

1 Surrogate Parameters, Instead of the Genetic Profile, for
2 Recurrence Risk Evaluation in "Early-Stage Breast Cancer
3 Cases" in a Middle -Income Country Like Argentina

4 Ariel Gualtieri

5 *Received: 1 January 1970 Accepted: 1 January 1970 Published: 1 January 1970*

6

7 **Abstract**

8 Breast Cancer (BC) is the most commonly diagnosed cancer amongst women worldwide and is
9 a leading cause of death and disability among women in low and middle income countries
10 (MICs) among which is Argentina. Nowadays in BC, beyond the standard determination of
11 cancer stage according to the classic anatomical criteria of the TNM the study of genetic profile
12 (GP) of cancer has also been encouraged. Implementation of the multigene panels assay has
13 led to a change in the manner in which chemotherapy is utilized mainly in patients with, early
14 stage, estrogen receptor (ER)-positive, Her2-neu negative, lymph node negative BC ensuring
15 that patients at highest risk of recurrence are prescribed systemic treatment, while at the
16 same time sparing low-risk patients potential adverse events from therapy unlikely to influence
17 their survival. Multigene panels can provide better risk discrimination relative to
18 clinic-pathological factors. Unfortunately, all these tests are expensive.

19

20 ***Index terms***—

21 **Purpose:** We evaluate the possibility, in a MIC country such as Argentina, of having the data from the
22 normally required clinical-pathological report of the BC, in order to apply 10 selected published algorithms
23 that are offered as alternatives to ODX to predict the ODX RS specifically in patients with "early-stage breast
24 cancer" cases. **Methods:** From the total of 1832 (stage I to IV) BC cases reported, between 2012 and 2014, to
25 PROYCAM2012 (www.cancerdemama2012.org.ar) a consortium (still in force) created for the study of BC in
26 Argentina a subset of 706 (38,5%) "early-stage breast cancer", was identified and analyzed. An online search and
27 selection of published scientific research on SP, (2010 onwards), was carried out. Ten publications were selected
28 and analyzed and the SP used in them identified. The presence of the SP shared by the different studies selected
29 on -line was analyzed in our series, namely: age, tumor size, histology, grade, Estrogen/ Progesterone receptor,
30 Her2 / neu status and Ki-67.

31 **Results:** The subset of 706 (38,5%) showed the following characteristics: predominant in menopausal women
32 (72%), average age of 61 years, 3.2% bilaterally and a personal (30,3%) and/or family history (9,3%) of BC.
33 Pathologically, the average size of the tumors is between 1.8 -2.0, with predominance of infiltrating ductal lesions
34 (67, 7%) grade 2 (46,7%). From the total of 10 nomograms selected only in 1 our series can complete the required
35 two SPs: HR (96, 6%) and Her2 / neu (100%). In a second nomogram our cases complete the three of the
36 proposed SPs being: Grade (94 %), ER (100%) and PR (99.6%). In a third nomogram cases complete the
37 required six SPs being these: tumor size (88.5%), patient age (100%), laterality (100%), ER receptor (100%), PR
38 receptor (99.6%) and HER2 / neu (100%). In a fourth our cases nomogram completes the required six SPs, being
39 these: size (88,5%), ER (100%), PR status (99,6%), HER2neu (100%), Nottingham (94%) and histomorphology
40 (77,5%). In a fifth nomogram the cases complete the required five SPs: age (100%), tumor size (88.5%), grade
41 (94), PR status (99,6) and histologic type (77,5). In the five remaining nomograms evaluated the percentage of
42 cases that could complete the required SPs only reached 61.5% of the cases.

1 Introduction

reast Cancer (BC) is the most commonly diagnosed cancer amongst women worldwide [1] and is a leading cause of death and disability among women in low-and middle-income countries (MICs)among which is Argentina [2].

Nowadays in BC, beyond the standard determination of cancer stage according to the classic anatomical criteria of the TNM classification, expression of estrogen and progesterone receptors and HER2/neu receptor are required. The study of genic profile (GP) of cancer has also been encouraged although, for now, not in mandatory form [3]Implementation of the multigene panels assay has led to a change in the manner in which chemotherapy is utilized mainly in patients with,early stage, estrogen receptor (ER)positive, Her2-neu negative, lymph node-negative[ER (+) / HER2 (-) / lymph node-negative], BC ensuring that patients at highest risk of recurrence are prescribed systemic treatment, while at the same time sparing lowrisk patients potential adverse events from therapy unlikely to influence their survival [4,5].

Multigene panels can provide better risk discrimination relative to clinic-pathological factors, which are significantly superior to traditional prognostic factors in predicting clinical outcome and identifying patients who can be spared chemotherapy safely. There are several tests available at the moment. The Oncotype DX (ODX) BC recurrence test (ODXRS) is the one recommended based on the experience accumulated since its implementation in 2010 [6] Unfortunately, all these tests are expensive. Oncotype DX (ODX) is expensive and is performed in only 1/3 of patients with BC positive for the estrogen receptor (ER) in developed countries [7,8]and are not affordable or available for the majority of the breast cancer patients globally [9]. The economic nonaccessibility and / or technical availability are also the main reasons why in a middle-income country (MIC), such as Argentina, the study is only carried out in very few cases (0,23%) who fulfilled the established guidelines for gene-expression profile study and in a sporadic way [10].

Given the potential savings in cost and resource utilization, several algorithms have been proposed to predict Oncotype DX recurrence score (ODX RS) using commonly acquired clinical and histopathologic variables designated as subrogate parameters (SP). These studies reached different conclusions regarding which model demonstrated the best statistical discrimination power, mainly due to differences in clinical and pathologic variables used [11][12][13][14][15][16][17][18][19][20].

In this study we evaluate the possibility, in a MIC country like Argentina, of having the necessary data from the normally required clinical-pathological report of the BC, in order to apply some selected published algorithms that are offered as alternatives to ODX to predict the ODX RSspecifically in patients with "earlystage breast cancer".

2 II.

3 Material and Methods

4 a) Data source

We retrospectively reviewed the pathology reports from successive incident BC cases reported, between 2012 and 2014, to PROYCAM2012 (www.cancerdemama2012.org.ar) a consortium (still in force) created for the study of BC in Argentina [10]. The PROYCAM2012 recruited a total of 1832 (stage I to IV) BC until the end of the period under study. From the total of cases reported a subset of 706 (38,5%) "ER (+) / HER2 (-) / lymph node-negative" BC, was identified and analyzed.

5 b) Surrogate parameters

An online search and selection of published scientific research on the same thematic from 2010 onwards, was carried out [11][12][13][14][15][16][17][18][19][20]. The presence of the SP shared by the different studies selected was analyzed in our series, namely: age, tumor size, histology, grade, Estrogen/Progesterone receptor, Her2 / neu status and Ki-67.

6 c) Ethical Approval

All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards, the National Law 25326 of Habeas Data Personal Data Protection and the National Patient Rights Act 26529. For retrospective studies (applies to our study): "for this type of study formal consent is not required".

7 III.

8 Results

9 a) Substitute parameters in selected publications

The selected 10 publications were analyzed and the SP used in them identified as shown in table 1.

10 b) Clinical-pathological characteristics

and/or family history (9,3%) of BC. Pathologically, the average size of the tumors is between 1.8 -2.0, cm according to the left and right side, with predominance of infiltrating ductal lesions (67, 7%) grade2 (46,7%).

11 c) Substitute parameters evaluated

The frequency of the presence, in the 706 cases of "ER (+) / HER2 (-) / negative lymph node" BC, of the selected SPs is shown in Table ???. Of the total of 8 (12) 378/1063 ??35,5%) 1990-1999 Australia, Canada, Hungary, Italy, New Zealand, Slovenia, South Africa, Spain, Sweden, and Switzerland.

Age, Histotype, Tumor size, Grade, ER/PgR , Her2/neu status and Ki-67.

Rouanet R et al.,2013 (13) 714/1271 (56,1%) HR and HER2 status.HER2-HR+; HER2-HR-; HER2+HR+; HER2+HR-Turner B et al; 2015 (14) 299 cases with available Oncotype DX recurrence scores 2009-2013 USA ER, PR, HER-2, and Ki-67, Nottingham score (NS) and tumor size. Gage MM et al.2015 (15) 221ODX-tested ER(+)/HER2(-)/lymph nodenegative 2006-2013 USA.

-Low grade and positive progesterone receptor tumors (LG+PR).

-High grade or low estrogen receptor (ER) (ER < 20%) tumors (HG/ LER). Özmen V et al., 2016 (16) 165 ODX-tested ER(+)/HER2(-) /lymph nodenegative

12 Turkey

Age, LN Status, Grade, ER score ?10%, PR score ?20% and Ki67 score. Harowicz MR et al., 2017 (17) 305ODX-tested ER (+) /HER2(-)/lymph node-negative

13 2000-2014 USA

Estrogen receptor status and progesterone receptor status along with different combinations of grade, proliferation indices (Ki-67, mitotic rate), HER2 status, and tumor size. Farrugia DJ et al,2017 (17) 237 The subset of 706 (38,5%) "ER (+) / HER2 (-) / lymph node-negative" cases selected from a database of 1832 cases of BC (stages I-IV) showed the following clinic-pathological characteristics (Table ??). The main clinical characteristics that define this group are: predominant in menopausal women (72%), average age of 61 years, 3.2% bilaterally and a personal ??30,3%) parameters, 4 (50%) (Age, ER / PR and Her2 / neu) are present between 96 and 100% of cases.The next most frequently found SP were grade (94%) and size (88.5%). Finally, the least frequent were histology 77.5%) and Ki67 expression (61.5%).

14 d) Coincidence between substitute parameters

The percentages in which, in our series, each of the SPs required in the different nomograms is fulfilled and also according to the possibility of a complete application of all SP of each proposed nomogram are shown in table 4. From the total of 10 nomograms selected only in 1 [13] our series can, in the 99.6% of the cases, complete the required two SPs being them: HR (96, 6%) and the Her2 / neu (100%). In a second nomogram [15] at least 94% of our cases complete the three of the proposed SPs being these: Grade (94 %), ER (100%) and PR (99.6%). In a third nomogram [11] at least 88.5% of the cases complete the required six SPs being these: tumor size (88.5%), patient age (100%), laterality (100%), ER receptor (100%), PR receptor (99.6%) and HER2 / neu (100%). In a fourth nomogram [19] 77,5% of cases complete the required six SPs, being these: size (88,5%), ER (100%), PR status (99,6%), HER2neu (100%), Nottingham (94%) and histomorphology (77,5%). In a fifth nomogram [20] also a 77,5% of the cases complete the required five SPs: age (100%), tumor size (88.5%), grade (94), PR status (99,6) and histologic type (77,5).Finally, in the five remaining nomograms evaluated [12,14,[16][17][18] the percentage of cases that could complete the required SPs only reached 61.5% of the cases in each of them because this was the percentage of cases in which the Ki67 status study was conducted to evaluate the rate of tumor proliferation.

15 IV.

16 Discussion

BC in Argentina, as we reported earlier, has an epidemiological pattern and an incidence rate typical of a "western" and "developed" country [10] without major variations of both qualifiersin the BCover the last 40 years [21]We also report that, with the resources currently available, the BC can be staged properly, according to the latest version of the TNM, in 75% of cases [22] That a subset of no more than 706 (38.5%) of "early" BC "ER (+) / HER2 (-) / negative nodes" come from a database of 1832 new cases of BC, (stages I-IV) recorded between 2012 and 2014, presents its logic. The highest incidence of the whole "early stages" BC in developed countries, due to a massive and continuous use of mammogram screening in these populations [23][24][25] entails a high frequency of "ER (+) / HER2 (-) / negative nodes" BC. On the contrary, in our population, although the mammography is known and applied, but not in a massive and systematic way the frequency of this kind of BC ("ER (+) / HER2 (-) / negative nodes") is related to a lowest diagnosis of the whole types of "early stages BC" [10,22].

17 CONCLUSION

151 Genomic platform tests are now considered "standard of care" to maintain treatment decisionmaking for
 152 patients "ER (+) / HER2 (-) / negative lymph node" BC. Previous analyses of genomic platforms testing have
 153 assessed hypothetical cohorts under ideal conditions and concluded that testing had low costs relative to its
 154 benefits; the application of gene panels in clinical practice avoids overtreatment, with its possible adverse effects,
 155 in the short term and toxic in the longer term [26][27][28] as well as reducing treatment cost [29][30][31] MIC
 156 countries, such as Argentina, where gross national income per capita is between US\$9,950 and \$12,055 per year
 157 [32] are mainly characterized by fragmented and poorly coordinated medical care, moderate or high levels of
 158 poverty and disparities to access a basic standard of care not only for cancer but also for other complex diseases
 159 beyond of being covered by law. Patients in the public environment cannot pay for targeted therapy, so there
 160 are currently no hospital laboratories offering genomic platforms.

161 OncotypeDx® is expensive [the current estimated cost is U\$4000 [9]. The cost of the study is the main
 162 reason for the almost no realization in the past (2012-13) and nowadays in the MIC countries such as Argentina.
 163 None of the health sub-sectors recognizes this study (not included in the oncological diagnosis and treatment
 164 protocols accepted by law in our country) for which they do not reimburse their cost. The few cases performed
 165 were done privately, paid by the patients and performed abroad the country. For all the mentioned the current
 166 tendency, encouraged by research groups, is to use clinic pathologic variables for prediction of low-risk or high-risk
 167 OncotypeDx® Recurrence Score (ODXRS) using nomograms models.

168 Quality prediction models depends on the amount and quality of data derived. In some aspects of the
 169 diagnosis of BC our country performs better than expected due to its economic development level. For example,
 170 immunohistochemistry (IHC) for HR and Her2 / neu is performed routinely in a high percentage of cases, in
 171 almost most laboratories for at least more than a decade. As such, IHC is an accessible and relatively inexpensive
 172 test and one that can be performed quite quickly. This is in sharp contrast to genomic test that are routinely
 173 performed abroad the country resulting in a prolonged time of realization and increased costs. Having, in our
 174 series, the results of HR and Her2 / neu in almost all cases, this allows us to apply 5/10 of the selected nomograms
 175 in which these required SPs are available between 88.5% and 99.6% of the cases. On the contrary, when it is
 176 necessary to know the proliferation index studied by the Ki67 expression as SP to fulfill a nomogram, this data
 177 is only present in 61.5% of the cases in the remaining 5 nomograms.

178 17 Conclusion

179 Despite the fact that MIC oncologists are theoretically well informed in the use of genomic platforms
 180 [8,[33][34][35][36] they are far away from developed in the real-world a practice based in precision oncology;
 181 this kind of practice is a great challenge for them and frequently limited, when it is possible, to private practice.
 182 Our study, despite its limitations, provides some evidence for the design and orientation in our country of health
 183 policies and diagnostic interventions such as nomograms in the treatment of BC cancer, especially in early
 184 stages. These nomograms are useful tools to help to decide whether further OncotypeDx® testing is necessary
 185 and are excellent surrogates for patients for which OncotypeDx® testing is not affordable or even available. We
 186 demonstrate that, although there are gaps in the updated care process (for example: genetic profile) of BC,
 187 it is possible to use nomograms in our country through a comprehensive and complete collection and a careful
 188 subsequent analysis of the conventional parameters present in the diagnosis of BC. Special emphasis should be
 189 noted on the histopathological and immunohistochemical results, available almost routinely in our series, a fact
 190 that allows us to evaluate the RS and thus apply the corresponding therapy trying to achieve results similar to
 those that would be obtained with the use of GP. ¹

1

Reference	Breast cancer cases (n, %) ER(+; HER2 (-)); lymph node-negative/Total	Notification period (years). Country	Clinical and pathologic markers required as SP Tumor size, patient age, laterality, ER receptor, PR receptor results and HER2/neu result
Auerbach J et al., 2010 (11)	138 cases with available Oncotype DX recurrence scores	USA	
Dellapasqua S et al. 2012			

Figure 1: Table 1 :

191 Acknowledgement: Marcelo Guruceaga and Natalia Gonçalves for their continued support in the development
192 of this project.

193 [PubMed] , Pubmed . 30619463. PMC6304349.

194 [Jul ()] , 10.1155/2018/2047089. 30112216. *Jul* 10. 2018. 2018. p. 2047089.

195 [(2017)] , 10.1016/j.jval.2017.04.021. 29241890. 2017 Dec. 2017 Jul 11. 20 p. .

196 [Review ()] , 10.2147/IJWH.S124520. 29503586. PMC5827461. *Review* 2018. 10 p. .

197 [Annual Report ()] , <https://www.worldbank.org/en/about/annual-report> *Annual Report* 2018.

198 [(December)] , 10.1016/j.clbc.2015.04.006. December. 15 p. .

199 [Gage et al. ()] ‘A Validated Model for Identifying Patients Unlikely to Benefit From the 21-Gene Recurrence
200 Score’. M Gage , M Rosman , W Mylander , E Giblin , H Kim , L Cope . *Assay Clin Breast Cancer* 2015.

201 [Harowicz et al. (2017)] ‘Algorithms for prediction of the Oncotype DX recurrence score using clinicopathologic
202 data: a review and comparison using an independent dataset’. M R Harowicz , T J Robinson , M A Dinan ,
203 A Saha , J R Marks , P K Marcom . 10.1007/s10549-016-4093-4. 28064383. PMC5909985. *Breast Cancer Res*
204 *Treat* 2017 Feb. 2017 Jan 7. 162 (1) p. .

205 [Kress et al. ()] ‘Breast Cancer in Argentina: Analysis from a Collaborative Group for the Study of Female
206 Breast Cancer’. Meiss Kress , R P Chuit , R Novellije , , Abalo , E Lorusso , A . 10.24218/jcet.2016.10.
207 <https://doi.org/10.24218/jcet.2016.10> *J Can Epi Treat* 2016. 1 (2) p. .

208 [Kress et al. ()] ‘Breast Cancer in Argentina: Feasibility for the Implementation of the New TNM Staging System
209 in A Middle-Income Country’. Meiss Kress , R P Novelli , J E Gago , F E Robles , M Morales , S Et , La .
210 10.24218/jcet.2018.20. [org/10.24218/jcet.2018.20](https://doi.org/10.24218/jcet.2018.20) *J Can Epi Treat* 2018. 2 (1) p. .

211 [Villarreal-Garza et al. ()] ‘Breast cancer in young women in Latin America: an unmet, growing burden’.
212 C Villarreal-Garza , C Aguila , M C Magallanes-Hoyos , A Mohar , E Bargalló , A Meneses .
213 10.1634/theoncologist.2013-0321. *Oncologist* 2013. 18 (12) p. .

214 [Kress et al. ()] ‘Breast Cancer Thirty Years Later: A Comparative Study between A 1983-1984 AND A 2012-
215 2013 Cohorts of Argentine Women’. Meiss Kress , R P Novelli , JE , Abalo E Lorusso , A Gualtieri , A .
216 10.24218/jcet.2017.13. <https://doi.org/10.24218/jcet.2017.13> *J Can Epi Treat* 2017. 1 (3) p. .

217 [Giuliano et al. (2017)] ‘Breast Cancer-Major changes in the American Joint Committee on Cancer Eighth
218 edition cancer staging manual’. A E Giuliano , J L Connolly , S B Edge , E A Mittendorf , H S Rugo ,
219 L J Solin . 10.3322/caac.21393. 28294295. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2017 Jul 8. 2017 Mar 14. 2017 Jul 8. 67 (4) p.
220 345. (CA Cancer J Clin)

221 [Vondeling et al. (2018)] ‘Burden of early, advanced and metastatic breast cancer in The Netherlands’. G T
222 Vondeling , G L Menezes , E P Dvortsin , Fga Jansman , I R Konings , M J Postma , M H Rozenbaum .
223 10.1186/s12885-018-4158-3. 29514651. PMC5842550. *BMC Cancer* 2018 Mar 7. 18 (1) p. 262.

224 [Auerbach et al. (2010)] ‘Can Features Evaluated in the Routine Pathologic Assessment of Lymph Node-Negative
225 Estrogen Receptor-Positive Stage I or II Invasive Breast Cancer Be Used to Predict the Oncotype DX
226 Recurrence Score?’. J Auerbach , Mimi Kim , Susan Fineberg . *Archives of Pathology & Laboratory Medicine*
227 2010. November 2010. 134 (11) p. .

228 [Ueno et al. (2019)] *Changes in Recurrence Score by neoadjuvant endocrine therapy of breast cancer and their*
229 *prognostic implication. ESMO Open*, T Ueno , S Saji , N Masuda , H Iwata , K Kuroi , N Sato .
230 10.1136/esmooopen-2018-000476.eCollection2019. 30962956. PMC6435245. 2019 Feb 27. 4 p. e000476.

231 [Mamounas et al. (2018)] ‘Clinical relevance of the 21-gene Recurrence Score(®) assay in treatment decisions for
232 patients with node-positive breast cancer in the genomic era’. E P Mamounas , C A Russell , A Lau , M P
233 Turner , K S Albain . 10.1038/s41523-018-0082-6.eCollection. 30155517. PMC6102296. *NPJ Breast Cancer*
234 2018 Aug 20. 2018. 4 p. 27. (Review)

235 [Hanna et al. ()] ‘Correlation of Oncotype DX Recurrence Score with Histomorphology and Immunohistochem-
236 istry in over 500 Patients’. M G Hanna , I J Bleiweiss , A Nayak , S Jaffer . *Int J Breast Cancer* 2017.
237 2017/1257078. Epub. 2017 p. 1257078.

238 [Özmen et al. (2016)] ‘Correlations Between Oncotype DX Recurrence Score and Classic Risk Factors in Early
239 Breast Cancer: Results of A Prospective Multicenter Study in Turkey’. V Özmen , A Atasoy , E Gökmen ,
240 M Özdo?an , N Güler , C Uras . 10.5152/tjbh.2016.2874. 28331745. PMC5351479. *J Breast Health* 2016 Jul
241 1. 2016 Jul. 12 (3) p. .

242 [Chandler et al. (2018)] ‘Cost Effectiveness of Gene Expression Profile Testing in Community Practice’. Y
243 Chandler , C B Schechter , J Jayasekera , A Near , S C O’neill , C Isaacs . 10.1200/JCO.2017.74.5034.
244 29309250. PMC581540. *J Clin Oncol* 2018 Feb 20. 2018 Jan 8. 36 (6) p. .

245 [Capri and Russo ()] ‘Cost of breast cancer based on real-world data: a cancer registry study in Italy’. S Capri ,
246 A Russo . 28122558. PMC5267401. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2017 Jan 26. 1186/s12913-017-2006-9. 17 (1) p. 84.

17 CONCLUSION

- 247 [Verdial et al. (2017)] ‘Demographic changes in breast cancer incidence, stage at diagnosis and age associated
248 with population-based mammographic screening’. F C Verdial , R Etzioni , C Duggan , B O Anderson .
249 28194807. PMC5701282. *J Surg Oncol* 2017 Apr. 2017 Feb 14. 115 (5) p. .
- 250 [Bray et al. (2018)] ‘Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide
251 for 36 cancers in 185 countries’. F Bray , J Ferlay , I Soerjomataram , R L Siegel , L A Torre , A Jemal .
252 10.3322/caac.21492. 30207593. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2018 Nov. 2018 Sep 12. 68 (6) p. .
- 253 [Sun et al. (2018)] ‘Global treatment costs of breast cancer by stage: A systematic review’. L Sun , R Legood ,
254 Dos-Santos-Silva I Gaiha , S M Sadique , Z . 10.1371/journal.pone.0207993. 30475890. PMC6258130. *PLoS*
255 *One* 2018 Nov 26. 2018. 13 (11) p. e0207993.
- 256 [Vyas et al. (2017)] ‘Healthcare Utilization and Costs During the Initial Phase of Care Among Elderly Wom-
257 enwith Breast Cancer’. A Vyas , S S Madhavan , U Sambamoorthi , X L Pan , M Regier , H Hazard , S
258 Kalidindi . 10.6004/jncn.2017.0167. 29118. PMC5817990. *J Natl ComprCancNetw* 2017 Nov. 15 (11) p. .
- 259 [Rouanet et al. (2014)] ‘HER2 overexpression a major risk factor for recurrence in pT1a-bN0M0 breast cancer:
260 results from a French regional cohort’. P Rouanet , P Roger , E Rousseau , S Thibault , G Romieu , A
261 Mathieu . 10.1002/cam4.167. 24407937. PMC3930398. *Cancer Med* 2014 Feb. 2014 Jan 10. 3 (1) p. .
- 262 [Loncaster et al. (2017)] ‘Impact of Oncotype DX breast Recurrence Score testing on adjuvant chemotherapy
263 use in early breast cancer: Real world experience in Greater Manchester, UK’. J Loncaster , A Armstrong ,
264 S Howell , G Wilson , R Welch , A Chittalia . 10.1016/j.ejso.2016.12.010. 28111076. *Eur J Surg Oncol* 2017
265 May. 2017 Jan 9. 2017 Nov 23. 43 (5) p. . (Eur J Surg Oncol)
- 266 [Farrugia et al. (2017)] ‘Magee Equation 3 predicts pathologic response to neoadjuvant systemic chemotherapy
267 in estrogen receptor positive, HER2 negative/equivocal breast tumors’. D J Farrugia , A Landmann , L Zhu
268 , E J Diego , R R Johnson , M Bonaventura . 10.1038/modpathol.2017.41. 28548119. *Mod Pathol* 2017 Aug.
269 2017 May 26. 30 (8) p. .
- 270 [Orucevic et al. (2019)] ‘Nomogram update based on TAILORx clinical trial results -Oncotype DX breast cancer
271 recurrence score can be predicted using clinicopathologic data’. A Orucevic , J L Bell , M King , A P McNabb
272 , R E Heidel . 10.1016/j.breast.2019.05.006. 31146185. *Breast* 2019 Aug. 2019 May 10. 46 p. .
- 273 [Ross et al. (2018)] *Online accounts of gene expression profiling in early-stage breast cancer: Interpreting genomic*
274 *testing for chemotherapy decision making*. Health Expect, E Ross , J Swallow , A Kerr , S Cunningham-Burley
275 . 30387238. PMC6351409. 2019 Feb. 2018 Nov 1. 22 p. .
- 276 [Voelker et al. ()] ‘Practical Consequences Resulting from the Analysis of a 21-Multigene Array in the Interdis-
277 ciplinary Conference of a Breast Cancer Center’. H U Voelker , L Frey , A Strehl , M Weigel . *Int J Breast*
278 *Cancer* 2018.
- 279 [PubMed Central] *PubMed Central*, PMC4933134.
- 280 [PubMed Central] *PubMed Central*, PMC6077570.
- 281 [PubMed Central] *PubMed Central*, PMC5946952.
- 282 [Kurian et al. (2018)] ‘Recent Trends in Chemotherapy Use and Oncologists’ Treatment Recommendations for
283 Early-Stage Breast Cancer’. A W Kurian , I Bondarenko , R Jagsi , C R Friese , M C Mcleod , S T Hawley .
284 10.1093/jnci/djx239. 29237009. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2018 May 1. 110 (5) p. .
- 285 [Dellapasqua et al. (2012)] ‘Risk score based on histopathological features predicts higher risk of distant recur-
286 rence in premenopausal patients with lymph node-negative endocrine-responsive breast cancer’. S Dellapasqua
287 , V Bagnardi , M M Regan , N Rotmensz , M G Mastropasqua , G Viale . 10.1016/j.breast.2012.06.003.
288 22749924. PMC3566763. *Breast* 2012 Oct. 2012 Jun 29. 21 (5) p. .
- 289 [Siow et al. (2018)] ‘Spotlight on the utility of the Oncotype DX® breast cancer assay’. Z R Siow , De Boer ,
290 R H Lindeman , G J Mann , GB . *Int J Womens Health* 2018 Feb 21.
- 291 [Wang et al. (2018)] *The Distribution and Outcomes of the 21-Gene Recurrence Score in T1-T2N0 Estrogen*
292 *Receptor-Positive Breast Cancer with Different Histologic Subtypes*. *Front Genet*, J Wang , Z Y He , Y Dong
293 , J Y Sun , W W Zhang , S G Wu . 10.3389/fgene.2018.00638.eCollection. 2018 Dec 17. 2018. 9 p. 638.
- 294 [Li et al. (2016)] ‘The influence of 21-gene recurrence score assay on chemotherapy use in a population-based
295 sample of breast cancer patients’. Y Li , A W Kurian , I Bondarenko , Jmg Taylor , R Jagsi , K C Ward , A
296 S Hamilton , S J Katz , T P Hofer . 10.1007/s10549-016-4086-3. 28012085. PMC5243200. *Breast Cancer Res*
297 *Treat* 2017 Feb. 2016 Dec 23. 161 (3) p. .
- 298 [Jacklyn et al. (2017)] ‘Trends in stage-specific breast cancer incidence in New South Wales, Australia: insights
299 into the effects of 25 years of screening mammography’. G Jacklyn , K Mcgeechan , L Irwig , N Houssami , S
300 Morrell , K Bell , A Barratt . 10.1007/s10549-017-4443. 28822001. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2017 Dec. 2017
301 Aug 19. 166 (3) p. .
- 302 [Harris et al. (2016)] ‘Use of Biomarkers to Guide Decisions on Adjuvant Systemic Therapy for Women With
303 Early-Stage Invasive Breast Cancer: American Society of Clinical Oncology Clinical Practice Guideline’. L N
304 Harris , N Ismaila , L M Mcshane , F Andre , D E Collyar , A M Gonzalez-Angulo . 10.1200/JCO.2015.65.2289.
305 26858339. *J Clin Oncol* 2016 Apr 1. 2016 Feb 8. 34 (10) p. .

-
- 306 [Turner et al. (2015)] ‘Use of modified Magee equations and histologic criteria to predict the Oncotype DX
307 recurrence score’. B M Turner , K A Skinner , P Tang , M C Jackson , N Soukiazian , M Shayne .
308 10.1038/modpathol.2015.50. 25932962. *Mod Pathol* 2015 Jul. 2015 May 1. 28 (7) p. .
- 309 [Orulevic et al. (2016)] ‘Utilization and impact of 21-gene recurrence score assay for breast cancer in clinical
310 practice across the United States: lessons learned from the 2010 to 2012 National Cancer Data Base analysis’.
311 A Orucevic , R E Heidel , J L Bell . 10.1007/s10549-016-3833-9. 27206678. PMC4903105. *Breast Cancer Res*
312 *Treat* 2016 Jun. 2016 May 20. 157 (3) p. .
- 313 [Hall et al.] *Value of Information Analysis of Multiparameter Tests for Chemotherapy in Early Breast Cancer:*
314 *The OPTIMA*, P S Hall , A Smith , C Hulme , A Vargas-Palacios , A Makris , L Hughes-Davies .