different sorghum cultivars grown and malted under similar conditions 481 differ significantly in proteinase (endopeptidase) and carboxypeptidase activities ?? Evans & Taylor, 1990b). 482

Germination temperature (24-32°C) and moisture have little or no effect on proteinase activity ??Evans & 483 Taylor, 1990b). Germinating sorghum for 36 h or 48 h causes a considerable increase in protease activity in 484 485 embryo or endopeptidase activity in both embryo and endosperm (Morrall et al. 1986). Increase in germination 486 time up to 4 d moderately increase proteinase activity with a maximal yield of  $1604\mu gN/5h/g$  dry malt. The 487 highest proteinase activity differs with sorghum malts resulting from different final warm steep period and also with various cultivars (Okolo & Ezeogu, 1996b). Proteinase activity in cultivar ICSV 400 rises from 1224 to 488 1469µgN/3h/g dry malt as final warm steep period increases from 1.5 to 3.0 h. However, proteinase activity 489 declines with increase in final warm steep period beyond 3.0 h suggesting an optimum final warm steep period 490 similar to that for carboxypeptidase activity. Nevertheless, sorghum cultivar, KSV 8 attains highest proteinase 491 and carboxypeptidase activities at 6 h final warm steep period (Okolo & Ezeogu, 1996b). 492

Optimal proteinase and carboxypeptidase activities occur after 3 h final warm water steep period in cultivar 493 ICSV 400 but after 6 h final warm water steep in cultivar KSV 8 (Okolo & Ezeogu, 1996b). However, higher 494 proteinase activity occurs in cultivar KSV 8 in relation to cultivar ICSV 400, although with lower CWSprotein in 495 KSV 8. This apparent contradiction can be attributed to qualitative differences in complexity and structure of 496 endosperm proteins of various sorghum cultivars and/or differences in the nature of the major proteinase isoforms 497 498 in grains (Okolo & Ezeogu, 1996b; Riggs et al., 1983). Apparently, the highest proteinase and carboxypeptidase 499 activities occur in the same final warm water treatment period for given sorghum cultivars (Okolo & Ezeogu, 1996b). Varying sorghum cultivars and air rest periods from 1 to 4 h during steeping with 6 h final warm water 500 (40°C) steep, greatly influence CWSprotein, total cold water soluble, cold water soluble protein modification 501 index, total free alpha amino acid nitrogen, and carboxypeptidase and proteinase activities of malt (Okolo & 502 Ezeogu, 1995b). 503

Evaluation of the effects of calcium ion in steep liquor, on sorghum endosperm reserve protein mobilization 504 of two sorghum cultivars, ICSV 400 and KSV 8, reveal remarkable enhancement of total nonprotein nitrogen 505 (TNPN) accumulation in ICSV 400 malt, but 23 White non-tannin sorghum grain produces substantially higher 506 levels of FAN than white type II tannin sorghum, due to the presence of tannin. Incubating sorghum grains with 507 combined exogenous neutral proteinase and amino-peptidase, improve FAN production. However, malts from 508 the white non-tannin and tannin sorghum types produce similar FAN levels when incubated in the absence of 509 the exogenous proteases. Malts of both tannin and non-tannin sorghums incubated with neutral proteinase alone 510 yield substantially more FAN (124-126 mg 100 g -1) than the grains (61-84 mg 100 g -1). The combination of 511 aminopeptidase and proteinase do not improve on FAN yield. Also, malting does not influence wort free amino 512 acid profile. Nevertheless, group B amino acids constitute the highest percentage (42-47%) (Dlamin et al., 2015). 513

## 514 15 h) Lipases

Lipase (triacylglycerol acyhydrolase) catalyses the hydrolysis of triacylglycerides to free fatty acids and glycerol 515 (Lin et al., 1983). Malt lipoxidase catalyses peroxidative reaction that converts free fatty acids to hydroperoxides 516 and aldehydes which have detrimental effects on beer such as poor acceptability and reduced shelf-life (Kobayashi 517 et al., 1993). A higher level of fatty acid is present in sorghum relative to barley, wheat and millet (Osagie, 1987). 518 Sorghum grains contain detectable lipase activity which varies slightly during 24 h steeping period at 30°C and 519 increases during germination to about 4-fold after 96 h. However, lipase activity varies among different sorghum 520 (red and white) cultivars, but peaked in malts derived from 4 d of germination, though the red showed higher 521 522 activity (Nwanguma et al. 1996, Uvere & Orji, 2002). Differences in lipase activity apparently suggest variations 523 in lipase synthesis or differences in endogenous regulators of lipase activity (Chapman, 1987). The lipase activity 524 in plumule, endosperm and radicle are 68%, 29% and 3 % respectively in 72 h old malt. Sorghum malt lipase apparently consists of three isoforms, two of which have their highest activity optima within the acidic pH range 525 (Uvere & Orji, 2002). The optimal pH for sorghum lipase is 7 although the activity range is between pH 5.5 526 and 9. The percentage lipase activity at pH 5.5, 6, 8 and 9, relative to that at pH 7 are 50%, 95%, 88% and 527 60% respectively (Nwanguma et al. 1996; Uvere & Orji, 2002). Because of the wide pH range, sorghum lipase 528 activity occurs during steeping, malting and mashing (Gram, 1982, Uvere & Orji, 2002). Lipase activity decreases 529 in sorghum malt after kilning at 48°C for 24 h to between 24% and 66% of total lipase activity in green malt 530

depending on sorghum variety, however mashing at 65°C yields wort with no detectable lipase activity (Uvere & Orji, 2002). Exposing malt crude water extract for 10 min to temperatures of 50°C, 60°C and 65°C reduce lipase

activity to 57%, 43% and 14% respectively, of the original activity and total loss of lipase activity result from heating extract for 30 min at 50°C (Nwanguma et al. 1996).

#### 535 16 i) Peroxidases

Plant peroxidases are heme-proteins that utilise hydrogen peroxide (H 2 O 2) to oxidise various hydrogen donors 536 including phenolic substances, amines, ascorbic acid, indole and particular inorganic ions (Diao et al., 2011;Dicko 537 et al., 2006; Dunford, 2010; Murphy et al., 2012). Peroxidase catalyses the reductive destruction of hydrogen 538 peroxide and invariably contributes to the defence system of living organism against peroxidation of unsaturated 539 lipids involving oxygen radicals (Floyd, 1990;Nwanguma & Eze, 1995). Lipid peroxidation causes reduction in 540 quality and shelf life of most cereal products. Peroxidase activity in different sorghum varieties differs with 541 malting regimes. Various sorghum varieties differed in their expression of peroxidase over different germination 542 periods. The least peroxidase activity was? 0.6 peroxidase units in the different varieties, occur at the end 543 of 24 h steeping period. The highest peroxidase activity (above 6 peroxidase units) occur between 72 and 96 544 h of germination. Generally, the size of the sorghum grain affects peroxidase expression. Most of the sorghum 545 varieties that show remarkable differences in peroxidase expression between the raw grains and the green malt 546 at the end of germination period, are among the smallest sized varieties (Nnamchi et al., 2013). 547

Lipid peroxidation is undesirable in malting and brewing (Bamforth et al., 1993;Kobayashi et al., 1993). 548 During malting, aldehydes and other lipid peroxidation products are released that affect the availability of 549 wort nutrients, interfere with yeast metabolism, cause flavour deterioration and affect colloidal stability of 550 beer (Bamforth et al., 1993;Nnamchi et al., 2013). Peroxidase activity increases by about 14-fold during the 551 germination of sorghum grains steeped at 30°C for 24 h, however the levels present vary with sorghum varieties 552 (Nwanguma & Eze, 1995). Peroxidase activity of 39-40% is detectable in endosperm while a combined activity 553 of 56-61% occur in the acrospire and rootlet. The optimal pH for sorghum peroxidase is 5.5 and kilning at 554 48°C for 24 h shows no depressing effect on the peroxidase activity (Nwanguma & Eze, 1995). In crude extract, 555 sorghum peroxidase activity decreases from 77% to 7.5% after 15 min exposure to temperatures of 60°C to 80°C 556 respectively. Nevertheless, peroxidase activity declines to 5% in 5 min at 85°C and is completely absent at higher 557 temperatures. Sorghum peroxidase survives better in wort than crude extract and about 50% of peroxidase 558 activity is retained in wort after mashing for 1 h at 65°C (Nwanguma & Eze, 1995). Since remarkable amounts 559 of lipid oxidation products form during mashing (Meersche et al., 1983), it is therefore important that sorghum 560 peroxidase remains active in wort to remove oxygen radicals at the later stages of brewing. 561 V. 562

### 563 17 Malting Loss

Malting loss is the summation of leaching/steeping, metabolic/respiration and vegetative/sprout losses (Malleshi 564 & Desikachar, 1986; Owuama, 1999). Basically, it is the loss in weight of grains after malting. However, malting 565 loss in commercial kaffircorn malts are only due to metabolic and leaching losses, since roots and shoots are not 566 usually removed but milled in with the berry (Owuama, 1997). Factors which influence malting losses include 567 germination period, germination temperature, steep moisture, kilning temperature and sorghum variety. Malting 568 losses, generally vary with germination temperature and increase with germination period. Percentage malting 569 loss increases with germination period among sorghum varieties and range from 8.68% to 27.56% (Bekele, 2012). 570 Malting loss is higher at 25°C (8.4%) and 30°C (10.9%) than at 20°C (6.5%) and malts produced at 30°C over 571 1 to 6 d show losses of 3 to 31% depending on sorghum variety (Owuama, 1999;Beta et al., 1995;Owuama & 572 Asheno, 1994). Germination temperatures of 25 to 30°C are optimal for amylase and diastatic power development 573 in sorghum malt, and encourage vigorous respiration and high malting losses (Owuama, 1999). High steep-out 574 moisture of grains and watering during malting, enhance the rate of germination and malting loss while reducing 575 malting loss by lowering temperature or moisture level causes a marked decrease in diastatic power (Beta et 576 al., 1995; Owuama, 1999). Thus, the attainment of a good diastatic power in sorghum malt may be linked to 577 high malting loss. Percentage malting loss has also been shown to differ among sorghum varieties and generally 578 lower among cultivars of Sorghum bicolor (16.3 and 17.8 %) than those of Sorghum vulgare (16.4 to 26.0 %) 579 (Owuama, 2019). A respiration/metabolic loss of 10 to 15% and percentage vegetative loss for S. bicolor cultivar 580 (8.9-10.1%) and S. vulgare varieties (7.2-13.3%) are expected in well-malted sorghum with good diastatic 581 power (Owuama, 2019). Minimizing malting loss, while achieving sufficient grain modification during malting is 582 desirable to produce malt for brewing Bekele, 2012; Ezeogu & Okolo, 1996). 583

#### 584 18 VI.

## 585 19 Proteins in Sorghum Grains and Malt

Amorphous storage proteins associate with starch granules within endosperm of barley and sorghum, and during grain germination, malt proteolytic enzymes initiate the modification of grain reserve in endosperm by hydrolysing proteins associated with starch granules, thereby exposing the starch and increasing its susceptibility to amylolysis (Holmes, 1992;Palmer, 1989). The hydrolysis of insoluble reserve protein in germinating grain provides amino
 acids necessary for the synthesis of hydrolytic enzymes and grain structural materials in growing tissues of seedling
 (Owuama, 1999). Nevertheless, malts show lower protein than unmalted grains and malts from sorghum cultivars

with high diastatic activity exhibit high levels of albumin-globulin fraction (Subramanian et al., 1995). Crude
protein contents of grains differ with sorghum varieties and range from 7.0 to 12.3% (Bekele et al., 2012;Owuama,
2019).

During malting, FAN is mainly derived from the hydrolysis of proteins in the endosperm and comprises free 595 amino acids and small peptides, produced by proteinases and carboxypeptidases activities of the malt, and 596 remarkable portion of the nitrogen in the kernel is transferred to the roots and shoots. Proteolytic activity 597 increases with germination time during malting ?? Evans and Taylor, 1990a). FAN increases in wort with 598 germination period (48-144 h) is partly due to the inclusion of dried roots and shoots (which are rich in FAN) 599 during mashing. The addition of dried roots and shoots of sorghum malt during mashing to ensure adequate 600 FAN level in the wort is necessary particularly for cultivars with minimal FAN content ??Dewar, et al., 1997a). 601 Unlike barley malt which is much richer in proline, sorghum malt has asparagine and glutamine as its two most 602 important free amino acids. Also, sorghum malt has higher percentage of amino acids readily assimilated by 603 yeast than barley malt and other cereals such as wheat (Hill and Stewart, 2019). However, percentage malt total 604 605 nitrogen in sorghum malts vary considerably between 2.0 and 3.1 % while their protein contents range from 12.2 606 to 19.5 % (Owuama, 2019).

Sorghum malts obtained by steeping grains for 22 h followed with 4 h air rest and further 24 h wet steep at 20°C 607 (giving steep moisture of 34-35%) and subsequently germinated for 5 d at 20°C, 25°C and 30°C show more effective 608 hydrolysis of endosperm proteins at 20°C than at 25°C and 30°C. Malting at 30°C transfers larger quantities of 609 nitrogen from endosperm to embryos (axes and scutella) than malting at 20°C and 25°C, but less amino acids and 610 peptides are transferred to root during malting at 30°C than at 20°C and 25°C. Nitrogen may also move from root 611 to embryo by physiological mechanisms (Agu & Palmer, 1996) Steeping regime and sorghum cultivar significantly 612 influence FAN values. Generally, exposing sorghum grains to a steep regime incorporating air rest cycles and 613 final warm water steep result in the highest FAN level in ICSV 400 and KSV 8 varieties while continuous 614 steep regime without final warm water steep produce the lowest FAN values. Cultivar and duration of final 615 warm water (40°C) steep highly influence protein modification indices viz., soluble protein of cold water extract 616 (CWS-protein), total non-protein nitrogen (TNPN), a small peptide accumulation, free alpha amino nitrogen, 617 carboxypeptidase and proteinase activities (Okolo & Ezeogu, 1996b). The application of final warm water steep 618 without air rest stimulates FAN development in cultivars ICSV 400 and KSV 8 but significantly represses FAN 619 development in SK 5912. Nevertheless, significant improvement of FAN values occurs in all sorghum varieties 620 after the application of air rest cycles during steeping although the FAN levels vary with cultivar (Ezeogu & 621 Okolo, 1996). Apparently, these differences reflect variations in grain protein structure and degradability (Riggs 622 et al., 1983), amino acid transport processes, and probably differences in enzyme characteristics (Owuama, 1999). 623

624

Generally, ICSV 400 shows higher FAN, CWSprotein solubilising activity and accumulation, and better 625 protein modification potential than KSV 8. However, lower TNPN and TNPN-FAN difference in ICSV 400 626 contrasts with its high FAN, thus suggesting superior anabolic protein turnover apparently from efficient peptide 627 translocation process. Nevertheless, the levels of nitrogenous substances are inconsistent with the proteolytic 628 activities suggesting the involvement of factors other than proteolysis in protein modification (Okolo & Ezeogu, 629 1996b). Remarkably, KSV 8 records lower FAN although it generally expresses higher carboxypeptidase activity 630 631 in relation to ICSV 400. This suggests a variation in the rate of protein synthesis from FAN and thus a possible 632 higher rate of anabolic protein turnover in KSV 8 and lower FAN accumulation (Okolo & Ezeogu, 1996b).

Four days of germination of sorghum cultivars steeped in alkaline liquor (0.1% NaOH solution) for 48 h at 633 30°C under different steeping regimes, reveal that steep regime, steep liquor and sorghum cultivar highly and 634 significantly influence the protein modification indicators viz., CWS-protein, CWS-protein modification index, 635 TNPN, peptide accumulation, FAN, endo-and exo-protease activities. Alkaline steeping causes a highly significant 636 increase in sorghum malt FAN (Okolo & Ezeogu, 1996b). FAN in malt is a net balance of amino acids and peptides 637 resulting from storage protein degradation and those utilised for synthesising new proteins in roots and shoots of 638 growing plant (Morrall et al., 1986; FAN development vary among cultivars probably because of differences in 639 major enzyme characteristics and rate of protein metabolism during sorghum grain malting as well as variations 640 in grain protein structure and degradability (Riggs et al., 1983), amino acid and peptide transport processes 641 642 (Owuama, 1999). Nevertheless, other miscellaneous cultivar-dependent factors also play a role in the control and 643 modulation of protein degradation and synthesis in germinating plant seeds (Shutov & Vaintraub, 1987). Free 644 alpha amino nitrogen development in malt is important in brewing as it constitutes about 70% of total FAN in 645 wort (Pickerell et al., 1986;.

In general sorghum malts from grains steeped with air rest period and steepout moisture of 33-35% reveal increase in diastatic power, FAN, extract and malting loss with germination time. Germination temperatures of 24 and 28°C are equally good for the development of diastatic power, FAN and extract. Diastatic power, FAN, and extract and malting loss increase with high moisture during germination (Morrall et al., 1986). Germination at 32°C under high moisture shows similar FAN level in malt at 3.0-4.5 d, possibly a period of catabolic and anabolic equilibrium, before increasing further to a maximum of 180 mg FAN/100 g malt after 6 d (Morrall et al., 1986).

FAN levels in sorghum grain wort mashed with commercial enzymes are considerably lower than those obtained 653 with sorghum malt (Dale et al., 1989;Goode et al., 2003). FAN levels of 130-150 mg/L are considered adequate to 654 support optimal yeast growth and fermentation efficiency (Dhamija & Singh, 1978; O'Connor-Cox & Ingledew, 655 1989), thus to overcome the very low FAN levels when brewing with sorghum, high levels of proteolytic enzymes 656 are required. Use of reducing agents such as 2-mercaptoethanol (Dale et al., 1990; Hamaker et al., 1987), 657 sodium bisulphite and ascorbic acid; Arbab and El Tinay, 1997) have been shown improve sorghum protein 658 hydrolysis. Addition of reducing agents such as KMS (potassium metabisulphite), when mashing sorghum grain 659 with exogenous protease also improves FAN production. The rate of sorghum protein hydrolysis is significantly 660 increased by KMS which reduces intermolecular molecular disulphide bonds in the kafirin polymers and oligomers, 661 and apparently allows better access of protease to the kafirin (Ng'andwe et al., 2008). Presumably, reducing agents 662 can reduce the stabilizing inter-and intra-molecular disulphide bonds, which influence the conformation of kafirin 663 before and after exposure to wet cooking (Enari & Sopanen, 1986;Ng'andwe et al., 2008). 664

### 665 20 VII.

### 666 21 Water Extracts of Malts

Hot water extracts (HWE) and Cold water extracts (CWE) (which are soluble products from enzyme hydrolysis 667 within endosperm during the malting process that include sugars and amino acids) vary with sorghum cultivars. 668 However, there are substantial differences between CWE and HWE of malts among various sorghum cultivars 669 (Holmes, 1991;Owuama, 2019). HWE values have been shown to be about 1.5 to 3 fold higher than CWE 670 in both Sorghum bicolor and S. vulgare varieties. CWE apparently correlate with total nitrogen and protein 671 contents in malts from S. bicolor but not with those from S. vulgare (Owuama, 2019). CWE and HWE are 672 influenced by cultivar, steeping conditions and steep liquor. CWE is generally enhanced in certain cultivars by 673 alkaline steep with final warm water steep but depressed in others apparently due to alkaline steep repression of 674 certain malt properties like diastatic power and ?amylase activity (Okolo & Ezeogu, 1996a). A combination of 675 air resting and final warm water steep at 40°C reduces kernel growth and malting loss but significantly improves 676 CWE, HWE, diastatic power, ?and ?-amylase activities. But final warm water steep without air resting causes 677 a decrease in extract recovery and enzyme activity (Ezeogu & Okolo, 1994). Generally, sorghum malt produced 678 at 25°C and 30°C show depressed HWE yield and total soluble nitrogen development during mashing in contrast 679 680 to that produced at 20°C (Agu & Palmer, 1996). Steeping sorghum grains in alkaline liquor generally enhances HWE of malts in cultivar ICSV 400 but reduce HWE in cultivar SK 5912 albeit with an increase in ?-and 681 ?amylolytic activities. This suggests possible inhibition of other enzymes contributing to endosperm cell wall 682 structure solubilisation such as exo-and endo-proteases and ?-glucanase, and consequent prevention of amylase 683 access to starch granules for efficient conversion (Okolo & Ezeogu, 1996a). 684

The ?-amylase development in sorghum malt is better enhanced during germination at 30°C than at 28°C. Using infusion mashing, hot water extract (HWE) show remarkable difference within germination time over 3-6 d, but not influenced by germination temperature. However, using the decantation mashing method, no appreciable change in HWE occurred over the germination period. Relatively, low HWE obtained from sorghum malt in the infusion mashing process indicate that it is unsuitable for optimal extract production from malted sorghum. Sorghum malt from germination at 28°C releases more FAN products into the worts than the malt from 30°C, using both the infusion and decantation methods (Ijasan, et al., 2011).

Generally, malting increases water extract (WE), water extractable protein (WEP), HWE, and hot water 692 extractable protein (HWEP) of sorghum grains by 3.0-, 3.4-, 2.3-and 2.0-fold respectively (Subramanian et al., 693 1995). Diastatic activity correlates significantly and positively with WEP and water-extractable contents of malt 694 produced at 30°C. Percentage WEP as a proportion of total protein vary between 11.0 and 36.0% and HWEP 695 range from 19.3 to 44.1% (Subramanian et al., 1995). CWS-protein in grains steeped with aeration at 30° and 696 final warm water steep at 40°C for 6 d is significantly higher than those steeped without air cycle. This may 697 be due to an increase in protein solubilisation in response to improved enzyme synthesis or better hydration of 698 endosperm and enzymes mobility (Ezeogu & Okolo, 1996). The CWS-protein yield varies with sorghum cultivar 699 in both protein solubilisation activity and CWS-protein accumulation. For example, CWSprotein value from 700 cultivar SK5912 (1680 mg % dry malt) is significantly higher than those for ICSV 400 (1030 mg % dry malt) and 701 KSV 8 (1280 mg % dry malt) (Ezeogu & Okolo, 1996). 702

### 703 **22 VIII.**

### 704 23 Mashing

Mashing in conventional brewing is basically by two methods, viz., decoction and infusion processes (Briggs et al., 1981). During mashing, water soluble substances dissolve, enzymes hydrolyse solubilised starch and proteins and to a lesser extent other higher molecular weight substances essential for the type and character of beer, and finally dissolved substances are separated. Hydrolyses of substances involve enzymes such as amylases, proteases, peptidases, transglucosidases and phosphorylases which are regulated by factors like temperature, pH, time and concentration of the wort. Mashing extracts about 80% of the dry matter from the malt while cold water extracts
about 15% (Briggs et al., 1981;Mandl & Wagner, 1978).

Mashing sorghum malt by decoction process and infusion methods are influenced by temperaturetime regimes 712 and sorghum variety, and produce worts of varying composition (Owuama & Okafor, 1987). In three-stage 713 decoction, about 70% of mash is boiled to gelatinise starch for greater amylolytic activity while creating plenty 714 of opportunity for proteolytic enzyme action and minimising scope for the development of lactic acid bacteria 715 (Owuama, 1999). Sorghum starch gelatinization temperature (68-72?) is influenced by kafirin (sorghum prolamin 716 protein) (Taylor and Taylor, 2018). Kafirin resistance to protease digestion (mainly due to intermolecular 717 disulphide bonding), affects the digestibility of starch. (Elkonin, et al., 2013), resulting in partial starch hydrolysis 718 into fermentable sugars (Heredia-Olea, 2017). Thus, starch digestion by amylolytic enzymes increase the quantity 719 of protein in individual kafirin fractions (?, ? and ? kafirin) and reduce the amount of high molecular weight 720 proteins. And consequently, kafirin digestion by pepsin results in the formation of polypeptide (Elkonin, et al., 721 2013). Mashing of sorghum malt at 65°C and 70°C for 30 min each, at second and third stages respectively, 722 of three stagedecoction process, provides wort with complete hydrolysis (Owuama, 1999;Solomon et al., 1994) 723 (65°C) than dextrinising temperature (70°C) gives wort with higher reducing sugar levels (Owuama & Okafor, 724 1987). However, maintaining mash for 60 min at second stage and 70°C for 60 min in third stage produce more 725 726 fermentable sugars (Owuama & Okafor, 1987). Reducing sugars and proteins in wort increase as concentration of 727 sorghum malt rises from 15 to 25% (Owuama & Okafor, 1987), apparently because of a simple increase in mash 728 concentration and stability of enzymes. Infusion mashing at 65°C releases higher levels of peptides but lower quantities of ?-amino nitrogen and total soluble nitrogen than decantation mash in which decanted enzymatically 729 active wort is used to mash gelatinised sorghum starch at 65°C (Mandl & Wagner, 1978;Owuama, 1999). 730

Mashing sorghum malt by the European Brewing Convention (EBC) congress procedure ??EBC, 1987), 731 which involves hydrolysis of pre-cooked malt insoluble solids using an enzymatic malt extract, yield wort with 732 approximate maltose to glucose ratio of 4:1. But mashing malt extract without pre-cooking of malt insoluble 733 solids produce worts containing approximately equal amounts of maltose and glucose (Taylor & Dewar, 1994). 734 Nevertheless, both treatments give the same quantity of total fermentable sugars and wort extract. Infusion 735 mashing of 13.8 dry weight of total cereal content, {composed of 21% sorghum malt (diastatic power ca 38 736 SDU/g) with cooked adjunct of 70% maize grit and 8% sorghum malt}, at 60°C, pH 4 for 2 h in the presence 737 of about 200 ppm calcium ions results in almost complete conservation of diastatic activity, increase in extract, 738 maximum yield of reducing sugar in wort, and the detection of ?-amylase activity which appears to be lacking in 739 the absence of calcium ions (Taylor & Daiber, 1988). 740

A relatively high level of starch extracts and low level of fermentable extracts have been obtained by using a 741 non-conventional mashing procedure i.e. decanting active enzyme wort after mashing sorghum malt at 45°C for 742 30 min, and gelatinising starchy grist residue at 80-100°C before mixing with wort, to achieve a saccharifying 743 temperature of 65°C (Palmer, 1989). Palmer (1989), attributed the result to smaller quantities of ?-amylase in 744 the wort. Lower wort filtration volume is produced in mashes containing raw sorghum than in all malt mashes. 745 Adding external enzyme during mashing of sorghum malt increases extract yields and free amino nitrogen in wort 746 (Agu et al., 1995;Bamforth et al., 1993). Introducing industrial enzyme preparations containing ?amylase and 747 ?-glucanase to mashes with raw sorghum yield higher values of extract recovery in relation to untreated mashes. 748 Addition of amyloglucosidase (AMG) to sorghum during mashing results in an improved wort yield, filtration 749 rate, and a higher percentage ethanol after fermentation (Urias-Lugo and Saldivar 2005, Espinosa-Ramírez, 750 2014). Moreover, adding enzyme preparations containing a neutral proteinase increases wort total nitrogen and 751 free amino nitrogen while enzyme preparations with ?-glucanase or cellulase decrease wort viscosity relative to 752 untreated mashes (Dale et al., 1990). Also a 20% (w/v) sweet potato flour substitution for sorghum malt increases 753 maltose level in wort, apparently because of the presence of ?-glucanase (limiting in sorghum) in sweet potato 754 (Etim & Etokakpan, 1992). Mashes composed of 50% malt and 50% raw sorghum and supplemented with enzyme 755 preparations show an increase in wort filtration volume relative to similar mashes without enzyme supplements 756 (Dale et al., 1990). Mashing 50% malt and 50% polished (whole) sorghum by single decoction mashing regime 757 produce wort with filtration behaviour (lautering) comparable to that from control mash (70% malt and 30% 758 maize grits) while wort produced by double mashing regime from 20% malt and 80% raw sorghum supplemented 759 with industrial enzyme show slow filtration and result in sweet and turbid wort. Apparently, this reflects low 760 malt content of grist and lack of suitable material to form mash filter bed (Dale et al., 1990). 761

## <sup>762</sup> 24 a) Wort and Wort Extracts

763 Worts are usually produced from mashing malts plus adjuncts and contain a variety of fermentable extracts. 764 Worts from two varieties of sorghum malts mashed using commercial brewing enzymes reveal sorghum wort and 765 evaporated wort (extract), containing sufficient sugars and amino acids required for yeast growth and alcohol 766 production during fermentation (Odibo et al., 2002). Mashing different varieties of sorghum malts with exogenous enzyme extracts from sweet sorghum (Ipomoea batatas) and yellow yam (Discorea cayensis) yield worts containing 767 higher reducing sugars than the untreated malts. However, worts from malts mashed with Discorea cayensis show 768 remarkably higher reducing sugars than those mashed with Ipomoea batatas (Owuama & Adeyemo, 2009). Worts 769 from barley malt and waxy sorghum grits are comparable to commercial wort and provide adequate substrates 770 for Saccharomyces cerevisiae fermentation (Barredo Moguel et al., 2012). Sugar profile of wort from sorghum 771

malt, barley malt, sorghum and barley grains mashed with commercial enzyme show that wort of barley malt and sorghum malt have similar ratios (1:7) of glucose to maltose. However, mashing barley or sorghum grains with commercial enzymes alter the glucose to maltose ratio in both worts, although a greater change is observed in wort from sorghum grains. Nevertheless, hydrolysis with commercial enzymes yield more glucose in sorghum wort, but have more maltose in barley wort. Adding barley malt to sorghum grains mashed with commercial enzymes, reestablish the glucose to maltose ratio in sorghum mash ??Okolo et al., 2020).

Worts from grists containing raw sorghum are of higher fermentability and show lower levels of total nitrogen 778 and free amino nitrogen compared to control worts. Worts from mashes containing raw sorghum and malt 779 comprising 20% malt and 80% raw sorghum possess higher levels of total nitrogen and free amino nitrogen than 780 is expected from the reduction of malt content of mash, consistent with the release of nitrogenous components 781 (polypeptides, peptides and amino acids) from sorghum in wort. Wort from 20% malt and 80% raw sorghum 782 has greatly reduced total nitrogen and free amino nitrogen compared to that of all malt wort (Dale et al., 1990). 783 However, levels of both total nitrogen and free amino nitrogen in wort from 20% malt and 80% raw sorghum are 784 not reduced in proportion to malt content of mash, thus suggesting that nitrogenous materials from sorghum are 785 released during mashing into wort. The wort from 20% malt and 80% raw sorghum contains higher proportions 786 of aspartic acid, serine, asparagine, glutamic acid, alanine and histidine but lower proportions of proline, leucine 787 788 and phenylalanine than control wort (Dale et al., 1990). Worts derived from sorghum malt-1% koji (sorghum 789 grains steeped with 1% Aspergillus oryzae and germinated for 4 d) using double mashing procedure generated 790 27% more fermentable sugars and 24% more FAN. Remarkably, wort from sorghum-1% koji malt contains 8.8% less fermentable sugars compared to the barley malt. However, barley wort has higher maltose concentration 791 than the sorghum worts. The sorghum-2% koji malt does not yield more fermentable sugars than sorghum-1% 792 koji malt. Sorghum malt and sorghum malt-1% koji produced  $12^\circ\mathrm{P}$  worts with 40% and 21% less fermentable 793 sugars respectively, compared to the control wort from barley malt (Heredia-Olea et al., 2017). 794

Worts from upward infusion mashing contain more reducing sugars and proteins than those from downward 795 infusion process. Perhaps, initial high temperature (70°C) of downward infusion method inactivates some 796 saccharifying and proteolytic enzymes (Owuama & Okafor, 1987). Worts from three-step decoction and upward 797 infusion mashing processes contain virtually the same quantities of reducing sugars and proteins although mashing 798 malt of different sorghum varieties with three mashing processes, yield worts with little variation in the types 799 of sugars present (Owuama & Okafor, 1987). Mashes with grists containing high proportions of raw sorghum 800 (50-80% malt replacement) yield high values of extract and produce worts of lower nitrogen, free amino nitrogen, 801 viscosity and colour but higher pH values than in worts from all malt mashes (Dale et al., 1990). Increase in the 802 proportion of raw sorghum in grist relative to malt results in decline in extract recovery, wort total nitrogen, free 803 amino nitrogen but increase in pH. Also, worts from mashes containing raw sorghum have lower viscosity than 804 those from all malt worts (Dale et al., 1990). 805

Mashing of grists containing 50% extruded whole sorghum produces worts of high yield and low viscosity. Increasing the proportion of extruded sorghum in grist causes a decrease in wort filtration volume, total nitrogen and FAN (Dale et al., 1989). The wort filtration behaviour of mashes containing sorghum extruded at 175°C compare favourably with all malt control and is superior to those of mashes containing sorghum extruded at 165°C or 185°C. The results are comparable to those with extruded barley and extruded wheat as brewing adjuncts (Dale et al., 1989).

Generally, mashing sorghum malt, with threestep decoction, upward and downward infusion mashing methods 812 yield worts with similar amino acids. The amino acid, tryptophan which seems to be absent in sorghum grain 813 ) is present in worts from sorghum malt (Owuama & Okafor, 1987). Except proline, amino acids in wort 814 are assimilated by yeast during fermentation and preferentially provide nitrogen for yeast growth while their 815 metabolic products affect beer flavour and stability (Owuama, 1999). However, yeasts can also utilise some small 816 peptides which only permit slow growth (Bamforth, 2001) thus emphasising the importance of high level of free 817 ?-amino nitrogen (FAN) in wort to support rapid and proper fermentation (Owuama, 1999). Mashing at 51 o C 818 and pH 4.6 yield approximately 30% free amino nitrogen (FAN) essential for yeast growth during fermentation 819 while the rest 70% is pre-formed in malt and adjunct. And, sorghum beer contains low percentage of proline 820 indicating good quality FAN. In infusion mashing at 60°C, pH 4.0 for 2 h, very high (VH) or high medium 821 (HM) FAN worts promote almost complete attenuation of sugars in 48 h while low FAN worts require 72-96 822 h. High FAN worts promote more rapid fermentation of available sugar by yeasts than low FAN worts and a 823 highly significant correlation exist between total brewing time and total soluble nitrogen in wort (Agu et al., 824 1995; Pickerell, 1986;). The higher the initial FAN concentration, the greater the rate of uptake by yeast (Jones & 825 Pierce, 1969). Further, wort sugar level which influences overall demand for FAN seems not to affect FAN uptake 826 rate (Pickerell, 1986). FAN in wort is higher after 120 h than after 24 h, particularly in high FAN wort. This 827 may be attributable to lysis of aging or dead yeast cells and nitrogenous substances excreted by yeast cells during 828 fermentation (Pickerell, 1986). Higher initial FAN level encourages greater rate of ethanol production, thus, in 829 very high FAN wort, ethanol production is slightly faster than in medium high FAN wort, indicating possible 830 FAN optimum for sorghum beer fermentation. Furthermore, in very low FAN wort, fermentation is protracted 831 and sugar utilisation by yeast is poor and invariably alcohol yield is low. However, sugar uptake depends on its 832 level in wort i.e. high wort sugar is taken up faster than low wort sugar (Pickerell, 1986). Proteolytic activity 833 during infusion mashing at 60°C and pH 4.0 for 2 h produces about 30% of wort FAN while 70% is pre-formed 834

in malt and adjunct. FAN in sorghum beer wort is good as it contains a low percentage (ca 10%) of proline . Optimum mashing conditions for FAN production are 51°C and pH 4.6. Raising the ratio of sorghum malt to adjunct leads to a proportional increase in wort FAN while raising ratio of adjunct to malt results in a decrease in wort FAN. However, wort FAN is directly proportional to malt FAN and the addition of microbial proteolytic enzyme to mash increases wort FAN .

## <sup>840</sup> 25 IX. Fermentation and Beer Characteristics

Yeast is usually pitched into wort, which consists mainly of fermentable sugars, including glucose, fructose, 841 sucrose, maltose and maltotriose, as well as dextrins, nitrogenous materials, vitamins, ions, mineral salts, and 842 trace elements (Bamforth, 2001). During fermentation, brewing yeasts adapt quickly to the wort environment, 843 utilizing available nitrogen for the synthesis of cellular proteins and other cell components (Hill & Stewart, 2019). 844 Wort encourages the growth of new yeast cells which ferment the medium to produce ethanol, carbon dioxide and 845 other metabolic products, many of which contribute to the flavour of the beer (Ferreira & Guido, 2018). Beer 846 brewed from the normal wort of sorghum is lighter in colour than that brewed from the re-dissolved sorghum 847 848 extract (evaporated wort). The lower alcohol values or higher colour of beer brewed with sorghum extract was 849 linked to the Maillard reaction, which occurs during the process of evaporating the wort to produce the extract. However, organoleptic assessment showed that beer brewed using the extract was generally acceptable. (Odibo 850 851 et al., 2002).

852 Fermentations of lager worts from waxy sorghum grits inoculated with either yeast cultured in wort or yeast grown in yeast-malt media produce levels of alpha amino nitrogen (AAN) and fusel alcohols comparable to that 853 of commercial wort. The oxygen concentration decrease from 20% at the start of fermentation to below 1% after 854 72 h fermentation reflecting a gradual change from aerobic to anaerobic condition. The utilization of AAN from 855 waxy sorghum grits wort for production of amyl-isoamyl alcohol, propanol and isobutanol is comparable to the 856 control barley wort, over 144 h of fermentation. The isobutanol produced has the least concentration. Propanol 857 858 production started after 24 h fermentation of worts inoculated with yeast cultured in wort, and after 36 h with 859 yeast cultured in yeast-malt media. The concentration of ethanol and fusel alcohols in sorghum beer falls within the commercial beer range (Barredo Moguel et al., 2012). 860

Worts from grist containing extruded sorghum ferment more quickly than all malt wort and attain lower final 861 gravity values (Dale et al., 1989). Worts and beers produced under isothermal infusion mashing conditions from 862 grists comprising 70% malt plus 30% extruded sorghum and 100% malt filter without difficulty. Beers from 863 grists containing extruded sorghum contain lower levels of total nitrogen and FAN compared to all malt beer, 864 865 an observation which is consistent with extruded sorghum contributing little or no nitrogenous material to wort and beer (Dale et al., 1989). Beers from grists containing extruded sorghum are of sound flavour and show 866 867 reasonable foam stability behaviour (Dale et al., 1989). Fermentation of normal brewing sorghum wort produced 868 slightly higher levels of alcohol than evaporated sorghum wort (extract) (Odibo et al., 2002). However, the 869 non-fermentable residual dextrins are solubilized during brewing and remain in beer and contribute to mouthfeel (Langstaff and Lewis, 1993). 870

Beers produced from 50% malt and 50% polished sorghum, and 20% malt and 80% raw sorghum filter without 871 difficulty and have sound flavour (Dale et al., 1990). Beers produced from 50% malt and 50% polished sorghum 872 contain lower levels of isobutanol, 2methylbutanol, dimethylsulphide and higher level of n propanol and diacetyl 873 in relation to control beers. The post-fermentation gravity, colour and pH are comparable to control beers (Dale 874 et al., 1990). Carbohydrate composition of beer brewed from 20% malt and 80% raw sorghum compare favourably 875 with those from all malt beer as well as that from commercial beer brewed from 60% malt and 40% sorghum 876 877 grits. However, form stability behaviour of beer brewed from 20% malt and 80% raw sorghum is poor relative to 878 that from all malt beer (Dale et al., 1990).

The polypeptide content of beer influences foam stability behaviour and susceptibility to nonbiological haze development (Dale & Young, 1987). The low total nitrogen content of beer from 20% malt and 80% raw sorghum is responsible for high resistance to non-biological haze formation but low head retention. Beer susceptibility to microbial spoilage may be influenced by level of free amino nitrogen present (Owuama, 1999). Thus, low levels of total nitrogen and free amino nitrogen in beer from 20% malt and 80% raw sorghum may confer good storage properties against non-biological and microbial spoilage (Dale et al., 1990).

Supplementation of sorghum mash comprising sorghum malt plus adjunct (regular or waxy sorghum) with 885 ?-amylase or amyloglucosidase and using a double-mashing procedure yield sorghum malt worts with increased 886 887 amount of fermentable sugars. Addition of amyloglucosidase during mashing increases total sugar content by 888 20% and glucose content by five-fold vis-à-vis worts without exogenous enzymes. Worts from barley malt and 889 sorghum malt contain adequate quantity of free amino nitrogen. Fermentation of worts by typical lager brewing 890 conditions yield barley malt beer containing approximately 1% more ethanol relative to the sorghum malt beers 891 that are not supplemented with exogenous amylolytic enzymes. Fermentation of worts from AMG supplemented mash produce beers with ethanol increase by 1.1% units, and comparable contents regardless of the type of malt. 892 Fusel alcohol concentrations do not differ with mash treatments. Addition of amyloglucosidase to mash is known 893 to give higher yields of alcohol in 100% gluten-free sorghum beers (Espinosa-Ramirez et al., 2013). Addition of 894 ?amylase or amyloglucosidase (AMG) (Urias-Lugo and Saldivar, 2005), during mashing of sorghum malt, results 895 in improved wort yield and filtration rate, as well as a higher percentage of ethanol production in beer. However, 896

alcohol content of sorghum beer is approximately 1.1% less than barley malt beer. Introduction of AMG during 897 mashing has no effect on colour, pH and FAN content of wort ?? Cela et al., 2020) European beers brewed with 898 sorghum generally yields beer with lower alcohol contents than barley beers. Lager beers produced using worts 899 adjusted to 15° Plato from sorghum malt and inoculated with 1% Aspergillus oryzae yield 21.5% more volume 900 than sorghum malt wort and 5% more than wort from barley malt. The major fermentable sugar in all worts is 901 maltose. Higher amounts of glucose are present in both sorghum worts vis-à-vis barley malt worts (Rubio-Hores 902 et al., 2020). Beer from sorghum malt-A. oryzae wort has similar specific gravity and alcohol content compared to 903 the barley malt beer. Sorghum malt-A. oryzae beer contained lesser amounts of hydrogen sulphide, methanethiol, 904 butanedione, and pentanedione relative to barley malt beer. Sorghum malt-A. oryzae lager beer shows similar 905 yield for wort extract and alcohol content compared to the barley malt beer but varies in key volatiles, colour 906 and aromatic compounds (Rubio-Flores et al., 2020). 907

Gluten is a protein found in most grains commonly used in brewing beer including barley, wheat, rye and oats. Barley malt contains traces of hordein (gluten), thus, barley beer contains gluten too high to be safely consumed by those suffering from coeliac disease (Tanner et al., 2013). Therefore, grains which lack gluten such as, corn, rice, sorghum, buckwheat, millet and quinoa, are suitable for brewing gluten-free beer. Presently, sorghum malt which lacks gluten has proven to be an excellent substrate and is currently used to produce gluten-free beers acceptable to sufferers of celiac disease (allergy/intolerance to gluten) (Hager et al., 2014).

914 X.

## 915 26 Sorghum as Adjunct

Sorghum was recognised as an important adjunct in brewing during World War II (Owuama, 1997). Brewing adjuncts are essentially starchy materials with little or no protein content. They are a potential source of additional alcohol and may add to the colour, taste, aroma, vitamin, protein content and head retention of beer (Briggs et al., 1981;Dhamija & Singh, 1978). Other unmalted materials such as bajra, tapioca (Manihot esculentum), soy beans, wheat, maize and barley flours have also been added to grists as adjuncts (Agu, 2002; Dale et al., 1989; Dhamija & Singh, 1978).

Sorghum grain composition, properties, morphology and anatomy have been reviewed (Ogbonna, 922 1992; Owuama, 1999). In grain sorghum, there are both soluble and insoluble amylase fractions (Owuama & 923 Okafor, 1990). The insoluble amylases which adhere tenaciously to insoluble substances still remain active 924 925 in certain varieties of sorghum and are solubilised by breaking the link through a prolonged grain protease action during aqueous extraction. However, the activity of grain amylases varies with sorghum variety and are 926 apparently involved in hydrolysis during mashing. Optimal temperatures for ?amylase (60-65°C) and ?-amylase 927 (72-75°C) in grain sorghum differ slightly from one variety to another while optimal pH of the enzymes fall 928 929 between 5 and 6 (Owuama, 1999; Owuama & Okafor, 1990).

Contradictory reports on the necessity to gelatinise starch adjuncts for amylase to act (Agu & Palmer, 930 2013;Elkonin et al., 2013;Ezeogu & Okolo, 1996) has been attributed to differences in fineness of grinding, 931 932 thickness of mash or quantity of enzymes (Briggs et al., 1981). However, mashing gelatinised sorghum grits, at different proportions with barley malts produce worts of varying contents (Owuama, 1999) while adding an 933 industrial enzyme, "thermamyl", used by Nigerian breweries for mashing unmalted sorghum, increases yield of 934 extract in wort when combined with malt (Agu et al., 1995). The introduction of external enzyme to 100% 935 gelatinised sorghum malt during mashing produces lager beer comparable to commercial brands obtained from 936 937 barley malt (Olatunji et al, 1993). Nevertheless, a 40-70% substitution level of sorghum for barley malt is 938 considered adequate for brewing lager beer with virtually the same organoleptic properties as beer produced with 939 only barley malt (Dhamija & Singh, 1978;Ogbonna & Obi, 1992;Owuama, 1999). Utilization of sorghum adjunct, 940 at 5 to 20% level, showed a progressive decrease in extract recovery, solubilisation of nitrogen, and production of free amino nitrogen and peptide nitrogen in the wort. Sorghum adjunct has been shown to release higher levels of 941 942 FAN and peptide nitrogen in extracts than barley adjuncts, a difference that may influence fermentation potential of the wort (Agu, 2002) Brewing grits from four different decorticated sorghum genotypes, brown normal (BNO), 943 white normal (WNO), white waxy (WWX) and white hetero-waxy (WHWX) show that decorticated kernels 944 have lower protein, crude fibre, ash, and colour values and higher starch contents than their respective whole 945 kernels. The extract yield of brewing adjuncts from decorticated BNO, WNO, WWX and WHWX were 81%, 946 87.4, 89.9, and 90.0 respectively. Worts from WWX brewing adjuncts filter faster than the hetero-waxy, white 947 normal and brown normal. Worts from all the sorghum genotypes standardized to 14°P, show similar viscosity, 948 949 ?-amino nitrogen, pH and colour values. White sorghums with hard and waxy endosperms are most suited for 950 use as brewing adjuncts (Osorio- Morales, et al., 2000). Sieving analysis of some sorghum grains as well as their 951 hot water extractable (HWE), hot water extractable protein (HWEP) and free amino nitrogen (FAN) show that 952 cultivars with high starch and amylose contents plus low protein and fat percent will make better adjuncts based on their HWE and HWEP yields. However, the suitability of sorghum variety as brewing adjunct for lager beers 953 is apparently not determined by the grain size (Ratnavathi et al., 2000). 954

955

Fermentation of wort from all barley malt (ABM) mash and commercial enzyme/barley malt/sorghum adjunct (CEBMSA) mash of similar wort gravity reveals similar glucose to maltose ratios and similar amino acid spectra. ABM yields 27% more glucose and 7% more maltose than CEBMSA. After yeast fermentation, ABM mash produce 9.45% alcohol by volume (ABV) while the commercial enzyme/barley malt/sorghum adjunct mash produced 9.06% ABV **??**Okolo et al., 2020).

## 961 **27** XI.

## 962 28 Conclusion

Variations in physical and biochemical characteristics of sorghum cultivars, steeping solution without or with amendments such as ions and koji, Aspergillus oryzae, as well as temperature and period of germination influence optimal malting conditions and eventually malt quality. Consideration of a reasonable number of malting variables are necessary for selecting proper sorghum malt for brewing beer. Equally essential are optimising conditions for mashing and fermentation of worts to achieve the expected goal of producing sorghum beer comparable to barley beer. The wort filtration problem encountered from brewing with sorghum may be resolved by using the filter press instead of lauter tun and artificial husks from nylon materials of plant tissue (Owuama, 1999).

However, the distinct differences that exist between the structure and physiology of the aleurone, embryo and 970 starchy endosperm cells of sorghum and barley grains (Aisen & Palmer, 1989; Palmer et al., 1989), questions the 971 expectation of producing similar character of lager beer from the two different grains. Also, disparities in their 972 malt characteristics, such as ?-glucan and pentosan levels, as well as amino acid profiles of malt worts add to 973 the unlikelihood of obtaining beers of exactly the same physical and organoleptic properties from barley and 974 sorghum malts (Owuama, 1999). Thus, it is expected that sorghum beer of a slightly different character eg. 975 in colour, flavour and taste will be produced. Producing beer with 100% sorghum immensely benefits coeliac 976 disease sufferers who are allergic to gluten, which is present in barley beer (Tanner et al., 2013). Currently, wholly 977 sorghum beer is commercially available and does have great appeal to coeliac disease patients. Hopefully, sorghum 978

beer will attract a wider range of consumers in the near future, particularly among the younger generation.

	Diastpotiver	of sorghum
determined in different units show a range of $56$ to $132$		
$^{\circ}\mathrm{WK}$ corresponding with 29 to $67^{\circ}\mathrm{L}$ and 47 to 87 SDU		
(Etokakpan, 2004a). Equations 1 to 4 are applicable		
under appropriate conditions (Etokakpan, 2004a). The		
IoB and EBC methods are considered suitable for		
sorghum DP measurements.		
b) Alpha Amylase		
	Alpha-amylase	(endo-acting)
hydrolyses starch chains at $?(1,4)$ glucosidic linkages		

distant from the ends of the chains and from ?(1,6)linked branches in the chains yielding dextrins, oligosaccharides, maltose and glucose (Briggs  $\epsilon$ 

embryos of sorghum

0	
	Volume
	XX
	Issue V
	Version
	Ι
	D D D
	D )
	(
	Medical
	Re-
	search
llowing relationships in equations, 1 to 4	Global
	Jour-
	nal
	of
akpan,	

sorghunalt

additionally

(Etoka 2004a) SDU = Eqn. 1;  $0.741^{\circ}\text{WK}$ +0.8272SDU Eqn. 2 = 0.559°WK +15.677SDU \_ Eqn. 3;  $1.6397^{\circ}L$ -1.0506(sweet

the fo

[Note: (Espinosa-Ramírez et al. 2013; Owuama, 2019). Diastatic power in sorghum malt differs with sorghum cultivars and usually comprise ?-amylase and ?-amylase (Mouria et al., 1998), but Sorghum bicolor  $C \odot 2020$ Global Journalsamyloglucosidase, thus the DP of S. bicolor comprises ?-amylase, ?-amylase and amyloglucosidase (? amylase)(Owuama, 2019). Amyloglucosidase (?amylase or glucoamylase) encompasses ?-glucosidase and limit dextrinase, which act synergistically with ?amylase and ?-amylase respectively(Evans et al., 2010; MacGregor et al., 1999; Owuama, 2019; Prese ?ki et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2013). Generally, S. bicolor and S. vulgare varieties have virtually similar ?-amylase and ?amylase activities but S. bicolor varieties show higher DP (Owuama, 2019; Subramanian et al., 1995). Concisely, Sorghum vulgare malt DP = ?-+ ?-amylases activities while Sorghum bicolor malt DP > ?-+ ?-+ ?amylases activities (MacGregor et al., 1999; Owuama, 2019; Prese ?ki et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2013; Jhang et al., 1999; Owuama, 2019; Prese ?ki et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2013; Deatatic power are known to yield increased reducing sugger levels in wort and enhance its fermentability. Addition of AMG in mash increases diastatic power, wort glucose and total fermentable sugars equivalents (Pozo-Insfran et al., 2004) apparently due to the synergistic activity between ?-glucosidase and ?-amylases(Wong et al., 2007), and between limit dertrinase and ?-amylases (MacGregor et al., 1000). Diastatic power of graphym malt amyloglucosidase (AMG) activities ranging from 14.5 - 21.3°. Volume XX Issue V Version I D D D D ) C ( Medical Research Global Journal of © 2020 Global Journals

[Note: al., 2010; MacGregor et al., 1999; Owuama, 2019; Prese?ki et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2013). Evaluation of sorghum cultivars reveals that while amyloglucosidase is present in S. bicolor cultivars, it is not detectable in S vulgare varieties (Owuama, 2019). Malts of S. bicolor cultivars show Year 2020]

Figure 2:

### 28 CONCLUSION

#### 980 .1 Acknowledgement

I wish to acknowledge the patience and psychological support of my wife, Patience while writing this work.

#### $_{982}$ .2 Author contribution

 $_{\tt 983}$   $\,$  I designed, searched literature and prepared the manuscript for submission.

#### <sup>984</sup> .3 Potential Competing interest

985 No potential competing interest.

#### 986 .4 Funding Source

- 987 No research grant or any other funding for this research.
- 988 [Cela et al.] , N Cela , N Condelli , M C Caruso , G Perretti , M Di Cairano , R Tolve , F Galgano . 989 10.3390/fermentation6020053. 2020 p. 53.
- 990 [Okolo et al.] , B N Okolo , O C Amadi , M Anene , T N Nwagu , C Nnamchi . 10.1002/jib.598. Journal of the 991 Institute of Brewing 2020 (1) .
- 992 [Stewart et al. ()] , E D Stewart , R H Hahn , American Brewer . 1965, 7, 21.
- 993 [Dyer and Novellie ()], T A Dyer, L Novellie. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture 1966. 17 p. 449.
- [Crabb and Kirsop ()], D Crabb, B H Kirsop. Journal of the Institute of Brewing 1969. 75 (3) p. .
- 995 [Faparusi et al. ()], S I Faparusi, M O Olofinboba, J A Ekundayo. Z Allg Mikrobiologia 1973. p. 563.
- 996 [Rooney ()], L W Rooney. Cereal Chemistry 1973. 20 p. 316.
- 997 [Dunn ()], G Dunn. Phytochemistry 1974. 13 p. .
- 998 [Manners ()], D J Manners. Brewers Digest 1974. p. 56.
- 999 [Davidson et al. ()], D Davidson, M A Eastman, J E Thomas. Plant Science Letters 1976. 6 (4) p. 223.
- 1000 [Haedi et al. ()] , D G Haedi , D J Manners , D Carbohydrate Yellowlees , Research . 10.1016/s0008-1001 6215(00)84084-7. 1976. 50 p. 75.
- [Aisien and Ghosh ()], A O Aisien, B P Ghosh. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture 1978. 29 p. 850.
- $\scriptstyle 1003 \quad [Mandl et al. ()]$ , B<br/> Mandl , D<br/> Wagner , Brauwisssenschaft . 1978. p. 213.
- [Dhamija and Singh ()], S S Dhamija, D P Singh. Journal of Food Science and Technology 1978, 15, 197.
- [Jayatissa et al. ()], P M Jayatissa, R A Pathirana, Sivayogasundaram. Journal of the Institute of Brewing
   1980. 86 p. 18.
- 1007 [Baxter ()] , E D Baxter . Journal of the Science of food and Agriculture 1981. 32 p. 409.
- 1008 [Chavan et al. ()], J K Chavan, S S Kadam, D K Salunkhe. Journal of Food Science 1981. p. 1319.
- [Macgregor and Daussant ()], A W Macgregor, J Daussant. Journal of the Institute of Brewing 1981. 87 p.
   1010 155.
- 1011 [Gram ()] , N H Gram . Carlsberg Research Communications 1982. p. 143.
- 1012 [Macgregor and Matsuo ()], A W Macgregor, R R Matsuo. Cereal Chemistry 1982. p. 510.
- 1013 [Aisien and Palmer ()], A O Aisien, G H Palmer. Journal of Food Science and Agriculture 1983. 34 p. 113.
- 1014 [Aisien et al. ()], A O Aisien, G H Palmer, J R Stark, / Starch, Starke. 1983. p. 316.
- 1015 [Jones and Jacobsen ()], R L Jones, J V Jacobsen. Planta 1983. 158 p. 1.
- 1016 [Lin et al. ()], Y Lin, L T Wimer, A H C Huang. Plant Physiology 1983. p. 460.
- 1017 [Riggs et al. ()] , T J Riggs , M Sanada , A G Morgan , D B Smith . Journal of the Science of Food and
   1018 Agriculture 1983. 34 p. 576.
- [Hallgren and Murty ()], L Hallgren, D S Murty. Journal of Cereal Science 1983, 1, 265.
- 1020 [Okon and Uwaifo ()], E U Okon, A O Uwaifo. Brewers Digest 1985. p. 24.
- 1021 [Glennie and Wigh ()], C W Glennie, A W Wigh. Journal of the Institute of Brewing 1986. p. 384.
- 1022 [Malleshi and Desikachar ()], N G Malleshi, H S R Desikachar. Journal of the Institute of Brewing 1986. p.
   1023 174.
- [Morrall et al. ()], P Morrall, H K Boyd, J R N Taylor, W H Van Der-Walt. Journal of the Institute of Brewing 1986. 92 p.
- 1026 [Pickerell ()], A T W Pickerell. Journal of the Institute of Brewing 1986. p. 568.
- 1027 [Taylor and Boyd ()] , J R N Taylor , H K Boyd . Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture 1986. p. 1109.

- 1028 [Chapman ()], G W Chapman . Phytochemistry 1987. 26 p. 3127.
- 1029 [Dale and Young ()], C J Dale, T W Young. Journal of the Institute of Brewing 1987. p. 465.
- [Hamaker et al. ()], B R Hamaker, A W Kirleis, L G Butler, J D Axtell, E T Mertz. Proceedings of National
   Academy of Science USA 1987. 84 p. 626.
- 1032 [Osagie ()], A U Osagie. Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry 1987. p. 601.
- 1033 [Owuama and Okafor ()], C I Owuama, N Okafor. MIRCEN Journal of Applied Microbiology & Biotechnology
   1034 1987. 3 p. 243.
- 1035 [Shutov and Vaintraub ()] , A D Shutov , J A Vaintraub . *Phytochemistry* 1987, 26, 1557.
- 1036 [Taylor and Daiber ()], J R N Taylor, K H Daiber. Journal of the Institute of Brewing 1988. p. 68.
- 1037 [Dale et al. ()], C J Dale, T W Young, A Makinde. Journal of the Institute of Brewing 1989. p. 157.
- 1038 [O'connor-Cox and Ingledew ()], E S C O'connor-Cox, W M Ingledew. Journal of American Society of Brewing
   1039 Chemists 1989. p. 102.
- [Okoh et al. ()], P N Okoh, R P Kubiczek, P C Njoku, G T Iyeghe. Journal of the Science of Food and
   Agriculture 1989. 49 p. .
- [Palmer et al. ()], G H Palmer, O U Etokakpan, M A Igyor. MIRCEN Journal of Applied Microbiology & Biotechnology 1989. (5) p. 265.
- [Dale et al. ()], C J Dale, T W Young, A T Omole. Journal of the Institute of Brewing 1990. p. 403.
- 1045 [Evans and Taylor ()], D J Evans, J R N Taylor. Journal of the Institute of Brewing 1990. p. 399.
- 1046 [Owuama and Okafor ()], C I Owuama, N Okafor. World Journal of Microbiology & Biotechnology 1990. 6 p.
   1047 318.
- 1048 [Floyd ()] , R A Floyd . FASEB Journal 1990, 4, 2587.
- [Evans and Taylor ()], D J Evans, J R N Taylor. Journal of the Institute of Brewing 1990, 96, 201.
- 1050 [Holmes ()], M G Holmes. Journal of the Institute of Brewing 1991. p. 445.
- 1051 [Ilori and Adewusi ()], M O Ilori, S R A Adewusi. Journal of the Institute of Brewing 1991. p. 111.
- 1052 [Olkku et al. ()] , J Olkku , P Reinikkanen , A C Carregal , Ferment . 1991. 4 p. .
- 1053 [Owuama ()], C I Owuama . Applied Microbiology & Biotechnology 1991. p. 21.
- 1054 [Ratnavathi and Ravi ()], C V Ratnavathi, S B Ravi. Journal of Cereal Science 1991. p. 287.
- 1055 [Owuama and Okafor ()], C I Owuama, N Okafor. Technology and Development 1991, 1, 47.
- 1056 [Bajomo and Young ()], M F Bajomo, T W Young. Journal of the Institute of Brewing 1992. p. 515.
- 1057 [Demuyakor and Ohta ()], B Demuyakor, Y Ohta. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture 1992. p. 457.
- [Etim and Etokakpan ()], M U Etim, O U Etokakpan. World Journal of Microbiology & Biotechnology 1992.
   8 p. 509.
- 1060 [Holmes ()], M G Holmes. Journal of the Institute of Brewing 1992. p. 47.
- 1061 [Kelly and Briggs ()], L Kelly, D E Briggs. Journal of the Institute of Brewing 1992. p. 329.
- [Kumar et al. ()], L S Kumar, M A Daudu, H S Shetty, N G Malleshi. Journal of Cereal Chemistry 1992. p.
   203.
- 1064 [Ogbonna ()], A C Ogbonna. World Journal Microbiology & Biotechnology 1992. 8 p. 87.
- 1065 [Ogbonna and Obi ()], A C Ogbonna, S K C Obi. Journal of the Institute of Brewing 1992. p. 339.
- [Sun and Henson ()], Z Sun, C A Henson. Journal of the Institute of Brewing 1992. p. 289.
- 1067 [Swanston et al. ()], J S Swanston, K & Taylor, D S Murty. Journal of the Institute of Brewing 1992. p. 129.
- [Bamforth et al. ()], C W Bamforth, R E Muller, M D Walker. Journal of the American Society of Brewing
   Chemists 1993. p. 79.
- 1070 [Olatunji et al. ()], O Olatunji, A C Jibogun, T S Anibaba, V O Oliyide, A U Ozumba, K O Oniwinde.
   1071 Journal of the American Society of Brewing Chemists 1993. p. 67.
- [Taylor and Robbins ()], J R N Taylor, D J Robbins. Journal of the Institute of Brewing 1993. p. 413.
- 1073 [Ogbonna and Egunwu ()], A C Ogbonna, A L Egunwu. World Journal of Microbiology & Biotechnology 1994.
   1074 10 p. 595.
- 1075 [Owuama et al. ()], C I Owuama, I Food Asheno, Chemistry . 1994. p. 257.
- 1076 [Solomon et al. ()] , B O Solomon , S K Layokun , A O Idowu , M O Ilori . Food Biotechnology 1994. 8 p. 243.
- 1077 [Swanston et al. ()], J S Swanston, N S Rao, V & Subramanian, K Taylor. Journal of Cereal Science 1994.
  1078 19 p. 91.

- 1079 [Agu et al. ()] , R C Agu , M U Okenchi , G Aneke , A H Onwumelu . World Journal of Microbiology & Biotechnology 1995. p. 591.
- 1081 [Beta et al. ()], T Beta, L W Rooney, R D Waniska. Cereal Chemistry 1995. 72 p. 533.
- 1082 [Dewar et al. ()], J Dewar, J R N Taylor, S M Joustra. CISR Food Science and Technology 1995.
- 1083 [Ezeogu and Okolo ()], L I Ezeogu, B N Okolo. Journal of the Institute of Brewing 1995. 101 p. 39.
- 1084 [Lasekan et al. ()], O O Lasekan, M A Idowu, W Lasekan. Food Chemistry 1995. 53 p. 125.
- 1085 [Nwanguma and Eze ()], B C Nwanguma, M O Eze. Journal of the Institute of Brewing 1995. 101 p. 275.
- 1086 [Raschke et al. ()], A M Raschke, J Taylor, J R N Taylor. Journal of Cereal Science 1995. 21 p. 97.
- [Subramanian et al. ()], V Subramanian, N S Rao, R Jambunathan, D S Murty, B V S Reddy. Journal of
   Cereal Science 1995. 21 p. 283.
- [Okolo and Ezeogu ()], B N Okolo, L I Ezeogu. Journal of the Institute of Brewing 1995a. 101 p. 267.
- [Okolo and Ezeogu ()], B N Okolo, L I Ezeogu. Journal of the Institute of Brewing 1995b. 101 p. 463.
- 1091 [Agu and Palmer ()], R C Agu, G H Palmer. Journal of the Institute of Brewing 1996. 102 p. 415.
- 1092 [Ezeogu and Okolo ()], L I Ezeogu, B N Okolo. Journal of the Institute of Brewing 1996. 102 p. .
- [Nwanguma et al. ()], B C Nwanguma, M O Eze, O O Ezenwa. Journal of the Institute of Brewing 1996. 102
   p. 39.
- [Okolo and Ezeogu ()], B N Okolo, L I Ezeogu. Journal of the Institute of Brewing 1996a. 102 p. 79.
- [Okolo and Ezeogu ()], B N Okolo, L I Ezeogu. Journal of the Institute of Brewing 1996b. 102 p. 167.
- 1097 [Agu and Palmer ()], R C Agu, G H Palmer. Journal of the Institute of Brewing 1997. p. 25.
- [1098 [Anon ()], Anon. Journal of American Society of Brewing Chemists 1997. 55 (4) p. 179.
- 1099 [Arbab and El Tinay ()] , A E Arbab , A H El Tinay . Food Chemistry 1997. 59 p. 339.
- 1100 [Dewar et al. ()], J Dewar, J R N Taylor, P Berjak. Journal of Cereal Science 1997. 26 p. 129.
- 1101 [Dewar et al. ()], J Dewar, J R N Taylor, P Berjak. Journal of the Institute of Brewing 1997. 103 p. .
- 1102 [Owuama ()], C I Owuama. World Journal of Microbiology & Biotechnology 1997. 13 p. 253.
- 1103 [Macgregor et al. ()] , A W Macgregor , S L Bazin , L J Macri , J C Babb . Journal of Cereal Science 1999. 29
   1104 (2) p. .
- 1105 [Denyer et al. ()], K Denyer, D Waite, A Edwards, C Martin, A M Smith. org/10.1590/S1517 1106 83822010000400004. Brazil Journal of Microbiology 647. 36. de Souza, P. M. & Magalhães, P. de O. (ed.)
   1107 1999. 2010. 342 (4) p. 41. (Biochemical Journal)
- [Beta et al. ()], T Beta, L W Rooney, L T Marovatsanga, J R N Taylor. Journal of Cereal Science 2000. p.
   295.
- [Osorio-Morales et al. ()], S Osorio-Morales, S O Serna-Saldiver, J C Contrerers, H D Almeida-Dominguez,
   L W Rooney. Journal of the American Society of Brewing Chemists 2000. 58 (1) p. 21.
- [Ratnavathi et al. ()], C V Ratnavathi, S B Ravi, V Subramanian, N S Rao. Journal of the Institute of
   Brewing 383. 2050-0416. 2000. 106 (6).
- 1114 [Willaert et al. ()] , R G Willaert , G V Baron , Cerevisiae . 2001. 26 p. 217.
- 1115 [Adeole ()], A A Adeole. Journal of Food Technology Africa 2002. 7 (3) p. 78.
- 1116 [Agu ()], R C Agu. Journal of the Institute of Brewing 2002. 108 (1) p. 19.
- 1117 [Odibo et al. ()] , F J C Odibo , L N Nwankwo , R C Agu . S0032-9592(01)00286-2. https://doi.org/10.
   1118 1016/ Process Biochemistry 2002. 37 (8) p. 851.
- [Okungbowa et al. ()], J Okungbowa, J A N Obeta, L I Ezeogu. Journal of the Institute of Brewing 2002. 108
  (3) p. 362.
- [Goode et al. ()], D L Goode, C Halbert, E K Arendt. Journal of American Society of Brewing Chemists
   2003. p. 69.
- 1123 [Ogbonna et al. ()] , A C Ogbonna , S K C Obi , B N Okolo . 10.1023/A:1025189713390. World Journal of 1124 Microbiology and Biotechnology 2003. 19 (5) p. 495.
- 1125 [Pozo-Insfran et al. ()] , D D Pozo-Insfran , D Urias-Lugo , C Hernandez-Brenes , S O Serna-Saldivar . Journal
   1126 of the Institute of Brewing 2004. 110 p. 124.
- 1127 [Etokakpan ()], O U Etokakpan. Journal of the Institute of Brewing 2004b. 110 (4) p. 335.
- [Urias-Lugo and Saldivar ()], D A Urias-Lugo, S O S Saldivar. Journal of American Society of Brewing Chemists
   2005. 63 p. 63.

- [Ogu et al. ()], E O Ogu, F J Odibo, R C Agu, G H Palmer. Journal of the Institute of Brewing 2006. 112
  (2) p. 117.
- 1132 [Taylor et al. ()], J R N Taylor, T J Schober, S R Bean. Journal of Cereal Science 2006. p. 252.
- [Dicko et al. ()], M H Dicko, H Gruppen, A S Traore, A G J Voragen, W J H Van Berkel. Biotechnology
   and Molecular Biology Review 2006, 1, 21.
- 1135 [Ukwuru ()], M Ukwuru . Journal of Food Science and Technology -Mysore 2007. 44 (4) p. 381.
- [Wong et al. ()], D W Wong, G H Robertson, C C Lee, K Wagschal. Protein Journal 2007. 26 (3) p. 159.
- [Ng'andwe et al. ()], C C Ng'andwe, A N Hall, J R N Taylor. Journal of the Institute of Brewing 2008. 114
  (4) p. 343.
- [Owuama and Adeyemo ()], C I Owuama, M O Adeyemo. World Applied Sciences Journal 2009. 7 (11) p.
   1392.
- 1143 [Claver et al. ()], I P Claver, H Zhang, Q Li, H Zhou, K Zhu. Pakistan Journal of Nutrition 2010. p. 686.
- 1144 [Evans et al. ()], D E Evans, C Li, J K Eglinton, Springer Berlin. 2010. p. 189.
- 1145 [Okolo et al. ()] , B N Okolo , A N Moneke , L I Ezeogu , F S Ire . African Journal of Biotechnology 2010. p. 1146 3861.
- [Diao et al. ()], M Diao, O H Kane, N Ouedraogo, Bayili, H N Bassole, M H Dicko. African Journal of Biochemistry Research 2011. 5 (4) p. .
- [Okolo et al. ()], B N Okolo, A N Moneke, L I Ezeogu, F S Ire. 10.5897/AJB09.1594. African Journal of
   Biotechnology 2011. 10 (27) p. 5355.
- 1151 [Svenson et al. ()], B Svenson, K Denyer, R A Field, A M Smith. Plant Physiology 2011. 155 (2) p. .
- [Ijasan et al. ()], B Ijasan, V Goodfellow, J H Bryce, R C Agu, T A Bringhurst, J M Brosnan, F R Jack
  , R W Ingle, R B Somai, S S Wanjari, D B Patil, N R Potdukhe. 10.1002/j.2050-0416.2011.tb00462.x82. *Journal of the Institute of Brewing* 2011. 1994. 117 (2) p. 578. (Crop Research)
- [Barredo Moguel et al. ()], L H Barredo Moguel, C Rojas De Grante, S O Serna Saldivar. 10.1002/j.2050-0416.2001.tb00106.x. Journal of the Institute of Brewing 2012. 107 (6) p. 367.
- 1158 [Bekele et al. ()], A Bekele, G Bultosa, K Belete. 10.1002/jib.19. Journal of the Institute of Brewing 2012.
   1159 118 p. 76.
- 1162 [Agu and Palmer ()], R C Agu, G H Palmer. Journal of the Institute of Brewing 2013. 119 (4) p. .
- 1163 [Elkonin et al. ()], L A Elkonin, J V Italianskaya, I Y Fdeeva, V V Bychkova, V V Kozhemyakin. DOI:
   1164 101007/s10681-031- 0920-4. *Eupoytica* 2013. 193 (3) p. 327.
- [Espinosa-Ramirez et al. ()], J Espinosa-Ramirez, E Perez-Carillo, S O Serna-Saldivar.org/10.1094/ASBCJ 2013-0914-01. Journal of the American Society of Brewing Chemists 2013. 71 (4) p. 208.
- [Nnamchi et al. ()], C Nnamchi, B N Okolo, A Moneke, B Nwanguma. International Journal of Advanced
   *Research* 2013. (1) p. 44.
- [Prese?ki et al. ()], A V Prese?ki, Z F Bla?evic, D Vasi?-Ra?ki. Bioprocess Biosystemic Engineering 2013. 36
   (11) p. 1555.
- 1171 [Tanner et al. ()] , G J Tanner , M L Colgrave , M Blundell , H P Gowami , C A Howitt . 10.1371/jour-1172 nal.pone.0056452. *PloS* 2013. 8 (2) p. e56452.
- 1173 [Taylor et al. ()], J R N Taylor, B C Dlamini, J Kruger. Journal of the Institute of Brewing 2013. 119 p. 1.
- 1174 [Zhang et al. ()], B Zhang, S Dhital, M J Gidley. Biomacromolecules 2013. 14 (6) p. 1945.
- [Abuajah et al. ()], C I Abuajah, German 2 Publisher; Saabrucken, C I Abuajah, A C Ogbonna, N U
  Onwuka, P E Umoren, M Ojukwu. International Food Research Journal 2013. 2016. Lambert Academic
  Publishing. 23 (4) p. 1600.
- 1178 [Gerrano et al. ()], S S Gerrano, M T Labuschagne, A Van Bijon, N G Shargie.org/10.1590/0103-9016-2013 1179 0322. Scientia Agricola 2014. 71 (6) p. 472.
- [Dlamin et al. ()], B C Dlamin, E M Buys, J R N Taylor. 10.1002/jsfa.6739. Journal of Science of Food
   Agriculture 2015. 95 (2) p. 417.
- 1182 [Ahmed et al. ()], A M Ahmed, C Zhang, Q Liu. 1155/2016/7648639. Journal of Chemistry 2016.

- 1183 [Andriotis et al. ()] , V M Andriotis , G Saalbach , R Waugh , R A Field , A M Smith . 10.1371/journal.pone.0151642. Plos one 2016. 11 (3) p. e0151642.
- [Heredia-Olea et al. ()], E Heredia-Olea, E Cortez-Ceballos, S O Serna-Saldiva. 10.1094/ASBCJ-2017-2481-01.
   https//doi.org/10.1094/ASBCJ-2017-2481-01 Journal of the American Society of Brewing Chemists
   2017. 75 (2).
- 1188 [Khoddami et al. ()], A Khoddami, M Mohammadrezaei, T H Roberts. 10.3390/molecules22101713. Molecules
   2017. 22 (10) p. 1713.
- 1190 [Ferreira and Guido ()], I M Ferreira, L F Guido. 2018. 4 p. 23.
- [Mesta et al. ()], S Mesta, G S Geeta, M Ashwini. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied
   Sciences 2018. 7 (7) p. 651.
- [Taylor and Taylor ()], J Taylor, J R N Taylor. org/10.1002/aocs.12016. Journal of the American Oil Chemists
   Society 2018. 95 p. 969.
- 1195 [Harry et al. ()] , F M Harry , D Z S Carly , N E Jong . 10.3390/beverages5010020. Beverages 2019. 5 p. 20.
- [Owuama ()], C I Owuama. African Journal of Microbiology Research 2019. 13 (18) p. 317.
- [Rubio-Flores et al. ()], M Rubio-Flores, A R García-Arellano, E Perez-Carrillo, S O Serna-Saldivar,
   Bioresource Bioprocess.org/10.1186/s40643-020-00330-w. 2020.7 p. 40.
- 1199 [Hill and Stewart ()], A E Hill, G G Stewart. Fermentation 2019, 5, 22.
- [Mcneil and Montross ()] Agricultural Engineering Extension Publications, S G Mcneil, M D Montross. 2003. 9
   p. 1.
- [Pitz ()] 'An analysis of malting research'. W J Pitz . Journal of the American Society of Brewing Chemists 1989.
  48 p. .
- [Bamforth and Smart Ed Blackwell Scientific (ed.) ()] C W Bamforth . Brewing Yeast Fermentation Performance, K Smart, Ed, Blackwell Scientific (ed.) (Oxford, UK) 2001. p. . (2nd ed)
- [Brauerei-und Getranke-Rundschau European Brewing Convention Analytica-EBC ()] 'Brauerei-und Getranke Rundschau'. European Brewing Convention Analytica-EBC 1987. p. 77. (4th Edition)
- 1208 [Skinner ()] Brewing and Malting International, R Skinner . 1976. 6 p. 26.
- [Briggs et al. ()] D E Briggs , J S Hough , R Stevens , T W Young . Malting and brewing science, 1981. Chapman
   & Hall London. 1.
- [Jones and Pierce ()] 'European Brewery Convention'. M Jones , J S Pierce . Proceedings of the 12 th Congress,
  (the 12 th CongressInterlaken) 1969. p. 151.
- 1213 [Fox ()] G Fox . Starch in Food, 2018. p. . (Second Edition)
- [Hager et al. ()] A Hager , J Taylor , D M Waters , E K Arendt . 10.1016/j.tifs.2014.01.001. Trends in food
   Science and Technology, 2014. 36.
- Izydorczyk and Edney ()] M S Izydorczyk , M J Edney . Encyclopedia of Food Sciences and Nutrition, 2003.
   (Second Edition)
- [Muoria et al. ()] Journal of American Society of Brewing Chemists, J K Muoria , J C Linden , P J Bechtel .
   1998. 56 p. 131.
- [Laberge and Marchylo ()] Journal of the American society of Brewing Chemists, D E Laberge , B Marchylo .
   1986. 44 p. 16.
- [Enari and Sopanen ()] Journal of the Institute of Brewing, T M Enari , T Sopanen . 1986. p. 25.
- 1223 [Etokakpan and Palmer ()] Journal of the Institute of brewing, O U Etokakpan, G H Palmer . 1990. p. 89.
- [Kobayashi et al. ()] Journal of the Institute of Brewing, N Kobayashi , H Kaneda , Y Kano , S Koshino . 1993.
   p. 143.
- [Langstaff and Lewis ()] Journal of the Institute of Brewing, S A Langstaff , M J Lewis . 10.1002/j.2050-0416.1993.tb01143.x. Doi.org/10.1002/j.2050-0416.1993.tb01143.x 1993. 99 p. 31.
- 1228 [Ezeogu and Okolo ()] Journal of the Institute of Brewing, L I Ezeogu, B N Okolo . 1994. 100 p. 335.
- [129 [Taylor and Dewar ()] Journal of the Institute of Brewing, J R N Taylor, J Dewar. 1994. 100 p. 417.
- 1230 [Owuama ()] Journal of the Institute of Brewing, C I Owuama . 1999. 105 p. 23.
- 1231 [Stenholm and Home ()] Journal of the Institute of Brewing, K Stenholm , S Home . 1999. 105 p. 205.
- 1232 [Uvere and Orji ()] Journal of the Institute of Brewing, P O Uvere , G S Orji . 10.1002/j.2050 1233 0416.2002.tb00549.x. 2002. 108 p. 256.
- 1234 [Etokakpan ()] Journal of the Institute of Brewing, O U Etokakpan . 10.1002/j.2050-0416.2004.tb00201.x. 2004a.
   1235 110 p. 189.

- [Linko et al. ()] M Linko , E Eklund , T.-M Enari . Proceedings of the European Brewing Convention, (the
   European Brewing ConventionStockholm) 1965. p. 105.
- [Hopkins et al. (1934)] Malt analysis. British and Continental Methods, and the Inter-Relationship of results, R
   H Hopkins, H L Hind, F E Day. December, 1934. p. .
- [Taylor ()] 'Mashing with malted grain sorghum'. Jrn Taylor . J. Am. Soc. Brew. Chem 1992. 50 (1) p. .
- 1241 [Meersche et al. ()] J V Meersche , C Blockmans , A Deureux , C A Masschelein . Eurpean Brewery Convention
   1242 Proceedings of the European 19 th Congress, (London) 1983. p. 19.
- [Palmer ()] G H Palmer . Cereal Science and Technology, (Aberdeen) 1989. University Press. p. 61.
- [Dunford ()] 'Peroxidase and catalases: Biochemistry, biophysics, biotechnology and physiology'. H R Dunford .
   *ChemBioChem* 2010. (12) p. 1782.
- 1246 [Regassa and Wortmann ()] 'Sweet sorghum as a bioenergy crop: Literature review'. T H Regassa , C S
   1247 Wortmann . *Biomass Bioenergy* 2014. 64 p. .
- [Hu et al. ()] The influence of proteolytic and cytolytic enzymes on starch degradation during mashing, S Hu, J
   Dong, W Fan, J Yu, H Yin, S Huang, J Liu, S Huang, X Zhang. 10.1002/jib.172. 2014.