

Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.*

CrossRef DOI of original article: 10.34257/GJMRKVOL21IS2PG23

Rohan Kulkarni

Received: 5 April 2021 Accepted: 30 April 2021 Published: 15 May 2021

1

2

4 Abstract

⁵ This bibliographic study covers Artificial Intelligence (AI)theory and its applications from the

⁶ healthcare field and in particular from the discipline of pathology. This review includes basics

 $_{7}\,$ of AI, supervised and unsupervised machine learning (ML), various supervised ML algorithms,

⁸ and their applications in healthcare and pathology. Digital Pathology with Deep Machine

⁹ Learning is more advantageous over traditional pathology that is based on ?physical slide on a

¹⁰ physical microscope?. However, various implementation challenges of cost, data quality,

¹¹ multicenter validation, bias, and regulatory approval issues for AI in clinical practice still

¹² remain, which are also described in this study.

13

Index terms— history of artificial intelligence (AI), AI in healthcare, deep learning (DL) in digital pathology
 (DP).

16 1 Introduction

he main objective of this paper is to describe the history of the evolution of Artificial Intelligence over time. The 17 past two decades have shown tremendous progress in the application of artificial intelligence (AI) including in a 18 few medical images based specialties of radiology, dermatology, ophthalmology, and pathology. First, we explore 19 how AI began about 65 years back and its progression in various disciplines including healthcare/medicine 20 and particularly pathology. Second, we review books available on AI in general as well as AI in medicine 21 and in pathology. Next, we define the necessary terms in AI and various AI algorithms that are utilized to 22 get acceptance by the physicians to assist patients in a more efficient fashion. After, we review AI literature 23 pertinent to healthcare and pathology. Finally, the various challenges and barriers AI faces for use in pathological 24 applications are then discussed. 25

²⁶ **2 II.**

27 3 AI Theory in Textbooks

In 1955 Artificial intelligence (AI) was termed by McCarthy et al. as the subdivision of computer science in 28 which machine based methodologies were used to make predictions to imitate what human intellect may do in 29 the identical situation. 1 The origin of Digital Pathology (DP) began in 1966, as Prewitt et al. photographed 30 images from a microscopic field from a blood smear and then transformed the information into a matrix of 31 optical density numbers for mechanized image investigation. 2 The AI field is built on statistics and Vapnik 32 provides a more detailed description of the statistical learning theory in his two books. 3,4 In 2003, Russell and 33 Norig introduced an idea of an intellectual agent that mechanically plans and performs a sequence of activities 34 to attain a goal as a novel form of AI. 5 Good fellow et al.'s comprehensive textbook on the AI is written by 35 some of the most innovative and prolific researchers in the field. 6 Kelleher explains how deep learning is useful 36 in understanding big data and covers methodologies of Autoencoders, Recurrent neural networks, Generative 37 Adversarial Networks, Gradient descent and Backpropagation. 7 38

³⁹ 4 a) AI books in medicine and pathology

There are many excellent textbooks on AI's applications in medicine including note taking, drug development, remote patient monitoring, surgery, laboratory discovery, and healthcare delivery. 8,9,10,11,12,13 In this section our emphasis is on review of the latest textbooks on AI in pathology. Sucacet et al. in Digital Pathology (DP) discuss how technology over a decade has seen tremendous growth in its applications. They observe that DP offers the hope of providing pathology consulting and educational services to underserved areas of the world that

- 45 otherwise would not experience high level of services. 14 In Artificial Intelligence and Deep Learning in Pathology,
- ⁴⁶ Cohen observes how recent advances in computational algorithms, and the arrival of whole slide imaging (WSI) ⁴⁷ as a platform for combining AI, are assisting both diagnosis and prognosis by transforming pattern recognition
- and image interpretation. The book focuses on various AI applications in pathology and covers important topics
- of WSI for 2D and 3D analysis, principles of image analysis, and deep learning. 15 Holzinger et al. in their
- 50 book describe why AI and Machine Learning (ML) is very promising in the disciplines of DP, radiology, and
- ⁵¹ dermatology. They observe that in some cases Deep Learning (DL) even exceeds human performance and stress
- 52 the importance that a human expert regardless should always verify the outcome. The authors cover 'biobanks,'
- ⁵³ which offer large collections of high quality and well labeled samples as big data is required for training and ⁵⁴ covering a variety of diseases in different organs. 16 Belciug in his book covers theoretical concepts and practical
- techniques of AI and its applications in cancer management. The author describes the impactful role of AI during
- ⁵⁶ diagnosis and how it can help doctors make better decisions including AI tools to help pathologists identify exact
- 57 types of cancer and assist surgeons and oncologists. The book discusses over 20 cancer examples in which AI was
- used and in particular AI algorithms utilized for them. 17 III.

59 5 AI Basics

⁶⁰ In this section we cover Learning theory, important AI terminology, and algorithms for Machine Learning.

⁶¹ 6 a) Learning theory and machine learning

Vapnik introduces the learning model from examples using three constituents of a) a creator of random vectors,
b) a supervisor that yields an output vector for each input vector, and c) a learning machine qualified of applying
a set of functions. The next step is the Risk Minimization Problem. So as to find the best obtainable estimate to

- the supervisor's reply, one should measure the difference between the reply of the supervisor to a specified input
- and the answer offered by the learning machine. 18 In 2015, Deo's review on ML found that only a few papers
- out of thousands applying ML algorithms to medical data have contributed meaningfully to clinical care unlike
- 68 how ML has been impactful in other industries. 19

⁶⁹ 7 E. Support Vector Machine or SVM:

The SVM algorithm classifies available data by defining a hyperplane that best differentiates the presence of two
 groups.

The differentiation for the two groups is maximized by growing the space on either side of the hyperplane and 72 the hyperplane enclosed area with the greatest possible distance is then chosen for the evaluation. It finds an 73 onlinear relationship using a kernel function but has tendency for overfitting. 27 F. Naive Bayes: Naive Bayes 74 approach assumes that the features under evaluation are independent of each other. For simple tasks it can 75 produce good results, but in general their performance is inferior to the other ML algorithms. 28 G. Decision 76 Tree and Boosted Tree: A decision tree comprises a root, nodes, branches, and leaves. The node is where the 77 characteristic is examined and the branch is where the result of this examined query is then assigned. The decision 78 tree provides a set of guidelines that defines the passageway from the root all the way to the leaves. Gradient 79 boosting machine uses weak predictors (a Decision tree) that are boosted, which provide a better performing 80 81 model (a Boosted tree). This method can work with unbalanced data sets but may produce overfitting. 29 H. 82 Random Forest or RF: Breiman provides how RFs are an effective tool in accurate prediction of classifiers and regressors as it avoids overfitting due to the Law of LargeNumbers. 30 However, it might be more time exhausting 83 and less efficient vs. the nonparametric (SVM and k-NN) and parametric (logistic regression) modeling. 84 IV. 85

86 8 AI Research in Pathology

In this section we cover research in topics of origins of image analysis, computational pathologist, machine 87 learning in pathology, Digital Pathology, Convolutional Neural Network in pathology, and other AI in cancer 88 applications. The pipeline comprised of three phases. First, their processing steps included a) separating the 89 tissue from its background, b) partitioning the image into smaller regions with a consistent appearance recognized 90 as superpixels, c) finding nuclei inside the superpixels, and d) constructing cytoplasmic and nuclear characters 91 within the superpixels. Next, within every superpixel they estimated the size, shape, intensity, and texture of 92 the superpixel and its neighbors. Afterwards, to create more biologically significant features, they categorized 93 94 superpixels as either epithelium or stroma. They used an ML based approach of L 1 -regularized logistic regression, 95 in which they hand-annotated superpixels from 158 photos and utilized those images to train the classifier. The 96 resultant classifier composed of 31 characters achieved a categorization accuracy of 89% on detained data. The 97 authors using a series of relational characters produced a set of 6,642 features per image. Predicting survival based on the images from patients who were alive 5 years after surgery and also from patients who had died at 98 5 years after surgery they built the prognostic model. After constructing the model, it then was utilized to a 99 verify set of breast carcinomaphotos which were not part of the model creation to categorize patients as either 100 low or high risk of dying at 5 years. A bootstrap examination on the data set and for each of the 6,642 features 101 the authors obtained a 95% Confidence Interval for the feature's coefficient estimate. 32102

¹⁰³ 9 c) Machine learning in pathology

To achieve optimum Supervised Machine Learning Model Rashidi et al. proposed four questions: i) Does 104 the endeavor tackle a necessity?, ii) Is enough data accessible which is appropriate type that scrutinized 105 by clinical specialists?, iii) Which Machine Learning method to utilize?, and iv) Are the enhanced ML 106 simulations appropriate and general enough when used with a new data set? The authors support a balanced 107 approach using clinical trial data merged with real world data to optimize ML training. They recommend 108 that pathologists/laboratorians must be sufficiently familiar with available modeling options in order to make 109 meaningful contributions within the team. 33 Moxley-Wyles et al. introduce the basics of AI in pathology and 110 discuss the future and challenges for the discipline with focuse on surgical pathology instead of cytology. The 111 authors foresee AI's potential to obtain derive novel biological insights by identifying subtle cell changes, which 112 are not recognized by pathologists (using the Haematoxylin and Eosin (H&E) stain) that can predict specific 113 mutations within the cell. Predictions using AI have been proven for Speckle-Type POZ Protein (SPOP) mutation 114 in prostate cancer, BRAF in melanoma, and many mutations in lung adenocarcinoma. They observe that with 115 robustly validated AI tools second opinions from other pathologists could become not necessary. The authors 116 expect AI's potential assistance in predicting outcomes of responses to treatments after regulatory approvals. 117 However, in their opinion the use of Artificial Intelligence in diagnostic practice is rare due to some of the limits 118 of Artificial Intelligence including regulatory and validation issues, as well as a high cost. 34 Li et al. used 119 the fluorescence hyperspectral imaging technique to acquire spectral images for the early diagnosis of gastric 120 cancer. They combined DL with spectral-spatial categorization techniques utilizing 120 fresh tissue specimens 121 with an established diagnosis by histopathological assessments. The method was utilized to detect and extract 122 the 'spectral + spatial' characters to create an early cancer diagnosis model. It resulted in the accuracy of 96.5%, 123 specificity of 96%, and sensitivity of 96.3% for non-precancerous lesion, precancerous lesion, and cancer groups. 124 35 125

¹²⁶ 10 d) Digital Pathology (DP)

Hartman et al. numerate how DP is more advantageous over traditional pathology based on' physical slide 127 on a physical microscope.' This tool development did benefit from 24 public challenges based publications in 128 specific pathological diagnostic tasks. However, there is a true disconnect between the types of organs studied in 129 these public challenges and the large volume of specimens typically available in clinical practice. Even though 130 disciplines of dermatology and gastrointestinal collect a majority of samples in pathology laboratories, so far 131 there are no pathology based dermatology public challenges while only a few in regards to the gastrointestinal 132 133 field. This mismatch is the key reason there being a limit on the wider adoption of AI in pathology field. 36 Niazi et al. have developed the generation of synthetic digital slides that can be used for educational purposes to train 134 135 future pathologists. Their Conditional Generative Adversarial Networks approach contains two main components 136 of the generator and the discriminator. The generator creates fake stained images, while the discriminator tries 137 to catch them. Their approach of distinguishing between 15 real and 15 synthetic images yielded an accuracy of 47.3% amongst three pathologists and two image analysts. The authors do see a role for AI in quality 138 assurance by improving the pathologist's performance with the use of intelligent deep learning and AI tools. 37 139 DP involving the slide digitization process in some instances does create artifacts that are 'Out-Of-Focus' or 140 OOF. OOF is typically noticed after a careful review which requires a whole-slide rescanning, as the manual 141 screening for OOF affecting only parts of a slide isnot feasible. Kohlberger et al. developed a ConvFocus using 142 a refined semi-synthetic OOF information production process and was assessed using seven slides covering three 143 dissimilar tissue and three dissimilar stain types and then was digitized. For 514 separate regions representing 144 37.7K 35 ?m × 35 ?m image patches, and 21 digitized "z-stack" Whole Slide Images containing known Out-145 Of-Focus patterns, ConvFocus scored Spearman rank coefficients of 0.81 and 0.94 on two separate scanners, 146 and it replicated the expected Out-Of-Focus patterns from z-stack scanning. More importantly the authors 147 observed a decrease in the accuracy with increasing OOF. 38 Hartman et al. investigated a US healthcare 148 organization with 20+ hospitals, 500 outpatient sites, international affiliations of one hospital in Italy and a lab 149 in China. The organization employs 100+ pathologists, does consultations by telepathology from the Chinese 150 lab, and uses Digitized Pathology scanned over 40,000 slides. Their conclusion for attainment of successful 151 DP is performing a combination of pre-imaging adjustments, integrated software, and post-imaging evaluations. 152 39 Parwani observed that to attain DP in a lab requires an essential alteration in how tissue is handled and 153 the workflow is harmonized, and the laboratory has attained a digital workflow. It is more than making the 154 workflow to digital and acquiring WSI scanners. He numerates a key advantage the digital workflow provides 155 of reduction in errors in DP and obtaining a second opinion. 40 In DP problems of color variations do arise in 156 tissue appearance due to the disparity in preparation of tissues, difference in stain reactivity between different 157 158 batches and different manufacturers, user and/or protocol dissimilarity, and the use of scanners from diverse 159 vendors. Khan et al. present a novel preprocessing approach to histopathology image stain normalization using representation derived from color deconvolution based on non-linear mapping of a source image to a target image. 160 A method of color deconvolution obtains stain intensity values when the stain matrix, which describes how the 161 colour is changed by the stain intensity is made available. Instead of using the standard stain matrices, which 162 might be unsuitable for a specified image, they recommend the utilization of a colour based classifier incorporating 163 a new stain colour descriptor to compute image explicit stain matrix. 41 Janowczyk et al. developed a tutorial 164

on focusing on the critical components needed by DP experts in automating tasks of grading or investigating 165 clinical hypothesis of prognosis prediction. The authors examined seven use cases of (i) nuclei segmentation, (ii) 166 epithelium segmentation, (iii) tubule segmentation, (iv) lymphocyte detection, (v) mitosis detection, (vi) IDC 167 detection, and (vii) lymphoma classification, and demonstrated how DL can be applied to the most common 168 169 image analysis tasks in DP using open source framework Caffe. They further subdivided the seven tasks into three categories of detection (mitotic events, lymphocytes), segmentation (nuclei, epithelium, tubules), and tissue 170 classification (IDC, lymphoma subtypes), as the approaches used are similar within each analysis category. With 171 over 1200 DP images used during evaluation produced the following: (i) nuclei subdivision with F score of 0.83, 172 (ii) epithelium subdivision with F score of 0.84, (iii) tubule subdivision with F score of 0.83, (iv) lymphocyte 173 detection with F score of 0.90, (v) mitosis recognition with F score of 0.53, (vi) invasive ductal cancer recognition 174 with F score of 0.77, and (vii) lymphoma categorization with categorization accuracy of 0.97. In many of these 175 cases the results are excellent versus seen from the modern feature-based categorization approaches. 42 To 176 guide surgical decisions further, intraoperative frozen sections are useful for rapid pathology-based diagnosis. 177 However, the quality of frozen sections is lower compared to formalin fixed paraffin embedded tissue 43 and that 178 they must be diagnosed within 20 min of receipt. In current clinical practice, thyroid nodule surgeries are the 179 most common in requiring intraoperative consultations. However, using traditional approach the sensitivity for 180 181 diagnosing thyroid nodules from frozen sections is around 75%. 44 Li et al. investigated for the first time if a 182 'patch-based diagnostic system' with DL methodology can diagnose thyroid nodules from intraoperative frozen 183 sections. They approached the problem as a three-category classification problem of benign, uncertain, and malignant classes. In order to reduce the overall time cost, they applied tissue localization first in the whole slide 184 diagnosis to locate thyroid tissue regions. This rule-based system considered the conservative diagnosis manner of 185 the practical thyroid frozen section diagnosis. Their computerized diagnostic technique demonstrated a precision 186 of malignant and benign of thyroid nodules of 96.7% and, 95.3% respectively, and 100% sensitivity for the unsure 187 category. Moreover, the methodology resulted in diagnosis of a typical Whole Slide Image in less than one min. 45 188 Paeng's presentation covers limitations of pathology and relative advantages of DP of reproducibility, accuracy, 189 and workload reduction. Key applications of DP are a) Tumor proliferation score prediction -breast resection, 190 and b) Gleason score prediction -prostate biopsy. The author's method scored the best in Tumor Proliferation 191 Assessment Challenge. He achieved Gleason score prediction of 83% for core-level performance and discussed 192 overcoming: how to handle gigapixel images, how to handle quality variation between slides, and how to handle 193 ambiguous ground-truth. 46 194

¹⁹⁵ 11 e) Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) in pathology

Hegde et al. for histopathology images introduced 'SMILY' (Similar Medical Images Like Yours) which is a DL 196 197 based reverse image search tool. Their tool follows the steps of: a) Create a database of image patches and a numerical portrayal of each patch's image fillings called the embedding, b) Calculate the embedding utilizing a 198 199 CNN, c) SMILY calculates the embedding of the selected query image and matches it in a proficient manner with those in the database, and in the last step d) SMILY yields the k most similar patches, where k is customizable. 200 To create the database the authors used images from TCGA with the evaluations utilizing 127K image patches 201 from 45 slides while the question set included 22.5K patches from additional 15 slides. The CNN algorithm, 202 instead of using large, pixelannotated datasets of histopathology images, was trained using a dataset of images of 203 people, animals, and manmade and natural objects. In the assessment of prostate specimens for finding similar 204 histologic features, SMILY scored 62.1% on average which is, considerably higher than the random search results 205 score of 26.8% with p -value < 0.001. SMILY's score for histologic feature match, when queried from multiple 206 organs, was appreciably higher than random with the score of 57.8% vs. 18.3% with p-value < 0.001. The authors 207 208 claim that SMILY can be used as a general purpose tool in multiple applications of diagnosis, research, and education even though it will have lower accuracy than an application specific tool. 47 Autoencoder (AE) use 209 in breast cancer: An AE can be described as an ANN with a symmetric construction in which middle tiers 210 encode the entered data and then aim to construct a form of its input onto the yield tier and avoids using a 211 direct copy of the data along with the network. 50 Macías-García et al. developed a structure to process DNA 212 methylation to obtain meaningful data from pertinent genes regarding breast cancer recurrence and tested it using 213 The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) data portal. The method is based on AEs to preprocess DNA methylation 214 and generate AE features to characterize breast cancer recurrence and demonstrated how it improved recurrence 215 prediction. 51 AI in cervical cancer: Out of half million annual cervical cancer cases in the world about 80% 216 occur in low and middle income nations. Hu et al. followed over 9,000 women ages 18 to 94 from Costa Rica 217 218 over period of seven years from 1993 to 2000 identifying cancers up to 18 years. They developed a DL based 219 visual evaluation algorithm based on digitized cervical images taken with a fixed focus camera (cervicography), 220 which did automatically identify cervical precancer or cancer. The DL method recognized cumulative precancer 221 and cancer cases with higher AUC of 0.91 compared to the original cervigram interpretation with AUC of 0.69 or 222 conventional cytology with AUC of 0.71. The authors therefore recommend use of automated visual evaluation of cervical images from contemporary digital cameras. 52 AI in prostate cancer: Ström et ??,' 'Luminal B,' 223 'HER2enriched,' and 'Basal-like.' The authors examined 3 cohorts of main breast carcinoma specimens totaling 224 436 (up to 28 years of survival) and scored them for ER, PR, HER2, and Ki67 rank by Digital Image Analysis 225 (DIA) and manually. DIA approach beat manual scoring in both sensitivity and specificity for the Luminal B 226

subtype, and achieved slightly superior concordance and Cohen's ? agreement in reference with PAM50 gene 227 expression assays. The manual biomarker and DIA approaches were close in comparison of each other for Cox 228 regression hazard ratios. In addition DIA faired superior in terms of Spearman's rank-order correlations, and 229 230 prognostic value of Ki67 scores in terms of likelihood ratio thus adding appreciably more prognostic information 231 to the manual scores. The authors concluded that overall the DIA approach was clearly a better substitute to the method of manual biomarker scoring. 48 A manual process identifying the existence and degree of breast 232 carcinoma by a pathologist is serious for patient administration for tumor staging, including an assessment of 233 treatment response, but it is subject to variability between inter-and intra-reader. As a decision support tool 234 any computerized technique needs to be robust to data acquisition from different sources, different scanners, and 235 different staining/cutting approaches. Cruz-Roa et al.'s CNN approach trained the classifier using 400 exemplars 236 from various sites and using TCGA data to validate it with 200 cases. Their approach attained a Dice coefficient 237 of 75.9%, a PPV of 71.6%, and a NPV or of 96.8% regarding the evaluation for pixel-by-pixel in reference with 238 manually annotated regions of invasive ductal carcinoma. 49 resulting networks were tested with independent 239 1,631 biopsies from 246 men from STHLM3 for the presence, extent, and Gleason grade of malignant tissue and 240 an exterior data from 73 men of 330 biopsies. They also compare drating performance by 23 pathology experts 241 on grading 87 biopsies. The AI networks attained an AUC of 0?997 for differentiating between benign and 242 243 malignant biopsy cores on the independent dataset and 0?986 on the external verification data between benign 244 and malignant. The correlation found between carcinoma length predicted by the Artificial Intelligence networks 245 and given by the pathology experts was 0?96 for the impartial data and 0?87 for the external verification dataset. The AI methodology for allotting Gleason grades attained a mean pairwise kappa of 0?62which was within the 246 range of values for the pathology experts of 0?60-0?73. The authors recommend using the AI approach resulting 247 in reduction of the evaluation of benign biopsies and automating the work of determining cancer length in the 248 cases of positive biopsy cores. This AI approach by standardizing grading can be utilized as a second opinion in 249 cancer assessment. 53 AI in stomach and colon cancer: Iizuka et al. in their study utilized biopsy histopathology 250 WSIs of stomach and colon trained CNNs and RNNs to classify them into adenocarcinoma, adenoma, and non-251 neoplastic. They gathered datasets of stomach and colon consisting of 4,128 and 4,036 WSIs, respectively which 252 were then manually annotated by pathologists. The authors using millions of tiles extracted from the WSIs 253 then trained a CNN based on the Inception-V3 architecture for each organ to categorize a tile into one of the 254 three classification tags. Next they summed the projections from all the tiles in the WSI to acquire a final 255 categorization using two approaches of a RNN and a Max Pooling. The models were successfully evaluated 256 on three independent test sets each and achieved Area Under the Curves (AUCs) for gastric adenocarcinoma 257 and adenoma was 0.97 and 0.99 respectively, and for colonic adenocarcinoma and adenoma of 0.96 and 0.99 258 respectively. Further they evaluated the stomach model versus a collection of pathology experts and medical 259 scholars that were not part of labeling the teaching set utilizing an investigation set of 45 images (15 WSI of 260 adenoma, 15 of adenocarcinoma, and 15 of nonneoplastic lesions). The categorization time for Whole Slide Image 261 using the educated model ranged from 5-30 seconds. The average accuracy of diagnoses achieved by pathologists 262 was 85.9%, medical school students was 41.2%, while the stomach model achieved an accuracy of 95.6% in a 30 263 sec assessment. 54 AI in lung cancer: Kriegsmann et al.'s evaluation of CNNs included the classification of the 264 very usual lung carcinoma subtypes of pulmonary adenocarcinoma (ADC), pulmonary squamous cell carcinoma 265 (SqCC), and small-cell lung cancer (SCLC). To validate the appropriateness of the outcomes, skeletal muscle 266 was also integrated in the investigation, as histologically the difference between skeletal muscle and the three 267 tumor entities is unambiguous. They assembled a group of 80 ADC, 80 SqCC, 80 SCLC, and 30 skeletal muscle 268 specimens. TheInceptionV3, VGG16, and Inception ResNetV2 architectures were qualified to categorize the four 269 entities of interest.InceptionV3 based on the CNN model produced the highest classification accuracy and hence 270 was used for the classification of the test set. The final model received an image patch categorization accuracy of 271 88% in the training as well as in the verification set. In the test set they achieved image patch and patient-based 272 CNN classification results of 95% and 100%. 55 To predict carcinoma in WSIs, Kanavati et al. trained a deep 273 learning CNN founded on the EfficientNet-B3 design, using transfer learning and weakly-supervised learning 274 to calculate carcinoma using a training dataset of 3,554 WSIs from a sole medical establishment. The model 275 was then applied to four independent test sets from distinct hospitals in order to validate its generalization on 276 unseen data. The authors obtained excellent results that did show differentiation amongst lung cancer and non-277 neoplastic with an elevated Receiver Operator Curve based AUCs on impartial investigation of four sets of 0.98, 278 0.97, 0.99, and 0.98, respectively. Out of two methodologies to train the simulations of 'fully supervised learning' 279 and 'weakly supervised learning,' the last performed always superior with an improvement of 0.05 in the AUC 280 on the experiment sets. 56 V. 281

282 12 AI -Regulation

The FDA's vision is that with suitable regulatory oversight, Software as a Medical Device (SaMD) based on AI-ML will deliver safe and effective software functionality that will be able to improve the quality of patient care. Their guidance for software modifications focuses on the risk to patients resulting from the software change. For a traditional application three classes of software alterations that might necessitate a premarket submission include: a) a change that introduces a novel risk or changes an existing risk that can produce significant harm, b) an alteration to risk controls to avoid substantial harm, and c) a modification that considerably affects clinical

functionality of the device. For SaMD, any modifications would require a premarket submission to the FDA 289 when the AI/ML software changes significantly, the alteration is to the device's envisioned use, or the alteration 290 introduces a key change to its algorithm. The FDA to date has approved several AI/ML-based SaMD algorithms 291 292 that are locked before marketing and algorithm modifications will possibly require an FDA pre-market assessment for the modifications beyond the initial approval. However, K the SaMD has the capability to constantly learn, 293 as the alteration or modification to the algorithm is recognized after the SaMD has learned from real world 294 experience might provide a significantly dissimilar output in contrast to the output originally approved for a 295 specified set of inputs. Therefore, the AI/ML tools require a new, Total Product Life Cycle (TPLC) regulatory 296 approach. 57 VI. 297

²⁹⁸ 13 AI -Issues to be Resolved

Over the last 100 years both The Covid19 and The Spanish Flu pandemics have shown their disproportionate 299 impacts on patients of low income and racial minorities. A combination of diagnostics bias and sample bias 300 have been the culprit for the global healthcare disparities. Evans argues that present diagnostic tools often fail 301 302 patients who do not fit the prospects of the majority. 58 Even though there is an active effort to involve females 303 in clinical study samples there are many treatment and drug advices that are founded on findings taken from the samples of Caucasian males. The author proposes, going forward, to decode the present and reshape existing 304 305 practices before implementing AI to avoid existing biases and further increasing health disparities. 59 Colling et 306 al. propose a UK-wide strategy for AI and DP. If the requirements of proper slide image management software, integrated reporting systems, improved scanning speeds, and high-quality images for DP systems are achieved 307 then it will provide time and cost saving benefits over the traditional microscope based pathology approach and 308 reduce problem of inter-observer variation. The successful introduction of AI and DP tools to the healthcare 309 system will need proper regulatory approved and evidence based validation, and a lowering of the resistance 310 to collaborate between academic and industry developers. 60 Robertson et al.'s work discusses the limitations 311 312 of deep learning as it works well in supervised learning but not for unsupervised learning. The deep learning 313 approach is not suitable for the discovery of novel biomarkers, as it being an unsupervised learning problem. If the model is educated only by means of images attained from imaging equipment from a single merchant then 314 it may fail to react acceptably to images acquired from the equipment of another merchant. They observe the 315 challenges to having a full digital workflow, a must for deep learning, due to the high costs and the dependence 316 on solid IT support systems. 61 Typically, training of DL models requires many of annotated samples that 317 belong to dissimilar categories. However, in reality it can be hard to collect a balanced dataset for training 318 because of the fact that certain ailments have a low prevalence causing problem of data. Studies have shown that 319 320 many models that perform well on balanced datasets do not when it comes to their imbalanced counterparts. 321 62 Most of medical image datasets possess this imbalance problem. One-class classification, which emphases on 322 learning a model using examples from only a single given class, is used as an approach to overcome the problem 323 of imbalance. Gao et al. proposed a novel method which allows DL models to leverage the concept of imaging complexity to optimally learn single-class-relevant inherent imaging features. They then compared the effects of 324 325 perturbing operations used on images to realize imaging intricacy to boost feature learning, and allowing their method outperforming four advanced methods. 63 Tizhoosh et al. explore problems that must be solved in 326 order to exploit opportunities for the AI promises in computational pathology. The challenges discussed include: 327 i) Lack of labeled or annotated data can be overcome by using active learning applied to labeling with public 328 datasets, ii) Pervasive variability: infinite number of patterns due to presence of several tissue types (connective 329 tissue, nervous tissue, epithelium, and muscle) required by AI algorithms to be learned, iii) Non-Boolean nature 330 331 of diagnostic tasks as binary language of 'yes' or 'no' can be possible in only easy pathological cases but is rarity 332 in the clinical practice, iv) Dimensionality obstacle: Use of "Patching" (divide an image into small tiles) as WSI sizes typically are larger than 50Kx 50K pixels, v) Turing test dilemma: A machine can be as intelligent as a 333 human and Turing test for DP is explicitly not known, vi) Uni-task orientation of weak artificial intelligence as 334 Deep ANNs are designed to perform only one task requiring independently training multiple AIs for tasks of 335 classification, segmentation, and search, vii) Affordability of required computational expenses for adoption of DP 336 is a challenge due to high costs of acquisition and storage of gigapixel histopathological scans, viii) Adversarial 337 attacks (Targeted manipulation of a very small number of pixels inside an image can mislead the network) as 338 negligible presence of artifacts produce misdiagnosis, ix) Lack of transparency and interpretability which is not 339 acceptable to the physicians as there is a lack of explanation on why AI made a specific decision in reference 340 to histopathology scans, and x) Realism of AI as the pathology community has yet to buy in fully due to its 341 342 issues related to ease of use, financial return, and trust. The authors describe multiple opportunities of: a) 343 Deep features -Pretraining is better using Transfer learning instead of training a new network from scratch, b) 344 Handcrafted features (such as gland shape and nuclear size)-Do not forget computer vision as it can be applied 345 in DP to attain high identification accuracies, c) Generative frameworks: Learning to see and not judge as 346 Generative models, focus on acquiring to reproduce data instead of making any decision such as pulmonary disease categorization and for functional MRI analysis, d) Unsupervised learning: When we do not need annotations in 347 selforganizing plots and hierarchical clustering, and effectively combine them in the workflow of usual practice 348 of pathology as annotating images is not portion of the everyday work of pathology experts, e) Virtual peer 349 review -Placing the pathologist in the central to both algorithm development and execution: Algorithms extract 350

reliable information from proven archived diagnosed cases similar to the relevant features of the patient, that are 351 diagnosed and treated by other physicians; Comparing for example diagnosis of patient's cervix biopsy to a prior 352 Pap test assessment for real-time cytologic-histopathologic correlation, f) Automation with AI can assist with 353 354 case triage by performing laborious tasks for example of screening for easily identifiable cancer types or counting mitoses, and with simplification of complex tasks (e.g., triaging biopsies which require immediate action and 355 ordering suitable stains upfront when specified); AI algorithms have attained sensitivity above 92% for breast 356 cancer recognition, g) Re-birth of the hematoxylin and eosin image: combination of computational pathology 357 and emerging technologies of multiplexing and threedimensional imaging allows analysis of individual pixels of 358 pathological images to understand diagnostic, and theoretically available prognostic information, h) Making data 359 science accessible to pathologists will enhance their accuracy with the use of AI tools to generate/analyze big 360 image data. 64 To integrate AI based algorithms into the workflow of pathologists, Jiang et al. outlined and 361 discussed various challenges facing their implementation in pathology. The challenges include: i) Validation: 362 AI models are typically established on small-scale data and images from single-center and therefore they need 363 to be sufficiently validated using multi-institutional data before clinical adoption, ii) Interpretability: DL-based 364 AI methods are rightfully perceived as 'black-box' methods due to their lack of interpretability which is an 365 obstacle towards the clinical adoption by doctors, iii) Computing system: Histopathological photo file dimensions 366 367 are typically 1,000x of an X-ray and 100x of a CT image files requiring powerful computing and storage, and 368 bandwidth to transmit gigapixel-sized images, iv) Attitude of pathologists: Due to the lack of AI based model's 369 interpretability, pathologists are afraid of the change in workflow and worry about how to describe the evidence from AI in the diagnosis report, v) Attitude of clinicians and patients: In order to have both clinicians and 370 patients have trust, AI based diagnostic and prognostic/predictive assays ought to have a high accuracy, and 371 vi) Regulators: The clinical adoption of AI digital pathology needs approval by regulatory agencies and the lack 372 of interpretability limits the approval. 65 Samek et al. present two methods that describe predictions of deep 373 learning models to overcome DL's black box approach. The first method which computes the sensitivity of the 374 prediction with respect to changes in the input and the second approach meaningfully decomposes the decision 375 in terms of the input variables. 66 Some of problems that need to be overcome to achieve the progress of DP 376 and ML in their daily usage in pathology practice are: a) Make interfaces user friendly which currently are not, 377 b) Require a single image format instead of current existence of several proprietary image formats, c) Overcome 378 issue of the large image file sizes using technological advances in storing, and d) Enhance interactions between AI 379 380 experts and pathologists. 67 AI machine learning model development, a multi-step process, includes important technical, regulatory, and clinical barriers. The model should overcome these barriers, which collectively define 381 a "translation gap," in order to being accepted in a real world. The translation gap in digital pathology includes 382 a variability caused by the manual nature of the tissue acquisition process and histopathology slide preparation, 383 differences introduced during tissue sampling, tissue fixation, sectioning, and staining. During model development 384 and validation these variations must be accounted for in order to achieve its widespread adoption. Also, since DP 385 is relatively immature, at present only two manufacturers have received FDA approval to market digital pathology 386 systems for primary diagnosis. 68,69 Similarly Steiner et al. discuss how the low penetration of digital pathology 387 has negatively affected integration of AI into pathologist's diagnostic workflow and validation of algorithms in 388 live clinical settings. 70 VII. 389

390 14 Conclusion

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has come a long way over the last 65 years. Over the last two decades research in AI 391 has gained traction in healthcare and it is now being applied across many medical subspecialties of dermatology, 392 radiology, and pathology. A nationwide or global strategy for AI and Digital Pathology (DP) will be necessary 393 in order to be used for automated diagnosis, triaging cases for improved workflow, or deriving novel insights 394 for pathologists. If DP system's requirements of proper slide image management software, integrated reporting 395 systems, improved scanning speeds, and high-quality images, are achieved then it will provide time and cost 396 saving benefits over the traditional microscope based pathology approach, offer a second opinion, and in addition 397 it will reduce the problem of inter-observer variation. However, AI approaches including deep learning do face 398 rightful criticism, as their internals to make decisions by design are not known and hence will require legal and 399 regulatory issues worked out to reap the possible benefits. The successful introduction of AI and DP tools to the 400 healthcare system will need proper regulatory approved evidence based validation, and ¹ 401

 $^{^1 \}odot$ 2021 Global Journals Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Pathology-A Summary and Challenges

Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Pathology-A Summary and Challenges

Year 2021	
28	
Volume XXI Issue II	
Version I	
DDDD)K	
(
Medical Research	f) AI in cancer applications AI in breast cancer: Stålhammar
	et al. for prognostic and
Global Journal of	predictive value categorized breast cancers by using four gene
	expressions 'Luminal
	© 2021 Global Journals

Figure 1:

- 402 [Cohen], S Cohen. Artificial Intelligence and Deep Learning in Pathology E-Book. 1 st Edition Elsevier. p. 2020.
- 403 [] , 10.1186/s13000-019-0921-2. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13000-019-0921-2
- 404 [] , 10.1109/TBME.2014.2303294. 24845283. p. .
- 405 [] , 10.1038/s41598-020-58467-9. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-58467-9
- 406 [] , 10.1038/s41598-020-66333-x. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-66333-x
- 407 [] , 10.1002/cac2.12012. 40 p. .
- 408 [(2008)] , 10.1111/j.1365-2656.2008.01390. 18397250. 2008 Apr 8. 77 p. .
- 409 [Lancet Oncol (2020)], 31926806. Lancet Oncol 2020 Feb. 21 (2) p. e70.
- 410 [(2019)], 10.1002/path.5310.Epub. 31144302. Oct. 2019 Jul 18. 249 p. .
- [Khan et al. (2014)] 'A nonlinear mapping approach to stain normalization in digital histopathology images using
 image-specific color deconvolution'. A Khan , N Rajpoot , D Treanor . *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng* 2014 Jun.
- 413 [Charte et al. ()] A practical tutorial on autoencoders for nonlinear feature fusion: Taxonomy, models, software
- and guidelines, D Charte, F Charte, S García. 10.1016/j.inffus.2017.12.007. https://doi.org/10.1016/
 j.inffus.2017.12.007 2018. 44 p. . (Information Fusion)
- ⁴¹⁶ [Mccarthy et al. (1955)] A proposal for the Dartmouth summer research project on artificial intelligence, J
 ⁴¹⁷ Mccarthy, M L Minsky, C E Shannon. August 31, 1955. 2006. Ai Mag. 27 p. .
- Leevy et al. ()] 'A survey on addressing high-class imbalance in big data'. J Leevy, T Khoshgoftaar, R Bauder.
 10.1186/s40537-018-0151-6. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1186/s40537-018-0151-6
 J Big Data 2018. 5 p. 42.
- [Elith et al. (2008)] 'A working guide to boosted regression trees'. J Elith , J Leathwick , T Hastie . J Anim Ecol
 2008 Jul.
- 423 [Cruz-Roa et al. (2017)] 'Accurate and reproducible invasive breast cancer detection in whole-slide images:
 424 A Deep Learning approach for quantifying tumor extent'. A Cruz-Roa , H Gilmore , A Basavanhally .
 425 10.1038/srep46450. Sci Rep 2017. 2017 Apr 18. 7.
- [Lawry] AI in Health: A Leader's Guide to Winning in the New Age of Intelligent Health Systems, T Lawry.
 CRC Press. p. 2020. (1 st Edition)
- ⁴²⁸ [Hu et al. ()] 'An Observational Study of Deep Learning and Automated Evaluation of Cervical Images for
 ⁴²⁹ Cancer Screening'. L Hu , D Bell , S Antani . doi:10.1093/ jnci/djy225. J Natl Cancer Inst 2019. 111 (9) p. .
- [Vapnik ()] 'An overview of statistical learning theory'. V Vapnik . http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/
 viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.332.356&rep=rep1&type=pdf *IEEE Trans Neural Netw* 1999. 10
 p. .
- [Rashidi et al. (2019)] 'Artificial "Intelligence and Machine Learning in Pathology: The Present Landscape of
 Supervised Methods'. H Rashidi , N Tran , E Betts . doi:10.1177/ 2374289519873088. Acad Pathol 2019.
 23742895 19873088. 2019 Sep 3. 6.
- [Tizhoosh and Pantanowitz (2018)] 'Artificial Intelligence and Digital Pathology: Challenges and Opportunities'.
 H Tizhoosh , L Pantanowitz . 10.4103/jpi.jpi_53_18. J Pathol Inform 2018. 2018 Nov 14. 9 p. 38.
- [Regitnig et al. ()] 'Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning for Digital Pathology'. P Regitnig, H Müller, A
 Holzinger. 10.1007/978-3-030-50402-1_1. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-50402-1_1 Lecture
- Notes in Computer Science Holzinger A., Goebel R., Mengel M., Müller H. (ed.) 2020. Springer. 12090.
 (Expectations of Artificial Intelligence for Pathology)
- [Holzinger and Goebel ()] Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning for Digital Pathology: State-ofthe-Art and
 Future Challenges (Lecture Notes in Computer Science Book, A Holzinger, R Goebel. 12090. 2020. Springer.
 (1 st Edition)
- [Ström et al. (2020)] 'Artificial intelligence for diagnosis and grading of prostate cancer in biopsies: a populationbased, diagnostic study'. P Ström , K Kartasalo , H Olsson . 10.1016/S1470-2045(19)30738-7. Lancet Oncol
 2020 Feb. 2020 Jan 8. 21 (2) p. .
- 448 [Paeng ()] ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE FOR DIGITAL PATHOLOGY, K Paeng . 2017. (PowerPoint 449 presentation)
- [Belciug ()] Artificial Intelligence in Cancer: Diagnostic to Tailored Treatment, S Belciug . 2020. Academic Press.
 (1 st Edition)
- [Colling et al. ()] 'Artificial intelligence in digital pathology: a roadmap to routine use in clinical practice'. R
 Colling , H Pitman , K Oien . J Pathol 2019.
- [Bohr and Memarzadeh ()] Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare, A Bohr, K Memarzadeh . 2020. Academic Press.
 (1 st Edition)

14 CONCLUSION

- [Mahajan ()] Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare: AI, Machine Learning, and Deep and Intelligent Medicine
 Simplified for Everyone. 2 nd Edition, MedMantra, P Mahajan. 2019.
- [Xing et al. ()] Artificial Intelligence in Medicine: Technical Basis and Clinical Applications, L Xing, M Giger
 J Min . 2020. Academic Press. (1st Edition)
- [Moxley-Wyles et al. ()] 'Artificial intelligence in pathology: an overview'. B Moxley-Wyles , R Colling , C
 Verrill . 10.1016/j.mpdhp.2020.08.004. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mpdhp.2020.08.004 Diagnostic
 Histopathology 1756-2317. 2020. 26 (11) . (Pages 513-520)
- 463 [Russell and Norvig ()] Artificial intelligence: a modern approach, S Russell , P Norvig . 2003. Upper Saddle
 464 River: Prentice Hall.
- [Macías-García et al. ()] 'Autoencoded DNA methylation data to predict breast cancer recurrence: Machine
 learning models and gene-weight significance'. L Macías-García , M Martínez-Ballesteros , J Luna-Romera
 . 10.1016/j.artmed.2020.101976. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.artmed.2020.101976 Artificial Intelli gence in Medicine 0933-3657. 2020. 101976. 110.
- [Hall et al. ()] 'Choice of neighbor order in nearest-neighbor classification'. P Hall , B Park , R Samworth .
 10.1214/07-AOS537. Annals of Statistics 2008. 36 p. .
- [Steiner et al. ()] 'Closing the translation gap: AI applications in digital pathology'. D Steiner , P Chen , C
 Mermel . Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) -Reviews on Cancer 0304-419X. Issue 1, 2021, 188452. 1875.
- 473 [Aggarwal and Ranganathan ()] 'Common pitfalls in statistical analysis: Linear regression analysis'. R Aggarwal 474 , P Ranganathan . doi:10.4103/ 2229-3485.203040. Perspect Clin Res 2017. 8 (2) p. .
- [Ranganathan et al. ()] 'Common pitfalls in statistical analysis: Logistic regression'. P Ranganathan , C Pramesh
 , R Aggarwal . 10.4103/picr.PICR_87_17. Perspect Clin Res 2017. 8 (3) p. .
- 477 [Evans (2020)] 'Covid's Color Line -Infectious Disease, Inequity, and Racial Justice'. M Evans . 10.1056/NE 478 JMp2019445. 10.1056/ NEJMp2019445. N Engl J Med July (5. 2020. 383 p. .
- 479 [Lecun et al. ()] 'Deep learning'. Y Lecun, Y Bengio, G Hinton. Nature 2015. 521 (7553) p. .
- [Goodfellow and Bengio ()] Deep Learning (Adaptive Computation and Machine Learning series) Illustrated
 Edition, I Goodfellow, Y Bengio. 2016. The MIT Press.
- [Kelleher ()] Deep Learning (The MIT Press Essential Knowledge series) Paperback -Illustrated, J Kelleher .
 2019. The MIT Press.
- IJanowczyk and Madabhushi (2016)] 'Deep learning for digital pathology image analysis: A comprehensive tutorial with selected use cases'. A Janowczyk , A Madabhushi . doi:10.4103/ 2153-3539.186902. J Pathol
 Inform 2016. 2016 Jul 26. 7 p. 29. (Published)
- [Kriegsmann et al.] 'Deep Learning for the Classification of Small-Cell and Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer'. M Kriegsmann , C Haag , C Weis . 10.3390/cancers12061604. https://doi.org/10.3390/
 cancers12061604 Cancers 2020 (6) p. 1604.
- ⁴⁹⁰ [Iizuka et al. ()] 'Deep Learning Models for Histopathological Classification of Gastric and Colonic Epithelial
 ⁴⁹¹ Tumours'. O Iizuka , F Kanavati , K Kato . Sci Rep 2020. 10 p. 1504.
- [Topol ()] Deep Medicine: How Artificial Intelligence Can Make Healthcare Human Again, E Topol . 2019. Basic
 Books.
- ⁴⁹⁴ [Robertson et al. (2017)] 'Digital image analysis in breast pathology-from image processing techniques to
 ⁴⁹⁵ artificial intelligence'. S Robertson , H Azizpour , K Smith . 10.1016/j.trsl.2017.10.010. 29175265. Transl
 ⁴⁹⁶ Res 2018 Apr. 2017 Nov 7. 194 p. .
- ⁴⁹⁷ [Stålhammar et al. (2016)] 'Digital image analysis outperforms manual biomarker assessment in breast cancer'.
 ⁴⁹⁸ G Stålhammar , M Fuentes , M Lippert . 10.1038/modpathol.2016.34. 26916072. Mod Pathol 2016 Apr. 2016
 ⁴⁹⁹ Feb 26. 29 (4) p. .
- 500 [Sucaet and Waelput ()] Digital Pathology, Y Sucaet, W Waelput . 2014. Springer.
- [Niazi et al. (2019)] 'Digital pathology and artificial intelligence'. M Niazi , A Parwani , M Gurcan .
 10.1016/S1470-2045(19)30154-8. 31044723. Lancet Oncol 2019 May. 20 (5) p. .
- [Li et al. ()] 'Early diagnosis of gastric cancer based on deep learning combined with the spectral-spatial
 classification method'. Y Li , L Deng , X Yang . doi:10.1364/ BOE.10.004999. *Biomed Opt Express* 2019.
 2019 Sep 9. 10 (10) p. .
- [Jiang et al. ()] 'Emerging role of deep learning-based artificial intelligence in tumor pathology'. Y Jiang , M
 Yang , S Wang . Cancer Commun (Lond) 2020.
- [Hartman et al. ()] 'Enterprise Implementation of Digital Pathology: Feasibility, Challenges, and Opportunities'.
- ⁵⁰⁹ D Hartman , L Pantanowitz , J Mchugh . 10.1007/s10278-017-9946-9. J Digit Imaging 2017. 30 (5) p. .

- 510 [George and Langley ()] 'Estimating continuous distributions in Bayesian classifiers'. J George , P Langley .
- Paper presented at: Eleventh Conference on Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence, (Montréal, Qué, Canada)
 August 18-20. 1995.
- [Samek et al. ()] Explainable artificial intelligence: understanding, visualizing and interpreting deep learning
 models, W Samek, T Wiegand, K-R Müller. arXiv:170808296. 2017. (arXiv Preprint)
- ⁵¹⁵ [U] Food and Drug Administration, 510(k) Substantial Equivalence Determination Decision Memorandum-⁵¹⁶ K190332, U. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/reviews/K190332.pdf
- 517 [GPU Technology Conference] https://on-demand.gputechconf.com/gtc/2017/presentation/
- s7677-paeng-kyunghyun-artificial-intelligence-for-digital-pathology.pdf
 Technology Conference, (San Jose, CA, USA)
- [Lecun et al. ()] 'Gradientbased learning applied to document recognition'. Y Lecun , L Bottou , Y Bengio .
 Proc. IEEE, (IEEE) 1998. 86 p. .
- 522 [Gao et al. ()] 'Handling imbalanced medical image data: A deep-learningbased one-class classification approach'.
- L Gao, L Zhang, C Liu. 10.1016/j.artmed.2020.101935. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.artmed.2020. 101935 Artificial Intelligence in Medicine 0933-3657. 2020. 101935. 108.
- [Chang ()] Intelligence-Based Medicine: Artificial Intelligence and Human Cognition in Clinical Medicine and
 Healthcare. 1 st Edition, A Chang. 2020. Academic Press.
- [Novis et al. ()] 'Interinstitutional comparison of frozen section consultation in small hospitals: a college of American pathologists Qprobes study of 18532 frozen section consultation diagnoses in 233
 small hospitals'. D Novis , G Gephardt , R Zarbo . https://search.proquest.com/openview/
 72a14d7423df2f7ce34028clb4elf74f/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=42082 Arch Pathol Lab Med
 1996. 120 (12) p. 1087.
- [Hochreiter and Schmidhuber ()] 'Long short-term memory'. S Hochreiter , J Schmidhuber . Neural Comput
 1997. 9 p. .
- [Cabitza and Banfi (2018)] 'Machine learning in laboratory medicine: waiting for the flood?'. F Cabitza, G Banfi
 . 29055936. Clin Chem Lab Med 2018 Mar 28. 56 (4) p. .
- 536 [Deo ()] 'Machine Learning in Medicine'. R Deo . doi:10.1161/ CIRCULATIONAHA.115.001593. Circulation
 537 2015. 132 (20) p. .
- [Parwani ()] 'Next generation diagnostic pathology: use of digital pathology and artificial intelligence tools to
 augment a pathological diagnosis'. A Parwani . *Diagn Pathol* 2019. 14 p. 138.
- [Meijer et al. ()] 'Origins of ... image analysis in clinical pathology'. G Meijer , J Beliën , P Van Diest .
 10.1136/jcp.50.5.365. J Clin Pathol 1997. 50 (5) p. .
- 542 [Proposed Regulatory Framework for Modifications to Artificial Intelligence/Machine Learning (AI/ML)-Based Software as a Med
- Proposed Regulatory Framework for Modifications to Artificial Intelligence/Machine Learning (AI/ML)-Based
 Software as a Medical Device (SaMD) -Discussion Paper and Request for Feedback, 2019. (US Food and
 Drug Administration)
- 546 [Breiman ()] 'Random forests'. L Breiman . Mach Learn 2001. 45 p. .
- [Li et al. ()] 'Rule-based automatic diagnosis of thyroid nodules from intraoperative frozen sections using deep
 learning'. Y Li, P Chen, Z Li. 10.1016/j.artmed.2020.101918. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.artmed.
 2020.101918 Artificial Intelligence in Medicine 0933-3657. 2020. 101918. 108.
- [Hegde et al. ()] 'Similar image search for histopathology: SMILY'. N Hegde , J D Hipp , Y Liu . 10.1038/s41746 019-0131-z. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41746-019-0131-z Digit. Med 2019. 2 p. 56.
- [Hearst et al. (1998)] 'Support vector machines'. M Hearst, S Dumais, E Osuna . 10.1109/5254.708428. IEEE
 Intelligent Systems and their Applications, July-Aug. 1998. 13 p. .
- [Beck et al. (2011)] 'Systematic Analysis of Breast Cancer Morphology Uncovers Stromal Features Associated
 with Survival'. A Beck , A Sangoi , S Leung . 10.1126/scitranslmed.3002564. Science Translational Medicine
 09 Nov 2011. 3.
- ⁵⁵⁷ [Prewitt and Mendelsohn ()] 'The analysis of cell images'. J Prewitt , M Mendelsohn . 1035-53.10.1111/j.1749-6632.1965.tb11715. https://nyaspubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j. 559 1749-6632.1965.tb11715.x Ann N Y Acad Sci 1966. 128 (3).
- [Straw ()] 'The automation of bias in medical Artificial Intelligence (AI): Decoding the past to create a better
 future'. I Straw . 10.1016/j.artmed.2020.101965. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.artmed.2020.101965
 Artificial Intelligence in Medicine 0933-3657. 2020. 101965. 110.
- [Kahmke et al. ()] 'Utility of intraoperative frozen sections during thyroid surgery'. R Kahmke, W Lee, L Puscas
 . 10.1155/ 2013/496138. Int J Otolaryngol 2013.

14 CONCLUSION

[Hartman et al. (2020)] 'Value of Public Challenges for the Development of Pathology Deep Learning Algorithms'. D Hartman , J Van Der Laak , M Gurcan . 32318315. J Pathol Inform 2020 Feb 26. 11 p. 7.

- 567 [Vapnik ()] V Vapnik . The Nature of Statistical Learning Theory, 1995. Springer-Verlag. (Second edition)
- 568 [Vapnik ()] V Vapnik . Statistical Learning Theory, 1998. Wiley.
- [Kanavati et al. ()] 'Weakly-supervised learning for lung carcinoma classification using deep learning'. F Kanavati
 , G Toyokawa , S Momosaki . Sci Rep 2020. 10 p. 9297.

571 [Mukhopadhyay et al. ()] 'Whole slide imaging versus microscopy for primary diagnosis in surgical pathology: a

multicenter blinded randomized noninferiority study of 1992 cases'. S Mukhopadhyay , M Feldman , E Abels

573 . doi: 10.1097/ PAS.00000000000948. Am. J. Surg. Pathol 2018. 42 p. .

574 [Kohlberger et al. (2019)] 'Whole-Slide Image Focus Quality: Automatic Assessment and Impact on AI Cancer

576 p. 39.