

Magnitude of a Metabolic Risk Profile in Sepsis Scales in Patients with Pneumonia

Marco Antonio Muñoz Pérez¹, Lozada Pérez Carlos Alberto², Alcántara Alonso Estefanía³, López González Jesús Alberto⁴, González Hernández María Fernanda⁵ and Medina García Eduardo⁶

¹ Hospital General Ticomán

Received: 10 April 2021 Accepted: 4 May 2021 Published: 15 May 2021

Abstract

Introduction: The mortality of community acquired pneumonia (CAP) ranges from 5

Index terms— pneumonia, COVID 19, sepsis, prognostic scales.

adquirida en la comunidad (NAC) oscila entre el 5% y el 15%, dependiendo lógicamente de si el estudio ha sido realizado en la comunidad o en ámbito hospitalario, así como variando también según la edad. En México al 26 de Junio 2021 existen 2,498,357 casos confirmados por COVID y 232,346 muertes. Existen estudios demostrando que la enfermedad cardiovascular es un factor de riesgo para eventos de sepsis. Al no disponer de un marcador pronóstico único, es importante disponer de herramientas clínicas para estimar la probabilidad de muerte intrahospitalaria en pacientes sépticos y así identificar los pacientes de alto riesgo y mejorar el uso apropiado de las intervenciones médicas a realizar.

Objetivo: Conocer la magnitud de un perfil metabólico de riesgo en las escalas de sepsis en pacientes con neumonía **Material y métodos:** Estudio observacional, analítico de corte transversal en 117 pacientes por elegidos por muestreo no probabilístico de Junio 2019 a Diciembre 2020 en tres hospitales de la secretaria de salud de la ciudad de México con diagnóstico de neumonía adquirida en la comunidad y pacientes con infección por SARS-CoV-2. Se realizaron curvas ROC no paramétricas a partir de aquellas variables clínicas y bioquímicas que mostraron un área bajo la curva > 50 % para las variables APACHE 25, SOFA 3 y PSI PORT 90. Se estableció un punto de corte con aquellas que presentaban mejor sensibilidad y especificidad con un posterior análisis multivariado mediante regresión logística binaria para calcular las razones de momio de cada variable ajustadas por edad. Se estableció el nivel de significancia con una $p < 0.05$, los datos fueron analizados con el programa Stata 14.

Resultados: Las variables que mejoran la sensibilidad y especificidad e impactan a las escalas pronosticas utilizando un modelo de regresión logística fueron para "APACHE 25 gradiente alveolo arterial Grad(A-a)O₂ en ambos grupos (OR=4.84 IC 6.88-95.3 $p=0.0001$). "SOFA 3" con proteína C reactiva (OR= 2.40 IC 1.21-6.74 $p= 0.016$), GA-A (OR=2.87 IC1.54-9.99 $p=0.004$), índice Tg/HDL (OR=2.81 IC1.01-1.06 $p=0.005$). Índice aterogénico únicamente en grupo "NAC" (OR=2.33 IC1.17-6.58 $p= 0.020$).

"PSI 90" mostro significancia en GA-A solo en grupo "COVID" (OR= 2.12 IC1. 770-13.88 Excluding COVID-19 pneumonia, communityacquired pneumonia (CAP) is a disease with an incidence of approximately 10 cases per 1000 inhabitants/year. The mortality of CAP ranges from 5% to 15%, depending logically on whether the study was performed in the community or in a hospital setting, as well as varying according to age (3).

Clinical prediction rules (CPR) are tools designed for decision making, containing three or more simple variables obtained from the clinical history, physical examination and/or ancillary tests. These rules are usually created by multivariate analysis and can predict the mortality of a disease and suggest a diagnosis or therapeutic course of action (4). The majority of RPC correspond to scoring systems. In recent years, most sepsis research has focused on early detection and care of acute sepsis. But few efforts have conceptualized sepsis as a preventable condition; identifying risk factors and developing a strategy for sepsis prevention could be a valuable effort to reduce the societal burden of this life-threatening and costly disease. (5) A new generation of scores, developed specifically to predict ICU admission, focuses on the severity of pneumonia itself rather than age and comorbid conditions.

Overall, the performance of these scores appears superior to that of PSI or CURB-65. The inclusion of several biomarkers such as procalcitonin, endothelin-1, co-peptin, pro-atrial natriuretic peptide, or adrenomedullin; however, these biomarkers are unlikely to predict clinical deterioration due to hospital-acquired complications or decompensated comorbidities; it is even questionable whether they detect circulatory or respiratory failure in patients admitted very early in the course of their illness (6).

The Pneumonia Severity Index (PSI) was proposed by Fine et al. The PSI is the first model for assessing CAP. It includes three demographic variables, five comorbidities, five physical examination variables, and seven laboratory tests.

The Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II (APACHE II) score is the most commonly used critical illness evaluation system in clinical ICUs. It consists of three sections, namely acute physiology, age, and chronic health assessment. The acute physiology score consists of 12 physiological variables. (8,9) (7) The Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) score was proposed by the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine. The SOFA score is primarily used to describe the onset and development of multiorgan dysfunction syndrome. Six systems are included in the model, namely the respiratory system, nervous system, hepatic system, cardiovascular system, coagulation system and renal system (10).

There are studies demonstrating that cardiovascular disease is a risk factor for sepsis events. The association between baseline cholesterol and sepsis rates remains unknown. As with coronary heart disease, a relationship between cholesterol and sepsis could provide an opportunity to potentially reduce an individual's long-term risk for the development of sepsis (11).

Metabolic syndrome (MetS) involves a group of metabolic abnormalities including centrally distributed obesity, decreased high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) concentration, elevated triglycerides (TG), high blood pressure, and hyperglycemia. MetS is also a risk factor for developing type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM2), heart disease, and stroke associated with arteriosclerosis which are causes of mortality. It is a risk factor for cardiovascular disease. Given the above, it is considered a public health problem, especially in westernized countries, with a prevalence of 39.7% in Mexico. (12,13) Mexican adults have a 71.2% prevalence of overweight and obesity, 25.5% have hypertension and 13.7% have type 2 diabetes mellitus. In people aged 50 to 59 years, dyslipidemia has a prevalence of 36.8%. A common phenotype in this age group was high Tg with low blood levels of HDLc (14).

In this context, a new index has been proposed to estimate cardiovascular risk, which considers the TG/HDL-C ratio. This index has been used in different types of populations, such as in subjects at high risk of coronary heart disease, in subjects with DM, and in patients with coronary heart disease; in all these studies, the TG/HDL-C ratio was an independent predictor of cardiovascular disease (15). Low HDL cholesterol and high triglyceride concentrations measured before or during hospitalization are strong predictors of a severe disease course. Lipid profile should be considered as a sensitive marker of inflammation and should be measured in patients with COVID-19 (16).

Compared with patients with mild or asymptomatic COVID-19, individuals with severe complications have a higher prevalence of comorbidities such as hypertension, cardiovascular disease, and type 2 diabetes mellitus. These comorbidities share the common metabolic disturbances of insulin resistance and dyslipidemia; the latter has been associated with severe COVID-19 (17).

Most patients with COVID-19 in the ICU develop ventilator-associated bacterial pneumonia (VAP), suggesting that both COVID-19 and bacterial infection may influence the lipid profile. (18) The Tg / HDLc ratio can be used as an early biochemical marker of severe COVID-19 prognosis with need for invasive mechanical ventilation. (19) Although the SOFA score is a simple and effective method to describe organ dysfunction in critically ill patients and to evaluate their evolution during their stay in the ICU, it does not allow distinguishing between acute, chronic or exacerbated chronic organ dysfunction, nor does it allow determining whether the organ dysfunction is secondary to the occurrence of an infectious condition or another condition that leads to this organ failure. (20) In the absence of a single prognostic marker, it is important to have clinical tools to estimate the probability of in-hospital death in septic patients in order to identify high-risk patients and improve the appropriate use of medical interventions.

1 II.

2 Material and Methods

An observational, analytical, cross-sectional study was conducted in 117 patients selected by nonprobabilistic sampling from June 2019 to December 2020 in three hospitals of the Secretary of Health of Mexico City who were admitted to the internal medicine service with a diagnosis of community-acquired pneumonia prior to the COVID 19 pandemic and patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection confirmed by a positive polymerase reaction test (PCR). They were grouped into two categories: the "CAP" group included 12 patients; the "COVID" group included 105 patients. Excluded were patients who refused to participate in the study; patients diagnosed with liver disease (hepatitis B, hepatitis C, autoimmune hepatitis, liver cirrhosis, hepatocellular carcinoma), dyslipidemia; patients on treatment with statins or other lipid-lowering drugs or using steroids 7 days prior to the study; patients with a clinical diagnosis of HIV, active pulmonary tuberculosis and patients discharged from the hospital during the three weeks prior to the study.

All patients received clinical laboratory sampling at baseline. All laboratory tests had completed the standardization and certification program. The severity scales to be studied were SOFA, APACHE and PSI PORT for both groups; therefore, clinical and biochemical parameters included in these scales were included. Based on the literature, cut-off points were established for severity and mortality scales in pneumonia APACHE with a score greater than 25 points corresponding to a mortality greater than 50% PSI PORT greater than 90 points indicating hospitalization requirement. SOFA greater than 3 points, taking this cutoff point because the sample "n" for SOFA less than 2, which is necessary in the operational definition of sepsis, was limited to 3 patients. Other variables included in the analysis were weight, height, body mass index, blood count, renal function tests (urea, blood nitrogen, creatinine), lipid profile (total cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, LDL cholesterol), procalcitonin. In addition, the COVID included severity markers (D-dimer, C-reactive protein (CRP), erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), ferritin. Indices derived from the biochemical parameters analyzed, which have been associated with inflammatory activity or severity, were evaluated: triglycerides / HDL cholesterol, total cholesterol / HDL cholesterol (atherogenic index), partial oxygen pressure / fraction of inspired oxygen (PaO₂ / FiO₂), oxygen saturation / fraction of inspired oxygen (SaO₂ / FiO₂) and absolute neutrophils / absolute lymphocytes.

Descriptive statistics were performed by analyzing the distribution of the different continuous variables using the Shapiro Wilk test in the "CAP" group and the Kolmogorov Smirnov test in the "COVID" group; variables with non-normal distribution were found, so median and interquartile range were used as summary measures for these variables. The odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) was used as a measure of association to establish the advantage of one group over another. To establish differences in the frequencies according to the distribution of the variables, the chisquare test, Student's t-test, Mann Whitney test and Kruskal Wallis test with a significance level of 0.05 were used.

Nonparametric ROC curves were made from those clinical and biochemical variables that showed an area under the curve > 50% for the variables APACHE 25, SOFA 3 and PSI PORT 90. A cutoff point was used to categorize these variables according to those with the best sensitivity and specificity; with these categorized variables, a binary logistic regression analysis was performed for the multivariate model to calculate the odds ratios of each variable adjusted for age. The significance level was established with a p < 0.05, the data were analyzed with the Stata 14.0 program.

The present study followed the ethical regulations in force at the hospital level. All the subjects surveyed, of legal age and capacity, signed the informed consent form; this study was classified as research without risk for the participants, collecting only pertinent data; the principle of confidentiality was maintained, taking into account personal identification by means of an alphanumeric code.

III.

4 Results

The contrast of the severity of pneumonia by hospital institution, according to the PSI/PORT, SOFA and APACHE scales, is shown in Table 1. No statistically significant differences were found in any of the scales evaluated (Kruskal Wallis 0.085, p = 0.958). 105 (89.7 %) participants who were diagnosed with COVID-19 represented 97.8 % of the patients at Hospital General Xoco, 90.6 % at Hospital General Tláhuac and 68.4 % at Hospital General de Ticomán. Overall, the highest percentage of patients were found in category 3 of the PSI PORT scale. The proportion of PSI class in both groups is shown in Figure ??.

The contrast of clinical and sociodemographic characteristics according to the diagnosis of CAP or COVID-19 is presented in Table 2. The proportion of patients with pleural effusion, liver disease, and the pO₂/FiO₂ and PSI/PORT indices, with their respective classes, were significantly higher in patients with CAP. In patients with a diagnosis of COVID-19, respiratory frequency, TG concentration, SOFA scale, and A-aO₂ were higher than in the latter. No significant differences were found in cardiovascular risk parameters (BMI, TG/C-HDL index, complete lipid profile, diabetes mellitus and systemic arterial hypertension; p > 0.05) (Table 2).

The concentrations of D-dimer, ferritin, CRP, fibrinogen and the sO₂/FiO₂ index were only established in patients with a diagnosis of COVID-19. In these, D-dimer concentration correlated directly and significantly with TG/C-HDL index (Spearman's ρ = 0.205, 95 % CI -0.378, p = 0.0277) and serum TG concentration (Spearman's ρ = 0.184, 95 % CI -0.001 -0.358, p = 0.0473).

In the ROC curve analysis, we found for the variable "APACHE 25" an area under the curve greater than 50% with confidence intervals greater than unity for the level of neutrophils, glycosylated hemoglobin, arterial alveolar gradient and borderline value in CI for the neutrophil-lymphocyte index. The variable "SOFA 3" obtained a significant area with C-reactive protein, arterial alveolar gradient, Tg/HDL index and atherogenic index (total cholesterol/HDL). "PSI PORT 90" showed a significant curve with D-dimer, arterial alveolar gradient and lymphocyte neutrophil index (Table 3).

The multivariate model with binary logistic regression included the cut-off points according to the best sensitivity and specificity of the ROC curve, obtaining in the three scales an OR greater than unity with p < 0.05 only for Grad(A-a)O₂ (arterial alveolar gradient). The "APACHE 25" scale showed a statistically significant OR for GA-A only. "SOFA 3" showed significant OR for C-reactive protein, arterial alveolar gradient, Tg/HDL index, however atherogenic index was only significant in the "CAP" group. "PSI 90" only showed a significant difference in the COVID group in arterial alveolar gradient (Table 4).

IV.

5 Discussion

The data obtained show that the scales analyzed in pneumonia increase their sensitivity and specificity by associating other biochemical variables not included in the scales, although they do so with different precision and with different cutoffs for each scale, despite being the same variable studied.

When correlating variables, we found a statistically significant association between HDL cholesterol and total triglycerides with markers of inflammation such as Dimero D and ferritin, results very similar to those found by Masana et al [16] even with a smaller population than their study, so we could infer that there is a proinflammatory state prior to and during hospitalization of patients with pneumonia that is aggravated by the addition of a septic process.

The calculation of Grad(A-a)O₂ allows assessment of ventilation-perfusion inequality; it is conditioned by FiO₂. In our multivariate study, Grad(Aa)O₂ was an important parameter for establishing a risk profile with the highest statistical significance and OR for the three severity scales analyzed, but not for the parameters SaO₂/FiO₂ and PaO₂/FiO₂; However, Grad(A-a)O₂ is only a reliable reflection of the alterations in the physiological shunt when there is cardiovascular stability, constant FiO₂ and elevated PaO₂ as mentioned in Sanchez Casado et al [22] in their work; however, with initial blood gases without other biochemical variables we can identify those patients with worse prognosis. This can be useful in an emergency department, especially in the context of the COVID 19 pandemic.

The neutrophil-lymphocyte index obtained statistical significance in the multivariate as a marker of severity for the APACHE scale; contradictorily to what was found by Che-Morales [23] the statistical significance was not in the community-acquired pneumonia group but in the group of COVID patients, a result that could be explained by the sample size of the CAP group. It should be noted that the cutoff point of the index > 7.2 for a PSI class III in the risk group of patients with pneumonia found by Che-Morales was similar to that reported in this work with a cutoff point > 7.79 .

SOFA was the scale that obtained the most statistically significant variables; sensitivity and specificity increase when lipid profile variables are included, especially the triglyceride level. As analyzed by Lee-Park et al [21] in their study, including the triglyceride level in the SOFA scale improves sensitivity and specificity in the ROC curve; however, in our study the greatest impact on this scale was the inclusion of the Tg/HDL index, as in the study by Alcántara Alonso et al [19] When the triglyceride/HDL index is associated, a greater OR is observed with very narrow confidence intervals in the multivariate analysis; in our study, the cut-off point was 5.41 as opposed to 7.45 according to that reported by Alcántara, however in our study the sample was not directed only to patients who developed mechanical ventilation or to a type of pneumonia, a situation that could explain this difference in value but which is one of the strengths of this study; despite this discordance the influence of this index is clear; this index has been related to insulin resistance and increased cardiovascular risk and little has been studied in processes of acute inflammation such as sepsis.

Regarding C-reactive protein, similarities were found to reports by Huang et al [24] in meta-analysis of Chinese studies with an n over 5000 patients where an elevated CRP >10 mg/L was associated with an unfavorable outcome (RR) 1.84 (1.45, 2.33), $p<0.001$. A sensitivity of 51%, a specificity of 88%, and an area under the curve (AUC) of 0.84. We found a cut-off point of >12.2 mg/L (OR) 2.40 (1.21-6.74), $p=0.016$ sensitivity 66%, specificity 50%. (AUR) of 0.57 for a probability of obtaining a SOFA greater than 3 points in both pneumonia groups; a result that reinforces that it is an important variable to consider as a prognostic factor, not only in patients with COVID-19, but also in patients with community-acquired pneumonia. In contrast to the aforementioned study, Procalcitonin, D-dimer and ferritin did not obtain statistical significance in the multivariate, only D-dimer in the ROC curve for the PSI scale.

Among the limitations of this study, it is possible that the size of the sample n influenced the wide confidence intervals found in the significant variables when performing the logistic regression; however, some of the significant variables found in the linear correlations did not obtain the expected result, especially for the community-acquired pneumonia group when performing the multivariate analysis, which could lead to a type B error. The heterogeneity of the groups in each hospital site and treatment established could influence the result obtained in this study.

The lipid and respiratory profile could be extrapolated to other severity scales not analyzed in this study, such as Logistic Organ Dysfunction Score (LODS), Early Warning Score (NEWS), Graham COVID, Brescia COVID, MuLBSTA score, among others, adapting them by adding the variables proposed in this study and obtaining a new scale applicable to the Mexican population.

V.

6 Conclusions

There are parameters not included in the severity scales used in patients with pneumonia, which are accessible, inexpensive and quickly obtained when a patient is admitted to the hospital, increasing their sensitivity and specificity. New indexes derived from lipid profile such as Tg/HDL, atherogenic, blood biometry, neutrophil/lymphocyte index and respiratory profile such as alveolar arterial gradient have an indirect impact

229 on the prognosis and severity of a patient with pneumonia, not only in community-acquired pneumonia but also
 230 in patients with COVID 19 pneumonia. The proposed variables improve the ability to identify patients at risk
 of poor short-term outcomes compared to the already known scales. ¹

Introduction

he current virus named SARS-CoV-2, initially called
 2019-nCov (2019 novel coronavirus), emerges in
 December 2019 in

Figure 1:

1

Year 2021

6

Volume XXI Issue

VIII Version I

D D D D)

(

Global Journal of Medical Research	Pneumonia severity scale PSI/PORT SOFA APACHE	Ticomán General Hospital 73.5 (RIC 44.5 -105) 67 Hospital 2 (RIC 2 -3.5) 3 (RIC 2 -6) 11.5 (RIC 3 -16.5) 12 (RIC 7 -17) Xoco General	Tláhuac General Hospital 75.5 (RIC 66 -100) 3 (RIC 2 -7) 8.5 (RIC 7 -15)
---------------------------------------	--	--	--

[Note: KFigure 1:]

Figure 2: Table 1 :

231

2

										Year 2021 7
Variable	Age Sex	Community-acquired		COVID-19 "COVID"		p value		Volume		
Weight	Size BMI	pneumonia "CAP" n=12		n=105 56.6 ($\pm$ 15.7)		0.479 a		XXI		
Institutionalized		60.1 ($\pm$ 18.5) years 33.3		years 59 % men, 41 %		0.125 b		Is-		
patients	Patients	% men, 66.7 % women		women 76 (RIC 67 -90)		0.628 c		sue		
with	pleural	76.3 (RIC 65 -80) kg 1.60		kg 1.62 (1.57 -1.70) m		0.464 c		VIII		
effusion		(1.58 -1.60) m 28.4 ($\pm$		29.0 ($\pm$ 6.0) kg/m ² 2.9		0.830 a >		Ver-		
		5.4) kg/m ² 0 % present		% present 1 % present		0.999 b		sion		
		25 % present				*0.003 b		I		
Neoplasia		16.7% present		2 % present		0.055 b		(D		
								D D		
								D)		
Liver	disease	16.7% present 8.3 %		1 % present 2 %		*0.029 b		Medical		
Heart	disease	present 8.3 % present 0		present 5 % present 4		0.288 b		Re-		
Cerebral	vascular	% present		% present		0.498 b >		search		
disease	Chronic					0.999 b				
kidney	disease									
Diabetes	mellitus	25 % present 58.3		42.6 % present 37		0.354 b		Global		
Systemic	arterial	% present 33.3 %		% present 26 %		0.212 b		Jour-		
hypertension		present 20 (RIC 19-27)		present 24 (RIC 22-28)		0.731 b		nal		
Altered	alertness	breaths/minute		breaths/minute		*0.003 c		of		
Respiratory										
frequency										
Heart rate		90 (RIC 87.5-120.5)		90 (RIC 80-104)		0.861 c				
		beats/minute		beats/minute						
Systolic	blood	113.7 ($\pm$ 14.3) mm Hg		122.3 ($\pm$ 18.7) mm Hg		0.126 a				
Pressure										
Body	tempera-	36.5 (RIC 36 -37.1) °C		36.4 (RIC 36 -36.8) °C		0.996 c				
ture										
Ph		7.39 (RIC 7.38 -7.46)		7.44 (RIC 7.4 -7.47)		0.260 c				
BUN		24 (RIC 18.5-36.5)		20.7 (RIC 15-34.6)		0.404 c				
Serum sodium		134.5 ($\pm$ 4.3) mEq/L		134.6 ($\pm$ 6.1) mEq/L		0.966 a				
Hematocrit		41.4 ($\pm$ 2.7) %		38.7 ($\pm$ 11.6) %		0.670 a				

[Note: K © 2021 Global Journals Magnitude of a Metabolic Risk Profile in Sepsis Scales in Patients with Pneumonia a Student's t-test; b Fisher's exact test; c Mann Whitney test; d Spearman's χ^2 test.]

Figure 3: Table 2 :

3

Year 2021
8
Volume
XXI Issue
VIII
Version I
D D D D)
K
(

Medical Research	SCALE	VARIABLE	Arterial	Cut-off	Area	Sensibility	Specificity
	APACHE 25	Alveolar trophil/lymphocyte ratio	gradient Neu-	point 284 7.79	under the curve (AUR) % 72 58	% 76.4 68.9	% 65.4 42.1
Global Journal of	SOFA 3	Absolute neutrophils Glycosylated hemoglobin C-reactive protein Arterial alveolar gradient Tg/Hdl Ratio		6.6 7.62 12.2 188.9 5.41	62 57 58	72.4 72.7 66.0 75.0 63.0	43.8 53.3 50.0 50.0 44.7
	PSI PORT 90	Atherogenic index (Col/hd l) Arterial alveolar gradient		4.78 238	62 60	65.7 70.8	50.0 60.4
		D-dimer		709	60	77.4	47.7
		Neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio		8.55	56	63.4	48.6
	© 2021 Global Jour-nals						

Figure 4: Table 3 :

4

SCALE	VARIABLE	OR	IC P
APACHE 25	ARTERIAL ALVEOLAR GRADIENT > 284	4.84 6.88-95.3	0.0001
	NEUTROPHIL/LYMPHOCYTE INDEX > 7.79	1.96 0.99-5.33	0.050*
	C-REACTIVE PROTEIN > 12.2	2.40 1.21-6.74	0.016
	ARTERIAL ALVEOLAR GRADIENT > 188.9	2.87 1.54-9.99	0.004
SOFA 3	TG/HDL RATIO > 5.41	2.81 1.01-1.06	0.005
	ATHEROGENIC INDEX (CHOL/HDL) > 4.78	2.33 1.17-6.58	0.020

Figure 5: Table 4 :

[Gutiérrez et al. ()] , J P Gutiérrez , J Rivera-Dommarco , T Shamah-Levy . *National Health and Nutrition Survey. National Results* 2012. 2012. National Institute of Public Health (INSP, MX

[Knaus et al. ()] ‘APACHE II: a severity of disease classification system’. W A Knaus , E A Draper , D P Wagner , J E Zimmerman . *Crit Care Med* 1985. 13 p. .

[Basto-Abreu et al. ()] A Basto-Abreu , T Barrientos-Gutiérrez , R Rojas-Martínez , C A Aguilar-Salinas , N López-Olmedo , V De La Cruz-Góngora . *Prevalence of diabetes and glycemic dyscontrol in Mexico: results from the Ensanut*, 2016. 2020. p. 62.

[Huang et al. ()] ‘C-reactive protein, procalcitonin, Ddimer, and ferritin in severe coronavirus disease-2019: a meta-analysis’. I Huang , R Pranata , M A Lim , A Oehadian , B Alisjahbana . *Ther Adv Respir Dis* 2020. 14 p. 1753466620937175.

[Guirgis and Donnelly] ‘Cholesterol levels and long-term rates of community-acquired sepsis’. F W Guirgis , J Donnelly . *Critical care* 20 (1) p. 408.

[Córdova-Villalobos et al. ()] ‘Chronic non-communicable diseases in Mexico: epidemiologic synopsis and integral prevention’. J A Córdova-Villalobos , J A Barriguet-Meléndez , A Lara-Esqueda . *Salud Publica Mex* 2008. 50 p. .

[Clinical practice guidelines for diagnosis and management of adults with hospital-acquired and ventilator-associated pneumonia (Chinese)] ‘Clinical practice guidelines for diagnosis and management of adults with hospital-acquired and ventilator-associated pneumonia’. *Chin J Tuberc Respir Dis* 2018. 2018. 41 p. . Chinese Thoracic Society (edition. in Chinese)

[Laupacis et al. ()] ‘Clinical prediction rules. A review and suggested modifications of methodological standards’. A Laupacis , N Sekar , I G Stiell . *JAMA* 1997. 277 (6) p. .

[Ng] ‘Comorbidities in SARS-CoV-2 Patients: a Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis’. Hann Ng , W . *Clinical Science and Epidemiology*. 2021 12 (1) .

[Diego and Alonso ()] ‘Comparison of prognostic scoring systems in the prediction of mortality and complications in sepsis’. Marin-Marín Diego , Soto Alonso . *Rev. peru. med. exp. public health* 2016. 33 (1) p. .

[Jl ()] ‘Cortes Telles A. Neutrophil/ lymphocyte index as a serum biomarker associated with community-acquired pneumonia’. Che-Morales Jl . *Rev Med Inst Mex Seguro Soc* 2018. 56 (6) p. .

[Lim et al. ()] ‘Defining community acquired pneumonia severity on presentation to hospital: an international derivation and validation study’. W S Lim , M M Van Der Eerden , R Laing , W G Boersma , N Karalus , G I Town . *Thorax* 2003. 58 p. .

[Torre et al. ()] ‘Diagnostic breadth of COVID-19 pneumonia in pandemic time from primary care’. S M Torre , I A Geisselmann , I G Molinero , R G Gómez , R M Girón . 10.1016/j.fmc.2020.07.003. *FMC* 2020. 27 (10) p. .

[Vila-Corcoles et al. ()] ‘EPIVAC Study Group Epidemiology of communityacquired pneumonia in older adults: a populationbased study’. A Vila-Corcoles , O Ochoa-Gondar , T Rodriguez-Blanco , X Raga-Luria , F Gomez-Bertomeu . *Respir Med* 2009. 103 p. .

[Molinar-Ramos and González-López] ‘High triglyceride to HDL-cholesterol ratio as a biochemical marker of severe outcomes in COVID-19 patients’. Alcántara-Alonso E Molinar-Ramos , F González-López , JA . *ESPEN*. 2021. 2021.04.020. *Clin Nutr*

[Masana and Correig ()] *Low HDL and high triglycerides predict COVID-19 severity*. *Sci Rep*, L Masana , E Correig . 2021. 30 p. 7217.

[Mortality Analyses Coronavirus resource center] *Mortality Analyses Coronavirus resource center*, p. 2021. Johns Hopkins University and Medicine

[Marti and Garin (2012)] *Prediction of severe communityacquired pneumonia: a systematic review and meta-analysis*. *Crit Care*, C Marti , N Garin . 2012 Jul 27. 16 p. R141.

[Lee et al. ()] ‘Prognostic Implications of Serum Lipid Metabolism over Time during Sepsis’. S H Lee , M S Park , B H Park . *Biomed Res Int* 2015. 789298.

[Casado et al. ()] ‘Relationship between alveolo-arterial oxygen gradient and PaO₂/FiO₂ introducing PEEP in the model’. Sánchez Casado , M Quintana Díaz , M Palacios , D Hortigüela , V Marco Schulke , C García , J . *Med. Intensiv* 2012. 36 (5) p. .

[Neira-Sanchez Elsa and Málaga ()] ‘Sepsis-3 and the new definitions, is it time to abandon SIRS?’. R Neira-Sanchez Elsa , Germán Málaga . *Acta med. peru* 2016. 33 (3) p. .

[Choi et al. ()] ‘The potential role of dyslipidemia in covid-19 severity: an umbrella review of systematic reviews’. G J Choi , H M Kim , H Kang . *J Lipid and Atherosclerosis* 2020.

6 CONCLUSIONS

- 286 [Vincent et al. ()] ‘The SOFA (sepsisrelated organ failure assessment) score to describe organ dysfunction/failure.
287 On behalf of the Working Group on Sepsis-Related Problems of the European Society of Intensive Care
288 Medicine’. J L Vincent , R Moreno , J Takala , S Willatts , De Mendonça , A Bruining , H . *Intensive Care*
289 *Med* 1996. 22 p. .
- 290 [Baez and Zamora ()] ‘Triglyceride/high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (TG/HDL-C) index as a reference crite-
291 rion of risk for metabolic syndrome (MetS) and low insulin sensitivity in apparently healthy subjects’. B Baez
292 , I Zamora . *Gac Med Mex* 2017. 153 (2) p. .