Global Journals ${\mathbin{\mathbb I}}{\!\!^{\rm A}} T_{{\mathbin{\mathbb T}}} X$ Journal
Kaleidoscope
t

Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.*

Social Support and Depression among the Cancer Patients

Havva Tel¹, Ayse Sari² and Hatice Tel Aydin³

¹ Health Sciences Faculty/Cumhuriyet University

Received: 11 December 2012 Accepted: 1 January 2013 Published: 15 January 2013

6 Abstract

1

2

3

4

5

Cancer patients experience several stressors and emotional upheavals. Social supports are 7 considered important psychological resources during stressful circums-tances such as a 8 diagnosis of cancer. The aim of this research was to determine social support and depression 9 status among the cancer patients. 90 patients who received treatment at an oncology center of 10 a university hospital were included in the study. The data were gathered using personal 11 information form, Beck?s Depression Inventory and Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social 12 Support. It was found out that there was a significant correlation between patients? age and 13 total social support, family support and significant other support; and between age and 14 depression. It was seen that single patients had lower family support, lower significant others 15 support and lower total social support; that patients who thought to recover from the disease 16 had lower depression; and that those who got support from only health care personnel had 17 lower family support but higher depression. There is a close correlation between the age and 18 social support and depression of the patients. The effective use of social supports should be 19 encouraged in preventing and treating depression, since it is an important coping method in 20

²¹ the care of cancer patients.

22

23 Index terms— cancer, cancer patient, social support, depression

²⁴ 1 Introduction

oday, cancer is regarded as a life threatening disease and continues to be the most frightening disease despite 25 important advancements in its treatment (Elbi, 1991). Cancer patients are not only affected physically, socially, 26 psychologically and economically but also undergo restrictions in their functional living. Cancer is also a disease 27 in which psychiatric disorders are likely to occur (Dedeli et al., 2008). The commonly seen psychiatric disorder is 28 depression. Depression is an important psychiatric disorder to be considered and affects not only the quality of 29 life, self care, treatment adaptation, and treatment-response of the patient but also severity and course of cancer 30 31 in the long run (Berard, 2001;Andrykowski and Manne, 2006; Manne and Andrykowski, 2006). People with 32 illnesses have different coping responses and varied coping resources such as social support (Woods et al., 1989). 33 Social support is an important aspect of modern cancer care. Social support is defined as all kinds of financial 34 and spiritual support that an individual receives from one's close environment (Clark et al., 2006; Sorias, 1988). It is reported that social support provided by the families and friends of the cancer-diagnosed patients results in 35 positive outcomes in the course of the disease by affecting general wellness of the cancer patients (Dedeli et al., 36 2008; Clark et al., 2006). Social support and assistance with daily life are important elements of the endeavor 37 to reduce and compensate for the disadvantages that result from cancer and therapies (Ozkan and Ogce, 2008). 38 This study was carried out in order to determine the social support and depression status of cancer patients. 39

40 **2** II.

41 **3** Materials and Methods

42 **4** a) Sample

In the study a cross-sectional design has been used. The study was conducted at the oncology center of Research
 and Application Hospital of Cumhuriyet University between the 1 st of October and the 31 st of December 2010.

90 patients who were diagnosed with cancer for ? 6 months, got cancer treatment, had no communicational
problem, accepted to participate in the research, were aged over 20 were included in the study.

47 5 b) Instruments

The data of the research were gathered using a Personal Information Form (PIF), Beck Depression Inventory
(BDI) and Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support (MSPSS).

50 PIF: This form included questions about the patients' age, gender, marital status, educational level, occupation 51 and disease-related-features of the patients.

52 6 MSPSS:

⁵³ The MSPSS validity and reliability study for the Turkish version of the instrument was conducted in 1995 by

54 Eker and Arkar. The scale consists of 12 items, with 4 items assessing each source of perceived social support, 55 generating the subscales of family, friends, and specific person support. A higher score reflects a higher level

of perceived social support for that item (Eker and Arkar, 1995). The coding of the scale is made with points

ranging from 0 to 3. The scores to be obtained from the scale vary from 0 to 63. A higher score reflects a higher

⁵⁸ level of depression for that item (Beck et al 1961;Hisli, 1988).

⁵⁹ 7 c) Data Collection

Written permissions from the institution were obtained and patients who accepted to participate were informed about the purpose of the study and their verbal consents were obtained. The data of the research were gathered using face to face interview technique.

63 8 III.

⁶⁴ 9 Statistical Analyses

used in data analysis. Descriptive analysis was used to present demographic data. Pearson correlation analysis
was used to determine relationships between age, social support and depression. The t test and ANOVA were
used in the evaluation of social support and depression according to sociodemographic characterristics.

68 IV.

⁶⁹ 10 Results and Discussions

It was found out that age of the patients ranged between 20 and 78, mean age was 54.26 ± 11.12 ; depression scores 70 ranged between 1 and 41 and mean depression score was 10.96 ± 5.73 ; family support scores ranged between 6 71 and 28 and mean family support score was 24.58 ± 5.06 ; friend support scores ranged between 4 and 28 and mean 72 friend support score was 19.55 ± 7.30 and significant others support scores ranged between 4 and 28 and mean 73 74 significant others support score was 22.88 ± 6.51 . Total social support scores were between 16 and 84 and mean 75 social support score was 67.03 ± 15.57 . 56.7 % of the participant patients were female, 82.2 % were married, 42.2 % had primary school graduate and 45.6 % were housewives. Disease length of the 70.0 % of the patients 76 was between 0 and 1 year. 74.4 % of the patients thought that they would recover, 92.2 % received help from 77 others, 53.3 % got support from their families and 81.1 % told that their support was enough. It was noted in 78 the research that there was a significant and positive correlation between age and total social support significant 79 others support (r=.389, p=.000) and depression (r=.313, p=.003). It was found that there was statistically 80 significant difference between social support scores of the patients in terms of marital status (p < 0.05). Family 81 support scores, significant others scores and total social support scores of the single patients were lower. It was 82 observed that there was not statistically significant difference between depression scores of the patients in terms 83 of marital status (p>0.05). It was found that there was not statistically significant difference between social 84 85 support scores in terms of their opinions about the future of the disease (p>0.05). On the other hand, there was 86 a statistically significant difference between depression scores of the patients in terms of their opinions about the 87 future of the disease (p<0.05) and depression levels of those who thought that they would recover from the disease 88 were SPSS version 15 It was found that there was statistically significant difference between social support scores and depression scores of the patients in terms of helpsource (p<0.05). Family support scores of those who received 89 help from health care team alone were lower Social support is a complex construct which has long been suggested 90 to have direct and buffering effects on well-being and emotional adjustment in cancer Nausheen and Kamal, 91 2007; Walker et al., 2006). A number of studies have shown that social support can reduce or buffer the negative 92 impact of the diagnosis and treatment of cancer and may have a positive influence on psychological wellbeing 93

(Cohen and Wills, 1985; Ell et al., 1992). In the research, it was found out that there was a positive correlation 94 between the age of the patients and their total social support, family support and significant other support. As 95 the age of the patients increased so did the scores of total social support, family support and significant other 96 97 support. In this result; we were of the opinion that close and continual sharing of relations increased with age. 98 Support from the family can be importance in promotion of their physical and psychological health. Family members offer emotional support like esteem, trust, concern, and listening (Gotay and Wilson, 1998). Single 99 patients had lower family support scores, lower significant other support scores and lower total social support 100 scores. The number of the family members with whom patients live together may be very important in points of 101 social support. Since the size of social network has been positively correlated with perceived support (Schaefer et 102 al., 1981). A diagnosis of cancer may lead to a sense of personal inadequacy, and diminished feelings of control, 103 increased feelings of vulnerability (Helgeson and Cohen, 1996). It was detected that there was a close correlation 104 between age of the patients and depression and as age increased so did depression score. Alexopoulos (2005) 105 established that depression increased with age; which was associated with one's depression inclination due to the 106 increased age. Hann and et al. (1995) reported that social network of cancer patients aged ? 55 was smaller, 107 their social support decreased and depression increased. The negative impact of depressive symptoms on cancer 108 patients takes many forms, including reduced quality of life, and poorer medical outcomes and possibly reduced 109 110 survival time (Hann et al., 2002). The links between social support, positive health outcomes, and well-being are 111 well established, and individuals who have social and community ties have lower morbidity and mortality rates 112 than those who lack social support (House et al., 1988).

Patients who thought that they would recover from the disease had lower depression levels. Cancer diagnosis 113 and treatment brings changes in patients' personal paths of life, in their daily activities, work, relationships, 114 and family roles, and it associated with depression (Zabalegul et al., 2005). Maintaining hope in the treatment 115 of cancer is important. Maintaining hope plays a key role in lower level of depression among the patients who 116 thought that they would recover from the disease. Patients who emphasized that they received help from health 117 care personnel had lower social support scores but higher depression scores. During the treatment of cancer, 118 social support of the individual and family increases and sometimes family support becomes insufficient for the 119 patient or patient could not get enough support from the family. Lee et al. (2011) reported that social support 120 of the patients declined one year after the diagnosis of breast cancer and depression occurred. In conclusion, 121 there is a close correlation between the age and social support and depression of the patients. As the age of the 122 cancer patients increased so did total social support, family support, significant other support and depression. 123 124 Health care personnel are important in maintaining wellbeing of cancer patients and effective use of social support sources of the patients. Therefore, both family and patients should be supported altogether with a family-centered 125 approach during the treatment of the cancer patients. It is necessary for cancer patients to know social support 126 sources and initiatives that make these sources to be used effectively, prevent depression and provide an early 127 treatment should be planned. 128



Figure 1: K

1

Marital status Family support Mean \pm SD		Friend	Specific person	Total social	Depression
		support	support Mean \pm	support Mean \pm	Mean \pm S
		$\mathrm{Mean}\pm\mathrm{SD}$	SD	SD	
Married	$24.9 \pm$	19.4 ± 7.2	23.7 ± 5.8	68.1 ± 14.3	19.6 ± 5.8
	4.4				
Single	19.1	15.0 ± 7.0	16.1 ± 8.6	50.3 ± 25.1	20.6 ± 4.1
	± 10.3				
t, p	t = 2.734	t = 1.449	t=2.937 p=.004	t=2.758 p=.007	t=424
	p=.008	p = .151			p = .672

Figure 2: Table 1 :

$\mathbf{2}$

Opinions about the future of the disease	Family support Mean \pm SD	$\begin{array}{l} {\rm Friend} \\ {\rm support} \\ {\rm Mean} \pm \\ {\rm SD} \end{array}$	Specific person support Mean \pm SD	Total social support Mean \pm SD	$\begin{array}{l} \text{Depression} \\ \text{Mean} \pm \\ \text{SD} \end{array}$
Recover	25.0 ± 4.2	20.4 ± 6.9	23.1 ± 6.5	68.5 ± 14.2	$\begin{array}{rrr} 18.6 & \pm \\ 3.8 \end{array}$
Partly recover	22.7 ± 7.0	16.3 ± 7.6	21.9 ± 6.7	60.9 ± 18.8	23.9 ± 8.7
hopeless	26.3 ± 2.8	22.0 ± 10.3	24.6 ± 3.0	73.0 ± 14.1	22.0 ± 5.5
F, p	F=1.918 p=.153 F=	=2.638 p=.07	7 F=.374 p=.689	F=2.130 p=.125 F	=7.687 p=.001

Figure 3: Table 2 :

- 129 [Hisli ()] 'A study on validity of Beck Depression Inventory'. N Hisli . Psychology Journal 1988. 6 p. .
- [Beck et al. ()] 'An inventory for measuring depression'. A T Beck , C H Ward , M Mendelson , J Morc , J
 Erbaugh . Arch Gen Psychiatry 1961. 4 p. .
- [Andrykowski and Manne ()] 'Are psychological interventions effective and accepted by cancer patients? I.
 Standards and levels of evidence'. M A Andrykowski , S L Manne . Ann Behav Med 2006. 32 p. .
- [Manne and Andrykowski ()] 'Are psychological interventions effective and accepted by cancer patients? II.
 Using empirically supported therapy guidelines to decide'. S L Manne , M A Andrykowski . Ann Behav Med 2006. 32 p. .
- [Berard ()] 'Depression and anxiety in oncology: the psychiatrist's perspective'. R M Berard . J Clin Psychiatry
 2001. 62 p. .
- [Alexopoulos ()] 'Depression in the elderly'. G S Alexopoulos . Lancet 2005. 365 p. .
- [Walker et al. ()] 'Depressive symptoms after lung cancer surgery: their relation to coping style and social
 support'. M S Walker , D M Zona , E B Fisher . *Psycho-Oncol* 2006. 15 p. .
- [Dedeli et al. ()] 'Evaluation of functional state of the cancer patients and their perceived social support'. Ö
 Dedeli , Ç Fad?lo?lu , R Uslu . *Turkish Oncology Journal* 2008. 23 p. .
- [Nausheen and Kamal ()] 'Familial social support and depression in breast cancer: an exploratory study on a
 Pakistani sample'. B Nausheen , A Kamal . *Psycho-Oncol* 2007. 16 p. .
- [for psychological distress in ambulatory lung cancer patients Support Care Cancer] 'for psychological distress
 in ambulatory lung cancer patients'. Support Care Cancer 6 p. .
- [Ozkan and Ogce ()] 'Importance of social support for functional status in breast cancer patients'. S Ozkan , F
 Ogce . Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2008. 9 p. .
- [Zabalegul and Sanchez ()] 'Nursing and cancer support groups'. A Zabalegul , S Sanchez , Juando . J Adv Nurs
 2005. 51 p. .
- [Eker and Arkar ()] 'Perceived social support: psychometric properties of the MSPSS in normal and pathological
 groups in a developing country'. D Eker , H Arkar . Soc Psychiatry Psychiatric Epidemiol 1995. 30 p. .
- [Akechi et al. ()] Predictive factors, T Akechi , A Kugaya , H Okamura , Y Nishiwaki , S Yamawaki , Y Uchitomi
 . 1998.
- [Akechi et al. ()] 'Predictors of patients' mental adjustment to cancer: patient characteristics and social support'.
 T Akechi , H Okamura , S Yamawaki , Y Uchitomi . Br J Cancer 1998. 77 p. .
- 158 [Elbi ()] 'Psychological aspects of cancer'. H Elbi . Turkish Journal of Psychiatry 1991. 2 p. .
- [Ell et al. ()] 'Social relations, social support and survival among patients with cancer'. K Ell , R Nishimoto , L
 Mediansky , J Mantell , M Hamovitch . J Psychosom Res 1992. 36 p. .
- 161 [House et al. ()] 'Social relationships and health'. J S House, K Landis, D Umberson. Science 1988. 241 p. .
- [Hann et al. ()] 'Social support adequacy and depression in older patients with metastatic cancer'. D M Hann ,
 T E Oxman , T A Ahles , C T Furstenberg , T A Stuke . *Psycho-Oncology* 1995. 4 p. .
- [Helgeson and Cohen ()] 'Social support and adjustment to cancer: Reconciling descriptive, correlation, and
 intervention research'. V S Helgeson , S Cohen . *Health Psychology* 1996. 15 p. .
- [Gotay and Wilson ()] 'Social support and cancer screening in African American, Hispanic, and Native American
 women'. C Gotay , M E Wilson . *Cancer Practice* 1998. 6 p. .
- [Lee et al. ()] 'Social support and depressive mood 1 year after diagnosis of breast cancer compared with the
 general female population: a prospective cohort study'. M K Lee , S Park , E S Lee , J Ro , H S Kang , K H
 Shin , K S Lee , K W Chung , S W Kim , Y H Yun . Support Care Cancer 2011. 19 p. .
- 171 [Sorias ()] Social support concept, O Sorias . 1988. 27 p. . Ege University Faculty of Medicine J
- [Clark et al. ()] 'Social support: gender differences in cancer patients in the United Kingdom'. S A Clark , L
 Booth , G Velikova , J Hewison . *Cancer Nurs* 2006. 29 p. .
- [Cohen S Wills ()] 'Stres, social support, and the buffering hypothesis'. T A Cohen S Wills . *Psychol Bull* 1985.
 98 p. .
- 178 [Schaefer et al. ()] 'The health-related functions of social support'. C Schaefer , J C Coyne , R S Lazarus . J 179 Behav Med 1981. 4 p. .
- [Hann et al. ()] 'The influence of social support on depressive symptoms in cancer patients age and gender
 differences'. D Hann , F Baker , M Denniston . J Psychosom Res 2002. 52 p. .