

Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.*

CrossRef DOI of original article: 10.34257/GJMRLVOL21IS1PG11

Prioritizing Achievable Goals for Food Security in the Developing World Maarten van Ginkel Received: 11 December 2020 Accepted: 5 January 2021 Published: 15 January 2021

6 Abstract

- $_{7}$ $\,$ Many solutions have been proposed to address food security. We present here a prioritized set
- $_{\circ}$ of actions achievable within the next 2â??"10 years. By taking a systems approach we follow
- ⁹ the impact pathway backwards starting from the needs and desires of the end-users to
- $_{10}$ $\,$ eventually define the research agenda that will exactly address those targeted solutions with
- 11 positive impacts.

12

13 Index terms—

14 **1** Introduction

ood security, which encompasses food availability, access, utilization and stability (Van den Broeck & Maertens, 2016; Frels et al., 2019), is a key element in Sustainable Development Goal 2: End hunger, achieve food security and improved nutrition and promote sustainable agriculture. We set out to identify actions that would enable full food security that are both high-priority and achievable within the next 2-10 years, coinciding with the SDG target of 2030. Our focus is on agriculture in the developing world, where food security is most needed. Our approach is to identify the most important drivers of food systems and then to look at ongoing processes in these

²¹ food systems in search of achievable goals with a high return.

In so doing, we present a big-picture overview of the current status for each area and then offer some recommendations, based on a much wider review that draws also on the authors' long combined experience working in these areas in public-sector agricultural research and development for the developing world.

A crucial point is to recognize that we are dealing with a complex, multi-faceted set of problems. Food systems include soil, water, air, crops, livestock, fish, natural vegetation, pollinators, soil-borne organisms, environmental sustainability, dietary sustainability, food security, food distribution, food demand, consumption, waste, livelihoods, justice and stakeholders from farmers to consumers; such complexity requires interdisciplinary, transdisciplinary and systems thinking (Dawson et al., 2019).

30 **2** II.

³¹ 3 Major Drivers a) Population growth

Norman E. Borlaug, awarded the Nobel Peace Prize 50 years ago for the plant breeding work that ushered in the
Green Revolution, emphasized the "population monster". He noted that humankind "is not yet using adequately
his potential for decreasing the rate of human reproduction" (Borlaug, 1972).

Nevertheless, global population growth has slowed considerably, to 2.3 births per woman. In almost half of the world's roughly 200 countries, mostly in Asia, Europe and North America, fertility rates have dropped below the replacement rate of 2.1 births per woman. However, in the least developed countries, covering more than 1 billion people, average birth rate is 4.1 per woman, with an average household size of 5. In Africa, the fertility rate is 4.4 births per woman. Death rates too are dropping, although not as fast as birth rates, so that for 25

- countries around the world population size will still double between now and 2050 (Population Reference Bureau,
- 41 2020). About 90% of global population growth will occur in Africa and Asia. Many of those people-80%-will be
- ⁴² in medium-sized cities of fewer than 500,000 inhabitants (Hazell, 2018).

⁴³ 4 b) Climate change

Agriculture occupies 40% of all global land area (Clapp, Newell, & Brent, 2018). Technological innovation in 44 agri-food systems will have to accelerate in order to mitigate and adapt to climate change (IPCC, 2018). With 45 climate change, dry regions will become drier, and wet regions wetter (Ruane & Rosenzweig, 2018). Predictions 46 of extreme temperature point in one direction: more frequent heat. Heat extremes will hasten decomposition 47 48 of soil organic matter (SOM) and decrease soil water availability, requiring crop irrigation with waste water or sewage and resulting in soil pollution (Fayiga & Saha, 2017). The effects on crop species will differ. As is well 49 known, C3 plants are more vulnerable to elevated temperature and CO 2 levels than C4 plants (Lamboll, Stathers, 50 & Morton, 2017). Damage due to crop diseases, pests and weeds extending their range under climate change 51 is already vast, although to some extent predictable based on their presently known environmental adaptation 52 (Hertel & de Lima, 2020). Other impacts on crop physiology, such as pollen maturation and survival, are complex. 53 The agriculture and food sectors are not only victims of climate change but, according to most estimates, are 54 also themselves responsible for about 25% of all greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, complicating mitigation and 55 adaptation in agriculture considerably (Clapp et al., 2018; Ruane & Rosenzweig, 2018). 56 57 Extreme rainfall events will include both lack and excess of precipitation, and be less predictable. Inland

58 flooding and associated water logging of crops are expected, for example in East Africa (Lamboll, Stathers, & Morton, 2017; Ruane & Rosenzweig, 2018). Water logging leaches nutrients from the soil, resulting in negative 59 60 nutrient imbalances on farmland and downstream (Fayiga & Saha, 2017). Sea-level has risen 20 cm from 1900 61 to 2020, faster than at any time in the past 2000 years (Lamboll, Stathers, & Morton, 2017). Sea level rises will increase coastal soil salinity levels, reducing crop yields (Fayiga & Saha, 2017; IPCC, 2018). Snow-fed river 62 systems will receive less water, as less snow will fall in their watersheds (Ruane & Rosenzweig, 2018). By 2040, 63 major productive, arable lands will find groundwater depleted or unreachable, with 28% of all cropland under 64 high water stress, (Van der Elst & Williams, 2018). While most studies of agriculture and climate change focus 65 on temperature and precipitation, a 30-year study in China indicates that other weatherrelated factors may also 66 67 have large effects; for example, increased wind speed results in lower rice, wheat and maize yields, but increased 68 humidity enhanced crop development (Zhang, Zhang, & Chen, 2017).

Fish stocks in tropical areas may decrease by up to 40% in 2050 compared to 2000, as a result of many factors ultimately linked to climate change (IPCC, 2018; Lam et al., 2020). African countries are most vulnerable for fisheries-related food insecurity although some may be able to compensate with aquaculture (Ding, Chen, Hilborn, & Chen, 2017).

Most models predict that lower latitudes, where most developing countries are located, will experience more 73 severe changes and fluctuations in climate than higher latitudes (Ruane & Rosenzweig, 2018). Many developing 74 countries, especially in Africa, are highly exposed and vulnerable, and with low adaptive capacity compared to 75 76 developed countries (Sarkodie & Strezov, 2019). In addition, while climate predictions for many regions are in 77 agreement, those for sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) are surprisingly unclear. Different analyses arrive at distinct, 78 even sometimes opposing, conclusions about changes in temperature and precipitation, and even the frequent conclusion that the Horn of Africa and East Africa will see an increase in precipitation is not uniformly agreed 79 80 upon (Serdeczny et al., 2017).

Climate change will disproportionately hit remote, marginalized semi-arid lands, which already experience scarce, unreliable rainfall and often have degraded soils. Populations there rely on rain fed agriculture, mixed crop and livestock farming or pastoralism, with restricted access to markets, infrastructure and services (Gannon, Crick, Rouhaud, Conway, & Fankhauser, 2018).

Crucially, the impact of relatively small absolute changes varies considerably according to the relative status of 85 86 different sectors of the population, an effect masked by high-level aggregated approaches to modeling. (Hallegatte 87 & Rozenberg, 2017), for example, show that although the poorest quintile of the population represents only a few percent of total GDP, much of their work is in the informal sector so that impacts will barely be reflected in 88 GDP. Precarious living conditions add to vulnerability to extreme weather events, while rising food prices have 89 a disproportionately large effect when total household income is low. Women in developing countries will be 90 91 particularly affected, as they often work in the most marginalized activities with restricted access to resources. This is especially true in Africa, but also a factor in Asia (Gannon, Crick, Rouhaud, Conway, & Fankhauser, 92 2018; Chanana-Nag & Aggarwal, 2020). Many work on land owned by others for a wage, often less than that of 93 men, with increased exposure to higher financial risk and instability. 94 Livestock, as the rest of agriculture, contributes to climate change -14.5% of global GHG emissions and will be 95

negatively affected, resulting in increased competition for water and feed, and reduced production of milk, meat
and eggs (Rojas-Downing, Nejadhashemi, Harrigan, & Woznicki, 2017; IPCC, 2018). Ruminant livestock are the
greatest source of methane (Reay, Smith, Christensen, James, & Clark, 2018), while manure and fertilizer use
in feed production account for almost half the GHG production in livestock management (Rojas-Downing et al.,
2017).

As a result of the complexities of food systems, supposedly climate-smart policies could exacerbate rather than mitigate the challenges. Hasegawa et al., (2018) show that carbon taxes on GHG emissions would increase food prices, by increasing the costs of crop production, and demand for biofuel leads to elevated land rents. This mitigation approach resulted in extra risk of hunger over no mitigation and a larger negative impact than climate change itself. The negative effects are more severe on livestock than on food crop prices, especially in low-income regions in SSA and South Asia. The authors stress that they do not argue against climate change mitigation or adaptation measures, but emphasize that study of trade-offs and the implementation of corrective measures on prices, and hence food security, is also important (Hasegawa et al., 2018).

The impact of climate change on labor is likely to be high. Capacity for work by people laboring outside in the sun is known to suffer, especially when humidity is high, as in agriculture and construction. Draft animals are likely to have similar declines in productivity as temperatures rise. And yet, these effects of climate change on agricultural labor productivity in many lowincome countries are rarely taken into consideration, while already part of legislature in industrialized countries (Hertel & de Lima, 2020).

In order to address sudden challenges, one of the fundamental requirements is the capacity to innovate. This ability can be learned and upgraded (Leeuwis et al., 2014), indicating a need for capacity building not just in specific disciplines such as agronomy, but in developing the ability, willingness and confidence to successfully experiment with new approaches at short time intervals, thus creating resilience by systems thinking. With

increased capacity to innovate, farmers are better prepared to respond, moving from "teaching a person how to

119 fish" to "experimenting oneself on how to fish even better".

¹²⁰ 5 c) Recommendations for Climate Change

A very high priority must be for scientists to combine their efforts in a focus on sub-Saharan Africa. Absolute numbers and relative rates of poverty are very high, and most food production is reliant on rainfed agriculture. However, consensus on the impact of climate change is not high. It is urgent that more reliable predictions be produced quickly, to guide other research.

Raising preparedness to cope with and recover from sudden extreme weather events must be a priority. A set of scenarios for a range of events at different scales will indicate levels of resilience and specific areas in which resilience can be improved. For example, prepare to direct run-off of large amounts of rainfall water to storage facilities from where it later can easily be extracted.

Data from the poorest segments of society are absent from most aggregate assessments of the impacts of climate change. Disaggregated, large-scale household surveys are needed to ensure that impacts on these, which are likely to be most severe, can inform higher-level analyses.

Extreme weather events are likely to find expression in greater fluctuation in agricultural production that will affect farmers, consumers and the economy as a whole. New financial tools will be needed that can inject support very quickly when needed, reaping returns in agricultural boom years, when prices are generally lower.

The social costs of climate change on human productivity need to be better understood so that appropriate adaptation measures can be put in place.

¹³⁷ 6 d) Decline in research and development budgets

Cuts in agricultural research and development (R&D) budgets by high-income, public, mostly government budgets are increasingly common (Frels et al., 2019), as support is demanded elsewhere. Cutting R&D budgets in any sector sooner or later will result in fewer innovations that are able to address existing and new problems. In the developed world the private sector increasingly pays for much of agricultural R&D, often behind securely patented walls. In the developing world the low return on investment is a drag on private-sector agricultural R&D. In addition, "agricultural research is slow magic," with many of its returns accruing only after decades

144 (Alston et al., 2020).

The importance of agricultural R&D is especially pronounced in developing countries, where increases in agricultural productivity reduced poverty more than productivity gains in industry or services (Ivanic & Martin, 2018). In those countries, public R&D remains crucial. The UN and the African Union Commission recommend that developing countries invest 1% of GDP in agricultural research, but in 2016 the vast majority of these countries reached at most only 0.3% of GDP (Beintema, Pratt, & Stads, 2020). R&D should not just aim to increase calories from a few staple crops, but also nutrients from other food groups, including fruits, vegetables, meat, eggs, and milk (Pingali & Aiyar, 2018;Hertel & de Lima, 2020).

Overseas Development Aid (ODA) provided an average of \$11 billion per year for agricultural development 152 between 1975 and 2013. ODA specifically for agricultural research grew from 2.9% to 7.7% of total ODA and 153 was associated with high rates of return (Abler, 2017). Sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia were the major 154 recipient regions. However, SSA consistently had the lowest score in development projects rated satisfactory 155 by the World Bank. Abler (2017) attributes this to a lack of appreciation for SSA's diversity and complexity, 156 lack of local human and infrastructure base to develop agriculture, and fruitless efforts to apply approaches that 157 worked in Asia but are not suited for SSA. ODA investments in agriculture remain important, especially in SSA 158 159 (Mason-D'Croz et al., 2019).

Reduced ODA inflows may also reflect positive developments, for example when a country exceeds donor limits on income per capita. For example, the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) sets a threshold of \$12,000 per capita for three years consecutively (Calleja & Prizzon, 2019). Between 2004 and 2019, 35 low-income countries became middle-income countries (Jalles d'Orey & Prizzon, 2019) and the OECD expects an additional 29 countries to "graduate" before 2030 (Calleja & Prizzon, 2019). However, exceeding a threshold for mean per capita income does not guarantee that such countries will now themselves fund a healthy agricultural

8 III. HIGH-PRIORITY AND ACHIEVABLE GOALS A) RESTORE RESOURCE-BASE DEGRADATION

R&D sector. Furthermore, after transitioning to middle-income, countries receive outside investments as loans rather than grants. As a result, governments invest these loans in money-making sectors, such as infrastructure projects, rather than sectors such as agricultural R&D, under the impression that these latter sectors do not create financial returns in the short term (Engen and Prizzon, 2019). However, a meta-analysis of 492 studies showed that present-day return on investment in agricultural R&D continues to be high, above 50% per year (Rao, Hurley, & Pardey, 2019). At the same time many of the poorest countries stagnate in agricultural production and R&D, while their economic dependency on agriculture continues ??Alston and Pardey, 2017).

Another relatively new and positive development is that medium-income countries, such as Brazil, China and 173 India, increasingly define their own agricultural R&D agenda and are responsible for significant technology 174 innovations for the developing world and beyond. While in high-income countries agricultural scientific 175 publications doubled between 1996 and 2016, in newly middle-income countries a 4-to 30-fold increase was 176 reported (Heisey & Fuglie, 2018). The growing role in agricultural R&D of these newly medium-income countries 177 is not restricted to their government sector, but also their emergent private sector. As their success grows, 178 these are likely to spread their influence regionally and even globally. For example, Seed Co, one of the largest 179 homegrown private seed sector companies in SSA, was founded in Zimbabwe and now operates in more than 20 180 African countries. 181

¹⁸² 7 e) Recommendation on R&D budgets

As low-income countries reduce investments in agricultural R&D, despite high internal rates of return on agricultural development, we advise governments to create incentives for private sector investment in agrifood systems. One approach might be lower taxes on such investments, especially in rural areas.

¹⁸⁶ 8 III. High-Priority and Achievable Goals a) Restore resource ¹⁸⁷ base degradation

Land degradation-measurable loss of the "biological or economic productivity and complexity of rainfed cropland, 188 irrigated cropland, or range, pasture, forest and woodlands? arising from human activities and habitation patterns" 189 (Barbier & Hochard, 2018) now affects 25% of all global land, more than 90% of which is in developing countries 190 (Barbier & Hochard, 2018; ??ittonell, 2018). Increasing the amount of agriculture through changes in land 191 use is increasingly difficult, even in Africa, where the arable land limit has already been reached in 45 of the 192 54 African countries and 65% of the land has degraded through unsustainable intensification (Kwame Yeboah, 193 Jayne, Muyanga, & Chamberlin, 2019). Where expansion of agricultural land is still possible, it will be largely 194 into low productivity land, resulting in further land degradation (Barbier & Hochard, 2018). This challenges 195 Sustainable Development Goal 15, land degradation neutrality, defined as "a state whereby the amount and 196 197 quality of land resources necessary to support ecosystem functions and services and enhance food security remain stable or increase within specified temporal and spatial scales and ecosystems" (UNCCD, 2016). 198

199 Innovations that increase returns to land and labor can motivate farmers to engage in more sustainable food systems, mitigating land degradation and thus further increasing returns. In addition, rural infrastructure and 200 education have been shown to contribute most to increasing productivity for people on degraded land (Barbier 201 & Hochard, 2018). Restoring their soils requires the mitigation of degrading factors, introducing biomass and 202 nutrients, and producing new biomass from rotationally synergistic plants that are partially sequestered in the 203 soil to increase soil organic matter (Tittonell, 2018). Depleted soils with reduced SOM and greater acidity may 204 no longer respond to inorganic fertilizer, preventing new crop varieties delivering their potential yield (Kwame 205 206 Yeboah, Jayne, Muyanga, & Chamberlin, 2019).

The provision of phosphate is particularly urgent. Phosphate fertilizer precipitates into complexes and becomes 207 fixed in soils, with 75-90% becoming inaccessible to plants. As a result, phosphate is the second most applied 208 fertilizer after nitrogen (Aloo, Makumba, & Mbega, 2020). Unlike nitrogen, however, rock phosphate is a finite 209 resource, expected to be depleted in the next 100 to 500 years (Cottrell et al., 2018; Aloo et al., 2020). Methods 210 are needed to allow plants to access the phosphorus tied up in soils. Some rhizobacteria can solubilize and liberate 211 phosphorus from soil complexes and make it available to plants, and while they have been commercially available 212 for about 15 years, the mechanisms of action are often unknown (Aloo et al., 2020). Other soil-borne organisms 213 and micro-organisms can potentially restore degraded soils and have been little studied in that context. New 214 methods allow the study of root systems in natural conditions (e.g., (El Hassouni et al., 2018)), enabling a better 215 216 understanding of the hidden half of crop plants.

217 Organic farming has been proposed as a sustainable agri-food system that conserves

Prioritizing Achievable Goals for Food Security in the Developing World L biodiversity, improves soil health and reduces GHG emission, with lower inputs and higher prices as potential incentives for producers, at least in more developed countries. While there remains considerable discussion on both yields and environmental benefits (Jouzi et al., 2017), an extensive literature review indicates that per unit output, organic farming is more polluting than conventional farming because of its lower average productivity for crops and livestock (Meemken & Qaim, 2018). Only 11% of the land devoted to organic systems is currently in Africa and Asia, and more than 80% of purchases take place in Europe and North America (Anderberg, 2020). We conclude that while organic agriculture may make a small contribution to food security in very special circumstances, it does not seem suited for developing country households, and certainly not in the next 2-10 years.

²²⁷ 9 b) Recommendations on degraded resources

The study of micro-organisms that can make bound phosphorus available to plants is urgently needed, along with greater research into the role of other soil biota in promoting plant health.

The study of roots to enhance their role in yield and yield stability can be hugely expanded, with new approaches available to efficiently phenotype, genetically define and improve root systems in natural cropping conditions.

The treatment of crop seeds with microbial solutions deserves further research, as a way to promote their ability to restore degraded lands. The use of microbial solutions has a checkered history that spans centuries, but is now beginning to gain a measure of scientific respect. A better understanding of the mechanisms involved, which might include stimulating the development of the root system, could unlock the potential of microbial

237 solutions to sustainably raise crop yields.

Policies that offer access to land and secure tenancy rights will be essential to encourage youth to make long-term investments in agriculture and sustainable intensification.

²⁴⁰ 10 c) Reversing biodiversity loss

Dynamic changes in biodiversity are part of the planet's past and present evolution, with new species continuously
arising and others declining, hitherto with little impact on humans. More recently, agriculture, and especially
changes in land use, have been identified as major drivers of biodiversity loss (Tilman et al., 2017; Almond,
Grooten, & Petersen, 2020)}.

To reach global food security by 2030, expansion of croplands and intensification of farming are options 245 additional to crop yield increases, but both risk biodiversity loss by way of loss and fragmentation of natural 246 habitat and adverse water and soil management. Trade-offs are inevitable. Globally, the negative effects of 247 248 expansion have been worse than those of intensification (Kehoe et al., 2017) Despite a general trend towards reduced biodiversity in farming systems, over time and in terms of on-farm genetic diversity, mixed and relay 249 cropping are still widely practiced, especially on smallholdings in the developing world. Considering yields from 250 all such crops in a farm by area and by time can show total harvest increases. Mixtures of several crop species 251 and of genetic diversity within a crop have been shown to reduce losses to pests and diseases. Mixtures may also 252 contain species that provide beneficial or synergistic complementary services, which in combination can result 253 in ecosystem productivity and stability and thus increased product yields, quality and profitability insurance for 254 risk-averse farmers (Baumgärtner, 2007). Such services include penetrating soil pans, soil regeneration, increasing 255 water infiltration, preventing excess water evaporation and improving water retention, offering shade, providing 256 protection against wind and habitats for natural enemies and biocontrol agents, greater carbon sequestration, 257 enhanced nitrogen fixation, and greater ability to cope with disturbance. Urban agriculture and home gardens 258 with mixed systems, due to their small scale and personal inputs, can promote production of many traditional, 259 non-staple niche and "orphan" crops that address specific tastes and needs, and hence contribute to biodiversity 260 (Taylor & Lovell, 2014). 261

²⁶² 11 d) Recommendations on reversing biodiversity loss

There is an urgent need now to protect and encourage biodiversity that serves both humankind and the planet's resource base. Focusing intensification of production only there where the expected productivity gain is largest and potential biodiversity loss is smallest can facilitate that.

Crop wild relatives, landraces, and neglected and underutilized crops, representing biodiversity, should be used more in modern crop breeding. (See Innovation in crops and animals, below).

²⁶⁸ 12 e) Facilitating market access

Infrastructure constraints, such as a lack of roads to markets and longer-term (cooled) storage continue to restrict opportunities to earn income from excess crop production in much of the developing world. Investments in transport infrastructure have particularly lagged, despite being the largest portion in many infrastructure budgets, as the start from a low base (Gurara et al., 2017). Food transport and marketing will be sectors particularly affected when extreme rainfall leads to widespread flooding, which will particularly impact highdensity cities (Vajjarapu, Verma, & Gulzar, 2019). In addition, an excess of intervening "middlemen" reduces the benefits that flow back to the original crop producers.

Traditionally, smallholder farmers sell excess production to passing traders or at the local market (Ferreira, Goh, & Valavi, 2017). In the past ten years, ODA has started to fund projects that explore digital mobile technologies to provide farmers with broader marketing channels (Ferreira, Goh, & Valavi, 2017; Qiang, Kuek, Dymond, & Esselaar, 2012). While mobile technologies are a promising area for farmers (and for providers of digital technology), regulations are needed and farmers may benefit from uniting in common groups to increase negotiation power with e-intermediaries, because beyond a minimum level of access, market power is more

²⁸² important than market access (Ferreira, Goh, & Valavi, 2017). Despite a checkered history, especially in Africa,

producer organizations can facilitate access to input and output markets (Shiferaw, Hellin, & Muricho, 2016). 283 There is some indication that membership of producer organizations is most popular with the middle class of 284 farmers, with very low-income and high-income farmers choosing not to join. Key to future success for producer 285 organizations is to take an expressed agribusiness orientation with strict business principles (Shiferaw, Hellin, & 286 Muricho, 2011). 287

Despite some positive developments in agricultural production, Africa's food imports have quadrupled in the 288 289 past two decades. However, countries differ considerably, providing opportunities for cross-border trade in Africa, which also creates salaried jobs for surplus labor. Hence, investment in the production of local staple crops, to 290 offset growing imports, closely followed by mixed crop-livestock food systems, remains a priority, along with 291 diversification and with an eye to potential exports (Christiaensen & Vandercasteelen, 2019). Since 2000, donors 292 and funders increasingly support value chain development approaches with multiple stakeholders, aimed at food 293 security, poverty reduction and gender equity (Donovan, Stoian, & Hellin, 2020). 294

In a study of 235 Regional Trade Agreements (RTA) involving 45 developing country exporters from among 295 the countries most active in international trade, and 60 export destinations, north-north agreements were the 296 majority until 1991. However, during 1991-2015, 86% of the RTAs involved north-south and southsouth product 297 movements. On average, developing countries clearly benefitted from RTAs, but while Asia doubled its share of 298 world trade to 36%, Africa stagnated at 2-3% (Stender, 2019). 299

An increase in open international trade would create new opportunities for agricultural goods from developing 300 301 countries. During much of the previous century, border restrictions limited global trade of food products. At the 302 end of the 20th century globalization bloomed, but the 2008 financial crisis interrupted this expansion (Frels et al., 303 2019). Nevertheless, since 2000 exports of horticultural products have tripled in Latin America and quadrupled in Africa and Asia (Van den Broeck & Maertens, 2016). Horticulture products represent the single largest category 304 305 of agri-food exports, making up 24-33% of all agri-food exports from Africa, developing Asia and Latin America. Horticulture diversifies exports and increases food security, because its value chain is very labor-intensive but at 306 a relatively low skill level, thus providing an income for many, including women and youth in food processing, 307 while at the same time the products represent high-value exports (Van den Broeck & Maertens, 2016). Opening 308 up trade will not only increase food diversity, quality and safety, but also raise national income, thus contributing 309

to food availability, access, utilization and market stability ??Frels et 310

al., 2019). 13311

There does not appear to be any trade-off between horticulture production for export and domestic food 312 production; both actually increase. Increased household income enables improved access to food, especially 313 314 for contract farmers, but less significantly so for wage workers, although in the latter case female workers appear 315 to benefit most (Van den Broeck & Maertens, 2016)

Increased export to the developed world requires food safety regulations and sanitary and phytosanitary 316 measures of importing countries to be implemented. Faour-Klingbeil and Todd (2018) studied the West Asia 317 and North Africa (WANA) region and noted that Morocco, Tunisia, Egypt and Jordan adapted their food safety 318 regulations and saw their food exports grow over the long-term. Most countries in WANA were not able to reach 319 international standards, because of low safety standards on-farm and in local markets, and a lack of scientific 320 knowledge and political will. As the value chain upgrades to higher quality markets and upscales export options, 321 322 food standards and certification become more stringent, with the result that agribusiness increasingly tends to

323 focus on a limited L number of large and medium farms, rather than on many smallholdings (Qaim, 2017). 324 The inclusion of non-farm income sources by farming families when discussing agri-food systems is a dramatic

325 transition from the past, and it requires recognition. Production systems diversify, diets diversify, livelihoods diversify and income sources diversify. Levels of analysis change too, from on-farm analyses, to farming systems 326 analyses, to post farm gate analysis, to value chain analyses, and now to total agri-food systems analyses. This 327 328 kind of straddling research should be included in future research agendas for them to remain relevant in changing times. 329

f) Recommendation for market access 14 330

We recommend that governments encourage a transition to commercial farming, which offers stronger routes to 331 market access, improves food security, and provides employment and income for a diversified workforce, including 332 women and youth. Those farms not yet able to transition may need other forms of facilitation and support. 333

g) Diversification of crops and diets 15334

In the 10-15 years, attention has begun to shift from the need to feed the hungry in developing countries, 335 to the need to nourish those with insufficient access to nutritious food. More than two billion individuals are 336 337 undernourished or malnourished, including those with stunting, wasting, vitamin and mineral deficiencies, anemia 338 and obesity (Haddad et al., 2016).

In low-income, low-yielding subsistence farming, in the absence of trade and markets, families eat largely 339 what their farm produces. Their dietary and nutritional adequacy is determined by what they grow. In true 340 subsistence farming, increased diversity in homegrown crop production directly leads to increased diversity in 341

nutrient intake and better health. But many of even the smallest farmers do purchase some food, thus somewhat
diversifying their diets ?? Ickowitz, 2021).Overall, both on-farm diversification (within limits) and market access
diversification can lead to fairly well diversified and healthy diets (Sibhatu, Krishna, & Qaim, 2015).

Cereals dominate many diets in the developing world as food staples, and have benefitted from a century 345 of science-based crop improvement, as have staple root and tuber crops and several legume food crops. Most 346 climate change research has focused on the major staples, wheat, rice, maize and soybean, but non-staple crops 347 will be increasingly important in providing protein and micro-nutrients (Hertel & de Lima, 2020). Research on 348 fruits and vegetables has lagged, and given the high nutritional value of many fruits and vegetables and the 349 relatively low research base in many cases, very significant gains in nutritional food security can be expected 350 when modern scientific improvement tools are applied. Furthermore, an entire class of edible plants has been 351 labelled neglected and underutilized species (Padulosi et al., 2018). These NUS crops are often extremely rich 352 in important micronutrients. Researchers have reduced the neglect to some extent, and consumers are being 353 encouraged to use them more frequently and more widely, with large potential impacts on nutritional security 354 particularly in developing countries, where NUS are often well adapted to lowinput systems (Hunter et al., 2019; 355 Siddique, Li, & Gruber, 2021)}. 356

Animal-source food (including milk and dairy products, meat, fish, and eggs) is a hotly discussed topic, with 357 some noting that for the poor it provides crucial nutrients, while others point to its negative environmental impacts 358 ??Willett et al., 2019;Adesogan et al., 2020). The SDGs specifically refer to the importance of domesticated 359 360 animal and fish production (UN General Assembly, 2015), in part because in diets with an excess of cereals the 361 lack of micronutrients (e.g., bioavailable vitamin A, vitamin D3, iron, iodine, zinc, calcium, folic acid) may lead to 362 stunting in children and impaired cognitive development. Animal-based foods also provide high-quality proteins and essential fatty acids and may also enhance absorption of nutrients such as iron and vitamin A from plant-363 based food eaten at the same time. Animal-source foods contain the only natural source of vitamin B12; the lack 364 of which may result in developmental disorders, anemia, and poor motor and cognitive functions. Micronutrient 365 supplements may help to correct this, but are not an available option for many low-income households (Pingali 366 & Sunder, 2017). Some animals also give traction power and all provide manure, both essential in low-income 367 households. In developing countries, animals barely compete with humans for plant food, as animal feed contains 368 only 14% products that humans L could also consume. Most animal feed comes from pastures and crop residues 369 (Adesogan et al., 2020). 370

In this debate on nutrition and balanced diets it is important to avoid becoming unrealistically restrictive in prescribing what others should eat. There may be a need to look beyond country-wide, highly aggregated mean figures, because meal content is largely chosen by individuals, driven at the time by their own appetite and taste as well as access, availability and affordability. At the same time, social norms may mean that women and girls are malnourished even though the household appears to have adequate food quantity and quality (Pingali & Sunder, 2017). Innumerable combinations of food products can constitute a balanced meal. There should also be space in the debate for allowing for that kind of self-determining diversity.

³⁷⁸ 16 h) Recommendations on diet and nutrition

Diversifying farm production should be a priority focus, moving towards commercial models with good market access. These farms can sell healthy food locally and regionally, while high-quality products are placed on national and international markets. Such products would include fruits, vegetables, livestock and aquatic foods.

Treatment of malnutrition, especially of hidden hunger, should shift to diversified, complementary plant and animal diets, possibly including biofortified crops, rather than direct micronutrient supplementation.

Research is needed on fruits and vegetables at a scale comparable with past investment in starchy staples, to increase production, transportability, shelf life and nutritional value, among others. Progress from the existing low research base is expected to be rapid.

Information about within-household inequity should be gathered as a priority, in order to inform the kinds of gender-transformational changes in social norms and high-level policies that will improve the access of women and girls to more nutritious diets.

³⁹⁰ 17 i) Innovation in crops and animals

Innovations in crop improvement are not always actually that new, but their adoption on-farm has lagged, and not 391 just in the developing world. Hybrid crop solutions have been applied in cross-pollinating crops, such as maize, 392 for 100 years in both the developed and developing world. But hybrids and hybrid-enabled approaches in self-393 pollinating crops, harvesting hybrid vigor, remain far behind, while 10-15% yield boosts on top of conventional 394 increases are possible by capturing the additive gene action component underpinning hybrid vigor (van Ginkel 395 396 & Ortiz, 2018). Transgenic approaches have been widely adopted in many countries, although some, such as the 397 EU members, continue to oppose them. Gene editing with CRISPR-Cas9 is proving very promising in a rapidly increasing range of organisms, including crops and livestock, although it too is currently blocked in the EU. 398 We hope that all three approaches will be more widely adopted, with expected large yield and nutrition gains 399 for each. Governments that set an example by enthusiastically promoting GM food crops, as the Bangladesh 400

government did when it approved a GM eggplant variety with insect resistance for release to its farmers in 2013
(Shelton et al., 2018), could also boost adoption of new technologies.

Wild relatives and landraces have been used in a limited way in scientific breeding over the past 100 years or 403 more, but there is a new and growing appreciation that they often contain traits, such as resistance and tolerance 404 to biotic and abiotic stresses, that are highly desirable in response to climate change. New technologies are 405 making it easier to identify and incorporate these traits into advanced varieties, and the value of wild relatives 406 and landraces should be experimented with in many more crops (Kilian et al., 2021). Increasing photosynthetic 407 efficiency and conferring nitrogen-fixing ability on cereals are two approaches that have so far failed to deliver on 408 their initial promises, but new technologies such as highthroughput phenotyping of wild relatives and landraces 409 may change that record (Langridge, 2018) 410

⁴¹¹ 18 j) Recommendations for crop and animal innovation

The positive potential of transgenic, hybrid and hybrid-enabled, and gene-edited crops to achieve food security should be reconsidered, taking food safety requirements fully into consideration.

⁴¹⁴ New technologies should be tested for crop and animal breeding, including the use of wild relatives and ⁴¹⁵ landraces to introduce novel genetic diversity for traits underpinning yield, quality, tolerance to biotic and abiotic ⁴¹⁶ stresses, and other challenges that climate change may bring.

⁴¹⁷ 19 k) Sustainable intensification of agriculture

Sustainable intensification, encompassing the multiple dimensions of food systems, as a foundation for food security can be traced back at least to the "Borlaug Hypothesis", adding a sustainability requirement to agricultural production ??Borlaug, 1994). Later formulations have expanded the idea of ecological intensification to improve agricultural systems (Tittonell, 2018), not least to limit land conversion under climate change as a primary mitigation approach (IPCC, 2018). In practical terms, sustainable intensification aims to obtain higher yields per unit area and time, while applying a sustainable use of (natural) resources.

Mechanization offers considerable advantage, especially to young girls and women, by reducing some the drudgery that prevents them exploring other opportunities. "Retiring the hoe to the museum" is an African Union initiative to promote mechanization among women farmers in Africa that captures this notion well. L understood reasons, including degraded soils that require rehabilitation before agriculture can be intensified (Pingali & Aiyar, 2018; ??ittonell, 2018).

Sustainable intensification can deliver greater resource efficiency, including less labor and reduced environ-429 mental damage, at the same time as it brings global food security within reach (Saiz-Rubio & Rovira-Más, 2020). 430 A low-hanging fruit would be to reduce post-harvest food losses. Globally, one third of food produced is lost; for 431 staple cereals, which make up the bulk of the losses on a calorie basis, losses average 50-60% (Kumar & Kalita, 432 2017). Minimizing loss and waste translates directly into greater value, so we would expect the private sector 433 to be interested in addressing loss and waste to maintain or improve their profits. The ongoing trend to larger 434 farms and increasing commercialization, including participation in high-value export markets with strict food 435 standards, will reduce losses earlier in the agri-food system chain. 436

The global average age of farmers, including those in developing countries, is presently around 60 years. These 437 farmers represent high levels of accumulated knowledge based on years of field experience. For eager, young but 438 less experienced farmers to take over, data-enhanced decision-making tools will enable them to be more effective 439 in sustainably intensifying farming with approaches that are more knowledge-and skill-intensive (Saiz-Rubio 440 & Rovira-Más, 2020). Dozens of farm management information systems are already commercially available to 441 expedite multi-stage decision-making and interest is growing rapidly in such cash crop sectors as coffee production 442 (Sott et al., 2020). While this type of farming has not reached food production in the developing world, we expect 443 that once it is adopted by the cash crop sector there, it will spawn adaptations that are realistic for use also in 444 food production. 445

An important drag on sustainable intensification is the small size of agricultural holdings, especially in 446 developing countries (Hazell, 2018). These small farms may become even smaller as a result of inheritance 447 customs and in rural Africa, as life expectancies increase, young people inherit land later in life, and holding size 448 will be smaller than in past generations (Kwame Yeboah, Jayne, Muyanga, & Chamberlin, 2019). Consolidation 449 into larger units may provide a solution, with productivity per unit area increasing once farms reach a critical 450 size (Savastano & Scandizzo, 2017). Net value, efficiency and total factor productivity indicators increase with 451 farm size (Garzón Delvaux, Riesgo, & Gomez y Paloma, 2020) due to mechanization and increased input use 452 efficiency (Kwame Yeboah, Jayne, Muyanga, & Chamberlin, 2019). The notion that small farms produce more 453 454 efficiently per hectare than larger farms, known as the inverse relationship (IR) between land area and output 455 or productivity, is thus a limited interpretation when total factor productivity of integrated farming is taken 456 into account (Savastano & Scandizzo, 2017; Kwame Yeboah, Jayne, Muyanga, & Chamberlin, 2019; Dourandish, 457 Saghaian, Shahnoushi Forushani, Mohammadrezazadeh, & Kuhestani, 2020; Garzón Delvaux et al., 2020). While support for small farms seems well justified for social development reasons, for longer-term national development, 458 poverty alleviation and food security somewhat larger, medium-sized farms should also be supported (Garzón 459

460 Delvaux et al., 2020). The fashionable opinion among some consumers and opinion-makers in certain high-income

countries, which favors regional, low-tech food from "small is beautiful" farms, would leave the poorest farmers
in developing countries at high risk for food and nutrition insecurity (Qaim, 2017).

As urbanization grows, city dwellers influence food product choice and processing aspects, so that post-farm 463 links in the food system value chain must adjust to changing demand. This transition to upgraded diets in cities 464 could create new employment opportunities for youth, and result in some small farms being able to cater to 465 these new urban needs, for example by providing specialty crops at higher prices (Hazell, 2018). At the same 466 time, urban agriculture has seen increased interest, although most of the harvested food from urban agriculture 467 is for self-consumption, with the remainder sold in the market (Armanda, Guinée, & Tukker, 2019). Some people 468 also doubt whether the small harvests of urban agriculture will actually make a significant difference to food 469 security ?? (Ingram & Zurek, 2018). While the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine in 470 the USA list "transdisciplinary science and systems approaches" as their first breakthrough and recommendation 471 in their report "Science Breakthroughs to Advance Food and Agricultural Research by 2030" (National Academies 472 of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, 2019), full acceptance of the benefits of adopting a systems approach 473 has been lacking. Not only are systems-wide analyses essential, but most important now is to develop new 474 transdisciplinary concepts, methods, tools and metrics to study such complex systems holistically (Ditzler et al., 475 2018). 476

477 20 l) Recommendations for sustainable intensification

Investment is needed into research and development on mechanization and labor-saving precision-agriculture
equipment. The education and training of eager young potential farmers must become a priority. It should focus
on modern farming methods and enhance their personal capacity to experiment and innovate, resulting in a cadre
of young people who are both more informed and more enthusiastic about modern farming.

Technology and policy research is needed to facilitate the transition from unviable small farms to larger holdings with a more commercial orientation. Policies will have to include safety nets for those transitioning out of agriculture, and there should also be improved opportunities for rural jobs and income.

Medium-sized towns and cities rather than mega-cities should receive the bulk of investment in areas such as sustainable intensification, rural diversification, and access to technology and infrastructure. The goal is to provide local employment that is intellectually challenging and financially rewarding in order to encourage youth to stay in those areas and contribute directly and indirectly to greater food security.

New transdisciplinary concepts, methods, tools and metrics need to be developed to enable the holistic study
 of complex, biophysical and socio-economic aspects of food systems.

491 **21 IV.**

492 22 Conclusions

In our efforts to propose a research and development agenda for food systems in the near future, we follow the 493 impact pathway backwards in order to avoid the trap of developing a science-based solution that is looking for 494 a problem (van Ginkel et al., 2013). First, determine the food system-related needs and constraints of farmer 495 and consumer families and the wider society, directly with these stakeholders. Then decide which biophysical 496 and policy outcomes will positively address and satisfy those needs and constraints, and have impact. Having 497 identified desirable outcomes, we then propose agriculturerelated value chain and on-farm outputs that can result 498 in those outcomes. Finally, the outputs we have identified determine the R&D needed to produce them, taking 499 us back to the start of the impact pathway. If the process is rigorous, science-based, transdisciplinary and 500 inclusive, following the impact pathway backwards near guarantees that R&D is precisely focused on the final 501 target population, and will resolve the most urgent social issues. 502

503 Using this approach, we recommend the following actions and goals for the coming decade.

Entire integrated food systems require new tools to study systems holistically. Dis-aggregated intrahousehold 504 surveys will indicate, down to the individual level, where food needs are most acute. We need better predictions of 505 climate change impacts in SSA. Measures to harvest excess water during sudden rain events and retrieve it when 506 needed are essential. Financial tools are needed that can inject funding rapidly to address sudden events, and 507 508 later retrieve income when economies recover and grow. Better understanding of the effects of excess heat and humidity on human productivity will allow more complete planning and preparation. Secure land tenure rights 509 and rental agreements will encourage long-term sustainable intensification and related investment, especially by 510 rural youth. Mechanization is needed at all stages along the food system. Human capacity to innovate needs to 511 be improved through education, which will also attract rural youth. Facilitation, for example through market 512 access and infrastructure, is needed for farms to transition to commercial farming, which will also create jobs, 513 514 while farms not (yet) able should receive support to enhance and stabilize production. Balanced plant and animal 515 diets, including valuable neglected and underutilized species, should have priority in addressing nutritional needs. 516 Diversification of farm production and food provision by research to improve vegetables and fruits will improve 517 health and create jobs. Somewhat larger medium-size farms produce crops and food products more efficiently and should be encouraged by supporting related R&D and capacity development, along with temporary safety nets for 518 those transitioning out of agriculture, with new job creation in rural areas. Intensification should focus on those 519 lands that have the lowest potential of biodiversity loss, and the greatest potential gain in production. Facilitating 520

22 CONCLUSIONS

the private sector to create more interesting jobs in rural areas, especially for youth, will also upgrade services 521 to local communities. Investment in smaller rural towns and cities to bring modern food system technologies 522 will provide new jobs. Research on soil biota will help to make nutrients available that are now tied up in the 523 soil, such as phosphorus, while the positive effects of microbial solutions need a concerted effort to understand 524 how they can benefit plant growth. Roots remain understudied, but with new breakthroughs need attention that 525 could make rapid progress in optimizing plant development. Transgenic, hybrid and gene-editing approaches to 526 crop improvement should be explored, where they can help reach food and nutrition security. Breeding new crop 527 and animal types will benefit from the rich genetic diversity in their wild relatives and landraces, for which tools 528 are becoming available.¹

Figure 1:

Sibhatu & Qaim, 2018;

Mehraban & Ickowitz, 2021). As farming initially intensifies, cropping diversity itself often decreases because the focus moves to the few most profitable major staples; as a result, diet diversity may decrease (Ickowitz, Powell, Rowland, Jones, & Sunderland, 2019; Mehraban & Ickowitz, 2021). Then, as farming further intensifies and commercializes, incomes rise, poverty falls, global connectedness grows, and information on nutrition, health and new taste experiences becomes available, interest in more diverse diets rises, as seen in newly medium-income countries (Pingali & Aiyar, 2018; Mehraban &

Figure 2:

local weather prediction, early warning systems for natural hazakksowledgereeding, intensive

automated farming, product transport, food processing and consumer service, among others.

> Volume XXI Issue I Version I D D D D) L (Medical Research Global Journal of

Figure 3:

529

- 530 [] , 10.1142/9789813235403_0003.
- 531 [International Development], International Development 32 (5) p. .
- 532 [] , 10.1002/jid.3476. https://doi.org/10.1002/jid.3476
- 533 [Lamboll et al. ()], R Lamboll, T Stathers, J Morton. 2017.
- [Serdeczny et al. ()], O Serdeczny, S Adams, F Baarsch, D Coumou, A Robinson, W Hare, J Reinhardt.
 2017.
- 536 [Christiaensen and Vandercasteelen (ed.) ()] Accelerating Poverty Reduction in Africa, L J Christiaensen , J
- Vandercasteelen . K. Beegle & L. J. Christiaensen (ed.) 2019. Washington DC: World Bank. (Earning more
 on the farm)
- [Vajjarapu et al. ()] 'Adaptation policy framework for climate change impacts on transportation sector in
 developing countries'. H Vajjarapu , A Verma , S Gulzar . 10.1007/s40890-019-0071-y. Transportation in
 Developing Economies 2019. 5 (1) p. 3.
- [Ditzler et al. ()] 'Affordances of agricultural systems analysis tools: A review and framework to enhance tool
 design and implementation'. L Ditzler, L Klerkx, J Chan-Dentoni, H Posthumus, T J Krupnik, S L Ridaura
 , J C J Groot . 10.1016/j.agsy.2018.0. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2018.03.006 Agricultural
 Systems 2018. 164 p. .
- [Ickowitz et al. ()] 'Agricultural intensification, dietary diversity, and markets in the global food security
 narrative'. A Ickowitz , B Powell , D Rowland , A Jones , T Sunderland . 10.1016/j.gfs.2018.11.002.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gfs.2018.11.002 Global Food Security 2019. 20 p. .
- [Beintema et al. (1994)] Agricultural research for sustainable development. Testimony of Dr. Norman Borlaug before the U.S. House of Representatives Committee on Agriculture, N Beintema, A N Pratt, G.-J
- 551 Stads . https://www.asti.cgiar.org/sites/default/files/pdf/Global-update-ASTI-note
- ⁵⁵² 2020. 1994. March 1. 1994. Washington, DC. (Key Trends in Global Agricultural Research Investment)
- [Snapp Pound (ed.)] 10.1016/B978-0-12-802070-8.00013-x. https://doi.org/10.1016/
 B978-0-12-802070-8.00013-x Agricultural Systems: Agroecology and Rural Innovation for Development,
 & B Snapp, Pound (ed.) (San Diego) Academic Press. p. .
- [Pingali G. Feder (ed.)] Agriculture and Rural Development in a Globalizing World: Challenges and Opportunities. Routledge, 10.4324/9781315314051. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315314051 Pingali & G.
 Feder (ed.)
- [Allen et al. ()] Agriculture, Food and Jobs in West Africa, T Allen , P Heinrigs , I Heo . 10.1787/dc152bc0-en.
 https://doi.org/10.1787/dc152bc0-en 2018. Paris: OECD Publishing.
- [Van Ginkel et al. ()] 'An integrated agro-ecosystem and livelihood systems approach for the poor and vulnerable
 in dry areas'. M Van Ginkel , J Sayer , F Sinclair , A Aw-Hassan , D Bossio , P Craufurd , R Ortiz .
 10.1007/s12571-013-0305-5. Food Security 2013. 5 (6) p. .
- [Adesogan et al. ()] 'Animal source foods: Sustainability problem or malnutrition and sustainability solution? Perspective matters'. A T Adesogan , A H Havelaar , S L Mckune , M Eilittä , G E Dahl .
 10.1016/j.gfs.2019.100325. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gfs.2019.100325 Global Food Security 2020.
 25 p. 100325.
- 568 [Aquatic Agricultural Systems] Aquatic Agricultural Systems, https://cgspace.cgiar.org/handle/ 569 10568/87913
- [Rao et al. ()] 'Are agricultural R &D returns declining and development dependent?'. X Rao , T M Hurley ,
 P G Pardey . 10.1016/j.worlddev.2019.05.009. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2019.05.009
 World Development 2019. 122 p. .
- 573 [Garzón Delvaux et al. ()] 'Are small farms more performant than larger ones in developing countries'. P A
- Garzón Delvaux , L Riesgo , S Gomez Y Paloma . 10.1126/sciadv.abb8235. Science Advances 2020. 6 (41) p.
 8235.
- [Kehoe et al. ()] 'Biodiversity at risk under future cropland expansion and intensification'. L Kehoe , A Romero-Muñoz , E Polaina , L Estes , H Kreft , T Kuemmerle . doi:10.1038/ s41559-017-0234-3. Nature Ecology and Evolution 2017. 1.
- [Shelton et al. ()] 'Bt eggplant project in Bangladesh: History, present status, and future direction'. A M Shelton
 , M J Hossain , V Paranjape , A K Azad , M L Rahman , A S M M R Khan , L Mc Candless .
 10.3389/fbioe.2018.00106. Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology 2018. 6 p. 106.
- [Leeuwis et al. ()] 'Capacity to innovate from a system CGIAR research program perspective. Penang: CGIAR
 Research Program on 55'. C Leeuwis, M Schut, A Waters-Bayer, R Mur, K Atta-Krah, B Douthwaite
 , D Mason-D'croz, T B Sulser, K Wiebe, M W Rosegrant, S K Lowder, A Nin-Pratt, R D
 Robertson . 10.1016/j.worlddev.2018.12.006. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2018.12.006
 World Development 2014. 2019. 116 p. . (Agricultural investments and hunger in Africa: Modeling potential
 contributions to SDG2-zero hunger)

22 CONCLUSIONS

- 588 [Climate Change and Agricultural Systems S] 'Climate Change and Agricultural Systems'. S,
- [Rojas-Downing et al. ()] Climate change and livestock: Impacts, adaptation, and mitigation. Climate Risk Man-
- *agement*, M M Rojas-Downing , A P Nejadhashemi , T Harrigan , S A Woznicki . 10.1016/j.crm.2017.02.001.
- 591 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crm.2017.02.001 2017.16 p. .
- ⁵⁹² [Climate change impacts in sub-Saharan Africa: From physical changes to their social repercussions] Climate
- change impacts in sub-Saharan Africa: From physical changes to their social repercussions, 10.1007/s10113 015-0910-2. 17 p. . (Regional Environmental Change)
- [Ruane and Rosenzweig ()] 'Climate Change Impacts on Agriculture'. A C Ruane , C Rosenzweig . Agriculture
 & Food Systems, R Serraj, & P Pingali (ed.) 2018. p. 2050.
- 597 [Niles et al. ()] Climate change mitigation beyond agriculture: A review of food system opportunities and
- implications. Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems, M T Niles , R Ahuja , T Barker , J Esquivel , S
 Gutterman , M C Heller , S Vermeulen . 10.1017/S174217. 2018. 33 p. .
- [Hallegatte and Rozenberg ()] 'Climate change through a poverty lens'. S Hallegatte , J Rozenberg . 10.1038/ncli mate3253. Nature Climate Change 2017. 7 (4) p. .
- [Lam et al. ()] 'Climate change, tropical fisheries and prospects for sustainable development'. V W Y Lam , E
 H Allison , J D Bell , J Blythe , W W L Cheung , T L Frölicher , U R Sumaila . 10.1038/s43017-020-0071-9. *Nature Reviews Earth & Environment* 2020. 1 (9) p. .
- [Willett et al. (10170)] 'Commission on healthy diets from sustainable food systems'. W Willett , J Rockström
 , B Loken , M Springmann , T Lang , S Vermeulen , C J L Murray . S0140-6736(18)31788-4. https:
 //doi.org/10.1016/ The Lancet 10170. 393 p. .
- [Cottrell et al. ()] 'Considering land-sea interactions and trade-offs for food and biodiversity'. R S Cottrell ,
- A Fleming , E A Fulton , K L Nash , R A Watson , J L Blanchard . 10.1111/gcb.13873. https:
 //doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13873 Global Change Biology 2018. 24 (2) p. .
- [Dawson et al. ()] Contributions of biodiversity to the sustainable intensification of food production. Global Food
 Security, I K Dawson, S E Park, S J Attwood, R Jamnadass, W Powell, T Sunderland, S Carsan.
 10.1016/j.gfs.2019.07.002. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gfs.2019.07.002 2019. 21 p.
- [Kilian et al. ()] 'Crop science special issue: Adapting agriculture to climate change: A walk on the wild side'.
 B Kilian , H Dempewolf , L Guarino , P Werner , C Coyne , M L Warburton . 10.1002/csc2.20418.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/csc2.20418 Crop Science 2021. (1) p. .
- [Van Ginkel and Ortiz ()] 'Cross the best with the best, and select the best: Help in breeding selfing crops'. M Van
 Ginkel , R Ortiz . 10.2135/cropsci2017.05.0270. https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2017.05.0270
 Crop Science 2018. 58 (1) p. .
- [Mehraban and Ickowitz ()] Dietary diversity of rural Indonesian households declines over time with agricultural production diversity even as incomes rise. Global Food Security, N Mehraban , A Ickowitz .
 10.1016/j.gfs.2021.100502. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gfs.2021.100502 2021. 28 p. 100502.
- [Sarkodie and Strezov ()] 'Economic, social and governance adaptation readiness for mitigation of climate change
 vulnerability: Evidence from 192 countries'. S A Sarkodie , V Strezov . 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.11.349.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.11.349 Science of the Total Environment 2019.656
 p. .
- [Fayiga and Saha ()] 'Effect of climate change on soil productivity in developing countries'. O A Fayiga , K U
 Saha . 10.9734/AJEE/2017/35485. Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology 2017. 4 (1) p. .
- [Van Der Elst and Williams ()] 'Environment and Natural Resources'. K Van Der Elst , A Williams .
 10.1142/9789813278356_0017. Agriculture & Food Systems to 2050 World Scientific Series in Grand Public
 Policy Challenges of the 21st Century, R Serraj, & P Pingali (ed.) 2018. World Scientific. p. .
- [Engen and Prizzon ()] Exit from aid: An analysis of donor experiences, L Engen , A Prizzon . http: //hdl.handle.net/10419/206743 2019.
- [Jalles D'orey and Prizzon ()] Exit from aid: An analysis of donor experiences. London: ODI. climate change,
 sustainable development, and efforts to eradicate poverty, M A Jalles D'orey, A Prizzon. 2019.
- [Haddad et al. ()] Food systems and diets: Facing the challenges of the 21st century. London: Global Panel on
 Agriculture and Food Systems for Nutrition, L Haddad, C Hawkes, J Waage, P Webb, C Godfray, C
 Toulmin . http://glopan.org/sites/default/files/ForesightReport.pdf 2016.
- [Ingram and Zurek ()] 'Food Systems Approaches for the Future'. J Ingram , M Zurek .
 10.1142/9789813278356_0017. Agriculture & Food Systems to 2050 World Scientific Series in Grand
 Public Policy Challenges of the 21st Century, R Serraj, & P Pingali (ed.) 2018. World Scientific. p. .
- [Pingali and Aiyar ()] 'Food, Agriculture, and Nutrition Policy: Looking Ahead to 2050'. P Pingali , A Aiyar .
 10.1142/9789813278356_0017. Agriculture & Food Systems to 2050 World Scientific Series in Grand Public
- 644 Policy Challenges of the 21st Century, R Serraj, & P Pingali (ed.) 2018. World Scientific. p. .

- [Saiz-Rubio and Rovira-Más ()] 'From smart farming towards agriculture 5.0: A review on crop data management'. V Saiz-Rubio , F Rovira-Más . doi:10.3 390/agronomy10020207. Agronomy 2020. (2) p. 10.
- [Tilman et al. ()] 'Future threats to biodiversity and pathways to their prevention'. D Tilman , M Clark , D R
 Williams , K Kimmel , S Polasky , C Packer . 10.1038/nature22900. Nature 2017. 546 (7656) p. .
- [Frels et al. ()] Genetic diversity of field pennycress (Thlaspi arvense) reveals untapped variability and paths
 toward selection for domestication, K Frels , R Chopra , M K Dorn , L D Wyse , D M Marks , A J Anderson
 10.3390/agronomy9060302. 2019. p. 9.
- 652 [Abler (ed.) ()] Global Challenges for Future Food and Agricultural Policies World, D Abler . 10.3362/97.
- D. Blandford & K. Hassapoyannes (ed.) 2017. Practical Action Publishing. (The International Role in
 Agricultural Development)
- [Zabel et al. ()] 'Global impacts of future cropland expansion and intensification on agricultural markets and
 biodiversity'. F Zabel, R Delzeit, J M Schneider, R Seppelt, W Mauser, T Václavík. 10.1038/s41467 019-10775-z. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeem.2016.12.001 Environmental Economics and Man-
- agement 2019. 10 (1) p. . (Nature Communications)
- [Ipcc et al. ()] 'Global warming of 1.5°C. An IPCC Special Report on the impacts of global warming of 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels and related global greenhouse gas emission pathways, in the context of strengthening the global response to the threat of'. Ipcc, Z Jouzi, H Azadi, F Taheri, K Zarafshani, K Gebrehiwot, S Van Passel, P Lebailly. https://doi.org/10.101 Ecological Economics V. Masson-Delmotte, P. Zhai, H. O. Pörtner, D. Roberts, J. Skea, P. R. Shukla, ? T. Waterfield (ed.) 2018. 2017. 132 p.
- . (Organic farming and small-scale farmers: Main opportunities and challenges)
- [Qaim ()] 'Globalisation of agrifood systems and sustainable nutrition'. M Qaim . Proceedings of the, (the) 2017.
- [Van Den Broeck and Maertens ()] 'Horticultural exports and food security in developing countries'. G Van Den Broeck, M Maertens. 10.1016/j.gfs.2016.07.007. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gfs.2016.07.007
- 668 Global Food Security 2016. 10 p. .
- ⁶⁶⁹ [Shiferaw et al. ()] 'Improving market access and agricultural productivity growth in Africa: What role for
 ⁶⁷⁰ producer organizations and collective action institutions'. B Shiferaw , J Hellin , G Muricho . 10.1007/s12571 ⁶⁷¹ 011-0153-0. Food Security 2011. 3 (4) p. .
- [Langridge ()] 'Innovation in Breeding and Biotechnology'. P Langridge . doi:10.114 2/9789813278356_0008.
 Agriculture & Food Systems to 2050 World Scientific Series in Grand Public Policy Challenges of the 21st
 Century, R Serraj, & P Pingali (ed.) 2018. World Scientific. p. .
- [Ferreira et al. ()] Intermediation in the Supply of Agricultural Products in Developing Economies, K J
 Ferreira , J Goh , E Valavi . 18-033. https://www.hbs.edu/ris/Publication%20Files/18-033_
 8fcdda05-0410-4a43-b329-4e30d790ceab.pdf 2017. (Working Paper) (Cambridge: Harvard Business
 School)
- [Barbier and Hochard ()] 'Land degradation and poverty'. E B Barbier , J P Hochard . 10.1038/s41893-018-01554. Nature Sustainability 2018. 1 (11) p. .
- [Padulosi et al. ()] 'Leveraging Neglected and Underutilized Plant, Fungi, and Animal Species for More Nutrition
 Sensitive and Sustainable Food Systems'. S Padulosi , D.-M Cawthorn , G Meldrum , R Flore , A Halloran
- F Mattei . doi:10.1016/ B978-0-08-100596-5.21552-7. Encyclopedia of Food Security and Sustainability, P
 Ferrante, E Berry, & J Anderson (ed.) 2018. Elsevier.
- [Almond and Grooten (ed.) ()] Living Planet Report 2020 -Bending the curve of biodiversity loss, R E A Almond
 M Grooten . & Petersen, T. (ed.) 2020. Gland: WWF.
- [Shiferaw et al. ()] 'Markets Access and Agricultural Productivity Growth in Developing Countries: Challenges
 and Opportunities for Producer Organizations'. B Shiferaw , J Hellin , G Muricho . 10.4337/9781784719388.
 Cooperatives, Economic Democratization and Rural Development, J Bijman, R Muradian, & J Schuurman (ed.) (Cheltenham) 2016. Edward Elgar Publishing. p. .
- [Reay et al. ()] 'Methane and global environmental change'. D S Reay , P Smith , T R Christensen , R H James
 , H Clark . 10.1146/annurev-environ-102017-030154. Annual Review of Environment and Resources 2018. 43
 (1) p. .
- ⁶⁹⁴ [Specht et al. ()] 'Multifunctional Urban Landscapes: The Potential Role of Urban Agriculture as an Element
 ⁶⁹⁵ of Sustainable Land Management'. K Specht , J Schimichowski , R Fox-Kämper . 10.1007/978-3-030-50841 ⁶⁹⁶ 8_15. Sustainable Land Management in a European Context, T Weith, T Barkmann, N Gaasch, S Rogga, C
- 697 Strauß, & J Zscheischler (ed.) (Cham) 2021. Springer International Publishing. p. .
- [Meemken and Qaim ()] 'Organic agriculture, food security, and the environment'. E.-M Meemken , M Qaim .
 10.1146/annurev-resource-100517-023252. Annual Review of Resource Economics 2018. 10 (1) p. .
- 700 [Aloo et al. ()] Phosphate-solubilizing rhizobacteria: Diversity, mechanisms, and prospects in sustainable agri-
- culture, B N Aloo, B A Makumba, E R Mbega. https://www.preprints.org/manuscript/202009.
 0681 2020.

724

- [Sott et al. ()] 'Precision techniques and agriculture 4.0 technologies to promote sustainability in the coffee sector: 703 State of the art, challenges and future trends'. M K Sott , L B Furstenau , L M Kipper , F D Giraldo , J R 704 López-Robles, M J Cobo, M A Imran. 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3016325. IEEE Access 2020. 8 p. . 705
- [Sibhatu et al. ()] 'Production diversity and dietary diversity in smallholder farm households'. K T Sibhatu, V 706 V Krishna, M Qaim. 10.1073/pnas.1510982112. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA 2015. 707 (34) p. 10657. 708
- [Heisey and Fuglie ()] Public agricultural R&D in high-income countries: Old and new roles in a new funding 709 environment. Global Food Security, P W Heisey, K O Fuglie . 10.1016/j.gfs.2018.03.008. https://doi. 710 org/10.1016/j.gfs.2018.03.008 2018.17 p. . 711
- [Siddique et al. ()] 'Rediscovering Asia's forgotten crops to fight chronic and hidden hunger'. K H M Siddique, 712 X Li, K Gruber. 10.1038/s41477-021-00850-z. Nature Plants 2021. 7 (2) p. . 713
- [Kumar and Kalita ()] Reducing postharvest losses during storage of grain crops to strengthen food security in 714 developing countries, D Kumar, P Kalita. 10.3390/foods6010008. 2017. 6. 715
- [Qiang et al. ()] 'Report No. 96226-GLB Mobile Applications for Agriculture and Rural Development. Washing-716 ton DC: ICT Sector Unit'. C Qiang , S Kuek , A Dymond , S Esselaar . doi:10.1 42/9789813278356_0017. 717 https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/bitstream/ha /S Agriculture & Food Systems to 2050 718 World Scientific Series in Grand Public Policy Challenges of the 21st Century, 2012. World Scientific. 76 719 720 p.
- [Unccd ()] Report of the Conference of the Parties on its twelfth session, held in Ankara from 12 to 23, Unccd 721 . https://www.unccd.int/official-documents/cop-12-ankara-2015/iccdcop1220add1 2016. 722 October 2015. (Part two: action taken. Bonn: United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification 723 (UNCCD))
- [Sibhatu and Qaim ()] 'Review: Metaanalysis of the association between production diversity, diets, and 725 nutrition in smallholder farm households'. K T Sibhatu , M Qaim . 10.1016/j.foodpol.2018.04.013. https: 726 //doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2018.04.013 Food Policy 2018.77 p. . 727
- 728 [Savastano and Scandizzo ()] Revisiting the farm size-productivity relationship: New evidence from sub-Saharan 729 African countries, S Savastano, P L Scandizzo . 2017. (P)
- [Hasegawa et al. ()] 'Risk of increased food insecurity under stringent global climate change mitigation policy'. 730 T Hasegawa, S Fujimori, P Havlík, H Valin, B L Bodirsky, J C Doelman, P Witzke. 10.1038/s41558-731 018-0230-x. Nature Climate Change 2018. 8 p. . 732
- [El Hassouni et al. ()] 'Root system architecture and its association with yield under different water regimes 733 in durum wheat'. K El Hassouni , S Alahmad , B Belkadi , A Filali-Maltouf , L T Hickey , F M Bassi 734 . 10.2135/cropsci2018.01.0076. https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2018.01.0076 Crop Science 2018. 735 58 (6) p. . 736
- [Science Breakthroughs to Advance Food and Agricultural Research by 2030 ()] Science Breakthroughs to Ad-737 vance Food and Agricultural Research by 2030, 10.17226/25059. 2019. Washington, DC: The National 738 Academies Press. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. 739
- [Ivanic and Martin ()] 'Sectoral productivity growth and poverty reduction: National and global impacts'. M 740 Ivanic, W Martin . 10.1016/j.worlddev.2017.07.004. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2017. 741 07.004 World Development 2018. 109 p. . 742
- [Stender ()] 'Sign here, please! On developing countries' market access conditions in regional trade agreements'. 743 F Stender . 10.1111/rode.12591. https://doi.org/10.1111/rode.12591 Review of Development Eco-744 nomics 2019. 23 (3) p. . 745
- [Gannon et al. ()] Supporting private adaptation to climate change in semi-arid lands in developing countries, K 746
- E Gannon , F Crick , E Rouhaud , D Conway , S Fankhauser . 2018. London. Grantham Research Institute 747 on Climate Change and the Environment 748
- [Anderberg ()] 'The Contribution of Organic Agriculture to Poverty Reduction'. S Anderberg . M. Breiling & V, 749 2020.750
- [Clapp et al. ()] 'The global political economy of climate change, agriculture and food systems'. J Clapp, P 751 Newell, Z W Brent. 10.1080/03066150.2017.1381602. The Journal of Peasant Studies 2018. 45 (1) p. . 752
- [Borlaug ()] The Green Revolution. Peace and Humanity, N E Borlaug . 1972. Mexico City: CIMMYT. 753
- [Baumgärtner ()] 'The insurance value of biodiversity in the provision of ecosystem services'. S Baumgärtner . 754 10.1111/j.1939-7445.2007.tb00202.x. Natural Resource Modeling 2007. 20 p. . 755
- [Alston et al. ()] The Payoff to Investing in CGIAR Research. Arlington: SoAR Foundation, J M Alston, P 756
- G Pardey , X Rao . https://supportagresearch.org/assets/pdf/soar_cgiar_key_findings_ 757
- final.pdf 2020. 758

⁷⁵⁹ [Hunter et al. ()] 'The potential of neglected and underutilized species for improving diets and nutrition'. D
⁷⁶⁰ Hunter, T Borelli, D M O Beltrame, C N S Oliveira, L Coradin, V W Wasike, F Tartanac. 10.1007/s00425⁷⁶¹ 019-03169-4. Planta 2019. 250 (3) p. .

[Armanda et al. ()] 'The second green revolution: Innovative urban agriculture's contribution to food security and sustainability-a review'. D T Armanda , J B Guinée , A Tukker . 10.1016/j.gfs.2019.08.002. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gfs.2019.08.002 Global Food Security 2019. 22 p. .

[Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development (2015)] Transforming our world: the
 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, https://www.refworld.org/docid/57b6e3e44.html 2015.
 March 2021.

768 [Pingali and Sunder ()] 'Transitioning toward nutrition-sensitive food systems in developing countries'. P Pingali

- , N Sunder . 10.1146/annurev-resource-100516-053552. Annual Review of Resource Economics 2017. 9 (1) p.
 .
- [Gurara et al. ()] Trends and challenges in infrastructure investment in low income developing countries. Strategy,
 policy, and review department IMF Working Paper, D Gurara, V Klyuev, N Mwase, A Presbitero, C Xin
 , G Xu, G Bannister . WP/17/233. 2017.
- [Taylor and Lovell ()] 'Urban home food gardens in the global north: Research traditions and future directions'.
 J R Taylor , S T Lovell . 10.1007/s10460-013-9475-1. Agriculture and Human Values 2014. 2019. 31 (2) p. .
 (Food in the Anthropocene: The EAT-Lancet)

[Hazell ()] 'Urbanization, Agriculture, and Smallholder Farming'. P B R Hazell . 10.1142/9789813278356_0004.
 Agriculture & Food Systems to 2050 World Scientific Series in Grand Public Policy Challenges of the 21st
 Century, R Serraj, & P Pingali (ed.) 2018. World Scientific. p. .

- [Donovan et al. ()] Value chain development and the poor: Promise, delivery and opportunities for impact at scale. Rugby: References Références Referencias, J Donovan, D Stoian, J Hellin. 2020.
- [Hertel and De Lima ()] 'Viewpoint: Climate impacts on agriculture: Searching for keys under the streetlight'.
 T W Hertel , C Z De Lima . 10.1016/j.foodpol.2020.101954. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.
 2020.101954 Food Policy 2020. 95 p. 101954.
- [Christiaensen et al. ()] 'Viewpoint: The future of work in agri-food'. L Christiaensen , Z Rutledge , J E
 Taylor . 10.1016/j.foodpol.2020.101963. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2020.101963 Food
 Policy 2021. 99 p. 101963.
- [Anbumozhi] 'Vulnerability of Agricultural Production Networks and Global Food Value Chains Due to Natural
 Disasters'. Anbumozhi . Jakarta: Economic Research Institute for ASEAN and East Asia, p. .
- 790 [Ding et al. ()] 'Vulnerability to impacts of climate change on marine fisheries and food security'. Q Ding , X Chen
- 791 , R Hilborn , Y Chen . 10.1016/j.marpol.2017.05.011. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2017.05.
 792 011 Marine Policy 2017. 83 p. .
- [Egli et al. ()] 'Winners and losers of national and global efforts to reconcile agricultural intensification and
 biodiversity conservation'. L Egli , C Meyer , C Scherber , H Kreft , T Tscharntke . 10.1111/gcb.14076.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14076 Global Change Biology 2018. 24 (5) p. .
- 796 [Calleja and Prizzon ()] 'Woman in agriculture, and climate risks: Hotspots for development'. R Calleja ,
- A Prizzon . 10.1007/s10584-018-2233-z. https://cdn.odi.org/media/documents/191128_summary_
- **report_final_v1.pdf15** Climatic Change Nag, N., & Aggarwal, P. K. (ed.) 2019. 2020. 158 (1) p. . (Moving away from aid: Lessons from country studies. London: ODI)
- [World Population Data Sheet Population Reference Bureau ()] 'World Population Data Sheet'. 2021-03-24.
 https://www.prb.org/2020-world-population-data-sheet/ Population Reference Bureau 2020.
- [World Scientific Series in Grand Public Policy Challenges of the 21st Century] World Scientific Series in
 Grand Public Policy Challenges of the 21st Century, 10.1142/9789813278356_0017. World Scientific. p. .
- [Kwame Yeboah et al. ()] Youth access to land, migration and employment opportunities: evidence from sub Saharan Africa, F Kwame Yeboah , T S Jayne , M Muyanga , J Chamberlin . 2019. Rome: IFAD.