Role of Cytosorb in Severe Covid 19 Patients to Combat Cytokine Storm – A Case Series of 3 Patients
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Abstract- Purpose: To demonstrate the effect of Cytosorb on reducing the cytokine levels seen due to cytokine storm in severe COVID 19 patients.

Material & Methods: COVID 19 patients with severe HRCT scoring and on NIV/ MV were included in the study. The time points considered in our study are D0, D+1, D+3 & D+5, to look for the levels of inflammatory markers post therapy along with the outcome of patients on D+7 in terms of mortality or off NIV/ MV.

Results: Statistical significance tested for the reduction in the WHO score value resulted p value of 0.85. The mean baseline value of the inflammatory markers, CRP, D-DIMER & IL 6 were 66.94, 780.67 &144.67 respectively with range 56.5-81, 331-1211 & 124-167 respectively & p values 0.60, 0.95 & 0.18 respectively. 7- day outcome of the study was 100% mortality.
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World Health Organisation (WHO) on January 30, 2019, declared the outbreak of coronavirus disease caused by the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Corona Virus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) as an international public health crisis. The first case of SARS-CoV-2 was reported in China in December 2019 which has expeditiously spread to the other countries and continents affecting highest number of population1. In a 3 months span of its first appearance in Wuhan, China, it was declared as Pandemic by WHO. In India about 3-10% patients are requiring intubation and 10-20% are requiring ICU admission2,3. The mortality rate was 49%.4 The disease is characterised with dysregulated immune response with elevation in the levels of cytokines like IL-6, IL-10, CXCL10, lymphopenia along with the systemic inflammation as seen by elevations in the levels of CRP, D-dimer, LDH, Ferritin. Lungs being the primary organ affected later leading to multi organ dysfunction5. To combat the disease several new molecular entities are being developed. On the basis of pathophysiology of the disease, it was thought that extra corporeal therapies specially designed to filter the cytokines can provide a hope in treating the critically ill COVID 19 patients and prevent organ failure and improve survival rate6. The same is demonstrated and supported by Ronco et al & Tay et al in their studies and clinical experience7,8. One such extracorporeal therapy designed to filter the cytokines was Cytosorb. Cytosorb was incorporated into the treatment guidelines in the early of the pandemic by several national medical societies. Use of cytosorb in COVID 19 patients with AKI (Acute Kidney Injury) stage 3 and are with Continuous Renal Replacement Therapy (CRRT) was first recommended by Italian Society of Nephrology9. USFDA also approved the usage of Cytosorb in critically ill COVID-19 patients on April 10, 202010. In 2011, Cytosorb was originally approved by the European Union for treating the systemic hyperinflammation and refractory shock.

Cytosorb is an extracorporeal cytokine adsorption cartridge with blood compatible porous polymer beads used as an adsorptive material in this blood purification technology11,12. Through this highly porous polymer beads, Cytosorb can continuously remove molecules upto 50kD and help in treating certain conditions like hypercytokinemia and in conditions like severe inflammatory response13. Each adsorber cartridge can be used for 24 hours and then need to be replaced with another. The flow rate to be maintained is between 150-700 ml/min and can be used as stand-alone approach in hemoperfusion technique or can be connected into ECMO or CRRT circuit14. This therapy aids in removal of cytokines from the blood stream through concentration gradient and the binding of molecules to the adsorptive polymer is size dependent making it a broad-spectrum purification technique14.

The current study is to demonstrate the effect of Cytosorb in severe COVID 19 patients in terms improvement according to 9-point ordinary scale developed by WHO15 on 1 day after administration (D+1) & 3, 5 days after administration (D+3, +5) along
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**Abstract**

**Purpose:** To demonstrate the effect of Cytosorb on reducing the cytokine levels seen due to cytokine storm in severe COVID 19 patients.

**Material & Methods:** COVID 19 patients with severe HRCT scoring and on NIV/ MV were included in the study. The time points considered in our study are D0, D+1, D+3 & D+5, to look for the levels of inflammatory markers post therapy along with the outcome of patients on D+7 in terms of mortality or off NIV/ MV.

**Results:** Statistical significance tested for the reduction in the WHO score value resulted p value of 0.85. The mean baseline value of the inflammatory markers, CRP, D-DIMER & IL 6 were 66.94, 780.67 & 144.67 respectively with range 56.5-81, 331-1211 & 124-167 respectively & p values 0.60, 0.95 & 0.18 respectively. 7- day outcome of the study was 100% mortality.

**Conclusion:** The results of our study are in favor of no additional benefits of CYTOSORB therapy in improving the clinical outcome among COVID 19 patients. However, the 7-day outcome of our study reported 100% mortality, to confirm the complete ineffectiveness of the therapy, a study on large group population is encouraged.
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**I. Introduction**
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with reduction in the levels of inflammatory markers (CRP, D-DIMER & IL6) & 7 days outcome viz, out off NIV/ MV or Death & duration of ICU stay.

Table 1 provides score value given according to the 9-point ordinary scale score developed by W.H.O. to the individual patient depending on the condition of the patient.

Table 1: 9-point ordinary scale score developed by the W.H.O. each individual patient of the study in both the groups are given with a score value depending on their condition on 3 time points\textsuperscript{15}.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient state</th>
<th>Descriptor</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Uninfected</td>
<td>No clinical or virological evidence of infection</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambulatory</td>
<td>No limitation of activities</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Limitation of activities</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalized mild disease</td>
<td>Hospitalized, no oxygen therapy</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oxygen by mask or nasal prongs</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalized severe disease</td>
<td>Non-invasive ventilation or high flow oxygen</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intubation or mechanical ventilation</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ventilation + additional organ support- pressors, RRT, ECMO</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dead</td>
<td>Death</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

II. Methods

a) Aim

To measure the efficacy of CYTOSORB therapy in reducing the levels of inflammatory markers in COVID 19 patients.

b) Objectives

Primary Objective: To establish the beneficial role of CYTOSORB in addition to the Standard of Care in reducing the elevated levels of inflammatory markers in severe covid 19 patients along with getting the patient off the NIV/ MV/ICU.

Secondary Objective: To establish efficacy of Cytosorb therapy in terms of improvement as per WHO scale scoring & duration of ICU stay

c) Methodology

A case cohort study is conducted at Medisys Hospitals, LB nagar, Hyderabad (city), Telangana (State), India (Country) to establish the beneficial role of cytosorb in addition to the SoC in patients with severe COVID 19 illness and those who are on NIV/ MV in reducing the levels of inflammatory markers and getting the patients off NIV/ MV.

Study includes the patients admitted in ICU from 01-05-2021 to 31-05-2021 with RTPCR proven COVID 19 illness and HRCT severity & are on NIV/ MV with age ≥ 35 years.

The outcome is measured in terms of reduction in the levels of inflammatory markers (C- Reactive Protein/CRP, D- dimer & IL6) before & after the therapy. The day of therapy is considered as D0 and the following post administration days as D+1, D+2, D+3, and so on. The levels of inflammatory markers and the scores according to the WHO ordinary scale on the D+1, D+3 and D+5 was observed and noted. The baseline value of each inflammatory marker is calculated by taking the average of the last 3 values before D0 which is considered as the mean value before the therapy. Similarly, taking the levels on the time points of our study (D+1, D+3 & D+5) another average value is calculated which is taken as the mean value post therapy. These 2 average values are compared to establish the role of Cytosorb in reducing the levels of inflammatory markers.

The trend of reduction in the values is statistically tested using annova single factor assay. In case of WHO ordinary scale score, the score on D0 and on 3 time points were taken directly (without calculating the average score value) to establish the cytosorb role in reducing the score value. The outcome of the patient on D+7 viz, out off NIV or MV or Death (7-day survival rate) & duration of ICU stay is observed.

d) Inclusive Criteria

- Patients who can afford the therapy.
- Patients with altered renal functioning.
- Patients with HRCT severity category& are on NIV/ MV.

e) Exclusive Criteria

- Patients who cannot afford the therapy.
- Patients with low platelet count (<20,000/cumm).
- Pregnant women.
- Patients with known allergies for extra corporeal therapies.
- Hemodynamically unstable patients.
- Patients who are not requiring NIV/ MV.

f) Procedure

After applying the inclusive and exclusive criteria individual patients given with respective number, P1, P2 & P3, in the sequence of their inclusion in the study.
All the patients received SoC which included Oxygen support to maintain $\text{SpO}_2 \geq 93\%$, Glucocorticoid; Dexamethasone (0.2-0.4 mg/kg/day), Remdesivir (200mg stat dose followed by 100mg once daily for 4 days to a cumulative dose of 600mg given in 5 days), i.v. Antibiotics at physician discretion (when a bacterial infection is suspected), prophylactic dose of low molecular weight heparin/ Unfractionated heparin with dose adjusted according to the body weight and renal function of the individual patient, along with symptomatic treatment that includes antitussives, antihistamines, antipyretics, etc.

In addition to the SoC, patients who are on NIV/ MV are randomly selected for treating with cytosorb after explaining the risks and benefits associated with the therapy. Cytosorb therapy is given as stand-alone treatment with blood pumps in hemoperfusion mode. The flow rate of the cytosorb was set to 150-200ml/min with unfractionated Heparin of 5000 IU as prophylaxis & to a duration of 8-12 hours depending on the clinical condition of the patient viz, elevated levels of markers, hemodynamics during the cytosorb, side effects to the therapy.

The baseline values (Mean before the therapy) of the inflammatory markers along with the score according to the WHO ordinary scale are compared with that of on D+1, D+3 and D+5 to observe for any reduction in the values.

\textbf{g) Measure of Outcome}

- Score according to the WHO ordinary scale across the time period.
- Statistically significant reduction of inflammatory markers viz, C- Reactive Protein (CRP), D- DIMER& IL6, across the time period as tested using annova single factor assay along with reduction in mean before and mean after the therapy.
- Outcome of the patient 7 days after the procedure, viz, out of NIV/ IV/ ICU or death

Note: The herein discussed CRP is measured in terms of mg/lit; D-dimer in $\mu$g/ml; IL6 in pg/ml.

\textbf{h) Statistical Analysis}

All the numerical data in the study, levels of inflammatory markers and score according to the WHO scale, are tested for statistically significant different across the time period using ANNOVA one-way methods in Microsoft Excel software. The p-value obtained by the test is used to confirm the assumed hypothesis, "levels of inflammatory markers/ score value according to the WHO ordinary scale are reduced upon cytosorb therapy". The p-value < 0.05 indicates the significant reduction in the values across the time period accepting the assumed hypothesis and vice-versa.

Non numerical data, population out of NIV/ MV/ ICU 7 days after the procedure, are calculated as % population with the respective outcome.

\section*{III. Results}

Our study included 3 male patients, Patient 1 or P1, Patient 2 or P2 & Patient 3 or P3, of age 61, 36 & 49 respectively with mean age 48.6 years. All the patients presented to the ICU in the study period received Cytosorb therapy after 5-7 days of admission in the ICU. As detailed in the methodology and procedure sections, the baseline value of the levels of inflammatory markers are calculated and analyzed accordingly.

Ventilation status of the patients on D0 are as

- P1 WAS ON MV
- P2 WAS ON NIV
- P3 WAS ON NIV

Ventilation status of P1 & P2 was same till the end of the study while P3 progressed to MV on D+1. Annova single factor assay conducted to check the statistically significant reduction in the WHO score across the time period gave p value of 0.85 reporting no statistically significant difference. The figure 1 represents the fluctuation in WHO score value among the time line of the study.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{WHO_scores_across_the_time_period.png}
\caption{Fluctuation in the WHO score value across the time period. Individual line represents the score values on the time points of the study of individual}
\end{figure}
The mean baseline value of CRP before therapy, was 63.3, 56.5 & 81 respectively for P1, P2 & P3. The mean average value of CRP after the therapy of P1, P2 & P3 was 50.5, 44.9 & 67.3 respectively. Figure 2 represents the mean levels of CRP before and after the therapy in all the 3 patients. The annova single factor assay done that resulted a p value of 0.60 reporting no statistically significant difference in the levels of CRP across the time period. Figure 3 shows the baseline levels of CRP of all the patients along with the levels on D+1, D+3 and D+5.

The other inflammatory marker, D-dimer, mean before the therapy, was 331, 800 & 1211 of P1, P2 & P3 respectively and the mean value after the therapy was 243, 1040 & 932 respectively for P1, P2 & P3. The annova single factor assay conducted to test the hypothesis reported a p value of 0.95 stating there exists no statistically significant difference among the values of D-dimer across the study period. Figure 4 represents the trends of D-dimer levels of individual patients across the time points. Figure 5 represents the mean of D-dimer before and after the therapy in all the 3 patients.

![Figure 2: Mean of CRP before and after the therapy in all the 3 patients](image1)

![Figure 3: Levels of CRP across the time period. Individual line representing the levels of all the individual patient across the time points, viz, baseline, D+1, D+3 and D+5.](image2)

![Figure 4: Levels of D-dimer across the time period. Individual line representing the levels of all the individual patient across the time points, viz, baseline, D+1, D+3 and D+5.](image3)
The mean values of IL 6 levels before and after the therapy were almost similar with 124, 143& 167 and 126.33, 143& 141.3 before and after the therapy in P1, P2 & P3 respectively. Figure 6 represents the mean levels of IL6 before and after the therapy in all the 3 patients. Annova single factor assay done to test the statistically significant difference between the values reported 0.70 indicating no significant difference between the values across the time points. Figure 7 provides the graphical presentation of the IL6 values across 3 time points.

7 days after the therapy all the patients died & 7-day outcome being 100% mortality. The cause of the death in all the 3 was Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome & AKI.

Figure 5: Mean of D-dimer before and after the therapy in all the 3 patients

Figure 6: Levels of IL 6 across the time period. Individual line representing the levels of all the individual patient across the time points, viz, baseline, D+1, D+3 and D+5.

Figure 7: Mean of IL 6 before and after the therapy in all the 3 patients
IV. Summary

2 out of 3 patients, P1 & P2, (66.67%) patients were on NIV and WHO scale score of 5 and 1 patient, P1, (33.33%) was on MV with WHO scale score of 6, by the time of inclusion into the study, of which, 1 patient, P3, progressed to MV from NIV on D1 post therapy. Statistical test conducted reported p value of 0.85 with no statistically significant difference in WHO scale score across the time points.

The mean value of CRP before and after the therapy of P1 was 63.33 & 50.5, of P2 was 56.5 & 44.9, P3 was 81 & 67.3. In case of D-dimer the mean before & after the therapy of P1 was 331 & 243, P2 was 800 & 1040, P3 was 1211 & 932 respectively. In case of IL6 the

Table 2: Detailed values of corresponding parameters of our study population. Mean before the therapy is the average of last 3 values of corresponding parameter before the therapy. Mean after the therapy is the average of values on the 3 time points of the study (D+1, D+3 & D+5).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARAMETER</th>
<th>PATIENT 1 OR P1</th>
<th>PATIENT 2 OR P2</th>
<th>PATIENT 3 OR P3</th>
<th>STUDY GROUP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AGE (YEARS)</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>48.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEX</td>
<td>MALE</td>
<td>MALE</td>
<td>MALE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO SCALE SCORE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D+1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D+3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D+5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D0 (mean before the therapy)</td>
<td>63.33</td>
<td>56.5</td>
<td>81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean after the therapy</td>
<td>50.5</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>67.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D0 (mean before)</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>1211</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean after the therapy</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>1040</td>
<td>932</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D- DIMER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D0 (mean before)</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>167</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean after the therapy</td>
<td>126.33</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>141.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL 6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 DAY OUTCOME</td>
<td>DEATH</td>
<td>DEATH</td>
<td>DEATH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. Discussion

Despite the data relating to the use of Cytosorb usage in COVID is very limited, its application as an adjuvant therapy is been carried out at several educational institutions, being a new therapeutic approach in treating COVID 1912. A case series of COVID 19 patients along with AKI treated with CRRT+ cytosorb published by Alharthy et al. reported reduction in the inflammatory biomarkers and 70 % population with favorable results surviving the condition and 30% died despite the therapeutic approach16. The first randomized, prospective pilot study conducted to study the effect of cytosorb in COVID 19 patients reported significant improvement in terms of Procalcitonin levels and vasopressor requirements. However, this study did not look for any improvement in terms of other inflammatory markers, ICU admission, Mechanical ventilation support, limitations of the study according to us17.

Another case series published by Mehta et al., reported the use of Cytosorb after 72 hrs of ICU admission for 24 hrs reported a significant decrease in the levels of CRP and 100% survival rate16. Friesceke et al. conducted a prospective single center study of use cytosorb in refractory septic shock with 20 patients under study started with cytosorb before 24 hours that reported the reduction in vasopressor requirement post therapy with 45% of 28 day survival rate and shock reversal in two third of the patients19. Saniya Rizvi DO et
al, reported a case study of a 51 years old male COVID 19 patients who survived cytokine storm upon treating with Cytosorb and definite treatment\textsuperscript{20}. Another case study conducted by Berlot et al, reported use of Cytosorb along with Tocilizumab reported a positive result in terms of extubation after 10 days of the therapy and radiological imaging suggestive of improvement in the lung fields\textsuperscript{21}.

Rieder et al. studied the use of Cytosorb incorporated in the ECMO circuit in comparison with the ECMO alone in treating severely ill COVID 19 patients. The study resulted in the higher reduction in the levels of IL6 in Cytosorb + ECMO group than in ECMO alone treated group\textsuperscript{22}. However use of Cytosorb on the first day of ECMO initiation is not suggested and can be incorporated into the ECMO circuit after 24 hours of initiation according to the studies conducted by Alexander Supady MD\textsuperscript{23}.

A comparative study conducted by Rampino T et al. reported the reduction of IL6, IL10, TNF\( \alpha \) and CRP in Cytosorb treated group in comparison to the control group. In test group, only 1 patient dies and 2 were intubated while that all of the patients in control group were intubated and died by the end of the study\textsuperscript{24}.

## VI. Conclusion

A case cohort study conducted to establish the benefits of Cytosorb therapy in addition to the SoC in treating the severe COVID-19 illness in terms of reduction of inflammatory markers levels (CRP, D-DIMER, IL6), WHO score value along with the 7-day outcome of mortality or out off NIV/MV.

The results of our study didn’t show any additional benefits of adding the CYTOSORB therapy to the existing SoC in improving the clinical outcome with no statistically significance in reducing the levels of inflammatory markers and even WHO score value. However, the 7-day outcome of our study reported 100% mortality, to confirm the complete ineffectiveness of the therapy in severe COVID 19 patients a study on large group population is encouraged.
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