Online ISSN: 2249-4618
Print ISSN: 0975-5888

Global Journal

of Medical Research: E

Gynecology and Obstetrics



Teratome Mature Cancerise

Human Preimplantation Embryos

Highlights

Chromosomal Characteristics

Trophoblastiques Gestationnelles

Discovering Thoughts, Inventing Future

VOLUME 15 ISSUE 3 VERSION 1.0

© 2001-2015 by Global Journal of Medical Research , USA

Volume 15 Issue 34 was originally issued as E3

Global Journal of Medical Research: E
Gynecology and Obstetrics

Volume 15 Issue 34
Originally issued as E3

Global Journal of Medical Research: E
Gynecology and Obstetrics

Volume 15 Issue 3 (Ver. 1.0)

Open Association of Research Society

© Global Journal of Medical Research . 2015.

All rights reserved.

This is a special issue published in version 1.0 of "Global Journal of Medical Research." By Global Journals Inc.

All articles are open access articles distributed under "Global Journal of Medical Research"

Reading License, which permits restricted use. Entire contents are copyright by of "Global Journal of Medical Research" unless otherwise noted on specific articles.

No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopy, recording, or any information storage and retrieval system, without written permission.

The opinions and statements made in this book are those of the authors concerned. Ultraculture has not verified and neither confirms nor denies any of the foregoing and no warranty or fitness is implied.

Engage with the contents herein at your own risk.

The use of this journal, and the terms and conditions for our providing information, is governed by our Disclaimer, Terms and Conditions and Privacy Policy given on our website http://globaljournals.us/terms-and-condition/menu-id-1463/

By referring / using / reading / any type of association / referencing this journal, this signifies and you acknowledge that you have read them and that you accept and will be bound by the terms thereof.

All information, journals, this journal, activities undertaken, materials, services and our website, terms and conditions, privacy policy, and this journal is subject to change anytime without any prior notice.

Incorporation No.: 0423089
License No.: 42125/022010/1186
Registration No.: 430374
Import-Export Code: 1109007027
Employer Identification Number (EIN):
USA Tax ID: 98-0673427

Global Journals Inc.

*(A Delaware USA Incorporation with "Good Standing"; **Reg. Number: 0423089**)*

Sponsors: Open Association of Research Society
Open Scientific Standards

Publisher's Headquarters office

Global Journals Headquarters
301st Edgewater Place Suite, 100 Edgewater Dr.-Pl,
Wakefield MASSACHUSETTS, Pin: 01880,
United States of America
USA Toll Free: +001-888-839-7392
USA Toll Free Fax: +001-888-839-7392

Offset Typesetting

Global Journals Incorporated
2nd, Lansdowne, Lansdowne Rd., Croydon-Surrey,
Pin: CR9 2ER, United Kingdom

Packaging & Continental Dispatching

Global Journals
E-3130 Sudama Nagar, Near Gopur Square,
Indore, M.P., Pin:452009, India

Find a correspondence nodal officer near you

To find nodal officer of your country, please email us at *local@globaljournals.org*

eContacts

Press Inquiries: *press@globaljournals.org*
Investor Inquiries: *investors@globaljournals.org*
Technical Support: *technology@globaljournals.org*
Media & Releases: *media@globaljournals.org*

Pricing (Including by Air Parcel Charges):

For Authors:
22 USD (B/W) & 50 USD (Color)
Yearly Subscription (Personal & Institutional):
200 USD (B/W) & 250 USD (Color)

Integrated Editorial Board
(Computer Science, Engineering, Medical, Management, Natural Science, Social Science)

John A. Hamilton,"Drew" Jr.,
Ph.D., Professor, Management
Computer Science and Software Engineering
Director, Information Assurance Laboratory
Auburn University

Dr. Henry Hexmoor
IEEE senior member since 2004
Ph.D. Computer Science, University at Buffalo
Department of Computer Science
Southern Illinois University at Carbondale

Dr. Osman Balci, Professor
Department of Computer Science
Virginia Tech, Virginia University
Ph.D.and M.S.Syracuse University, Syracuse, New York
M.S. and B.S. Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey

Yogita Bajpai
M.Sc. (Computer Science), FICCT
U.S.A.Email:
yogita@computerresearch.org

Dr. T. David A. Forbes
Associate Professor and Range Nutritionist
Ph.D. Edinburgh University - Animal Nutrition
M.S. Aberdeen University - Animal Nutrition
B.A. University of Dublin- Zoology

Dr. Wenying Feng
Professor, Department of Computing & Information Systems
Department of Mathematics
Trent University, Peterborough,
ON Canada K9J 7B8

Dr. Thomas Wischgoll
Computer Science and Engineering,
Wright State University, Dayton, Ohio
B.S., M.S., Ph.D.
(University of Kaiserslautern)

Dr. Abdurrahman Arslanyilmaz
Computer Science & Information Systems Department
Youngstown State University
Ph.D., Texas A&M University
University of Missouri, Columbia
Gazi University, Turkey

Dr. Xiaohong He
Professor of International Business
University of Quinnipiac
BS, Jilin Institute of Technology; MA, MS, PhD,. (University of Texas-Dallas)

Burcin Becerik-Gerber
University of Southern California
Ph.D. in Civil Engineering
DDes from Harvard University
M.S. from University of California, Berkeley
& Istanbul University

Dr. Bart Lambrecht
Director of Research in Accounting and FinanceProfessor of Finance
Lancaster University Management School
BA (Antwerp); MPhil, MA, PhD (Cambridge)

Dr. Carlos García Pont
Associate Professor of Marketing
IESE Business School, University of Navarra
Doctor of Philosophy (Management), Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT)
Master in Business Administration, IESE, University of Navarra
Degree in Industrial Engineering, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya

Dr. Fotini Labropulu
Mathematics - Luther College
University of ReginaPh.D., M.Sc. in Mathematics
B.A. (Honors) in Mathematics
University of Windso

Dr. Lynn Lim
Reader in Business and Marketing
Roehampton University, London
BCom, PGDip, MBA (Distinction), PhD, FHEA

Dr. Mihaly Mezei
ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR
Department of Structural and Chemical Biology, Mount Sinai School of Medical Center
Ph.D., Etvs Lornd University
Postdoctoral Training,
New York University

Dr. Söhnke M. Bartram
Department of Accounting and FinanceLancaster University Management SchoolPh.D. (WHU Koblenz)
MBA/BBA (University of Saarbrücken)

Dr. Miguel Angel Ariño
Professor of Decision Sciences
IESE Business School
Barcelona, Spain (Universidad de Navarra)
CEIBS (China Europe International Business School).
Beijing, Shanghai and Shenzhen
Ph.D. in Mathematics
University of Barcelona
BA in Mathematics (Licenciatura)
University of Barcelona

Philip G. Moscoso
Technology and Operations Management
IESE Business School, University of Navarra
Ph.D in Industrial Engineering and Management, ETH Zurich
M.Sc. in Chemical Engineering, ETH Zurich

Dr. Sanjay Dixit, M.D.
Director, EP Laboratories, Philadelphia VA Medical Center
Cardiovascular Medicine - Cardiac Arrhythmia
Univ of Penn School of Medicine

Dr. Han-Xiang Deng
MD., Ph.D
Associate Professor and Research
Department Division of Neuromuscular Medicine
Davee Department of Neurology and Clinical NeuroscienceNorthwestern University
Feinberg School of Medicine

Dr. Pina C. Sanelli
Associate Professor of Public Health
Weill Cornell Medical College
Associate Attending Radiologist
NewYork-Presbyterian Hospital
MRI, MRA, CT, and CTA
Neuroradiology and Diagnostic Radiology
M.D., State University of New York at Buffalo,School of Medicine and Biomedical Sciences

Dr. Roberto Sanchez
Associate Professor
Department of Structural and Chemical Biology
Mount Sinai School of Medicine
Ph.D., The Rockefeller University

Dr. Wen-Yih Sun
Professor of Earth and Atmospheric SciencesPurdue University Director
National Center for Typhoon and Flooding Research, Taiwan
University Chair Professor
Department of Atmospheric Sciences, National Central University, Chung-Li, TaiwanUniversity Chair Professor
Institute of Environmental Engineering, National Chiao Tung University, Hsin-chu, Taiwan.Ph.D., MS The University of Chicago, Geophysical Sciences
BS National Taiwan University, Atmospheric Sciences
Associate Professor of Radiology

Dr. Michael R. Rudnick
M.D., FACP
Associate Professor of Medicine
Chief, Renal Electrolyte and Hypertension Division (PMC)
Penn Medicine, University of Pennsylvania
Presbyterian Medical Center, Philadelphia
Nephrology and Internal Medicine
Certified by the American Board of Internal Medicine

Dr. Bassey Benjamin Esu
B.Sc. Marketing; MBA Marketing; Ph.D Marketing
Lecturer, Department of Marketing, University of Calabar
Tourism Consultant, Cross River State Tourism Development Department
Co-ordinator , Sustainable Tourism Initiative, Calabar, Nigeria

Dr. Aziz M. Barbar, Ph.D.
IEEE Senior Member
Chairperson, Department of Computer Science
AUST - American University of Science & Technology
Alfred Naccash Avenue – Ashrafieh

President Editor (HON.)

Dr. George Perry, (Neuroscientist)
Dean and Professor, College of Sciences
Denham Harman Research Award (American Aging Association)
ISI Highly Cited Researcher, Iberoamerican Molecular Biology Organization
AAAS Fellow, Correspondent Member of Spanish Royal Academy of Sciences
University of Texas at San Antonio
Postdoctoral Fellow (Department of Cell Biology)
Baylor College of Medicine
Houston, Texas, United States

Chief Author (HON.)

Dr. R.K. Dixit
M.Sc., Ph.D., FICCT
Chief Author, India
Email: authorind@computerresearch.org

Dean & Editor-in-Chief (HON.)

Vivek Dubey(HON.)
MS (Industrial Engineering),
MS (Mechanical Engineering)
University of Wisconsin, FICCT
Editor-in-Chief, USA
editorusa@computerresearch.org

Sangita Dixit
M.Sc., FICCT
Dean & Chancellor (Asia Pacific)
deanind@computerresearch.org

Suyash Dixit
(B.E., Computer Science Engineering), FICCTT
President, Web Administration and
Development , CEO at IOSRD
COO at GAOR & OSS

Er. Suyog Dixit
(M. Tech), BE (HONS. in CSE), FICCT
SAP Certified Consultant
CEO at IOSRD, GAOR & OSS
Technical Dean, Global Journals Inc. (US)
Website: www.suyogdixit.com
Email:suyog@suyogdixit.com

Pritesh Rajvaidya
(MS) Computer Science Department
California State University
BE (Computer Science), FICCT
Technical Dean, USA
Email: pritesh@computerresearch.org

Luis Galárraga
J!Research Project Leader
Saarbrücken, Germany

Contents of the Issue

i. Copyright Notice
ii. Editorial Board Members
iii. Chief Author and Dean
iv. Contents of the Issue

1. Teratome Mature Cancerise De L'ovaire : A Propos D'un Cas Et Revue De La Litterature. *1-3*
2. Les Maladies Trophoblastiques Gestationnelles : Etude Prospective a Propos De 36CAS. *5-8*
3. Chromosomal Characteristics of Human Preimplantation Embryos Assess by Comparative Genomic Hybridization. *9-14*
4. Happy Hysterectomy? Quality of Life after in Rural Women of Central India. *15-17*

v. Fellows
vi. Auxiliary Memberships
vii. Process of Submission of Research Paper
viii. Preferred Author Guidelines
ix. Index

Global Journal of Medical Research: E
Gynecology and Obstetrics
Volume 15 Issue 3 Version 1.0 Year 2015
Type: Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal
Publisher: Global Journals Inc. (USA)
Online ISSN: 2249-4618 & Print ISSN: 0975-5888

Teratome Mature Cancerise De L'ovaire : A Propos D'un Cas Et Revue De La Litterature

By Mounia Ziyadi, Ihssane Hakim, Khalid Guelzim, Jaouad Kouach, Driss Moussaoui & Mhammed Dehayni

Faculte De Medecine Souissi Rabat, Morocco

Resume- La dégénérescence d'un kyste dermoide est une complication rare 1% à 2 %, aucun signe clinique, radiologique ou biologique n'est spécifique, survient habituellement en peri ménopause, le traitement est chirurgical et analogue à celui des tumeurs épithéliales de l'ovaire. Le pronostic dépend du grade, de l'invasion vasculaire, de l'effraction de la capsule ovarienne ainsi que du type histologique .Nous rapportons un cas d'un carcinome épidermoïde de l'ovaire développé sur un tératome mature kystique chez une patiente ménopausée.

Mots Clés: tératome ovarien cancérisé, rare, péri et post ménopause, traitement chirurgical.

GJMR-E Classification : NLMC Code: WJ 190



Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of:

© 2015. Mounia Ziyadi, Ihssane Hakim, Khalid Guelzim, Jaouad Kouach, Driss Moussaoui & Mhammed Dehayni. This is a research/review paper, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 3.0 Unported License http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/), permitting all non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Teratome Mature Cancerise De L'ovaire : A Propos D'un Cas Et Revue De La Litterature

The Mature Teratoma Cancerise of the Ovary: About a Case and Review of the Literature

Mounia Ziyadi [α], Ihssane Hakim [σ], Khalid Guelzim [ρ], Jaouad Kouach [ω], Driss Moussaoui [¥] & Mhammed Dehayni [§]

Resume- La dégénérescence d'un kyste dermoide est une complication rare 1% à 2 %, aucun signe clinique, radiologique ou biologique n'est spécifique, survient habituellement en peri ménopause, le traitement est chirurgical et analogue à celui des tumeurs épithéliales de l'ovaire. Le pronostic dépend du grade, de l'invasion vasculaire, de l'effraction de la capsule ovarienne ainsi que du type histologique .Nous rapportons un cas d'un carcinome épidermoïde de l'ovaire développé sur un tératome mature kystique chez une patiente ménopausée.

Mots Clés: tératome ovarien cancérisé, rare, péri et post ménopause, traitement chirurgical.

Abstract- Malignant transformation of mature cystic teratoma of the ovary is a rare complication. No clinical nor radiological, nor biological signs are specific to malignant transformation, occurring preferentially during per-and post-menopausal, the treatment is surgical and the same of epithelial tumors of the ovary, the prognosis depends on the grade, the vascular invasion, burglary of ovarian capsule and the histological type. We report one case of squamous cell carcinoma developed on a mature cystic teratoma of the ovary in a menopausal woman.

I. Introduction

Le tératome mature et kystique de l'ovaire est la tumeur germinale la plus fréquente, sa transformation maligne est une complication peu fréquente s'observant dans environ 1 à 2 % des cas [1]. Cette cancérisation se fait le plus souvent sous forme de carcinome épidermoïde dans 83%, exceptionnellement en mélanome ou sarcome [2]. Survenant préférentiellement en période péri- et post ménopausique [3]. Aucun signe clinique, radiologique ou biologique n'est spécifique à cette transformation maligne. Le traitement est chirurgical et analogue à celui des tumeurs épithéliales de l'ovaire. Nous rapportons une observation d'un carcinome épidermoïde développé sur un tératome mature kystique chez une patiente de 50 ans ayant consulté au service de gynécologie obstétrique de l'hôpital militaire de Rabat.

Author α σ ρ ω ¥ § : Service de gynécologie obstétrique de l'hôpital militaire. Mohamed V RABAT. e-mail: ziyadi20@hotmail.com

II. Observation

Il s'agit de madame B .F âgée de 50 ans, multipare, ménopausée depuis dix ans, sans antécédent pathologique particulier, ayant consulté pour des douleurs pelviennes depuis 2 mois avec augmentation progressif du volume abdominal sans métrorragies ni signe urinaire ou digestif associés, l'examen clinique ayant révélé une masse abdomino pelvienne faisant 15 cm rénitente, l' échographie pelvienne a montré une masse pelvienne solido-kystique faisant 17cm /13 cm, un complément scanographiques ayant objectivé une lésion de densité mixte contenant de niveaux hydro-aériques avec calcification intra lésionnelle en faveur d'un kyste dermoide mesurant 16cm /11cm /13cm, sans épanchement ni adénopathie visibles ;la patiente a benificié d'une annexectomie gauche, à l'examen macroscopique c'était une formation kystique rompue mesurant 14cm /10 cm à surface externe lisse, à la coupe, le contenu est pilosébacé ,la paroi est souvent fine sauf en endroit ou existe une zone charnue siège de remaniement nécrotique, l'examen anatomo-pathologique a conclu en :carcinome épidermoïde moyennement différencié infiltrant ,sans emboles vasculaires sur tératome kystique mono tissulaire de l'ovaire (kyste dermoide cancérisé). une reprise a été faite, le geste a consisté en une hystérectomie totale annexectomie gauche curage ilio obturateur et lomboaortique, l'examen histologique n'a pas montré de résidu tumoral ni de métastase ganglionnaire.

Une chimiothérapie adjuvante a été préconisée chez notre patiente à base de cisplatine et 5 fluorouracile.

III. Discussion

Le tératome mature cancérisé se définit comme étant un kyste dermoide dans lequel se développe un carcinome sur une de ses composantes matures. Il est exclu de cette entité les tératomes mono dermiques cancérisés [4] à savoir les goitres ovariens, les tumeurs carcinoïdes ainsi que les tumeurs germinales primitives occasionnellement associées au kyste dermoide [5].

© 2015 Global Journals Inc. (US)


Environ 1 à 2 % des tératomes matures se transforment en cancer et cette association ne représente que 0,17 à 1 % de l'ensemble des carcinomes ovariens [6].

Plus de 75 % des cas de kyste dermoide cancérisé sont observés en post ménopausique [5], avec un âge moyen de 51-62 ans [6], l'âge de notre patiente est de 50 ans.

Le risque de transformation maligne d'un kyste dermoide augmente avec l'âge, ainsi une femme de 70 ans à 15 % de risque que son kyste dermoïde cancérise [7] ; ce risque est presque nul au cours des 2 premières décades.

La présentation clinique est non spécifique varie en fonction du stade tumoral et est superposable à celle des kystes ovariens bénins incluant une pesanteur et des douleurs pelviennes, une distension abdominale, une dyspareunie, des troubles du transit et de la miction, et une ascite.

Sur le plan radiologique, certains auteurs proposent comme signes pouvant évoquer la malignité, l'adhérence aux structures de voisinage, la présence de nodules, l'augmentation de l'épaisseur de la paroi par endroits et la présence de plages de nécrose et d'hémorragie [8]. Figure 1 et 2



Scanned by CamScanner

Figure 1: coupe sagittale montrant une lésion de densité mixte contenant un niveau hydroaerique avec calcification intra lésionnelle



Scanned by CamScanner

Figure 2 : coupe transversale montrant une lésion de densité mixte avec ses rapports avec les organes de voisinage

© 2015 Global Journals Inc. (US)

Certaines études ont montré l'utilité de certains marqueurs sériques notamment le SCCA (squamous cell carcinoma antigen) dans le diagnostic pré opératoire des transformations malignes des kystes dermoïdes de l'ovaire et dans la détection précoce des récidives [8], [9], [10] and [11]. Cependant, un taux faible de SCC ne permet pas d'éliminer formellement un tératome cancérisé.

Quoique la malignité peut être suspectée sur des critères peropératoire telles que : l'âge supérieur à 40 ans, la taille tumorale qui peut atteindre 20 cm et la présence de l'hémorragie et la nécrose, seule l'étude anatomo-pathologique confirme la dégénérescence du kyste dermoide.

Tous les types histologiques peuvent exister, Citons par ordre de fréquence décroissante : adénocarcinome, carcinome adénosquameux, carcinome indifférencié, carcinome à petites cellules, sarcomes, mélanome considéré comme primitif en absence d'une localisation secondaire et en présence d'une activité Jonctionnelle [5]. Le carcinome basocellulaire et le lymphome peuvent rarement survenir Le traitement est le même que celui d'un cancer ovarien associant une chirurgie première et une chimiothérapie ou radiothérapie adjuvante. La survie à 5 ans est de 77 % pour le stade I et seulement 11 % pour les stades avancés [3].

Le pronostic dépend du grade, de l'invasion vasculaire, de l'effraction de la capsule ovarienne [11] ainsi que du type histologique. Pour établir un pronostic, Kikkawa *et al*. prennent en considération aussi la présence ou non de résidu tumoral.

Ainsi la survie à 5 ans est de 79 % sans résidu tumoral et de 10,1 % avec résidu tumoral [12].

IV. Conclusion

Le tératome mature cancérisé est un phénomène bien connu mais rare.il faut y penser surtout devant un kyste dermoïde dont la taille est plus grande que les kystes habituels, en particulier chez une femme âgées .le pronostic du scc reste meilleur par rapport à l'adénocarcinome ou le sarcome

Conflit D'interet

Les auteurs ne rapportent aucun conflit d'intérêt

Contributions Des Auteurs

Mounia Ziyadi a participé à la prise en charge de la patiente et a rédigé l'article. Hakimi ihsane a participé à la prise en charge de la patiente et lecture l'article, Khalid Guelzim, Jawad Kouach, Driss Rahali Moussaoui, Mhammed Dehayni ont participé à la prise en charge de la patiente.

References Références Referencias

1. Griffiths D, Wass J, Look K, Sutton G. Malignant degeneration of mature cystic teratoma five decades after discovery. Gynecol Oncol 1995; 9: 427-9.
2. Rose PG, Takwk, Real FR. Squamous cell carcinoma arising in a mature cystic teratoma with metastasis to Para aortic nodes. Gynecol Oncol 1993; 50 :131-3.
3. Peterson WF. Malignant degeneration of benign cystic teratoma of the ovary. A collective review of the literature. Obstet Gynecol Surv 1957; 12: 793-830.
4. Kallenberg GA, Pesce CM, Norman B, Ratner RE, Silverberg SG. Ectopic hyperprolactinemia resulting from an ovarian teratoma. Jama 1990; 263: 2472-82.
5. Madison JF, Cooper PH. A histiocytoïd (epithelioïd) vascular tumor of the ovary: occurrence within a benign cystic teratoma. Mod Pathol 1989;2:55-8.
6. Tavassoli FA, Devilee P. Pathology and genetics. Tumours of the breast and female genital organs. WHO 2003; 174-5.
7. Damjanovi, Knowles B, Solter D. The human teratomas. Experimental and clinical biology Clifton NJ. Human Press, 1983; 3: 105-36.
8. S.Y. Rim, S.M. Kim, H.S. Choi Malignant transformation of ovarian mature cystic teratomaInt J Gynecol Cancer, 16 (2006), pp. 140–144
9. C. Tseng, H. Chou, K. Huang, T. Chang, C. Liang, C. Lai, *et al.* Squamous cell carcinoma arising in mature cystic teratoma of the ovary Gynecol Oncol, 63 (1996), pp. 364–370
10. L. Dos Santos, E. Mok, A. Iasonos, K. Park, R.A. Soslow, Aghajania, *et al.*Squamous cell carcinoma arising in mature cystic teratoma of the ovary: a case series and review of the literatureGynecol Oncol, 105 (2007), pp. 321–324
11. K. Miyazaki, T. Tokunagat, H. Katabuchi, T. Ohba, H. Tashiro, H. Okamura Clinical usefulness of serum squamous cell carcinoma antigen for early detection of squamous cell carcinoma arising in mature cystic teratoma of the ovary Obstet Gynecol, 78 (1991), pp. 562–565
12. Kikkawa F, Ishkawa H, Tamakoshik K, Nawa A, Suganuma N, Tomoda Y. Squamous cell carcinomas arising from mature cystic teratomaof the ovary : a clinicopathologic analysis. Obstet Gynecol 1997; 89 :1017-22.

© 2015 Global Journals Inc. (US)

This page is intentionally left blank

© 2015 Global Journals Inc. (US)

Global Journal of Medical Research: E
Gynecology and Obstetrics
Volume 15 Issue 3 Version 1.0 Year 2015
Type: Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal
Publisher: Global Journals Inc. (USA)
Online ISSN: 2249-4618 & Print ISSN: 0975-5888

Les Maladies Trophoblastiques Gestationnelles : Etude Prospective a Propos De 36CAS

By H. Ramsiss, M. Sebti, M. Yousfi, S. Amrani, A. Ragala, MA. Benyahia & S. Bargach

Resume- Les maladies trophoblastiques correspondent à un groupe très hétérogène de pathologies rares, potentiellement agressives, chez les femmes jeunes désireuses de grossesses ultérieures.

Le présent travail représente une étude prospective portant sur les cas de maladies trophoblastiques colligés au service de gynécologie obstétrique cancérologie et grossesse à haut risque de la maternité SOUISSI sur une période de 30 mois. Le but de notre travail est de rapporter le profil épidémiologique, clinique, thérapeutique et évolutif de cette entité pathologique.

Nous avons recense 36 cas de môle hydatiforme ; parmi 10475 accouchements et 787 avortements spontanés, ce qui donne une fréquence de 1/290 accouchements et 4.6 % des avortements. La moyenne d'âge était de 33 ans (extrêmes 17-57 ans).

Mots Clés: maladies trophoblastiques; tumeurs trophob-lastiques; βhCG; chimiothérapie.

GJMR-E Classification : NLMC Code: WJ 190



Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of:

© 2015. H. Ramsiss, M. Sebti, M. Yousfi, S. Amrani, A. Ragala, MA. Benyahia & S. Bargach. This is a research/review paper, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 3.0 Unported License http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/), permitting all non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Les Maladies Trophoblastiques Gestationnelles : Etude Prospective a Propos De 36CAS

H. Ramsiss [α], M. Sebti [σ], M. Yousfi [ρ], S. Amrani [ω], A. Ragala [¥], MA. Benyahia [§] & S. Bargach [χ]

Resume- Les maladies trophoblastiques correspondent à un groupe très hétérogène de pathologies rares, potentiellement agressives, chez les femmes jeunes désireuses de grossesses ultérieures.

Le présent travail représente une étude prospective portant sur les cas de maladies trophoblastiques colligés au service de gynécologie obstétrique cancérologie et grossesse à haut risque de la maternité SOUISSI sur une période de 30 mois. Le but de notre travail est de rapporter le profil épidémiologique, clinique, thérapeutique et évolutif de cette entité pathologique.

Nous avons recense 36 cas de môle hydatiforme ; parmi 10475 accouchements et 787 avortements spontanés, ce qui donne une fréquence de 1/290 accouchements et 4.6 % des avortements. La moyenne d'âge était de 33 ans (extrêmes 17-57 ans). La multiparité était retrouvée dans 30 % des cas. Le diagnostic était posé au premier trimestre chez les deux tiers des patientes. Le motif de consultation était des métrorragies chez 84% des cas associées à des douleurs pelviennes dans 43% des cas ; le syndrome toxique était présent chez 13% des patientes. Le diagnostic était évoqué sur les données clinique- échographique et biologique et confirmé par l'étude anatomopathologique dans 86% des cas. Le traitement consistait à une aspiration endo-utérine avec un suivi de la décroissance des βhCG. 68% des patientes avaient une évolution favorable. 32% des cas avaient évolué vers des tumeurs trophoblastiques qui étaient tous de bas risque. L'évolution était favorable pour la majorité d'entre elles sous chimiothérapie.

Mots Clés: maladies trophoblastiques; tumeurs trophoblastiques; βhCG; chimiothérapie.

Abstract- The trophoblastiques diseases correspond to a very diverse group of rare, potentially aggressive pathologies, at the young women avid for later pregnancies. The present work represents a prospective study concerning the cases of trophoblastiques diseases observed in the maternity SOUISSI over a period of 30 months. The purpose of our workis to report the epidemiological, clinical, therapeutic and evolutionary profile of this pathologicalentity. We have list count 36 cases of hydatiforme mole; among 10475 deliveries) and 787 miscarriages, what gives a frequency of 1/290 deliveries and 4.6 % of abortions. The average of age was 33 years (17-57 years). The multiparity was found in 30 % of the cases. The diagnosiswas put in the first trimèstrepregnancy to twothirds of the patients. The motive for consultation was métrorragies to 84 % of the cases associates in pelviennes pains in 43 % of the cases; the toxic syndrome was present at 13 % of the patients. The diagnosis was evoked on the clinic, échographic and biologic and confirmed by the anatomopathologique studyin 86 % of the cases. The treatment consisted in an endo-utérineaspiration with a follow-up of the diminution of βhCG. 68 % of the patients had a favorable evolution. 32 % of the cases had evolved towards trophoblastiques tumors which were all of low risk. The evolution was favorable for the majority of them under chemotherapy.

Keywords: trophoblastiques diseases; trophoblastiques tumors; βhCG; chemotherapy.

Author α σ ρ ω ¥ § χ: Service de Gynécologie Obstétrique Cancérologie et Grossesse à haut risque, Maternité SOUISSI, Rabat.
e-mail: ramsisshanan@yahoo.fr

I. Introduction

Hippocrate était probablement le premier à décrire la maladie trophoblastique gestationnelle autour de 400 av. J-C dans sa description d'hydropisie de l'utérus [1]. Bien que d'autres observations aient été faites depuis, Marchand était le premier qui a associé la môle hydatidiforme avec la grossesse en 1895. C'est un groupe très hétérogène de pathologies rares. Il s'agit, pour la plupart, de pathologies d'excellent pronostic, très chimiosensibles.

Nous rapportons une série de 36 observations de maladies trophoblastiques. Nous allons présenter nos résultats, insistés sur les modalités diagnostiques et thérapeutiques, ainsi que les moyens de surveillance et l'évolution.

II. Matériel et Méthodes D'étude

Notre travail est une étude prospective étalée sur une période de 30 mois, allant de Janvier 2012 à juin 2014, et portant sur 36 cas de maladies trophoblastiques gestationnelles colligées au service de gynécologie obstétrique cancérologie et grossesse à haut risque de la maternité Souissi. Les critères d'inclusion étaient les suivants : toutes les môles évoquées cliniquement et échographiquement puis confirmées à l'examen anatomo-pathologique. Nous avons relevé les caractéristiques des patientes, les modalités diagnostiques et thérapeutiques ainsi que le suivi post-môlaire.

III. Résultats

a) L'incidence

Durant la période d'inclusion des malades nous avons admis 10475 femmes pour accouchements, et 787 patientes pour avortements spontanés avec expulsion spontanée ou ayant nécessité un curetage. Pendant la même période, nous avant recensé 36 cas

© 2015 Global Journals Inc. (US)

de maladies trophoblastiques gestationnelles soit une fréquence 1/290 accouchements et 4.6% avortements.

b) Caractéristiques des patientes

La tranche d'âge entre 20 et 29 ans était la plus concernée (47%) suivie de celle de 40-49ans avec 27%. La moyenne d'âge était de 33ans, avec des extrêmes de 17 à 57ans.

L'élévation de la fréquence des grossesses molaires parallèlement à l'augmentation de la parité est presque toujours rapportée ; Nous notons un pic de fréquence chez les multipares (30%), cependant la proportion des nullipares était élevée (19%).

Nous avons relevé l'antécédent d'un avortement antérieur dans 12cas, et 2 avortements dans un cas ; aucune patiente n'avait d'antécédent de môle hydatiforme.

47% de nos patientes avaient un groupe sanguin O, 31% un groupe A et 14% un groupe B. Ces différences ne sont pas significatives par rapport à la population générale. Trois patientes sont rhésus négatif, l'immunoglobuline anti-D était prescrite.

c) Diagnostic

Le motif de consultation était des métrorragies chez 84% des cas. Les métrorragies étaient de grande abondance chez deux cas nécessitant une prise en charge urgente et une transfusion en culots globulaires. 43% patientes avaient présenté des douleurs pelviennes et 13% des cas des signes sympathiques exagérés de grossesse. Deux femmes étaient admises dans un contexte fébrile et quatre cas étaient diagnostiqués fortuitement à l'échographie.

L'âge gestationnel au moment du diagnostic variait de 6 à 24 semaines d'aménorrhée avec une moyenne de 11 semaines. Le diagnostic était posé au premier trimestre chez les deux tiers des patientes.

L'examen physique retrouvait un gros utérus dépassant l'âge théorique de la grossesse dans 95% des cas, et une masse latéroutérine uni ou bilatérale dans 11% des cas. L'échographie montrait un utérus augmenté de taille siège d'un matériel hétérogène en « flocons de neige » ou en « grappes de raisin » chez 95% des patientes associé à un sac gestationnel sans embryon chez trois d'entre elles. On a retrouvé chez une patiente une grossesse arrêtée à 9 SA avec un placenta hypertrophié hétérogène dont l'étude anatomopathologique était en faveur d'une môle partielle. Les kystes lutéiniques étaient présents chez 11% des cas, leurs tailles variaient de 6 à 10cm. Les βhCG plasmatiques étaient mesurés chez toutes les patientes. Leurs taux initial variaient de 6900 à 1352000 UI/L. 73% des cas avaient un taux supérieur à 105UI/ml (69% des môles complètes, 25% des môles partielles). La numération formule sanguine montrait une anémie chez 40 % de nos patientes.

d) Traitement

Le traitement de base de toute môle repose sur l'évacuation utérine par aspiration avec vérification de la vacuité utérine à l'aide d'une échographie per-opératoire, sous perfusion d'ocytociques et couverture antibiotique. Ce geste était réalisé pour toutes nos patientes ; nous n'avions pas notés de perforation utérine.

Un contrôle échographique systématique s'effectuait après l'aspiration, généralement au 10ème jour. Il avait montré un utérus vide chez 67% des cas et une rétention (diamètre antéropostérieur supérieu≥ à 17 mm), dans 28% des cas. Ces dernières ont bénéficié d'une deuxième aspiration qui avait assuré la vacuité utérine.

L'étude anatomopathologique du produit d'aspiration avait retrouvé 43% de môles complètes, et 43% de môles partielles. Elle n'était pas concluante dans quatre cas.

e) Évolution

Chez 68% patientes, le suivi régulier avait montré une négativation des βhGC entre 6 et 10 semaines. 32% des patientes avaient présenté une évolution perturbée des βhCG plasmatiques alors que la majorité d'entre elles étaient asymptomatiques. Elles étaient considérées comme des tumeurs trophoblastiques gestationnelles selon les critères proposés en 2000 par le FIGO. On avait procédé à la réalisation d'un bilan d'extension. Au terme de ce bilan on avait calculé le score selon la classification pronostique FIGO. Toutes nos patientes étaient à bas risque (score ≤ 6) et elles n'avaient pas de métastases. On avait opté pour une mono-chimiothérapie à base de méthotrexate (Une injection IM de 0.4 mg/kg/j pendant cinq jours), en fonction de la tolérance, le rythme était bimensuel ; et ce jusqu'à normalisation des βhCG en rajoutant deux cures après négativation. Nous avons obtenu un taux de rémission complète de 97%. Chez une patiente, les βhCG se sont négativés pendant 9mois puis on avait constaté une ascension rapide de leur taux à plus de 105 U/l. L'échodoppler pelvien était en faveur d'une môle invasive ou choriocacinome sans métastases au bilan d'extension. La patiente avait reçue quatre cures de polychimiothérapie à base d'EMACO. Elle est actuellement à un an de surveillance après négativation des βhCG.

Toutes nos patientes étaient sensibilisées de l'importance d'une contraception efficace durant la période de surveillance. Le moyen choisi dans notre service est la contraception hormonale oestro-progestative ; La grossesse n'est autorisée qu'à la fin de la surveillance selon les recommandations de la FIGO. On avait noté trois grossesses, deux après une grossesse môlaire et une après une tumeur trophoblastique gestationnelle. Deux grossesses étaient

© 2015 Global Journals Inc. (US)

menées à terme sans incidents et la troisième est en cours.

IV. Commentaire et Discussion

Les maladies trophoblastiques gestationnelles comprennent un large spectre de pathologies du placenta, allant des lésions bénignes précancéreuses (les môles hydatiformes complètes ou partielles), aux tumeurs trophoblastiques gestationnelles (principalement les môles invasives, les choriocarcinomes et les tumeurs du site d'implantation).

Chaque entité pathologique se caractérise par sa particularité clinique, radiologique, anatomopathologique, ainsi que son profil immuno-histochimique et cytogénétique.

Les môles hydatiformes complètes sont généralement diploïdes et se singularisent par une dégénérescence hydropique de toutes les villosités avec prolifération plus ou moins marquée des cellules trophoblastiques. Elles ont une tendance vers une évolution précancéreuse. Les môles partielles sont la plupart du temps triploïdes, les vésicules môlaires sont mêlées à des villosités normales. On peut retrouver des tissus embryonnaires, voire un embryon avec activité cardiaque. Son évolution est le plus souvent favorable. [2].

Dans la môle invasive, les vésicules môlaires envahissent le myomètre et réalisent une tumeur dissociant la paroi utérine. Le choriocarcinome est une prolifération maligne de cellules trophoblastiques villeuses sans formation de villosités placentaires. La nécrose, l'hémorragie, la colonisation vasculaire avec diffusion métastatique contribuent à son mauvais pronostic. La tumeur du site d'implantation placentaire, nettement plus rare, est une prolifération des cellules trophoblastiques extra-villeuses particulières par leur sécrétion en hormone lactogène placentaire.

a) Incidence

La fréquence de la môle hydatiforme varie de manière importante entre les races et les pays. Dans notre série la fréquence était de 1/283 accouchements, ce qui nous classe dans un rang de haute prévalence à coté des pays Asiatiques [3].

La majorité des études épidémiologiques tendent à démontrer que le risque relatif évolue sous la forme d'une courbe en « J » avec l'âge et la parité, et ce quelle que soit l'ethnicité et le pays. Le risque est plus élevé pour les femmes avant l'âge de 20 ans et après l'âge de 40 ans comparativement aux femmes entre 20 et 35 ans, ceci peut être expliqué par des facteurs génétiques, notamment par le vieillissement de l'ovocyte, par déficit en carotène et en vitamine A, qui favorisent les anomalies de fécondation et par une moindre réaction immunologique maternelle [4].

On peut situer le risque de récidive autour de 1% après une môle hydatiforme et de 11 à 30 % après deux môles complète [2]. La relation entre groupe sanguin et maladies trophoblastiques reste encore un domaine de recherche, puisque jusqu'à présent aucune explication n'est défendable.

Les facteurs de risque connus des môles hydatiformes complètes, notamment l'âge maternel supérieur à 40 ans, la susceptibilité familiale et le groupe sanguin A, ne sont pas retrouvés dans les môles partielles. Le pourcentage important des môles partielles dans notre étude (43%) pourrait expliquer la discordance de nos résultats avec ceux de la littérature concernant l'âge, la parité et le groupage sanguin des patientes.

b) Diagnostic

Les signes classiques de la môle apparaissent souvent après le premier trimestre comme les vomissements incoercibles (20 à 30% des cas), la prééclampsie (20 à 30% des cas), l'hyperthyroïdie (7% des cas) et l'insuffisance respiratoire (2% des cas). La môle complète est souvent plus symptomatique que la môle partielle dont les signes peuvent mimer un avortement spontané [5]. L'âge gestationnel moyen de diagnostic est d'environ 12 semaines d'aménorrhées [6].

De nos jours, le diagnostic est presque toujours porté devant des métrorragies avant l'apparition des autres signes cliniques. L'utilisation de l'échographie constitue un progrès réel dans le diagnostic de môle hydatiforme. L'image classique de la môle complète est celle en grappes de raisins occupant totalité de la cavité utérine sans association à une structure embryonnaire ou foetale. Les signes échographiques de la môle partielle sont plus discrets, n'atteignant qu'une partie du trophoblaste ; et il existe fréquemment des reliquats foetaux sans augmentation exagérée de la taille de l'utérus. L'échographique doppler peut retrouver une vitesse du pic systolique élevée et des index de résistance et de pulsatilité bas des artères utérines. Mais le rôle du doppler est limité et n'aura d'intérêt clinique qu'en cas de môle invasive.

Les cellules trophoblastiques sécrètent l'hormone gonadrophine chorionique (hCG) qui sert de marqueur de la présence de tissu trophoblastique. Une valeur élevée de βhCG sérique au moment de l'échographie oriente le diagnostic vers une môle complète précoce. Le diagnostic définitif nécessite une confirmation par l'anatomopathologiste [7].

Dans notre étude, toutes les patientes ont bénéficié d'un dosage de βhCG, qui était élevé chez toutes les patientes avec des extrêmes de 6889 et 1123570UI/ml. Le taux était supérieur à 105UI/ml pour 69% des môles complètes, et 25% des môles partielles.

c) Traitement

La prise en charge thérapeutique des môles fait appel, dans la très grande majorité des cas, à une évacuation utérine par aspiration et à la surveillance

© 2015 Global Journals Inc. (US)

ultérieure du taux sérique d'hCG. Les recommandations de la FIGO publiées en 2006 signalent la possibilité de réaliser en fin d'aspiration un curetage prudent avec une curette tranchante, afin de vérifier la vacuité utérine. L'évacuation utérine doit être programmée aussi rapidement que possible compte tenu du fait que les complications augmentent avec l'âge gestationnel de la grossesse môlaire après 18 semaines d'aménorrhée [8]. Un suivi soigneux est essentiel après l'évacuation d'une grossesse môlaire, pour identifier les patientes à risque d'évoluer vers une tumeur trophoblastique. Une échographie pelvienne est envisagée après deux semaines pour éliminer une rétention des tissus trophoblastique. La réalisation d'échographies pelviennes endovaginales supplémentaires est recommandée en cas de reprise des saignements et/ou de l'évolution anormale des βhCG. Un dosage hebdomadaire de βhCG totale sérique est recommandé jusqu'à négativation confirmée sur trois dosages successifs puis un suivi mensuel pendant six à 12mois.

Dans notre étude, 68% des môles avaient une évolution favorable dont 61.5% était des môles partielles. 32% avait évolué vers des tumeurs trophoblastiques qu'étaient toutes de bas risque. Ils ont bien évolué sous chimiothérapie.

Actuellement, quelques indications chirurgicales persistent, notamment chez femmes ayant accomplis leurs projet parental, pour limiter les récidives des tumeurs trophoblastiques à haut risque métastatique, pour assurer l'hémostase en cas de complications hémorragiques graves et en cas de tumeur du site d'implantation. La modalité du traitement chirurgical la plus commune est l'hystérectomie totale interannexielle. Les tumeurs trophoblastiques n'étant pas hormono-dépendante et les métastases ovariennes étant rares, les ovaires pourront être conservés selon l'âge des patientes. Dans notre série, on n'avait pas réalisé d'hystérectomie.

V. Pronostic et Conclusion

Les maladies trophoblastiques ont le plus souvent une évolution favorable, elles n'interfèrent pas dans l'avenir obstétrical de la femme, quoi qu'elles exposent à un risque important de récidive de la môle. Le taux global de rémission est de 80 à 95 % selon la littérature, sous réserve d'un suivi rigoureux selon des protocoles bien établis. La création un centre de référence de maladies trophoblastiques au Maroc à l'instar des centres existants déjà dans d'autres pays, pourrait apporter une aide à la décision aux médecins et de constituer une garantie de la qualité des stratégies proposées aux patientes. L'enregistrement de l'ensemble des maladies trophoblastiques permettrait ainsi d'avoir un registre à l'échelon national et les travaux de recherche clinique dans ce domaine en serait facilité.

References Références Referencias

1. Michael J Seckl, Neil J Sebire, Ross S Berkowitz. Gestational trophoblastic disease ; www.thelancet.com Vol 376 August 28, 2010.
2. J. Muhlstein, F. Golfier, L. Frappart, G. Poulizac, F. Abel, I. Touitou, T. Hajri, D.Raudrant ; les môles hydatiformes à répétition. Gynécologie Obstétrique & Fertilité 38 (2010) 672ŕ676
3. M, Tissier I, Philippe E ; Les maladies trophoblastiques gestationnelles. Classification, épidemiologie et bases génétiques ; J. Obstet Biol. Reprod 2000 ; 29 : 125-130.
4. Andrea A, Franceschi S, FerlayJ, S mith J and La Vecchia C; Epidemiology and oetiology of gestational trophoblastic diseases; The lancet-Oncology ; Volume 4; November 2003.
5. Lindholm, H. and F. Flam ; The diagnosis of molar pregnancy by sonography morphology, Acta. Obstet. Gynecol. Scond.; 1999; v:78; pages 6-9.
6. Mangili G, Garavaglia E, Cavoretto P. et al; Clinicalpresentation of hydatidiform mole in northernItaly: has itchanged in the last 20 years. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology; Volume 198; Issue 3; March 2008; Pages 302.e1-302.e4.
7. FIGO and IGCS ; 2006; Staging classifications and Clinical practice guidelines for gynaecology cancers ; pages:23.
8. John R. Lurain, MD ; Gestational trophoblastic disease I: epidemiology, pathology, clinicalpresentation and diagnosis of gestational trophoblastic disease, and management of hydatidiform mole ; American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology DECEMBER 2010 ŕ 531 ; 539 ;

© 2015 Global Journals Inc. (US)

Global Journal of Medical Research: E
Gynecology and Obstetrics
Volume 15 Issue 3 Version 1.0 Year 2015
Type: Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal
Publisher: Global Journals Inc. (USA)
Online ISSN: 2249-4618 & Print ISSN: 0975-5888

Chromosomal Characteristics of Human Preimplantation Embryos Assess by Comparative Genomic Hybridization

By Sukprasert M, Satirapod C, Jaranasaksakul W, Lupthalug W, Theetumpinit C & Choktanasiri W

Mahidol University, Thailand

Introduction- In recent years, more women are getting married and starting a family at an older age. Advanced maternal age (AMA) is defined as age 35 years or more for the mother. This group has been observed to have a high risk of chromosomal abnormalities in their embryos during pregnancy because the quality of oocytes correlate with maternal age and corresponding reproductive clinical outcomes (1). In 2013, Harton et al. reported that higher maternal age appears to be associated with increased risk of aneuploidy in embryos :<35 yrs (53.1%), 35-37 yrs (68.2%), 38-40 yrs (73.7%), 41-42 yrs (85.8%), >42 yrs (92.6%) from 451 blastomeres and <35 yrs (31.7%), 35-37 yrs (44.2%), 38-40 yrs (43.1%), 41-42 yrs (76.3%), >42 yrs (84.8%) from 462 blastocysts (2). Moreover, Menken et al. reported on the effects of maternal age on fertility with a decrease in birth rates when maternal age is >/= 35 yrs (3). For this reason, assisted reproductive technology (ART) and preimplantation genetic screening (PGS) can be help to infertile couples and patients at high risk of there being chromosome abnormalities in the embryo. PGS is the technology used for screening chromosome abnormalities to selectively transfer euploid embryos in IVF. Patients using PGS have a higher implantation rate and pregnancy rate compared to those using morphological assessment of embryos alone (4–10).

GJMR-E Classification : NLMC Code: QS 677



Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of:

© 2015. Sukprasert M, Satirapod C, Jaranasaksakul W, Lupthalug W, Theetumpinit C & Choktanasiri W. This is a research/review paper, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 3.0 Unported License http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/), permitting all non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Chromosomal Characteristics of Human Preimplantation Embryos Assess by Comparative Genomic Hybridization

Sukprasert M [α], Satirapod C [σ], Jaranasaksakul W [ρ], Lupthalug W [ω], Theetumpinit C [¥] & Choktanasiri W [§]

I. Introduction

In recent years, more women are getting married and starting a family at an older age. Advanced maternal age (AMA) is defined as age 35 years or more for the mother. This group has been observed to have a high risk of chromosomal abnormalities in their embryos during pregnancy because the quality of oocytes correlate with maternal age and corresponding reproductive clinical outcomes (1). In 2013, Harton et al. reported that higher maternal age appears to be associated with increased risk of aneuploidy in embryos :<35 yrs (53.1%), 35-37 yrs (68.2%), 38-40 yrs (73.7%), 41-42 yrs (85.8%), >42 yrs (92.6%) from 451 blastomeres and <35 yrs (31.7%), 35-37 yrs (44.2%), 38-40 yrs (43.1%), 41-42 yrs (76.3%), >42 yrs (84.8%) from 462 blastocysts (2). Moreover, Menken et al. reported on the effects of maternal age on fertility with a decrease in birth rates when maternal age is >/= 35 yrs(3). For this reason, assisted reproductive technology (ART) and preimplantation genetic screening (PGS)can be help to infertile couples and patients at high risk of there being chromosome abnormalities in the embryo. PGS is the technology used for screening chromosome abnormalities to selectively transfer euploid embryos in IVF. Patients using PGS have a higher implantation rate and pregnancy rate compared to those using morphological assessment of embryos alone (4–10). However, Schoolcraft et al. and Forman et al. reported that PGS improved implantation rates but did not improve pregnancy rates (8, 9).

The European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology (ESHRE) Preimplantation Genetic Diagnosis (PGD) consortium data collection XIIshowed cumulative data from 1999 to 2010 and found that the greatest indication of infertility in couples using PGS was AMA (32%)and the most commonly used method of biopsy was cleavage stage (blastomere) aspiration (82%)(11).The advantage of blastomere biopsy was that chromosome abnormality screening can be performed within 2 days for fresh embryo transfer in the blastocyst stage (12).The main problem with blastomere biopsy was chromosome mosaicism. This is the phenomenon in which two or more kinds of genetically different cell populations are present within the same embryo. The mosaicism rate of blastomeres tends to vary from 18% to 57% (13,14). The biopsy of two cells from a blastomere may give increased accuracy but the biopsy of a single cell was associated with superior clinical outcome when compared with the two cell biopsy (15,16). Therefore, the biopsy of a single cell was recommended by ESHRE (17). Blastocyst biopsy is another approach where 5-10 cells of trophectoderm (TE) cells are biopsied with more reliable and accurate results leading to improved clinical outcomes (18–21). Mosaicism is not major problem for blastocyst stage PGS because of the low incidence (20% to 33%) of mosaicism in the blastocyst stage (22–24). A previous study reported that the consistency between inner cell mass (ICM) and TE was 97% to 100% (19, 25). The disadvantage of blastocyst biopsy was the necessity for a short turnaround time in chromosome screening, thus leading to frozen embryo transfer. Even previous study reported that the clinical pregnancy rate of frozen blastocyst transfer was significantly higher than that of fresh blastomere transfer (26).

The method that was previously the gold standard for PGS was fluorescent *in situ* hybridization (FISH) utilizing a probe set of at least 8 chromosomes for aneuploidy screening as recommended by ESHRE (27,28). PGS with FISH analysis did not afford improved clinical outcome in blastomere and TE biopsy(20,29–37). Several factors could have caused the failure of FISH in improving the clinical outcome such as mosaicism, technical limitations and chromosome examination resulting in misdiagnosis (38).

The new technology of high throughput array comparative genomic hybridization (aCGH) is a technique that provides a comprehensive chromosome analysis of the embryo. When compared with FISH, aCGH provides a significantly higher interpretable result (96%) than does FISH (83%) (39). It displays the ability to detect 42% more chromosome errors and 13% more abnormal embryos compared with FISH using probes for 12 chromosomes (40).The reasons for the discordance in the results between FISH and aCGH are

Author α σ ρ ¥ §: The Reproductive Endocrinology and Infertility unit, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine Ramathibodi hospital, Mahidol university.
e-mail: matchuporn_m@yahoo.com
Author ω: Human Genetics unit, Department of pathology, Faculty of Medicine Ramathibodi hospital, Mahidol university.

© 2015 Global Journals Inc. (US)

technical artifact and mosaicism (40). Mir et al. reported the false positive rate on blastomere aCGH to be 2.4 % and the false negative rate could not be detected (41). The error rate of aCGH ranged from 1.9% to 9% depending on the method of whole genome amplification used (40). The purpose of the present study was to investigate the prevalence of chromosome abnormalities of embryos of Asian populations using aCGH techniques.

II. Materials and Methods

This retrospective study was collected data from April 2014 to April 2015. Infertile couples undergoing IVF/ICSI were included into this study however those with known genetic disease were excluded. All cases were approved by the Ethics Committee of Ramathibodi Hospital, Faculty of Medicine, Mahidol University, Thailand. A total of 2,066 embryos from 281 patients were collected and tested using aCGH. All embryos biopsied were either blastomere or trophectoderm. Biopsied cells were load into a 0.2ml sterile microtube. The DNA was generated by whole genome amplification to microgram quantity DNA using half volume SurePlex DNA amplification kits according to the manufacturer's instructions (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) and the Sukprasert et al. study (42). Amplified DNA was verified by gel electrophoresis. DNA was labeled and hybridized according to the BlueGnome 24 sure protocol (available at www.cytochip.com). Chromosome copy number variation was analyzed by BlueFuse Multi software (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA).

III. Results

A total of 2,066 embryos from 281 patients were classified according to embryo stage and maternal age into four groups: group 1 were blastomeres from maternal age < 35yrs; group 2 were blastomeres from maternal age $\geq$ 35yrs; group 3 were blastocysts from maternal age < 35yrs; and group 4 were blastocysts from maternal age $\geq$ 35yrs (Table 1). The maternal age were also divided into younger than 35 years (good prognosis) and older than 35 years (poor prognosis) to study the correlation between maternal age and the euploidy rate of embryos. The average maternal age was 34.79 years and the majority of the embryos were blastomere (87.22%).

Table 1 : The study population

Observations	Blastomere		Trophectoderm		Total
Maternal age	< 35	≥ 35	<35	≥ 35	
Number of maternal	113	137	23	8	281
Number of embryo	874	928	212	52	2066
Average age	30.53	39.13	28.22	39.67	34.79

The efficiency of the whole genome amplification was evaluated by gel electrophoresis. A successful amplification of 96.85 % (2001/2066 embryos) at least 90% for each marker is recommended by ESHRE (43). In addition, PGS with aCGH for screening chromosome aneuploidy in embryos showed the euploidy rate for all embryos was 42.23%. TE had a higher euploidy rate than blastomere especially in the young patient group (70.53%). In the blastomere group, the percentage of abnormal embryos was higher than the percentage of normal embryos for both the good and poor prognosis as shown in Figure 1. Complex chromosome abnormalities (more than one chromosome abnormality) were demonstrated to be the most common abnormalities of this study (66.44%) while monosomy and trisomy were minor (18.16% and 15.40%) as shown in Figure 2, similar to that reported in the Qi et al. study (44). The chromosomes least involved in aneuploidy were chromosomes Y, 8, 6, 12, and 3. We found that the types of aneuploidy in chromosomes were more gains than losses. The chromosomes most involved in aneuploidy were chromosomes 16, 19, 15, 20, and 22 (gain: chromosome 19, 15, 16, 22, and X; loss: chromosome 16, 9, 1, 2, and 20, respectively) as shown in Figure 3.

The data was calculated using SPSS software to compare the results among the four groups. A value of $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

© 2015 Global Journals Inc. (US)




Figure 1 : Summary result from PGS with aCGH




Figure 2 : Aneuploidy rate in blastomeres and trophectoderm




Figure 3 : The incidence of chromosome abnormalities in 24 chromosomes

© 2015 Global Journals Inc. (US)

IV. Discussion

A meta-analysis of PGS demonstrated improving clinical outcomes because comprehensive chromosome screening with advanced technologies was used. The high thoughput technology requires sufficient amount of DNA but the starting material from embryo biopsy has limited DNA quantity. Whole genome amplification technology solves this problem by amplifying DNA into microgram quantity yields, however the ability to amplify sufficient good quality DNA from a few cells depends highly on following the guideline protocol (43). Amplification failure causing the amplified DNA not sufficient for use in high throughput method may occur due to factors such as human error when loading cells into the microtubes or quality of cells being biopsied from the embryos.

The present study reports the primary outcome in chromosome abnormality of embryos and shows that the euploidy rate of blastocyst was high (62.99%), and correlates with other studies in which the euploidy rate ranged from 42% to 83% (22–24). We found that patients with AMA had high aneuploidy rates in both the blastomeres and trophectoderm because maternal age affects chromosome segregation during the development of oocyte, as shown in previous studies (45–48).

Moreover, we found that the aneuploidy rate of blastomeres was 60.79%, in concordance with previous studies showing aneuploidy rates of 38% to 64% (39,40,49). In addition, the aneuploidy rate of blastocysts was 37.01% in concordance with ability of other techniques such as SNP microarray to detect aneuploidy rates of 15% to 52% (22–24). This study demonstrated a high incidence of chromosome aneuploidy in chromosomes 15, 16, 19, and 22, as did Dekel-Naftali et al. and Alfarawati et al. (50,51) but chromosome 20 was excluded.

The blastocysts had lower aneuploidy rates than blastomeres due to self-correction and mosaicism. The self-correction phenomenon is a process in the differentiating embryo for eliminating mosaicism by bringing about death and/or a decrease in abnormal cells (52). Barbash-Hazan et al. demonstrated that in 32.6% of aneuploid blastomeres self-correction could occur during preimplantation development to the blastocyst stage which had the highest self-correction rate (38.1%) compared with later stages (13) and had a low incidence of mosaicism as well.

The limitation of aCGH is that it detects copy number changes rather than polyploidy and haploid embryos. Gutierrez-Mateo reported 7.5% (6,898/92,018) of embryos were polyploid or haploid by FISH analysis. Most of these embryos had other abnormalities detectable by aCGH. Only 1.7% of embryos were polyploid or haploid undetectable by aCGH. Approximately 0.2% of embryos had homogeneous polyploidy or haploidy with good morphology demonstrated. Therefore, we estimated that the misdiagnosis rate due to non-detection of polyploidy is below 0.2 % (40).

This study is the first report of aneuploidy screening using aCGH in Thai patients. We investigated the primary outcome in a large sample size to study the incidence of chromosome abnormalities in embryos and found that the percentage of chromosomal abnormalities equal to the other studies. The limitation of this retrospective study is that we could not report the final outcome or livebirth rate.

V. Acknowledgements

There is no conflict of interest

References Références Referencias

1. Kimberly L, Case A, Cheung AP, Sierra S, AlAsiri S, Carranza-Mamane B, et al. Advanced reproductive age and fertility: no. 269, November 2011. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2012 Apr;117(1):95–102.
2. Harton GL, Munné S, Surrey M, Grifo J, Kaplan B, McCulloh DH, et al. Diminished effect of maternal age on implantation after preimplantation genetic diagnosis with array comparative genomic hybridization. Fertil. Steril. 2013 Dec;100(6):1695–703.
3. Menken J, Trussell J, Larsen U. Age and infertility. Science. 1986 Sep 26;233(4771):1389–94.
4. Forman EJ, Hong KH, Ferry KM, Tao X, Taylor D, Levy B, et al. In vitro fertilization with single euploid blastocyst transfer: a randomized controlled trial. Fertil. Steril. 2013 Jul;100(1):100–107.e1.
5. Scott RT, Upham KM, Forman EJ, Hong KH, Scott KL, Taylor D, et al. Blastocyst biopsy with comprehensive chromosome screening and fresh embryo transfer significantly increases in vitro fertilization implantation and delivery rates: a randomized controlled trial. Fertil. Steril. 2013 Sep; 100(3):697–703.
6. Yang Z, Liu J, Collins GS, Salem SA, Liu X, Lyle SS, et al. Selection of single blastocysts for fresh transfer via standard morphology assessment alone and with array CGH for good prognosis IVF patients: results from a randomized pilot study. Mol Cytogenet. 2012;5(1):24.
7. Sher G, Keskintepe L, Keskintepe M, Maassarani G, Tortoriello D, Brody S. Genetic analysis of human embryos by metaphase comparative genomic hybridization (mCGH) improves efficiency of IVF by increasing embryo implantation rate and reducing multiple pregnancies and spontaneous miscarriages. Fertil. Steril. 2009 Dec;92(6):1886–94.
8. Schoolcraft WB, Fragouli E, Stevens J, Munne S, Katz-Jaffe MG, Wells D. Clinical application of comprehensive chromosomal screening at the

© 2015 Global Journals Inc. (US)

blastocyst stage. Fertil. Steril. 2010 Oct;94(5):1700–6.
9. Forman EJ, Tao X, Ferry KM, Taylor D, Treff NR, Scott RT. Single embryo transfer with comprehensive chromosome screening results in improved ongoing pregnancy rates and decreased miscarriage rates. Hum. Reprod. 2012 Apr; 27 (4): 1217–22.
10. Keltz MD, Vega M, Sirota I, Lederman M, Moshier EL, Gonzales E, et al. Preimplantation genetic screening (PGS) with Comparative genomic hybridization (CGH) following day 3 single cell blastomere biopsy markedly improves IVF outcomes while lowering multiple pregnancies and miscarriages. J. Assist. Reprod. Genet. 2013 Oct; 30(10):1333–9.
11. Moutou C, Goossens V, Coonen E, De Rycke M, Kokkali G, Renwick P, et al. ESHRE PGD Consortium data collection XII: cycles from January to December 2009 with pregnancy follow-up to October 2010. Human Reproduction. 2014 May 1; 29(5):880–903.
12. Hellani A, Abu-Amero K, Azouri J, El-Akoum S. Successful pregnancies after application of array-comparative genomic hybridization in PGS-aneuploidy screening. Reprod. Biomed. Online. 2008 Dec;17(6):841–7.
13. Barbash-Hazan S, Frumkin T, Malcov M, Yaron Y, Cohen T, Azem F, et al. Preimplantation aneuploid embryos undergo self-correction in correlation with their developmental potential. Fertility and Sterility. 2009 Sep;92(3):890–6.
14. Baart EB. Fluorescence in situ hybridization analysis of two blastomeres from day 3 frozen-thawed embryos followed by analysis of the remaining embryo on day 5. Human Reproduction. 2004 Jan 29;19(3):685–93.
15. De Vos A, Staessen C, De Rycke M, Verpoest W, Haentjens P, Devroey P, et al. Impact of cleavage-stage embryo biopsy in view of PGD on human blastocyst implantation: a prospective cohort of single embryo transfers. Hum. Reprod. 2009 Dec; 24(12):2988–96.
16. Goossens V, De Rycke M, De Vos A, Staessen C, Michiels A, Verpoest W, et al. Diagnostic efficiency, embryonic development and clinical outcome after the biopsy of one or two blastomeres for preimplantation genetic diagnosis. Human Reproduction. 2008 Mar 1;23(3):481–92.
17. Harton GL, Magli MC, Lundin K, Montag M, Lemmen J, Harper JC, et al. ESHRE PGD Consortium/Embryology Special Interest Group--best practice guidelines for polar body and embryo biopsy for preimplantation genetic diagnosis/screening (PGD/PGS). Hum. Reprod. 2011 Jan; 26 (1):41–6.
18. Harper JC, Harton G. The use of arrays in preimplantation genetic diagnosis and screening. Fertility and Sterility. 2010 Sep;94(4):1173–7.
19. Fragouli E, Lenzi M, Ross R, Katz-Jaffe M, Schoolcraft WB, Wells D. Comprehensive molecular cytogenetic analysis of the human blastocyst stage. Hum. Reprod. 2008 Nov;23(11):2596–608.
20. Jansen RPS, Bowman MC, De Boer KA, Leigh DA, Lieberman DB, McArthur SJ. What next for preimplantation genetic screening (PGS)? Experience with blastocyst biopsy and testing for aneuploidy. Hum. Reprod. 2008 Jul;23(7):1476–8.
21. Harper JC, SenGupta SB. Preimplantation genetic diagnosis: State of the ART 2011. Human Genetics. 2012 Feb;131(2):175–86.
22. Fragouli E, Alfarawati S, Daphnis DD, Goodall N-N, Mania A, Griffiths T, et al. Cytogenetic analysis of human blastocysts with the use of FISH, CGH and aCGH: scientific data and technical evaluation. Hum. Reprod. 2011 Feb;26(2):480–90.
23. Northrop LE, Treff NR, Levy B, Scott RT. SNP microarray-based 24 chromosome aneuploidy screening demonstrates that cleavage-stage FISH poorly predicts aneuploidy in embryos that develop to morphologically normal blastocysts. Mol. Hum. Reprod. 2010 Aug;16(8):590–600.
24. Johnson DS, Cinnioglu C, Ross R, Filby A, Gemelos G, Hill M, et al. Comprehensive analysis of karyotypic mosaicism between trophectoderm and inner cell mass. Mol. Hum. Reprod. 2010 Dec; 16 (12):944–9.
25. Capalbo A, Wright G, Themaat L, Elliott T, Rienzi L, Nagy ZP. Fish reanalysis of inner cell mass and trophectoderm samples of previously array-CGH screened blastocysts reveals high accuracy of diagnosis and no sign of mosaicism or preferential allocation. Fertility and Sterility. 2011 Sep;96(3):S22.
26. Shen C, Shu D, Zhao X, Gao Y. Comparison of clinical outcomes between fresh embryo transfers and frozen-thawed embryo transfers. Iran J Reprod Med. 2014 Jun;12(6):409–14.
27. Thornhill AR, Tempest HG, Grigorova M, Affara N, Griffin DK, Handyside AH. A comparison of microarray methods to detect chromosomal aneuploidy in human preimplantation embryos. Fertility and Sterility. 2009 Sep;92(3):S201.
28. Harton GL, Harper JC, Coonen E, Pehlivan T, Vesela K, Wilton L, et al. ESHRE PGD consortium best practice guidelines for fluorescence in situ hybridization-based PGD. Hum. Reprod. 2011 Jan; 26(1):25–32.
29. Ethics Committee of the American Society for Reproductive Medicine. Shared-risk or refund programs in assisted reproduction. Fertil. Steril. 2004 Sep;82 Suppl 1:S249–250.
30. Mastenbroek S, Twisk M, Van Echten-Arends J, Sikkema-Raddatz B, Korevaar JC, Verhoeve HR, et

© 2015 Global Journals Inc. (US)

al. In vitro fertilization with preimplantation genetic screening. N. Engl. J. Med. 2007 Jul 5;357(1):9–17.
31. Staessen C, Verpoest W, Donoso P, Haentjens P, Van der Elst J, Liebaers I, et al. Preimplantation genetic screening does not improve delivery rate in women under the age of 36 following single-embryo transfer. Hum. Reprod. 2008 Dec;23(12):2818–25.
32. Hardarson T, Hanson C, Lundin K, Hillensjö T, Nilsson L, Stevic J, et al. Preimplantation genetic screening in women of advanced maternal age caused a decrease in clinical pregnancy rate: a randomized controlled trial. Hum. Reprod. 2008 Dec; 23(12):2806–12.
33. Mersereau JE, Pergament E, Zhang X, Milad MP. Preimplantation genetic screening to improve in vitro fertilization pregnancy rates: a prospective randomized controlled trial. Fertil. Steril. 2008 Oct; 90(4):1287–9.
34. Blockeel C, Schutyser V, De Vos A, Verpoest W, De Vos M, Staessen C, et al. Prospectively randomized controlled trial of PGS in IVF/ICSI patients with poor implantation. Reprod. Biomed. Online. 2008 Dec; 17 (6):848–54.
35. Meyer LR, Klipstein S, Hazlett WD, Nasta T, Mangan P, Karande VC. A prospective randomized controlled trial of preimplantation genetic screening in the "good prognosis" patient. Fertil. Steril. 2009 May;91(5):1731–8.
36. Schoolcraft WB, Katz-Jaffe MG, Stevens J, Rawlins M, Munne S. Preimplantation aneuploidy testing for infertile patients of advanced maternal age: a randomized prospective trial. Fertil. Steril. 2009 Jul;92(1):157–62.
37. Debrock S, Melotte C, Spiessens C, Peeraer K, Vanneste E, Meeuwis L, et al. Preimplantation genetic screening for aneuploidy of embryos after in vitro fertilization in women aged at least 35 years: a prospective randomized trial. Fertil. Steril. 2010 Feb;93(2):364–73.
38. Fragouli E, Wells D. Aneuploidy Screening for Embryo Selection. Seminars in Reproductive Medicine. 2012 Aug;30(04):289–301.
39. Treff NR, Levy B, Su J, Northrop LE, Tao X, Scott RT. SNP microarray-based 24 chromosome aneuploidy screening is significantly more consistent than FISH. Mol. Hum. Reprod. 2010 Aug; 16 (8):583–9.
40. Gutiérrez-Mateo C, Colls P, Sánchez-García J, Escudero T, Prates R, Ketterson K, et al. Validation of microarray comparative genomic hybridization for comprehensive chromosome analysis of embryos. Fertil. Steril. 2011 Mar 1;95(3):953–8.
41. Mir P, Rodrigo L, Mercader A, Buendía P, Mateu E, Milán-Sánchez M, et al. False positive rate of an arrayCGH platform for single-cell preimplantation genetic screening and subsequent clinical application on day-3. Journal of Assisted Reproduction and Genetics. 2013 Jan;30(1):143–9.
42. Sukprasert M, Rattanasiri S, Xu K. The comparison of DNA quantity between full and half volume single cell whole genome amplification by linker-adapter PCR technique. J Med Assoc Thai. 2013 Nov; 96 (11):1491–7.
43. Harton GL, De Rycke M, Fiorentino F, Moutou C, SenGupta S, Traeger-Synodinos J, et al. ESHRE PGD consortium best practice guidelines for amplification-based PGD. Hum. Reprod. 2011 Jan; 26 (1):33–40.
44. Qi S-T, Liang L-F, Xian Y-X, Liu J-Q, Wang W. Arrested human embryos are more likely to have abnormal chromosomes than developing embryos from women of advanced maternal age. J Ovarian Res. 2014;7:65.
45. Munné S, Chen S, Colls P, Garrisi J, Zheng X, Cekleniak N, et al. Maternal age, morphology, development and chromosome abnormalities in over 6000 cleavage-stage embryos. Reprod. Biomed. Online. 2007 May;14(5):628–34.
46. Márquez C, Sandalinas M, Bahçe M, Alikani M, Munné S. Chromosome abnormalities in 1255 cleavage-stage human embryos. Reprod. Biomed. Online. 2000;1(1):17–26.
47. Munné S, Alikani M, Tomkin G, Grifo J, Cohen J. Embryo morphology, developmental rates, and maternal age are correlated with chromosome abnormalities. Fertil. Steril. 1995 Aug;64(2):382–91.
48. Staessen C, Platteau P, Van Assche E, Michiels A, Tournaye H, Camus M, et al. Comparison of blastocyst transfer with or without preimplantation genetic diagnosis for aneuploidy screening in couples with advanced maternal age: a prospective randomized controlled trial. Hum. Reprod. 2004 Dec;19(12):2849–58.
49. Voullaire L, Wilton L, McBain J, Callaghan T, Williamson R. Chromosome abnormalities identified by comparative genomic hybridization in embryos from women with repeated implantation failure. Mol. Hum. Reprod. 2002 Nov;8(11):1035–41.
50. Alfarawati S, Fragouli E, Colls P, Stevens J, Gutiérrez-Mateo C, Schoolcraft WB, et al. The relationship between blastocyst morphology, chromosomal abnormality, and embryo gender. Fertil. Steril. 2011 Feb;95(2):520–4.
51. Dekel-Naftali M, Aviram-Goldring A, Litmanovitch T, Shamash J, Yonath H, Hourvitz A, et al. Chromosomal integrity of human preimplantation embryos at different days post fertilization. J. Assist. Reprod. Genet. 2013 Jun;30(5):633–48.
52. Bazrgar M, Gourabi H, Valojerdi MR, Yazdi PE, Baharvand H. Self-correction of chromosomal abnormalities in human preimplantation embryos and embryonic stem cells. Stem Cells Dev. 2013 Sep 1;22(17):2449–56.

© 2015 Global Journals Inc. (US)

Global Journal of Medical Research: E
Gynecology and Obstetrics
Volume 15 Issue 3 Version 1.0 Year 2015
Type: Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal
Publisher: Global Journals Inc. (USA)
Online ISSN: 2249-4618 & Print ISSN: 0975-5888

Happy Hysterectomy? Quality of Life after in Rural Women of Central India

By Dr. Deepti Shrivasatva & Dr. Priyakshi Chaudhry
JNMC, India

Introduction- Hysterectomy Is One Of The Most Common Gynaecological Operation Performed Globally With An Incidence Of Approximately 30% In Women >60 Yrs. Of Age.

Studies Have Shown That Most Women Received Hysterectomy Due To Disabling Symptoms Such As Menstrual Pain, Menorrhagia, Unexplained Uterine Bleeding And Chronic Pelvic Pain Related With Non-Malignant Pathologies Like Simple Endometrial Hyperplasia, Fibroid, Prolapse. There Are So Many Management Modalities To Cure These Symptoms, As These Have An Adverse Effect On A Woman's Quality Of Life. Most Women Reported A Reduction In Physical Symptoms And Pain And An Increase In Health Perceptions After Hysterectomy.[2] But In Rural Set Up Hysterectomy Is Still A Treatment Of Choice Even For All These Benign Pathologies.In This Study We Tried To Assess Qol After Hysterectomy For These Conditions.

GJMR-E Classification : NLMC Code: WJ 140



Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of:



© 2015. Dr. Deepti Shrivasatva & Dr. Priyakshi Chaudhry. This is a research/review paper, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 3.0 Unported License http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/), permitting all non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Happy Hysterectomy? Quality of Life after in Rural Women of Central India

Dr. Deepti Shrivasatva [α] & Dr. Priyakshi Chaudhry [σ]

I. Introduction

Hysterectomy Is One Of The Most Common Gynaecological Operation Performed Globally With An Incidence Of Approximately 30% In Women >60 Yrs. Of Age.[1]

Studies Have Shown That Most Women Received Hysterectomy Due To Disabling Symptoms Such As Menstrual Pain, Menorrhagia, Unexplained Uterine Bleeding And Chronic Pelvic Pain Related With Non-Malignant Pathologies Like Simple Endometrial Hyperplasia, Fibroid, Prolapse. There Are So Many Management Modalities To Cure These Symptoms, As These Have An Adverse Effect On A Woman's Quality Of Life. Most Women Reported A Reduction In Physical Symptoms And Pain And An Increase In Health Perceptions After Hysterectomy.[2] But In Rural Set Up Hysterectomy Is Still A Treatment Of Choice Even For All These Benign Pathologies.In This Study We Tried To Assess Qol After Hysterectomy For These Conditions.

II. Aims and Objectives

1) Evaluation Of Quality Of Life As A Short Term Outcome Measure Upto 3 Months After Hysterectomy.
2) To Analyze And Compare The Changes In Health Related Qol Before And After Hysterectomy For Benign Diseases In Rural Women.

III. Methodology

After Institutional Ethical Committee Approval A Prospective Observational Analytical Study Was Done Which Included 300 Patients From September 2011 To August 2013.They Were Analysed For Indications At Acharya Vinobha Bhave Rural Hospital, Sawangi (M), Wardha, Characteristics, Treatment And Clinical Short Term Outcome Measures. Prestructured Proforma Based On Euro-5d-5l Vas Was Used As Study Tool Euro -5d-5l Included 5 Health State- Anxiety/Depression, Pain/Discomfort, Mobility, Self Care, Usual Activities. Vas Scale-This Is To Know How Good Or Bad Health Is Today. This Scale Is Numbered From 0 To 100.100 Means The Best Health And 0 Means The Worst Health One Can Imagine.

Author α: MBBS, Md, Phd (Prof and Head, JNMC, DMIMS, Sawangi Meghe Maharashtra). e-mail: deepti_shrivastava69@yahoo.com
Author σ: MBBS (Resident, JNMC, DMIMS, Sawangi Meghe Maharashtra). e-mail: priyakshichaudhry@gmail.com

a) Inclusion Criteria

1. Hysterectomy Done For Benign Indication Of Pelvic Pathology
2. Any Route Of Hysterectomy I.E. Abdominal, Vaginal Or Laparoscopic
3. Any Type Of Hysterectomy I.E. Total, Subtotal Or Pan Hysterectomy.

b) Exclusion Criteria

1. Malignant Condition As An Indication Of Hysterectomy.
2. Any Major Intraoperative Or Postoperative Surgical Complication

IV. Results

Demographic Profile

Age	No of Cases (N=300)
>35-45 Years	82(27.5%)
45-55 Years	110(36.5%)
55 And Above Years	108(36%)
Education	
Primary	141(47%)
Secondary	69(23%)
Others	90(30%)
Occupation	
House Maker	141(47%)
Farmer	102(34%)
Proffession	57(19%)

Table N0 2 : Indications

Indications	No of Cases N=300
Fibroid	60(20%)
Dub	120(40%)
Prolapse	45(15%)
Others	75(25%)

Table No 3 : Mode of Hysterectomy-

Mode of Hysterectomy	No of Cases
Abdominal	183(61%)
Vaginal	114(38%)
Laproscopy	3(1%)

© 2015 Global Journals Inc. (US)

Table No 4 : Patient Having Extreme Problem

	Pre Op (N=300)	Day 7(N=300)	Day 14 (N=258)	6 Weeks (N=220)	3 Months (N=183)
Mobility	30(10%)	60(20%)	30(11.7%)	15(6.6%)	0(0%)
Self Care	30(10%)	60(20%)	46(17.6%)	22(10%)	9(4%)
Usual Activity	30(10%)	105(35%)	46(17.6%)	22(10%)	15(8.1%)
Pain And Discomfort	120(40%)	135(45%)	23(8.8%)	10(4.6%)	3(1.6%)
Anxiety And Depression	120(40%)	60(20%)	14(5.8%)	12(5.3%)	9(4%)

Table No 5 : Visual Analogue Scale

Vas	Pre Op(N=300)	Day 7(N=300)	Day 14 (N=258)	6 Weeks (N=220)	3 Months (N=183)
0-20	120(40%)	45(15%)	30(11.7%)	22(10%)	4(2.4%)
20-40	30(10%)	75(25%)	61(23.5%)	15(6.6%)	15(8.1%)
40-60	45(15%)	90(30%)	61(23.5%)	22(10%)	15(8.1%)
60-80	60(20%)	60(20%)	61(23.5%)	88(40%)	44(24.3%)
80-100	45(15%)	30(10%)	45(17.6%)	73(33.3%)	104(57.1%)

V. Disscussion

This Study Showed That Maximum Patients Out Of 300 Patients Belonged To The Age Group Of 45-55 Years And Were Homemakers And Had Received Only Primary Education 120 Patients Were Reported With Dub ,Pain And Discomfort Was The Common Complaint Due To Which There Day To Day Activity Was Hampered At Day 7 Of The Treatment 255 Had No Problem There Was No Pain And Discomfort ,Post-Surgery, On Day 14 We Lost 42 Patients In Follow Up And 42% Patients Got Relived From Pain And Discomfort, Past Surgery In 6 Th Week We Again Lost 38 Patients So Total No Patients Left Were 220 Out Of Which 128 Patients Got Relieved Of Pain And Discomfort, After 3 Months Of Surgery We Lost 37 More Patients And Total Left Were 183 Out Of Which 137 Got Completely Relived From Any Discomfort And Were Able To Do Their Day To Day Activity So Overall Self-Rated Health Status And Hrqol Significantly Improved At 6 Weeks And Then Remained Constant Throughout 3 Months And Onwards After Hysterectomy.

Within 6 Weeks After Hysterectomy, Patients Had Returned To Normal Health And Bodily Functions. Symptom Relief After Hysterectomy Is Associated With A Marked Improvement In Hrqol.

Y.L Yang Et Al Had Done A Prospective Follow-Up Study Which Recruited 38 Women (Age Range, 33–52 Years) Who Underwent Abdominal Hysterectomy For Non-Malignant Causes In University Of Taiwan The Result Showed That Patients' Attitudes Toward Hysterectomy And Subsequent Sexual Activity Were Influenced By The Surgery. All Patients Showed Significant Improvements In The Physical Component Summary (Pcs) Of Sf-36 (Mean, 42.1–51.0), But There Was No Significant Difference In The Mental Component Summary (Mcs). The Significant Improvements Were Found From The Five Repeated Measurements Of The Self-Rated Health Status (Mean, 6.0–7.3). Haemoglobin Level Was The Most Important Predictor Of Hrqol Before Surgery. Women In Employment, With More Years Of Education And Previous Blood Transfusion Had High Mcs Scores After Surgery. Conclusion: The Overall Self-Rated Health Status And Pcs Showed Significant Improvements After Hysterectomy. Having Had A Blood Transfusion, Being Educated And Employed Were Positively Associated With Mcs Score After Surgery. These Findings Are Vital For Preoperative Counselling For Women Undergoing Hysterectomy.[2]

Taipale K Et Al Conducted A Prospective Observational Study At University Referral Hospital In Helsinki.A Total Of 337 Women Entering For Routine Hysterectomy Due To A Benign Disease (210 Benign Uterine Or Ovarian Cause, 20 Endometriosis, 51 Uterovaginal Prolapse, 56 Menorrhagia) Were Taken And The Result Came Out Were Mean [Standard Deviation (Sd)] Hrqol Score (On A 0-1 Scale) In The Whole Group Improved From The Preoperative Of 0.905 (0.073) To 0.925 (0.077) Six Months After The Operation ($P < 0.001$). The Largest Mean (Sd) Improvement Was Seen In Patients With Endometriosis [0.048 (0.067)] Followed By Those With Menorrhagia [0.024 (0.054)], Benign Uterine Or Ovarian Cause [0.018 (0.071)], And Prolapse [0.017 (0.055)]. In The Whole Group, The Intervention Produced A Mean (Sd) Of 0.222 (1.270) Qalys At Mean (Sd) Direct Hospital Cost Of Euro3, 138 (2,098). Consequently, The Cost Per Qaly Gained In The Whole Group Was Euro14,135 Varying From Euro3,720 To 31,570 In The Disease Groups.And Concluded That The Cost Per Quality Gained For Hysterectomy For Benign Uterine Disorders Is Strongly Dependent on The Indication For Surgery.[3]

Hartmann Conducted Cohort Study of 1249 Patients, Participants Were Interviewed, Before Surgery And At 5 Intervals After, Regarding Pelvic Pain, Depression, Quality of Life, And Sexual Function. We Compared Quality Of Life And Sexual Function At 6 And 24 Months Among Women With Preoperative Pelvic Pain Alone, Depression Alone, Both Pelvic Pain And

© 2015 Global Journals Inc. (US)

Depression, Or Neither.At 24 Months, Women With Pain And Depression Had Reduced Prevalence Of Pelvic Pain (96.7% Decreased To 19.4%), Limited Physical Function (66.1% To 34.3%), Impaired Mental Health (93.3% To 38.1%), And Limited Social Function (41.1% To 15.1%). Women With Pain Only Improved In Pelvic Pain (95.1% To 9.3%) And Limited Activity Level (74.3% To 24.2%). The Group With Depression Only Had Improvement In Impaired Mental Health (85.1% To 33.1%). Dyspareunia Decreased In All Groups. Compared With Women Who Had Neither Pain Nor Depression, Women With Depression And Pain Had 3 To 5 Times The Odds Of Continued Impaired Quality Of Life: Odds Ratio (Or) 2.73, 95% Confidence Interval (Ci) 1.78-4.19 For Limited Physical Function; Or 3.41, 95% Ci 2.13-5.46 For Impaired Mental Health; Or 5.76, 95% Ci 2.79-11.87 For Limited Social Function; Or 4.91, 95% Ci 2.63-9.16 For Continued Pelvic Pain; And Or 2.41, 95% Ci 1.26-4.62 For Dyspareunia. And Concluded That Women With Pelvic Pain And Depression Fare Less Well 24 Months After Hysterectomy Than Women Who Have Either Disorder Alone Or Neither. Nevertheless, These Women Improve Substantially Over Their Preoperative Baseline In All The Quality Of Life And Sexual Function Areas Assessed.[4]

Quality Of Life Was Measured In 348 Women Attending Gynaecological Outpatients Using Euroqol 5d. . Quality Of Life Was Then Measured In 131 Women Before And After Hysterectomy. Of The Outpatient Group 50% Of The Women Reported Problems With Pain And 40% With Depression. Women Undergoing Hysterectomy Reported Similar Preoperative Levels Of Pain And Depression. However, 6 Months Postoperatively There Were Significantly Fewer Women Complaining Of Both Pain And Depression. Mean Calculated Scores Of Self-Rated Quality Of Life Improved Significantly From 0.72 Preoperatively To 0.89 Postoperatively ($P < 0.0001$). In Conclusion, Quality Of Life Can Be Simply Quantified Using The Euroqol Instrument And Is Suitable For Gynaecological Patients. Hysterectomy For The Treatment Of Benign Conditions Improves The Overall Quality Of Life For The Majority Of Women.[5]

VI. Conclusion

More And More Conservative Management For Benign Diseases Of Uterus Is Advocated And Recommended Globally, But In Rural Setup Still Hysterectomy Plays A Large Role Due To Benefit Of Cost, Feasibility, Permanent Solution And Less Need Of Follow Up Along With Excellent Satisfaction That Reoccurrence Is Least And It Is A Permanent Method In Women As Follow Up Is Difficult.

References Références Referencias

1. Chueh C, Chu-Hui C, Shu-Fen K, Et Al. A Preliminary Study on Hysterectomy Rate In Taiwan. Chin J Public Health (Taipei) 1995; 14: 487–93. [In Chinese]
2. Y.L. Yang Et Al J Formos Med Assoc | 2006 • Vol 105 • No 9
3. Taiple Et Al Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2009; 88 (12):1402-10.
4. Hartmann Et Al Obstet Gynecol. 2004 Oct; 104 (4): 701-9.
5. Davis Et Al J Obstet Gynaecol. 2002 Sep; 22 (5): 523-6.

© 2015 Global Journals Inc. (US)

Global Journals Inc. (US) Guidelines Handbook 2015

www.GlobalJournals.org

FELLOWS

FELLOW OF ASSOCIATION OF RESEARCH SOCIETY IN MEDICAL (FARSM)

Global Journals Incorporate (USA) is accredited by Open Association of Research Society (OARS), U.S.A and in turn, awards "FARSM" title to individuals.The 'FARSM' title is accorded to a selected professional after the approval of the Editor-in-Chief/Editorial Board Members/Dean.



- The "FARSM" is a dignified title which is accorded to a person's name viz. Dr. John E. Hall,Ph.D., FARSS or William Walldroff, M.S., FARSM.

FARSM accrediting is an honor. It authenticates your research activities. After recognition as FARSM, you can add 'FARSM' title with your name as you use this recognition as additional suffix to your status. This will definitely enhance and add more value and repute to your name. You may use it on your professional Counseling Materials such as CV, Resume, and Visiting Card etc.

The following benefits can be availed by you only for next three years from the date of certification:

FARSM designated members are entitled to avail a 40% discount while publishing their research papers (of a single author) with Global Journals Incorporation (USA), if the same is accepted by Editorial Board/Peer Reviewers. If you are a main author or co-author in case of multiple authors, you will be entitled to avail discount of 10%.

Once FARSM title is accorded, the Fellow is authorized to organize a symposium/seminar/conference on behalf of Global Journal Incorporation (USA). The Fellow can also participate in conference/seminar/symposium organized by another institution as representative of Global Journal. In both the cases, it is mandatory for him to discuss with us and obtain our consent.





You may join as member of the Editorial Board of Global Journals Incorporation (USA) after successful completion of three years as Fellow and as Peer Reviewer. In addition, it is also desirable that you should organize seminar/symposium/conference at least once.

We shall provide you intimation regarding launching of e-version of journal of your stream time to time.This may be utilized in your library for the enrichment of knowledge of your students as well as it can also be helpful for the concerned faculty members.




© Copyright by Global Journals Inc.(US) | Guidelines Handbook

The FARSM can go through standards of OARS. You can also play vital role if you have any suggestions so that proper amendment can take place to improve the same for the benefit of entire research community.



As FARSM, you will be given a renowned, secure and free professional email addres with 100 GB of space e.g. johnhall@globaljournals.org. This will include Webmail, Spam Assassin, Email Forwarders,Auto-Responders, Email Delivery Route tracing, etc.



The FARSM will be eligible for a free application of standardization of their researches. Standardization of research will be subject to acceptability within stipulated norms as the next step after publishing in a journal. We shall depute a team of specialized research professionals who will render their services for elevating your researches to next higher level, which is worldwide open standardization.



The FARSM member can apply for grading and certification of standards of their educational and Institutional Degrees to Open Association of Research, Society U.S.A. Once you are designated as FARSM, you may send us a scanned copy of all of you credentials. OARS will verify, grade and certify them. This will be based on your academic records, quality of research papers published by you, and some more criteria. After certification of all your credentials by OARS, they will be published on your Fellow Profile link on website https://associationofresearch.org which will be helpful to upgrade the dignity.

The FARSM members can avail the benefits of free research podcasting in Global Research Radio with their research documents. After publishing the work, (including published elsewhere worldwide with proper authorization) you can upload your research paper with your recorded voice or you can utilize chargeable services of our professional RJs to record your paper in their voice on request.



The FARSM member also entitled to get the benefits of free research podcasting of their research documents through video clips. We can also streamline your conference videos and display your slides/ online slides and online research video clips at reasonable charges, on request.

© Copyright by Global Journals Inc.(US)| Guidelines Handbook



The FARSM is eligible to earn from sales proceeds of his/her researches/reference/review Books or literature, while publishing with Global Journals. The FARSS can decide whether he/she would like to publish his/her research in a closed manner. In this case, whenever readers purchase that individual research paper for reading, maximum 60% of its profit earned as royalty by Global Journals, will be credited to his/her bank account. The entire entitled amount will be credited to his/her bank account exceeding limit of minimum fixed balance. There is no minimum time limit for collection. The FARSM member can decide its price and we can help in making the right decision.



The FARSM member is eligible to join as a paid peer reviewer at Global Journals Incorporation (USA) and can get remuneration of 15% of author fees, taken from the author of a respective paper. After reviewing 5 or more papers you can request to transfer the amount to your bank account.

MEMBER OF ASSOCIATION OF RESEARCH SOCIETY IN MEDICAL (MARSM)

The ' MARSM ' title is accorded to a selected professional after the approval of the Editor-in-Chief / Editorial Board Members/Dean.



The "MARSM" is a dignified ornament which is accorded to a person's name viz. Dr. John E. Hall, Ph.D., MARSM or William Walldroff, M.S., MARSM.

MARSM accrediting is an honor. It authenticates your research activities. Afterbecoming MARSM,you can add 'MARSM' title with your name as you use this recognition as additional suffix to your status. This will definitely enhance and add more value and repute to your name. You may use it on your professional Counseling Materials such as CV, Resume, Visiting Card and Name Plate etc.

The following benefitscan be availed by you only for next three years from the date of certification.



MARSM designated members are entitled to avail a 25% discount while publishing their research papers (of a single author) in Global Journals Inc., if the same is accepted by our Editorial Board and Peer Reviewers. If you are a main author or co-author of a group of authors, you will get discount of 10%.

As MARSM, you willbe given a renowned, secure and free professional email address with 30 GB of space e.g. johnhall@globaljournals.org. This will include Webmail, Spam Assassin, Email Forwarders,Auto-Responders, Email Delivery Route tracing, etc.



© Copyright by Global Journals Inc.(US) | Guidelines Handbook



We shall provide you intimation regarding launching of e-version of journal of your stream time to time.This may be utilized in your library for the enrichment of knowledge of your students as well as it can also be helpful for the concerned faculty members.

The MARSM member can apply for approval, grading and certification of standards of their educational and Institutional Degrees to Open Association of Research, Society U.S.A.





Once you are designated as MARSM, you may send us a scanned copy of all of your credentials. OARS will verify, grade and certify them. This will be based on your academic records, quality of research papers published by you, and some more criteria.

It is mandatory to read all terms and conditions carefully.

© Copyright by Global Journals Inc.(US)| Guidelines Handbook

AUXILIARY MEMBERSHIPS

Institutional Fellow of Open Association of Research Society (USA) - OARS (USA)

Global Journals Incorporation (USA) is accredited by Open Association of Research Society, U.S.A (OARS) and in turn, affiliates research institutions as "Institutional Fellow of Open Association of Research Society" (IFOARS).



The "FARSC" is a dignified title which is accorded to a person's name viz. Dr. John E. Hall, Ph.D., FARSC or William Walldroff, M.S., FARSC.

The IFOARS institution is entitled to form a Board comprised of one Chairperson and three to five board members preferably from different streams. The Board will be recognized as "Institutional Board of Open Association of Research Society"-(IBOARS).

The Institute will be entitled to following benefits:

The IBOARS can initially review research papers of their institute and recommend them to publish with respective journal of Global Journals. It can also review the papers of other institutions after obtaining our consent. The second review will be done by peer reviewer of Global Journals Incorporation (USA) The Board is at liberty to appoint a peer reviewer with the approval of chairperson after consulting us.

The author fees of such paper may be waived off up to 40%.

The Global Journals Incorporation (USA) at its discretion can also refer double blind peer reviewed paper at their end to the board for the verification and to get recommendation for final stage of acceptance of publication.





The IBOARS can organize symposium/seminar/conference in their country on behalf of Global Journals Incorporation (USA)-OARS (USA). The terms and conditions can be discussed separately.

The Board can also play vital role by exploring and giving valuable suggestions regarding the Standards of "Open Association of Research Society, U.S.A (OARS)" so that proper amendment can take place for the benefit of entire research community. We shall provide details of particular standard only on receipt of request from the Board.



The board members can also join us as Individual Fellow with 40% discount on total fees applicable to Individual Fellow. They will be entitled to avail all the benefits as declared. Please visit Individual Fellow-sub menu of GlobalJournals.org to have more relevant details.

© Copyright by Global Journals Inc.(US) | Guidelines Handbook

We shall provide you intimation regarding launching of e-version of journal of your stream time to time. This may be utilized in your library for the enrichment of knowledge of your students as well as it can also be helpful for the concerned faculty members.



After nomination of your institution as "Institutional Fellow" and constantly functioning successfully for one year, we can consider giving recognition to your institute to function as Regional/Zonal office on our behalf.
The board can also take up the additional allied activities for betterment after our consultation.

The following entitlements are applicable to individual Fellows:



Open Association of Research Society, U.S.A (OARS) By-laws states that an individual Fellow may use the designations as applicable, or the corresponding initials. The Credentials of individual Fellow and Associate designations signify that the individual has gained knowledge of the fundamental concepts. One is magnanimous and proficient in an expertise course covering the professional code of conduct, and follows recognized standards of practice.

Open Association of Research Society (US)/ Global Journals Incorporation (USA), as described in Corporate Statements, are educational, research publishing and professional membership organizations. Achieving our individual Fellow or Associate status is based mainly on meeting stated educational research requirements.



Disbursement of 40% Royalty earned through Global Journals : Researcher = 50%, Peer Reviewer = 37.50%, Institution = 12.50% E.g. Out of 40%, the 20% benefit should be passed on to researcher, 15 % benefit towards remuneration should be given to a reviewer and remaining 5% is to be retained by the institution.

We shall provide print version of 12 issues of any three journals [as per your requirement] out of our 38 journals worth $ 2376 USD.

Other:

The individual Fellow and Associate designations accredited by Open Association of Research Society (US) credentials signify guarantees following achievements:

- The professional accredited with Fellow honor, is entitled to various benefits viz. name, fame, honor, regular flow of income, secured bright future, social status etc.

© Copyright by Global Journals Inc.(US)| Guidelines Handbook

- In addition to above, if one is single author, then entitled to 40% discount on publishing research paper and can get 10%discount if one is co-author or main author among group of authors.
- The Fellow can organize symposium/seminar/conference on behalf of Global Journals Incorporation (USA) and he/she can also attend the same organized by other institutes on behalf of Global Journals.
- The Fellow can become member of Editorial Board Member after completing 3yrs.
- The Fellow can earn 60% of sales proceeds from the sale of reference/review books/literature/publishing of research paper.
- Fellow can also join as paid peer reviewer and earn 15% remuneration of author charges and can also get an opportunity to join as member of the Editorial Board of Global Journals Incorporation (USA)
- • This individual has learned the basic methods of applying those concepts and techniques to common challenging situations. This individual has further demonstrated an in–depth understanding of the application of suitable techniques to a particular area of research practice.

Note :

"

- In future, if the board feels the necessity to change any board member, the same can be done with the consent of the chairperson along with anyone board member without our approval.
- In case, the chairperson needs to be replaced then consent of 2/3rd board members are required and they are also required to jointly pass the resolution copy of which should be sent to us. In such case, it will be compulsory to obtain our approval before replacement.
- In case of "Difference of Opinion [if any]" among the Board members, our decision will be final and binding to everyone.

"

Process of submission of Research Paper

The Area or field of specialization may or may not be of any category as mentioned in 'Scope of Journal' menu of the GlobalJournals.org website. There are 37 Research Journal categorized with Six parental Journals GJCST, GJMR, GJRE, GJMBR, GJSFR, GJHSS. For Authors should prefer the mentioned categories. There are three widely used systems UDC, DDC and LCC. The details are available as 'Knowledge Abstract' at Home page. The major advantage of this coding is that, the research work will be exposed to and shared with all over the world as we are being abstracted and indexed worldwide.

The paper should be in proper format. The format can be downloaded from first page of 'Author Guideline' Menu. The Author is expected to follow the general rules as mentioned in this menu. The paper should be written in MS-Word Format (*.DOC,*.DOCX).

The Author can submit the paper either online or offline. The authors should prefer online submission.Online Submission: There are three ways to submit your paper:

(A) (I) First, register yourself using top right corner of Home page then Login. If you are already registered, then login using your username and password.

(II) Choose corresponding Journal.

(III) Click 'Submit Manuscript'. Fill required information and Upload the paper.

(B) If you are using Internet Explorer, then Direct Submission through Homepage is also available.

(C) If these two are not conveninet , and then email the paper directly to dean@globaljournals.org.

Offline Submission: Author can send the typed form of paper by Post. However, online submission should be preferred.



© Copyright by Global Journals Inc.(US)| Guidelines Handbook

Preferred Author Guidelines

MANUSCRIPT STYLE INSTRUCTION (Must be strictly followed)

Page Size: 8.27" X 11'"

- Left Margin: 0.65
- Right Margin: 0.65
- Top Margin: 0.75
- Bottom Margin: 0.75
- Font type of all text should be Swis 721 Lt BT.
- Paper Title should be of Font Size 24 with one Column section.
- Author Name in Font Size of 11 with one column as of Title.
- Abstract Font size of 9 Bold, "Abstract" word in Italic Bold.
- Main Text: Font size 10 with justified two columns section
- Two Column with Equal Column with of 3.38 and Gaping of .2
- First Character must be three lines Drop capped.
- Paragraph before Spacing of 1 pt and After of 0 pt.
- Line Spacing of 1 pt
- Large Images must be in One Column
- Numbering of First Main Headings (Heading 1) must be in Roman Letters, Capital Letter, and Font Size of 10.
- Numbering of Second Main Headings (Heading 2) must be in Alphabets, Italic, and Font Size of 10.

You can use your own standard format also.
Author Guidelines:

1. General,

2. Ethical Guidelines,

3. Submission of Manuscripts,

4. Manuscript's Category,

5. Structure and Format of Manuscript,

6. After Acceptance.

1. GENERAL

Before submitting your research paper, one is advised to go through the details as mentioned in following heads. It will be beneficial, while peer reviewer justify your paper for publication.

Scope

The Global Journals Inc. (US) welcome the submission of original paper, review paper, survey article relevant to the all the streams of Philosophy and knowledge. The Global Journals Inc. (US) is parental platform for Global Journal of Computer Science and Technology, Researches in Engineering, Medical Research, Science Frontier Research, Human Social Science, Management, and Business organization. The choice of specific field can be done otherwise as following in Abstracting and Indexing Page on this Website. As the all Global

Journals Inc. (US) are being abstracted and indexed (in process) by most of the reputed organizations. Topics of only narrow interest will not be accepted unless they have wider potential or consequences.

2. ETHICAL GUIDELINES

Authors should follow the ethical guidelines as mentioned below for publication of research paper and research activities.

Papers are accepted on strict understanding that the material in whole or in part has not been, nor is being, considered for publication elsewhere. If the paper once accepted by Global Journals Inc. (US) and Editorial Board, will become the copyright of the Global Journals Inc. (US).

Authorship: The authors and coauthors should have active contribution to conception design, analysis and interpretation of findings. They should critically review the contents and drafting of the paper. All should approve the final version of the paper before submission

The Global Journals Inc. (US) follows the definition of authorship set up by the Global Academy of Research and Development. According to the Global Academy of R&D authorship, criteria must be based on:

1) Substantial contributions to conception and acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation of the findings.

2) Drafting the paper and revising it critically regarding important academic content.

3) Final approval of the version of the paper to be published.

All authors should have been credited according to their appropriate contribution in research activity and preparing paper. Contributors who do not match the criteria as authors may be mentioned under Acknowledgement.

Acknowledgements: Contributors to the research other than authors credited should be mentioned under acknowledgement. The specifications of the source of funding for the research if appropriate can be included. Suppliers of resources may be mentioned along with address.

Appeal of Decision: The Editorial Board's decision on publication of the paper is final and cannot be appealed elsewhere.

Permissions: It is the author's responsibility to have prior permission if all or parts of earlier published illustrations are used in this paper.

Please mention proper reference and appropriate acknowledgements wherever expected.

If all or parts of previously published illustrations are used, permission must be taken from the copyright holder concerned. It is the author's responsibility to take these in writing.

Approval for reproduction/modification of any information (including figures and tables) published elsewhere must be obtained by the authors/copyright holders before submission of the manuscript. Contributors (Authors) are responsible for any copyright fee involved.

3. SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPTS

Manuscripts should be uploaded via this online submission page. The online submission is most efficient method for submission of papers, as it enables rapid distribution of manuscripts and consequently speeds up the review procedure. It also enables authors to know the status of their own manuscripts by emailing us. Complete instructions for submitting a paper is available below.

Manuscript submission is a systematic procedure and little preparation is required beyond having all parts of your manuscript in a given format and a computer with an Internet connection and a Web browser. Full help and instructions are provided on-screen. As an author, you will be prompted for login and manuscript details as Field of Paper and then to upload your manuscript file(s) according to the instructions.



To avoid postal delays, all transaction is preferred by e-mail. A finished manuscript submission is confirmed by e-mail immediately and your paper enters the editorial process with no postal delays. When a conclusion is made about the publication of your paper by our Editorial Board, revisions can be submitted online with the same procedure, with an occasion to view and respond to all comments.

Complete support for both authors and co-author is provided.

4. MANUSCRIPT'S CATEGORY

Based on potential and nature, the manuscript can be categorized under the following heads:

Original research paper: Such papers are reports of high-level significant original research work.

Review papers: These are concise, significant but helpful and decisive topics for young researchers.

Research articles: These are handled with small investigation and applications

Research letters: The letters are small and concise comments on previously published matters.

5.STRUCTURE AND FORMAT OF MANUSCRIPT

The recommended size of original research paper is less than seven thousand words, review papers fewer than seven thousands words also.Preparation of research paper or how to write research paper, are major hurdle, while writing manuscript. The research articles and research letters should be fewer than three thousand words, the structure original research paper; sometime review paper should be as follows:

Papers: These are reports of significant research (typically less than 7000 words equivalent, including tables, figures, references), and comprise:

(a)Title should be relevant and commensurate with the theme of the paper.

(b) A brief Summary, "Abstract" (less than 150 words) containing the major results and conclusions.

(c) Up to ten keywords, that precisely identifies the paper's subject, purpose, and focus.

(d) An Introduction, giving necessary background excluding subheadings; objectives must be clearly declared.

(e) Resources and techniques with sufficient complete experimental details (wherever possible by reference) to permit repetition; sources of information must be given and numerical methods must be specified by reference, unless non-standard.

(f) Results should be presented concisely, by well-designed tables and/or figures; the same data may not be used in both; suitable statistical data should be given. All data must be obtained with attention to numerical detail in the planning stage. As reproduced design has been recognized to be important to experiments for a considerable time, the Editor has decided that any paper that appears not to have adequate numerical treatments of the data will be returned un-refereed;

(g) Discussion should cover the implications and consequences, not just recapitulating the results; conclusions should be summarizing.

(h) Brief Acknowledgements.

(i) References in the proper form.

Authors should very cautiously consider the preparation of papers to ensure that they communicate efficiently. Papers are much more likely to be accepted, if they are cautiously designed and laid out, contain few or no errors, are summarizing, and be conventional to the approach and instructions. They will in addition, be published with much less delays than those that require much technical and editorial correction.

The Editorial Board reserves the right to make literary corrections and to make suggestions to improve briefness.

It is vital, that authors take care in submitting a manuscript that is written in simple language and adheres to published guidelines.

Format

Language: The language of publication is UK English. Authors, for whom English is a second language, must have their manuscript efficiently edited by an English-speaking person before submission to make sure that, the English is of high excellence. It is preferable, that manuscripts should be professionally edited.

Standard Usage, Abbreviations, and Units: Spelling and hyphenation should be conventional to The Concise Oxford English Dictionary. Statistics and measurements should at all times be given in figures, e.g. 16 min, except for when the number begins a sentence. When the number does not refer to a unit of measurement it should be spelt in full unless, it is 160 or greater.

Abbreviations supposed to be used carefully. The abbreviated name or expression is supposed to be cited in full at first usage, followed by the conventional abbreviation in parentheses.

Metric SI units are supposed to generally be used excluding where they conflict with current practice or are confusing. For illustration, 1.4 l rather than 1.4 × 10-3 m3, or 4 mm somewhat than 4 × 10-3 m. Chemical formula and solutions must identify the form used, e.g. anhydrous or hydrated, and the concentration must be in clearly defined units. Common species names should be followed by underlines at the first mention. For following use the generic name should be constricted to a single letter, if it is clear.

Structure

All manuscripts submitted to Global Journals Inc. (US), ought to include:

Title: The title page must carry an instructive title that reflects the content, a running title (less than 45 characters together with spaces), names of the authors and co-authors, and the place(s) wherever the work was carried out. The full postal address in addition with the e-mail address of related author must be given. Up to eleven keywords or very brief phrases have to be given to help data retrieval, mining and indexing.

Abstract, used in Original Papers and Reviews:

Optimizing Abstract for Search Engines

Many researchers searching for information online will use search engines such as Google, Yahoo or similar. By optimizing your paper for search engines, you will amplify the chance of someone finding it. This in turn will make it more likely to be viewed and/or cited in a further work. Global Journals Inc. (US) have compiled these guidelines to facilitate you to maximize the web-friendliness of the most public part of your paper.

Key Words

A major linchpin in research work for the writing research paper is the keyword search, which one will employ to find both library and Internet resources.

One must be persistent and creative in using keywords. An effective keyword search requires a strategy and planning a list of possible keywords and phrases to try.

Search engines for most searches, use Boolean searching, which is somewhat different from Internet searches. The Boolean search uses "operators," words (and, or, not, and near) that enable you to expand or narrow your affords. Tips for research paper while preparing research paper are very helpful guideline of research paper.

Choice of key words is first tool of tips to write research paper. Research paper writing is an art.A few tips for deciding as strategically as possible about keyword search:

- One should start brainstorming lists of possible keywords before even begin searching. Think about the most important concepts related to research work. Ask, "What words would a source have to include to be truly valuable in research paper?" Then consider synonyms for the important words.
- It may take the discovery of only one relevant paper to let steer in the right keyword direction because in most databases, the keywords under which a research paper is abstracted are listed with the paper.
- One should avoid outdated words.

Keywords are the key that opens a door to research work sources. Keyword searching is an art in which researcher's skills are bound to improve with experience and time.

Numerical Methods: Numerical methods used should be clear and, where appropriate, supported by references.

Acknowledgements: Please make these as concise as possible.

References

References follow the Harvard scheme of referencing. References in the text should cite the authors' names followed by the time of their publication, unless there are three or more authors when simply the first author's name is quoted followed by et al. unpublished work has to only be cited where necessary, and only in the text. Copies of references in press in other journals have to be supplied with submitted typescripts. It is necessary that all citations and references be carefully checked before submission, as mistakes or omissions will cause delays.

References to information on the World Wide Web can be given, but only if the information is available without charge to readers on an official site. Wikipedia and Similar websites are not allowed where anyone can change the information. Authors will be asked to make available electronic copies of the cited information for inclusion on the Global Journals Inc. (US) homepage at the judgment of the Editorial Board.

The Editorial Board and Global Journals Inc. (US) recommend that, citation of online-published papers and other material should be done via a DOI (digital object identifier). If an author cites anything, which does not have a DOI, they run the risk of the cited material not being noticeable.

The Editorial Board and Global Journals Inc. (US) recommend the use of a tool such as Reference Manager for reference management and formatting.

Tables, Figures and Figure Legends

Tables: Tables should be few in number, cautiously designed, uncrowned, and include only essential data. Each must have an Arabic number, e.g. Table 4, a self-explanatory caption and be on a separate sheet. Vertical lines should not be used.

Figures: Figures are supposed to be submitted as separate files. Always take in a citation in the text for each figure using Arabic numbers, e.g. Fig. 4. Artwork must be submitted online in electronic form by e-mailing them.

Preparation of Electronic Figures for Publication

Even though low quality images are sufficient for review purposes, print publication requires high quality images to prevent the final product being blurred or fuzzy. Submit (or e-mail) EPS (line art) or TIFF (halftone/photographs) files only. MS PowerPoint and Word Graphics are unsuitable for printed pictures. Do not use pixel-oriented software. Scans (TIFF only) should have a resolution of at least 350 dpi (halftone) or 700 to 1100 dpi (line drawings) in relation to the imitation size. Please give the data for figures in black and white or submit a Color Work Agreement Form. EPS files must be saved with fonts embedded (and with a TIFF preview, if possible).

For scanned images, the scanning resolution (at final image size) ought to be as follows to ensure good reproduction: line art: >650 dpi; halftones (including gel photographs) : >350 dpi; figures containing both halftone and line images: >650 dpi.

Color Charges: It is the rule of the Global Journals Inc. (US) for authors to pay the full cost for the reproduction of their color artwork. Hence, please note that, if there is color artwork in your manuscript when it is accepted for publication, we would require you to complete and return a color work agreement form before your paper can be published.

Figure Legends: Self-explanatory legends of all figures should be incorporated separately under the heading 'Legends to Figures'. In the full-text online edition of the journal, figure legends may possibly be truncated in abbreviated links to the full screen version. Therefore, the first 100 characters of any legend should notify the reader, about the key aspects of the figure.

6. AFTER ACCEPTANCE

Upon approval of a paper for publication, the manuscript will be forwarded to the dean, who is responsible for the publication of the Global Journals Inc. (US).

6.1 Proof Corrections

The corresponding author will receive an e-mail alert containing a link to a website or will be attached. A working e-mail address must therefore be provided for the related author.

Acrobat Reader will be required in order to read this file. This software can be downloaded

(Free of charge) from the following website:

www.adobe.com/products/acrobat/readstep2.html. This will facilitate the file to be opened, read on screen, and printed out in order for any corrections to be added. Further instructions will be sent with the proof.

Proofs must be returned to the dean at dean@globaljournals.org within three days of receipt.

As changes to proofs are costly, we inquire that you only correct typesetting errors. All illustrations are retained by the publisher. Please note that the authors are responsible for all statements made in their work, including changes made by the copy editor.

6.2 Early View of Global Journals Inc. (US) (Publication Prior to Print)

The Global Journals Inc. (US) are enclosed by our publishing's Early View service. Early View articles are complete full-text articles sent in advance of their publication. Early View articles are absolute and final. They have been completely reviewed, revised and edited for publication, and the authors' final corrections have been incorporated. Because they are in final form, no changes can be made after sending them. The nature of Early View articles means that they do not yet have volume, issue or page numbers, so Early View articles cannot be cited in the conventional way.

6.3 Author Services

Online production tracking is available for your article through Author Services. Author Services enables authors to track their article - once it has been accepted - through the production process to publication online and in print. Authors can check the status of their articles online and choose to receive automated e-mails at key stages of production. The authors will receive an e-mail with a unique link that enables them to register and have their article automatically added to the system. Please ensure that a complete e-mail address is provided when submitting the manuscript.

6.4 Author Material Archive Policy

Please note that if not specifically requested, publisher will dispose off hardcopy & electronic information submitted, after the two months of publication. If you require the return of any information submitted, please inform the Editorial Board or dean as soon as possible.

6.5 Offprint and Extra Copies

A PDF offprint of the online-published article will be provided free of charge to the related author, and may be distributed according to the Publisher's terms and conditions. Additional paper offprint may be ordered by emailing us at: editor@globaljournals.org .



Before start writing a good quality Computer Science Research Paper, let us first understand what is Computer Science Research Paper? So, Computer Science Research Paper is the paper which is written by professionals or scientists who are associated to Computer Science and Information Technology, or doing research study in these areas. If you are novel to this field then you can consult about this field from your supervisor or guide.

TECHNIQUES FOR WRITING A GOOD QUALITY RESEARCH PAPER:

1. Choosing the topic: In most cases, the topic is searched by the interest of author but it can be also suggested by the guides. You can have several topics and then you can judge that in which topic or subject you are finding yourself most comfortable. This can be done by asking several questions to yourself, like Will I be able to carry our search in this area? Will I find all necessary recourses to accomplish the search? Will I be able to find all information in this field area? If the answer of these types of questions will be "Yes" then you can choose that topic. In most of the cases, you may have to conduct the surveys and have to visit several places because this field is related to Computer Science and Information Technology. Also, you may have to do a lot of work to find all rise and falls regarding the various data of that subject. Sometimes, detailed information plays a vital role, instead of short information.

2. Evaluators are human: First thing to remember that evaluators are also human being. They are not only meant for rejecting a paper. They are here to evaluate your paper. So, present your Best.

3. Think Like Evaluators: If you are in a confusion or getting demotivated that your paper will be accepted by evaluators or not, then think and try to evaluate your paper like an Evaluator. Try to understand that what an evaluator wants in your research paper and automatically you will have your answer.

4. Make blueprints of paper: The outline is the plan or framework that will help you to arrange your thoughts. It will make your paper logical. But remember that all points of your outline must be related to the topic you have chosen.

5. Ask your Guides: If you are having any difficulty in your research, then do not hesitate to share your difficulty to your guide (if you have any). They will surely help you out and resolve your doubts. If you can't clarify what exactly you require for your work then ask the supervisor to help you with the alternative. He might also provide you the list of essential readings.

6. Use of computer is recommended: As you are doing research in the field of Computer Science, then this point is quite obvious.

7. Use right software: Always use good quality software packages. If you are not capable to judge good software then you can lose quality of your paper unknowingly. There are various software programs available to help you, which you can get through Internet.

8. Use the Internet for help: An excellent start for your paper can be by using the Google. It is an excellent search engine, where you can have your doubts resolved. You may also read some answers for the frequent question how to write my research paper or find model research paper. From the internet library you can download books. If you have all required books make important reading selecting and analyzing the specified information. Then put together research paper sketch out.

9. Use and get big pictures: Always use encyclopedias, Wikipedia to get pictures so that you can go into the depth.

10. Bookmarks are useful: When you read any book or magazine, you generally use bookmarks, right! It is a good habit, which helps to not to lose your continuity. You should always use bookmarks while searching on Internet also, which will make your search easier.

11. Revise what you wrote: When you write anything, always read it, summarize it and then finalize it.

12. Make all efforts: Make all efforts to mention what you are going to write in your paper. That means always have a good start. Try to mention everything in introduction, that what is the need of a particular research paper. Polish your work by good skill of writing and always give an evaluator, what he wants.

13. Have backups: When you are going to do any important thing like making research paper, you should always have backup copies of it either in your computer or in paper. This will help you to not to lose any of your important.

14. Produce good diagrams of your own: Always try to include good charts or diagrams in your paper to improve quality. Using several and unnecessary diagrams will degrade the quality of your paper by creating "hotchpotch." So always, try to make and include those diagrams, which are made by your own to improve readability and understandability of your paper.

15. Use of direct quotes: When you do research relevant to literature, history or current affairs then use of quotes become essential but if study is relevant to science then use of quotes is not preferable.

16. Use proper verb tense: Use proper verb tenses in your paper. Use past tense, to present those events that happened. Use present tense to indicate events that are going on. Use future tense to indicate future happening events. Use of improper and wrong tenses will confuse the evaluator. Avoid the sentences that are incomplete.

17. Never use online paper: If you are getting any paper on Internet, then never use it as your research paper because it might be possible that evaluator has already seen it or maybe it is outdated version.

18. Pick a good study spot: To do your research studies always try to pick a spot, which is quiet. Every spot is not for studies. Spot that suits you choose it and proceed further.

19. Know what you know: Always try to know, what you know by making objectives. Else, you will be confused and cannot achieve your target.

20. Use good quality grammar: Always use a good quality grammar and use words that will throw positive impact on evaluator. Use of good quality grammar does not mean to use tough words, that for each word the evaluator has to go through dictionary. Do not start sentence with a conjunction. Do not fragment sentences. Eliminate one-word sentences. Ignore passive voice. Do not ever use a big word when a diminutive one would suffice. Verbs have to be in agreement with their subjects. Prepositions are not expressions to finish sentences with. It is incorrect to ever divide an infinitive. Avoid clichés like the disease. Also, always shun irritating alliteration. Use language that is simple and straight forward. put together a neat summary.

21. Arrangement of information: Each section of the main body should start with an opening sentence and there should be a changeover at the end of the section. Give only valid and powerful arguments to your topic. You may also maintain your arguments with records.

22. Never start in last minute: Always start at right time and give enough time to research work. Leaving everything to the last minute will degrade your paper and spoil your work.

23. Multitasking in research is not good: Doing several things at the same time proves bad habit in case of research activity. Research is an area, where everything has a particular time slot. Divide your research work in parts and do particular part in particular time slot.

24. Never copy others' work: Never copy others' work and give it your name because if evaluator has seen it anywhere you will be in trouble.

25. Take proper rest and food: No matter how many hours you spend for your research activity, if you are not taking care of your health then all your efforts will be in vain. For a quality research, study is must, and this can be done by taking proper rest and food.

26. Go for seminars: Attend seminars if the topic is relevant to your research area. Utilize all your resources.



© Copyright by Global Journals Inc.(US)| Guidelines Handbook

27. Refresh your mind after intervals: Try to give rest to your mind by listening to soft music or by sleeping in intervals. This will also improve your memory.

28. Make colleagues: Always try to make colleagues. No matter how sharper or intelligent you are, if you make colleagues you can have several ideas, which will be helpful for your research.

29. Think technically: Always think technically. If anything happens, then search its reasons, its benefits, and demerits.

30. Think and then print: When you will go to print your paper, notice that tables are not be split, headings are not detached from their descriptions, and page sequence is maintained.

31. Adding unnecessary information: Do not add unnecessary information, like, I have used MS Excel to draw graph. Do not add irrelevant and inappropriate material. These all will create superfluous. Foreign terminology and phrases are not apropos. One should NEVER take a broad view. Analogy in script is like feathers on a snake. Not at all use a large word when a very small one would be sufficient. Use words properly, regardless of how others use them. Remove quotations. Puns are for kids, not grunt readers. Amplification is a billion times of inferior quality than sarcasm.

32. Never oversimplify everything: To add material in your research paper, never go for oversimplification. This will definitely irritate the evaluator. Be more or less specific. Also too, by no means, ever use rhythmic redundancies. Contractions aren't essential and shouldn't be there used. Comparisons are as terrible as clichés. Give up ampersands and abbreviations, and so on. Remove commas, that are, not necessary. Parenthetical words however should be together with this in commas. Understatement is all the time the complete best way to put onward earth-shaking thoughts. Give a detailed literary review.

33. Report concluded results: Use concluded results. From raw data, filter the results and then conclude your studies based on measurements and observations taken. Significant figures and appropriate number of decimal places should be used. Parenthetical remarks are prohibitive. Proofread carefully at final stage. In the end give outline to your arguments. Spot out perspectives of further study of this subject. Justify your conclusion by at the bottom of them with sufficient justifications and examples.

34. After conclusion: Once you have concluded your research, the next most important step is to present your findings. Presentation is extremely important as it is the definite medium though which your research is going to be in print to the rest of the crowd. Care should be taken to categorize your thoughts well and present them in a logical and neat manner. A good quality research paper format is essential because it serves to highlight your research paper and bring to light all necessary aspects in your research.

Informal Guidelines of Research Paper Writing

Key points to remember:

- Submit all work in its final form.
- Write your paper in the form, which is presented in the guidelines using the template.
- Please note the criterion for grading the final paper by peer-reviewers.

Final Points:

A purpose of organizing a research paper is to let people to interpret your effort selectively. The journal requires the following sections, submitted in the order listed, each section to start on a new page.

The introduction will be compiled from reference matter and will reflect the design processes or outline of basis that direct you to make study. As you will carry out the process of study, the method and process section will be constructed as like that. The result segment will show related statistics in nearly sequential order and will direct the reviewers next to the similar intellectual paths throughout the data that you took to carry out your study. The discussion section will provide understanding of the data and projections as to the implication of the results. The use of good quality references all through the paper will give the effort trustworthiness by representing an alertness of prior workings.

Writing a research paper is not an easy job no matter how trouble-free the actual research or concept. Practice, excellent preparation, and controlled record keeping are the only means to make straightforward the progression.

General style:

Specific editorial column necessities for compliance of a manuscript will always take over from directions in these general guidelines.

To make a paper clear

· Adhere to recommended page limits

Mistakes to evade

- Insertion a title at the foot of a page with the subsequent text on the next page
- Separating a table/chart or figure - impound each figure/table to a single page
- Submitting a manuscript with pages out of sequence

In every sections of your document

· Use standard writing style including articles ("a", "the," etc.)

· Keep on paying attention on the research topic of the paper

· Use paragraphs to split each significant point (excluding for the abstract)

· Align the primary line of each section

· Present your points in sound order

· Use present tense to report well accepted

· Use past tense to describe specific results

· Shun familiar wording, don't address the reviewer directly, and don't use slang, slang language, or superlatives

· Shun use of extra pictures - include only those figures essential to presenting results

Title Page:

Choose a revealing title. It should be short. It should not have non-standard acronyms or abbreviations. It should not exceed two printed lines. It should include the name(s) and address (es) of all authors.



© Copyright by Global Journals Inc.(US)| Guidelines Handbook

Abstract:

The summary should be two hundred words or less. It should briefly and clearly explain the key findings reported in the manuscript--must have precise statistics. It should not have abnormal acronyms or abbreviations. It should be logical in itself. Shun citing references at this point.

An abstract is a brief distinct paragraph summary of finished work or work in development. In a minute or less a reviewer can be taught the foundation behind the study, common approach to the problem, relevant results, and significant conclusions or new questions.

Write your summary when your paper is completed because how can you write the summary of anything which is not yet written? Wealth of terminology is very essential in abstract. Yet, use comprehensive sentences and do not let go readability for briefness. You can maintain it succinct by phrasing sentences so that they provide more than lone rationale. The author can at this moment go straight to shortening the outcome. Sum up the study, with the subsequent elements in any summary. Try to maintain the initial two items to no more than one ruling each.

- Reason of the study - theory, overall issue, purpose
- Fundamental goal
- To the point depiction of the research
- Consequences, including definite statistics - if the consequences are quantitative in nature, account quantitative data; results of any numerical analysis should be reported
- Significant conclusions or questions that track from the research(es)

Approach:

- Single section, and succinct
- As a outline of job done, it is always written in past tense
- A conceptual should situate on its own, and not submit to any other part of the paper such as a form or table
- Center on shortening results - bound background information to a verdict or two, if completely necessary
- What you account in an conceptual must be regular with what you reported in the manuscript
- Exact spelling, clearness of sentences and phrases, and appropriate reporting of quantities (proper units, important statistics) are just as significant in an abstract as they are anywhere else

Introduction:

The **Introduction** should "introduce" the manuscript. The reviewer should be presented with sufficient background information to be capable to comprehend and calculate the purpose of your study without having to submit to other works. The basis for the study should be offered. Give most important references but shun difficult to make a comprehensive appraisal of the topic. In the introduction, describe the problem visibly. If the problem is not acknowledged in a logical, reasonable way, the reviewer will have no attention in your result. Speak in common terms about techniques used to explain the problem, if needed, but do not present any particulars about the protocols here. Following approach can create a valuable beginning:

- Explain the value (significance) of the study
- Shield the model - why did you employ this particular system or method? What is its compensation? You strength remark on its appropriateness from a abstract point of vision as well as point out sensible reasons for using it.
- Present a justification. Status your particular theory (es) or aim(s), and describe the logic that led you to choose them.
- Very for a short time explain the tentative propose and how it skilled the declared objectives.

Approach:

- Use past tense except for when referring to recognized facts. After all, the manuscript will be submitted after the entire job is done.
- Sort out your thoughts; manufacture one key point with every section. If you make the four points listed above, you will need a least of four paragraphs.

- Present surroundings information only as desirable in order hold up a situation. The reviewer does not desire to read the whole thing you know about a topic.
- Shape the theory/purpose specifically - do not take a broad view.
- As always, give awareness to spelling, simplicity and correctness of sentences and phrases.

Procedures (Methods and Materials):

This part is supposed to be the easiest to carve if you have good skills. A sound written Procedures segment allows a capable scientist to replacement your results. Present precise information about your supplies. The suppliers and clarity of reagents can be helpful bits of information. Present methods in sequential order but linked methodologies can be grouped as a segment. Be concise when relating the protocols. Attempt for the least amount of information that would permit another capable scientist to spare your outcome but be cautious that vital information is integrated. The use of subheadings is suggested and ought to be synchronized with the results section. When a technique is used that has been well described in another object, mention the specific item describing a way but draw the basic principle while stating the situation. The purpose is to text all particular resources and broad procedures, so that another person may use some or all of the methods in one more study or referee the scientific value of your work. It is not to be a step by step report of the whole thing you did, nor is a methods section a set of orders.

Materials:

- Explain materials individually only if the study is so complex that it saves liberty this way.
- Embrace particular materials, and any tools or provisions that are not frequently found in laboratories.
- Do not take in frequently found.
- If use of a definite type of tools.
- Materials may be reported in a part section or else they may be recognized along with your measures.

Methods:

- Report the method (not particulars of each process that engaged the same methodology)
- Describe the method entirely
- To be succinct, present methods under headings dedicated to specific dealings or groups of measures
- Simplify - details how procedures were completed not how they were exclusively performed on a particular day.
- If well known procedures were used, account the procedure by name, possibly with reference, and that's all.

Approach:

- It is embarrassed or not possible to use vigorous voice when documenting methods with no using first person, which would focus the reviewer's interest on the researcher rather than the job. As a result when script up the methods most authors use third person passive voice.
- Use standard style in this and in every other part of the paper - avoid familiar lists, and use full sentences.

What to keep away from

- Resources and methods are not a set of information.
- Skip all descriptive information and surroundings - save it for the argument.
- Leave out information that is immaterial to a third party.

Results:

The principle of a results segment is to present and demonstrate your conclusion. Create this part a entirely objective details of the outcome, and save all understanding for the discussion.

The page length of this segment is set by the sum and types of data to be reported. Carry on to be to the point, by means of statistics and tables, if suitable, to present consequences most efficiently.You must obviously differentiate material that would usually be incorporated in a study editorial from any unprocessed data or additional appendix matter that would not be available. In fact, such matter should not be submitted at all except requested by the instructor.



© Copyright by Global Journals Inc.(US)| Guidelines Handbook

Content

- Sum up your conclusion in text and demonstrate them, if suitable, with figures and tables.
- In manuscript, explain each of your consequences, point the reader to remarks that are most appropriate.
- Present a background, such as by describing the question that was addressed by creation an exacting study.
- Explain results of control experiments and comprise remarks that are not accessible in a prescribed figure or table, if appropriate.
- Examine your data, then prepare the analyzed (transformed) data in the form of a figure (graph), table, or in manuscript form.

What to stay away from

- Do not discuss or infer your outcome, report surroundings information, or try to explain anything.
- Not at all, take in raw data or intermediate calculations in a research manuscript.
- Do not present the similar data more than once.
- Manuscript should complement any figures or tables, not duplicate the identical information.
- Never confuse figures with tables - there is a difference.

Approach

- As forever, use past tense when you submit to your results, and put the whole thing in a reasonable order.
- Put figures and tables, appropriately numbered, in order at the end of the report
- If you desire, you may place your figures and tables properly within the text of your results part.

Figures and tables

- If you put figures and tables at the end of the details, make certain that they are visibly distinguished from any attach appendix materials, such as raw facts
- Despite of position, each figure must be numbered one after the other and complete with subtitle
- In spite of position, each table must be titled, numbered one after the other and complete with heading
- All figure and table must be adequately complete that it could situate on its own, divide from text

Discussion:

The Discussion is expected the trickiest segment to write and describe. A lot of papers submitted for journal are discarded based on problems with the Discussion. There is no head of state for how long a argument should be. Position your understanding of the outcome visibly to lead the reviewer through your conclusions, and then finish the paper with a summing up of the implication of the study. The purpose here is to offer an understanding of your results and hold up for all of your conclusions, using facts from your research and generally accepted information, if suitable. The implication of result should be visibly described. Infer your data in the conversation in suitable depth. This means that when you clarify an observable fact you must explain mechanisms that may account for the observation. If your results vary from your prospect, make clear why that may have happened. If your results agree, then explain the theory that the proof supported. It is never suitable to just state that the data approved with prospect, and let it drop at that.

- Make a decision if each premise is supported, discarded, or if you cannot make a conclusion with assurance. Do not just dismiss a study or part of a study as "uncertain."
- Research papers are not acknowledged if the work is imperfect. Draw what conclusions you can based upon the results that you have, and take care of the study as a finished work
- You may propose future guidelines, such as how the experiment might be personalized to accomplish a new idea.
- Give details all of your remarks as much as possible, focus on mechanisms.
- Make a decision if the tentative design sufficiently addressed the theory, and whether or not it was correctly restricted.
- Try to present substitute explanations if sensible alternatives be present.
- One research will not counter an overall question, so maintain the large picture in mind, where do you go next? The best studies unlock new avenues of study. What questions remain?
- Recommendations for detailed papers will offer supplementary suggestions.

Approach:

- When you refer to information, differentiate data generated by your own studies from available information
- Submit to work done by specific persons (including you) in past tense.
- Submit to generally acknowledged facts and main beliefs in present tense.

Please carefully note down following rules and regulation before submitting your Research Paper to Global Journals Inc. (US):

Segment Draft and Final Research Paper: You have to strictly follow the template of research paper. If it is not done your paper may get rejected.

- The **major constraint** is that you must independently make all content, tables, graphs, and facts that are offered in the paper. You must write each part of the paper wholly on your own. The Peer-reviewers need to identify your own perceptive of the concepts in your own terms. NEVER extract straight from any foundation, and never rephrase someone else's analysis.

- Do not give permission to anyone else to "PROOFREAD" your manuscript.

- Methods to avoid Plagiarism is applied by us on every paper, if found guilty, you will be blacklisted by all of our collaborated research groups, your institution will be informed for this and strict legal actions will be taken immediately.)
- To guard yourself and others from possible illegal use please do not permit anyone right to use to your paper and files.



© Copyright by Global Journals Inc.(US)| Guidelines Handbook

Criterion for Grading a Research Paper (Compilation) by Global Journals Inc. (US)

Please note that following table is only a Grading of "Paper Compilation" and not on "Performed/Stated Research" whose grading solely depends on Individual Assigned Peer Reviewer and Editorial Board Member. These can be available only on request and after decision of Paper. This report will be the property of Global Journals Inc. (US).

Topics	Grades		
	A-B	**C-D**	**E-F**
Abstract	Clear and concise with appropriate content, Correct format. 200 words or below	Unclear summary and no specific data, Incorrect form Above 200 words	No specific data with ambiguous information Above 250 words
Introduction	Containing all background details with clear goal and appropriate details, flow specification, no grammar and spelling mistake, well organized sentence and paragraph, reference cited	Unclear and confusing data, appropriate format, grammar and spelling errors with unorganized matter	Out of place depth and content, hazy format
Methods and Procedures	Clear and to the point with well arranged paragraph, precision and accuracy of facts and figures, well organized subheads	Difficult to comprehend with embarrassed text, too much explanation but completed	Incorrect and unorganized structure with hazy meaning
Result	Well organized, Clear and specific, Correct units with precision, correct data, well structuring of paragraph, no grammar and spelling mistake	Complete and embarrassed text, difficult to comprehend	Irregular format with wrong facts and figures
Discussion	Well organized, meaningful specification, sound conclusion, logical and concise explanation, highly structured paragraph reference cited	Wordy, unclear conclusion, spurious	Conclusion is not cited, unorganized, difficult to comprehend
References	Complete and correct format, well organized	Beside the point, Incomplete	Wrong format and structuring

INDEX

C

Cervical · 18, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28
Chimiothérapie · 3, 6, 10, 13, 16
Cisplatine · 3

E

Embryos · 30, 32, 34, 36, 38, 40

G

Gestationnelles · 10, 12, 14, 16

H

Hétérogène · 10, 11, 12
Hydatiformes · 14, 15, 16
Hysterectomy · 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47

K

Kystique · 1, 3

M

Ménopausée · 1, 2

N

Nullipares · 12

T

Teratome · 1, 4, 6, 8
Thérapeutique · 10, 15
Trophoblastiques · 10, 12, 14, 16



save our planet



Global Journal of Medical Research

Visit us on the Web at www.GlobalJournals.org | www.MedicalResearchJournal.org
or email us at helpdesk@globaljournals.org

ISSN 9755896



© Global Journals