

CrossRef DOI of original article: [10.34257/GJMRBOL21IS2PG1](https://doi.org/10.34257/GJMRBOL21IS2PG1)

# Effectiveness of an Awareness Programme on Drug Compliance among People with Selected Chronic Diseases

Navaneetha. M

Received: 13 June 2021 Accepted: 1 July 2021 Published: 15 July 2021

---

## Abstract

The compliance to drug treatment leads to the prevention of deaths from the disease. Nurses play a very important role in the adherence to treatment by patients. The study aims at exploring the level of drug compliance among people with chronic illness (hypertension and diabetes mellitus), factors that influence the decision of the person and evaluates an awareness programme on the identified issues. The study used a Survey and Evaluative Approach with survey and quasiexperimental design. Purposive sampling was used to collect data from Marne, Athrady, Herebettu which are rural areas and Malpe area of Manipal which is an urban area. Among 23535 population surveyed a total of 1286 (602 urban and 684 rural) samples with the disease and undergoing treatment were identified. 328(184 urban and 144 rural) people who were not complying to drugs were given awareness programme. The tools used were Demographic performa, Morisky scale, Srivastava Socioeconomic scale, scale for Health status (SF-36) and a scale to assess factors. The study was based on the Rosentoch's, Becker and Maiman's Health Belief model.

---

**Index terms**— drug compliance, moriskyscale, srivastava socioeconomic scale, scale for health status (SF-36), health belief model, awareness programme.

## 1 Introduction

Medication compliance is defined as the extent to which a patient takes the medication as prescribed. There are multiple studies in the literature that report non compliance rates of 30% to 50% or higher based on the class of agents and population studies, when medication was to be taken over a long period, compliance rates dropped dramatically to approximately 50% for either prevention or cure. The compliance to drug treatment leads to the prevention of deaths from the disease. In India studies of this nature are very few and hence the problem has to be explored.

A cross-sectional study was conducted by Cesar I. Fernandez-Lazaro et al, in primary healthcare centers of Spain which included 299 adult patients with 1 chronic condition(s) and prescribed medication. The study used Morisky-Green-Levine questionnaire to assess medication adherence by interviews. 55.5% were the proportion of adherent patients to treatment. The independent factors assessed were Older age, lower number of pharmacies used for medication refills (0.65, 95% CI 0.47-0.90), having received complete treatment information (3.89, 95% CI 2.09-7.21), having adequate knowledge about medication regimen (4.17, 95% CI 2.23-7.80), and self-perception of a good quality of life (2.17, 95% CI 1.18-4.02). To achieve appropriate levels of adherence tailored multifaceted interventions are required on the multidimensional factors found in this study, particularly those related to patients' education and their information needs.

The scope of the study developing a generic, individualized adherence programme for chronic medication users was to describe the background for and content of an adherence counseling programme with a specific focus on an individualized, multidimensional adherence model for patients with a potential adherence problem (a so-called individualized systems model).

An intervention programme based on WHO's systems model for adherence was developed for implementation in primary health care and tested in a development project in Danish pharmacies in 2004-2005 by 27 patients in three

45 pharmacies and 4 GP practices. Data were collected from the participants by registration forms, questionnaires,  
46 and focus groups. tubers, sweets and fruits. The foods were specially included for the management of disorder  
47 by majority of diabetics (72%). The food which was specially included was green leafy vegetables, bitter gourd,  
48 salads, ragi and spices. The habits practiced by men were smoking (14%), drinking alcohol (48%) and tobacco  
49 chewing was seen in very few men and women. Exercise was done by half of the diabetics (56%) and half of the  
50 exercising subjects had started exercise only about a year back. About 30 and 16% diabetics had poor knowledge  
51 of diabetes practices. Thus, the diabetics need education to improve the knowledge and practices for the proper  
52 management of disorder. The EAPACUM-HTA study in Spain at 40 primary care centres conducted for 6 months  
53 with newly diagnosed or uncontrolled hypertension included 250 patients. They were given an electronic monitor  
54 for measuring compliance (monitoring events medication system). Compliance observed was 74% and 92% in  
55 control group and intervention group.(95% CI 81.2-94 and 80.7-98.3;P=0.0001). The number need to treat to  
56 avoid one case of noncompliance was 5.6 patients. The programme was found effective in improving compliance  
57 in arterial hypertension.

58 Nurses play a very important role in the adherence to treatment by patients. In the paper Nursing Care  
59 Management and Responsibility it is stated that: Improving patient compliance with treatment orders through  
60 health education and extending care to all patients, education will help patients to improve drug compliance.

61 The study aims at exploring the factors that influence the decision of the person suffering from chronic diseases,  
62 to comply with the regular drug regimen prescribed for them. The study also recommends means to organize an  
63 awareness programme on the identified issues. Thus ultimately contributing to one of the goal set by WHO i.e.  
64 to reduce death rates in chronic diseases. The objectives of the study are to:

65 1. Assess the level of drug compliance and identify the factors influencing it 2. Plan and evaluate the effect of  
66 an awareness programme on drug compliance II.

## 67 **2 Methodolgy**

68 Research Among this a total of 1286 (602 urban and 684 rural) samples with the disease and undergoing treatment  
69 were identified. 328(184 urban and 144 rural) people who were not complying to drugs were given awareness  
70 programme.

## 71 **3 b) Procedure for Data Collection**

72 House to house survey was done and people with either of the diseases taking any system of medicine were given  
73 the questionnaire. Those identified with drug non compliance was given teaching and there

74 Effectiveness of an Awareness Programme on Drug Compliance among People with Selected Chronic Diseases  
75 level of compliance and knowledge was assessed after 15 days. Data analysis was done based on the objectives  
76 and hypotheses stated in the study by using descriptive and inferential statistics

## 77 **4 c) Description of tool**

78 The following tools were used Demographic performa, Morisky scale, Srivastava Socioeconomic scale, scale for  
79 Health status (SF-36) and a scale to assess factors.

80 The Demographic performa consisted of variables including age, sex, education, occupation, place of residence,  
81 socio economic status, nature of disease and nature of treatment.

82 Morisky scale was used to assess the level of drug compliance which is a self administered tool. It includes 4  
83 statements with Yes/no. It is measured as 0sure high adherence, 1-2-Medium adherence, 3-4-low adherence.

84 The Srivastava Socioeconomic scale was used in the study.

85 The factors were assessed with scales for Health status (SF-36) which is a scale with 36 questions to assess  
86 a person's health status and one prepared with statements on knowledge of patient, medical complexity, social  
87 support and patient-provider interaction. Each statement consists of 3 optionsalways, sometimes, never. This  
88 tool was purchased from author. The content validity index was 0.86.

89 The reliability of the tools was found to be  $\alpha=0.8231$  by Cronbach alpha method.

## 90 **5 III.**

## 91 **6 Conceptual Framework**

92 The study was based on the Rosentoch's, Becker and Maiman's Health Belief model. This model was developed  
93 to provide a framework for understanding why some people take specific actions to avoid illness, whereas others  
94 fail to protect themselves. The model was designed to predict which people would and would not use preventive  
95 measures and suggest interventions that might reduce client's reluctance to assess health care. There are three  
96 major components of the health belief model: individual perceptions, modifying factors and likelihood of action.  
97 In addition uses of cues to action such as mass media campaigns, advice from others, illness of family members  
98 or friends and newspaper and magazine article may help to motivate clients to take action.

99 The health belief model is beneficial in assessing health protection or disease prevention behaviours. It is also  
100 useful in organizing information about clients' views of their state of health and what factors may influence them  
101 to change their behavior. The model, when used appropriately provides organized assessment data about client's

---

102 abilities and motivation to change their health status. Health education programs can be developed to fit the  
103 clients.

104 In this study the first component was individual perception which is the non compliance to drugs of  
105 hypertension and diabetes mellitus.

106 The second component was modifying factors which include the demographic variables and structural variables.  
107 The demographic variables include age, sex, socioeconomic status and nature of treatment. The structural  
108 variables are the factors which will influence the drug compliance.

109 The third component is likelihood of action, which includes perceived benefits minus perceived barriers for  
110 preventive action. In this study the benefit will be the gain in knowledge by the client which will lead to change in  
111 behavior. The barriers may be the number of drugs taken and socioeconomic status, etc. In addition to this the  
112 components on cues to action is the health awareness programme which can motivate the client to take action.

## 113 7 Individual Modifying Factors

114 Likelihood of Perceptions Action

## 115 8 Results

116 Table Both tables show that there is a significant difference between level of drug compliance after the teaching  
117 and counseling programme. Further the knowledge aspect which was relating to the need for medicine intake  
118 was also assessed in pre and posttest The data in Table-2 describes the sample in terms of their level of drug  
119 compliance. In rural area 540(78.95%) were adhering to drugs were as in urban area only 418(69.44%) were  
120 adhering to the drugs.

121 There was a significant association between area and level of drug compliance. ( $\chi^2 = 19.087$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) Among  
122 the factors identified that is Knowledge, Medical complexity, Social relations (Husband/wife, Family member,  
123 Friends) and Patient provider interaction system of medicine, medication prescribed. , SF 36 only factors of  
124 knowledge ( $\chi^2 = 113.081$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ), medical complexity ( $\chi^2 = 90.814$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) and relation of husband or wife  
125 ( $\chi^2 = 7.831$ ,  $p = 0.02$ ) were significant. It was also found that there was a significant relationship between the  
126 area and factors for knowledge of medicines taken ( $Z = -2.708$ ,  $p = 0.007$ ), relationship between sample and family  
127 member and friends to motivate to take medicines ( $Z = -4.668$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ,  $Z = -4.527$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) and the health status  
128 score SF-36 ( $Z = -2.117$ ,  $p = 0.034$ ).

129 Further a regression analysis was done with the factors associated and it is concluded that there is a  
130 relationship between knowledge (OR= 1.28, CI-1.20-1.35,  $p < 0.001$ ), medical complexity (OR= 1.14, CI-1.10-  
131 1.19,  $p < 0.001$ ) and the people getting drug metformin (OR=0.278, CI-0.08-0.88,  $p < 0.03$ ) with sure complying of  
132 drugs. In medium compliance there was a relation with hypertension (OR=2.70, CI-1.39-5.24,  $p = 0.003$ ), diabetes  
133 (OR=2.84, CI-1.42-5.68,  $p = 0.003$ ), knowledge (OR=1.14, CI-1.07-1.22,  $p < 0.001$ ) and medical complexity  
134 (OR=1.05, CI-1.01-1.10,  $p = 0.16$ ). Hence it is concluded that knowledge and medical complexity were the two  
135 factors affecting drug compliance. of experimental group, Wilcoxon's sign rank test gave a significant relationship.  
136 ( $Z = -11.810$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ).

137 There was also a significant difference between the posttest knowledge of experimental and control group ( $Z = -$   
138  $7.540$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) With all the above it is concluded there was a difference in the level of compliance between  
139 pretest and posttest V.

## 140 9 Discussion

141 The study on Self-Reported Morisky Score for Identifying Nonadherence with Cardiovascular Medications reports  
142 that the Morisky medication adherence scale is a commonly used adherence screening tool. It is composed of  
143 4 yes/no questions on past medication use patterns. Forty-nine of 377 (13%) patients were categorized as non  
144 adherent; however, only 12 (3%) patients had Morisky scores suggesting a high likelihood of non adherence ??3 or  
145 4). The present study has identified 114(13.64%) medium and 98(11.72%) low out of 836 hypertensive patients.

146 In a study conducted by glycemic control and medication compliance in diabetic patients in a pharmacist  
147 managed clinic in Hong Kong; non compliant patients were assessed by nurses and sent to the pharmacist. The  
148 clients had to visit the clinic three times. Out of 95 patients, 91 gave complete data. The compliance rate at  
149 the beginning and at the end of third visit was  $41.3 \pm 25.6$  and  $97.8 \pm 1.6$ ,  $p < 0.005$ . In the current study the  
150 diabetes with level of compliance and low were 116. The reasons for non compliance stated in the study is  
151 similar to the study findings with Forgetfulness 61.5%, Adverse effects 25.3%, Wrong belief about treatment  
152 8.8%, Not realizing that the treatment had been changed 6.6% and others 2.2% which are the points assessed  
153 under knowledge and medication complexity. These concepts had a scale score of mean  $14.55 \pm 3.541$  standard  
154 deviation in rural and  $15.27 \pm 4.323$  in the urban area and for medication complexity  $20.31 \pm 5.859$  in rural and  
155  $20 \pm 6.433$  in urban. equation model analysis showed that the following are negatively impacting adherence to  
156 medications: higher negative beliefs toward medications (beta = -0.46), marital status (being unmarried; beta =  
157 -0.14), nationality (being Kuwaiti; beta = 0.15), lower education level (beta = -0.14), and higher illness severity  
158 (beta = 0.15).

159 A study on Compliance and knowledge of hypertensive patients attending PHC centres in AL-Khobar, Saudi  
160 Arabia was a cross-sectional study of all hypertensive patients (190) attending four primary health centres.

## 11 CONCLUSION

161 The mean age was  $49.9 \pm 11.7$  years, the overall compliance rate was 34.2% which was lower in those aged  $< 55$   
162 years than older patients (26.2% versus 48.5%,  $p < 0.001$ ) and among educated than illiterate (30.4% and 38.1%  
163 respectively,  $p < 0.001$ ). The knowledge level regarding the disease was very minimal as 41.6% of the patients  
164 thought that hypertension could have a permanent cure and 43.7% that medication could be stopped once control  
165 was achieved. As to the etiology 66.3% thought as emotional stress and 1.6% as heredity. Hence an education  
166 on hypertension is essential among these patients. Among the people who did not comply to medicine most of  
167 them were hypertensives ie a total of 160 and most of them where in the age group of 51-60 years in both rural  
168 and urban area. In rural the level of compliance was 63(43.75%) and urban 56(30.43%). The females did not  
169 comply to drugs in both groups and they belonged to medium socioeconomic status.

170 In the study the multilevel compliance challenge; it is stated that compliance is a complex behavioural  
171 pattern strongly influenced by the environments in which the patients live, healthcare providers practice and  
172 health care systems delivery of care. The health care providers including pharmacists, nurses, psychologists  
173 etc who are involved in primary and secondary prevention play a role in enhancing compliance by interpreting  
174 recommendations, educating and motivating patients, monitoring responses to recommended behaviours and  
175 providing feedback. Maximum use of these services should be made by patients to overcome non compliance  
176 to drugs. Multilevel approach of education and behaviour change is important like consumer health education,  
177 provider education, etc.

178 In a study on assessment of impact of medication counseling on patients' medication knowledge and compliance  
179 in an outpatient clinic in B Another supporting study related to negative medication belief conducted in Kuwait  
180 as a prospective cross-sectional study for patients treated for chronic illnesses in the Ministry of Health primary  
181 care clinics was to identify self-reported adherence to medications, beliefs, and perceived sensitivity toward  
182 medications. Of the response rate of 68.1%. 56.7% were male, 73.7% were married, 53.3% were non-Kuwaitis,  
183 and 49.4% had low income and had a mean of two comorbid illnesses. They had indicated taking an average  
184 of four prescription medicines to treat them. A structural There was a relationship between the knowledge and  
185 level of drug compliance in posttest of experimental group ( $\chi^2 = 66.728$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ).

186  $11.78 \pm 3.5037$ ). The current study also shows a significant difference in patient's level of compliance after  
187 an awareness programme ( $\chi^2 = 282.14$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ), the study also reveals a significant difference in knowledge  
188 levels ( $Z = -7.540$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ).

## 10 VI.

## 11 Conclusion

191 The study concludes that medication compliance differs in urban and rural populations, reasons mainly being  
192 knowledge and medical complexity. It also found appropriate awareness programme conducted can bring a change  
193 in the compliance. <sup>1</sup>






Figure 1:

193



1

Figure 2: Figure 1 :



2

Figure 3: Figure 2 :

-

	Level of drug compliance Posttest		χ <sup>2</sup>	df	p
	Sure	Medium Low			
Post test knowl- edge	158	128 42	66.728	2	<0.001

Figure 4: Table - 5

- 194 [] , 10.1186/s12875-019-1019-3#auth-Cesar\_I\_-Fernandez\_Lazaro. <https://bmcfampract.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12875-019-1019-3>
- 195
- 196 [] , 10.1186/s12875-019-1019-3. <https://www.dovepress.com/medication-adherence-in-chronic-illness-do-bel>
- 197 [Adherence to long term therapies-Evidence for Action WHO ( )] *Adherence to long term therapies-Evidence for*
- 198 *Action WHO*, 2003. 18.
- 199 [Fernandez-Lazaro ( )] ‘Adherence to treatment and related factors among patients with chronic conditions in
- 200 primary care: a cross-sectional study’. Cesar I Fernandez-Lazaro . *BMC Family Practice* 2019. 20 p. 132.
- 201 [Ponnusankar ( )] ‘Assessment of impact of medication counseling on patients’ medication knowledge and
- 202 compliance in an outpatient clinic in South India’. Ponnusankar . *Patient Educ Couns* 2004. 54 (1) p. .
- 203 [Al-Sowielem and Elzubier ( )] ‘Compliance and knowledge of hypertensive patients attending PHC centres in
- 204 Al-Khobar, Saudi Arabia’. L S Al-Sowielem , A G Elzubier . *Eastern Mediterranean Health Journal* 1998. 4
- 205 (2) p. .
- 206 [Herborg et al. ( )] *Developing a generic, individualised adherence programme for chronic medication users.*
- 207 *Pharmacy Practice (Internet)*, Hanne Herborg , LotteS , Charlotte Rossing , Dam Pernille . 10.4321/S1886-
- 208 36552008000300006. 2008. 6.
- 209 [Marquez-Contreras ( )] ‘Efficacy of a home blood pressure monitoring programme on therapeutic compliance in
- 210 hypertension: the EAPACUM-HTA study’. Marquez-Contreras . *J Hypertens* 2006. 24 (1) p. .
- 211 [Lee and Leung ( )] ‘Glycemic control and medication compliance in diabetic patients in a pharmacist managed
- 212 clinic in Hong Kong’. W Y Lee , P K Leung . *Am J Health-Syst Pharm* 2003. 60 p. .
- 213 [Malagi and Babruwad ( )] ‘Knowledge Practices and Life Style Factors of Type -2 Diabetic’. Usha Malagi , Rama
- 214 Naik Ramesh Babruwad . *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci* 2007. 20 (4) p. .
- 215 [Lemay et al.] *Medication adherence in chronic illness: do beliefs about medications play a role?*, J Lemay ,
- 216 M Waheedi , S Al-Sharqawi , T Bayoud . 10.1186/s12875-019-1019-3#auth-Cesar\_I\_-Fernandez\_Lazaro.
- 217 Dovepress. 2018 p. .
- 218 [Nursing care Management and responsibilities: Improving patient compliance with treatment orders through health education and
- 219 *Nursing care Management and responsibilities: Improving patient compliance with treatment orders through*
- 220 *health education and extending care to all patients*, [www.lotsofessays.com/viewpaper/1687355.html](http://www.lotsofessays.com/viewpaper/1687355.html)
- 221 [Quality Metric Org [www.sf-36.org/tools/sf36.html](http://www.sf-36.org/tools/sf36.html) 14. Potter PA. Perry AG. Basic nursing essentials for practice. 6 th ed. Missouri:
- 222 *Quality Metric Org www.sf-36.org/tools/sf36.html 14. Potter PA. Perry AG. Basic nursing essentials for*
- 223 *practice. 6 th ed. Missouri: Mosby, 2007. p. 5.*
- 224 [Shalansky et al. ( )] ‘Self-Reported Morisky Score for Identifying Nonadherence with Cardiovascular Medications’.
- 225 S J Shalansky , A R Levy , A Ignaszewski . *The Annals of Pharmacotherapy* 2004. 38 (9) p. .
- 226 [Srivastava ( )] *Socioeconomic scale. Delhi: Manasayan, Srivastava . 1986.*
- 227 [Miller ( )] ‘The Multilevel Compliance Challenge: Recommendations for a Call to action A statement for health
- 228 care professionals’. Miller . *American Heart Association* 1997. 95 p. .