

Pre-Emptive Intravenous Paracetamol and Lornoxicam in Third Molar Surgery

Esra Cagiran¹ and Can Eyigor²

¹ Ege University Faculty of Medicine Anesthesiology Dept

Received: 15 December 2012 Accepted: 5 January 2013 Published: 15 January 2013

Abstract

Backgrounds: The objective of the present study was to compare the postoperative analgesic effects of pre-emptive intravenous (IV) paracetamol, lornoxicam and placebo following third molar surgery. **Materials and Methods:** This was a prospective, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study where 50 patients had both of their identical impacted mandibular third molars impacted. Before the removal of the impacted third molar tooth on one side either of the two drug regimens (1g paracetamol or 8 mg lornoxicam) administered preemptively and 15 days later second surgical approach was performed but this time for comparison the other drug regimen (which was not chosen initially) was carried out as the preemptive agent; and all of the operations were performed by the same surgeon. Diclofenac sodium up to 75 mg daily was provided as rescue medication. The postoperative rescue analgesic consumption was recorded and pain scores were evaluated with a Verbal Rating Scale (VRS) at 15,30 min and 1,2, 4, 6, 12, 24 h postoperatively. **Results:** There was a significant difference in mean second hour VRS scores between paracetamol and lornoxicam group in favor of the lornoxicam ($p < 0.05$). But, conversely, there was no statistically significant difference in the need of use and the consumption of rescue analgesic medication between two drug groups. **Conclusion:** Pre-emptive IV paracetamol and lornoxicam effectively decreased the pain scores as compared to placebo in third molar surgery. **Materials and Methods:** This was a prospective, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study where 50 patients had both of their identical impacted mandibular third molars impacted. Before the removal of the impacted third molar tooth on one side either of the two drug regimens (1g paracetamol or 8 mg lornoxicam) administered preemptively and 15 days later second surgical approach was performed but this time for comparison the other drug regimen (which was not chosen initially) was carried out as the preemptive agent; and all of the operations were performed by the same surgeon. Diclofenac sodium up to 75 mg daily was provided as rescue medication. The postoperative rescue analgesic consumption was recorded and pain scores were evaluated with a Verbal Rating Scale (VRS) at 15,30 min and 1,2, 4, 6, 12, 24 h postoperatively. **Results:** There was a significant difference in mean second hour VRS scores between paracetamol and lornoxicam group in favor of the lornoxicam ($p < 0.05$). But, conversely, there was no statistically significant difference in the need of use and the consumption of rescue analgesic medication between two drug groups. **Conclusion:** Pre-emptive IV paracetamol and lornoxicam effectively decreased the pain scores as compared to placebo in third molar surgery.

Index terms— third molar; pre-emptive analgesia; lornoxicam; paracetamol.

1 Introduction

41 hird molar surgery is frequently performed by maxillo-facial and dental surgeons. In the postoperative period
42 mild to moderate pain is the most common complaint observed 1 Postoperative pain induces long-term changes
43 in both central and peripheral nervous systems. 2 Induction of cyclooxygenase and consequent prostaglandin
44 release results in localized long term hyperalgesia, due to sensitization of peripheral nociceptors. 3 Preemptive
45 analgesia, first defined by Woolf in 1983, was shown to decrease the duration and intensity of postoperative pain.
46 4 It has been shown that analgesic agents applied before the injury remarkably decrease postoperative pain in
47 comparison to the analgesics given afterwards, related to the desensitization of central neural system. 5 Non-
48 steroid anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) used before the operation avert the progression of pain by inhibiting
49 early inflammatory mediator synthesis and desensitization of the nervous system. Lornoxicam is a NSAID
50 which decreases prostaglandin synthesis by inhibiting cyclooxygenase. It has analgesic, antipyretic and anti-
51 inflammatory effects. The short plasma half-life of lornoxicam (approximately 4 hours) may provide advantages
52 over other NSAIDs, which were convicted previously for having a higher incidence of adverse effects because of
53 their long plasma half-lives. 6 Hein and colleagues 7 showed that use of prophylactic lornoxicam markedly abates
54 the pain in and after the minor surgical approaches. Pektas et al. 8 found that 16 mg preemptive oral use of
55 lornoxicam, seems to be effective in postoperative management of pain after third molar surgery.

57 On the other hand, as an antipyretic non-opioid analgesic, paracetamol is drastic in mild to moderate pain.
58 ?? Even though the exact mechanism of action is still unknown being speculated that its primary effect is carried
59 out by the inhibition of early prostaglandin synthesis in central nervous system. According to the evidence-based
60 medical literature, paracetamol is one of the most important analgesic agent in pain management for patients
61 having jaw surgery. 10 However, it is out of its particular value when NSAIDs are contraindicated, perhaps by
62 a known hypersensitivity or a history of gastrointestinal ulceration or bleeding. 11 The onset of analgesic action
63 is an significant factor, in terms of the clinical efficacy for a drug especially in the management of postoperative
64 pain. Patients having surgery crave for an effective and fastacting pain relief. The oral application is not effective
65 and sometimes not possible if rapid analgesia is needed, which is often frequent after such a surgery. Therefore,
66 intravenous (IV) administration is the route of choice. 12 with recent introduction of IV forms of lornoxicam
67 and paracetamol, effective consequences have been obtained in postoperative pain management. Accordingly,
68 our study aimed to compare the effects of preemptively used IV forms of lornoxicam and paracetamol, on
69 postoperative pain in patients (casesda kullan?labilir) undergoing bilateral lower third molar surgery.

2 II.

71 The study were designed as a randomized, placebo-controlled and prospective process and performed in
72 Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery of the Faculty of Dentistry of Ege University following the approval
73 of the Ethics Committee of Ege University Faculty of Medicine. Written informed consent was obtained from
74 50 ASA physical status I outpatients (aged 18-35 years), undergoing the surgical removal of bilateral impacted
75 third molars.

76 The sequence of drug administration was determined randomly by computer.

77 As the basic selection criteria, patients having bilaterally impacted lower third molars with the same anticipated
78 degree of extraction difficulty were included; and the cases whom voluntarily signed up their written informed
79 consents were enrolled to this study.

80 Impacted third molars were confirmed with panoramic radiograms, and according to their radiologic
81 examination cases seems to be in Class II Position-B under Pell-Gregory classification 13 (Table1) were included.

82 Exclusion criteria included known allergy or sensitivity to any NSAID and local anesthetics.

83 History of asthma or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, blood dyscrasia or coagulation disorders, cardiac
84 insufficiency or gastrointestinal disease, renal and hepatic insufficiency, and pregnancy. Patients were not allowed
85 to receive any analgesic within 24 hours prior to operation.

86 Those were also excluded from the study; who developed alveolitis, postoperative infection, numbness and
87 trismus in 15 days between two extractions in order not to effect the evaluation of postoperative pain.

3 Study Design

89 As the initial surgical approach, one of the bilateral impacted lower third molar teeth was removed with using
90 either of two drugs being assessed preemptively and then with an interval of 15 days the tooth on the contralateral
91 side was removed at the second appointment with the preemptive administration of alternative analgesic agent
92 (split-mouth design). Each patient received a single IV pre-emptive dose of either 1000 mg of paracetamol or
93 8 mg of lornoxicam, 15 minutes prior to surgery. Although the surgeon and study staff remained blinded to
94 the treatment group by pre-packaging of the drugs had been studied, the patients had full knowledge of the
95 analgesic agent which had been used, as they were prescribed the medications before operation. On the other
96 hand, patients in control group were exposed to operation for one of lower third molar each.

97 All drugs dissolved in 100 ml of 0.9% NaCl and then administered via IV infusion in 15 minutes. After the
98 drug infusion, all operations were performed by the same surgeon in a standardized manner under local anesthesia
99 (inferior alveolar, lingual and buccal nerve blocks maintained by 2 ml of articaine hydrochloride 40 mg/ml with
100 epinephrine HCl; 0.006 mg/ml for each case). The surgical procedure was standardized and involved creation of

101 triangular mucoperiosteal flap followed by bone removal using a drill cooled with water. After extraction, the
102 wound was rinsed with a sterile saline solution and achieving local haemostasis, the wound was sutured.

103 Diclofenac sodium up to 75 mg (oral dose of 25 mg 3 times daily) was supplied as rescue medication for patients
104 who did not achieve adequate analgesia (VRS ≥ 2) with preemptive administration. In addition the use of rescue
105 analgesic was not permitted within 2 hours following the operation.

106 All patients were discharged at 1 h after the surgery and asked to complete a questionnaire. The questionnaire
107 had comprised VRS and a survey concerning the effects of postoperative pain on patients' physical and social
108 activities, including the consumption of solid food, speech, sleeping, maintenance of work or school, maintenance
109 of daily work and maintenance of social life and favourable activity during the first postoperative 24 h.
110 Additionally side effects including nausea, vomiting, allergy, and gastrointestinal adverse effects were recorded.
111 Postoperative bleeding from the surgical site was evaluated by the surgeon for 1 h until the patients were
112 discharged from the postoperative care unit. The degree of difficulty of extraction, mean duration of surgery,
113 amount of local anaesthetic used and preoperative or intraoperative additional anaesthetic use were also recorded.
114 The classification of surgical difficulty for removal of impacted mandibular third molars was determined using
115 the difficulty index described by Pell Gregory. Patients were informed on about the Verbal Rating Scale (VRS)
116 (0 = no pain, 5 = worst possible pain) in the preoperative process. Postoperative pain scores were evaluated with
117 the VRS at 15, 30 min and 1, 2, 4, 6, 12, 24 h postoperatively (the time of incision was considered the baseline).
118 Moreover, the duration of the operation (from application of local anaesthetic agents until the end of saturation),
119 the time of first analgesic use, patient and doctor satisfaction and side effects (nausea, vomiting, hemorrhage,
120 vertigo and dyspepsia) were also recorded.

121 Patients who used rescue medication recorded the exact date and time by themselves. The questionnaires
122 were returned and then checked at a control visit one week after the second operation.

123 4 Statistics

124 Statistical analyses were performed by using SPSS for Windows (version 11.0; SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). A
125 sample size of 25 individuals for each group was determined for a power of 90% at a level of 0.05.

126 Changes in VRS pain scores were assessed by Wilcoxon signed-rank test and the global assessments tested by
127 Chi-square statistic. A value of $p < 0.05$ was considered to be significant.

128 5 III.

129 6 Results

130 The present study was carried out by a total of 75 observations in 50 patients. There were no statistically
131 significant differences in patient age and the duration of the operation between three groups (Table 2).

132 No significant differences among three groups were found in the degree of difficulty of extraction, mean duration
133 of surgery, amount of local anesthetic used and preoperative or intraoperative additional anesthetic use. Again,
134 the difference among three groups was nonsignificant for the effects of postoperative pain on patients' physical
135 and social activities during first postoperative 24 h and side effects. Postoperative bleeding from the surgical
136 site was reported in none of the patients in the three groups. None of the patients in either group recorded
137 postoperative bleeding, allergy, nausea, vomiting or other gastrointestinal adverse effects associated with study
138 medications.

139 Both paracetamol and lornoxicam provided adequate postoperative analgesia than placebo: patients who had
140 pre-emptively taken either of two drugs experienced effective pain relief at all of the timelines being measured
141 (Fig. ??).

142 There was only a significant difference in mean second hour VRS scores between the paracetamol and
143 lornoxicam group in favor of lornoxicam ($p < 0.05$). The overall analgesic effect of paracetamol was similar to
144 that of lornoxicam: no statistically significant differences were found between two groups for pain intensity in
145 the mean VRS scores at 15, 30 min and 1, 4, 6, 12, 24 h after the surgery.

146 Somehow we had detected a slight difference between the paracetamol and lornoxicam groups (3.54 ± 1.61 and
147 3.78 ± 1.14 hours respectively) regarding to the time of first rescue analgesic was taken, but it was not statistically
148 significant ($p > 0.05$). On the other hand, the same time interval was measured as 1.3 ± 1.1 hours in placebo
149 group and which was significantly shorter ($p < 0.05$) than that in the other two drug groups (Figure 2). There
150 were also differences among the three groups with respect to the patients satisfaction and doctor satisfaction.
151 Statistically analysis revealed that patient satisfaction showed no significant difference between three groups
152 ($p > 0.05$), furthermore the doctor satisfaction was significantly lower in the placebo group ($p < 0.05$) (Table ??).

153 7 IV.

154 8 Discussion

155 As the epidemiologic and pathophysiologic knowledge of postoperative pain improves, a new analgesic concept
156 has been developed and applied for the prevention of pain whereby. Analgesic treatment is started prior to
157 trauma and surgical intervention. Within this concept, referred to as pre-emptive analgesia, it is believed that

158 through application of an analgesic medicine or technique, pain could be either subside or be prevented before
159 the painful stimulus. This effect is achieved by suppressing central or peripheral sensitization either together or
160 separately. Pre-emptive analgesia gives rise to a subsiding pain pattern, a decrease in analgesic requirements, a
161 decline in morbidity and promoting wellness to minimize length of hospital stays. 14 The surgical extraction of
162 impacted third molar teeth induces acute pain and thus has been used as an excellent clinical trial model for pain
163 studies. 8,15 Studies which uses different drugs upon two extractions in the same patient (split-mouth design)
164 for postoperative analgesia enable him or her to decrease impact of individual factors on pain severity to attain
165 more reliable results. This study was also planned as split-mouth design, meaning to diminish individual factors
166 likely to effect pain severity. A variety of agents have been used in preemptive analgesia for postoperative pain
167 following third molar tooth operation. 8,16,17 As it is reviewed from the past medical literature that there was
168 not any study for investigating the analgesic effects of preemptively used IV paracetamol and lornoxicam in third
169 molar surgery.

170 According to the study where the postoperative analgesic effects of intravenous metamizol, paracetamol and
171 lornoxicam had been searched and compared in postoperative pain management following lumbar disc surgery,
172 Korkmaz et al. found that pain was reduced in the metamizol and paracetamol groups, but not in the lornoxicam
173 and control groups during a postoperative 24 h follow up period. 18 Ong et al 15 compared the efficacy of
174 preemptive and postoperative administration of IV 30 mg ketorolac after bilateral third molar surgery and
175 mentioned that analgesic effect of preemptive application was significantly higher compared to placebo.

176 Due to the acute tissue damage, prostaglandin concentration reaches a maximum level within 3-4 hours where
177 as the postoperative pain becomes most severe. 19 Similarly in this study, the most severe pain was experienced
178 after 4 hours, indicated by VRS=3.6±3.3 in paracetamol group and VRS=3.9±3.4 in the lornoxicam group.
179 Pektas et al, 8 also showed that the most severe pain in the diflunisal group was at the postoperative 4 th hour
180 while the most severe pain in the lornoxicam group was not experienced at the postoperative 4 th but at 12 th
181 hour. Sener and coworkers 16 compared the preemptive analgesic efficacies of 4 different NSAIDs given orally,
182 and discovered that after the usage of acetaminophen one hour prior to third molar surgery, the most severe pain
183 started in postoperative 4 th hour. Moreover, they did not detect a statistically significant difference between
184 paracetamol and other NSAID groups as it is parallel to the results of our study.

185 In our research, there was not any significant difference in patient satisfaction between the three groups
186 ($p>0.05$), however the doctors seemed to be less satisfied with placebo-related consequences ($p<0.05$) and
187 thus this was statistically significant. A level of perfect satisfaction score was found in 20% of the patients in
188 paracetamol and lornoxicam groups. In addition, good satisfaction was recorded in 60% and 68% of the patients
189 in the paracetamol and lornoxicam groups, respectively. In contrast to the present evidence, Haglund and Von
190 Bülzingslöwen, 20 reported that patient satisfaction was lower when paracetamol was used alone postoperatively,
191 in comparison to rofecoxib+paracetamol combination or rofecoxib alone. On the other hand, Juhl and colleagues,
192 21 found that postoperative IV paracetamol increased patient satisfaction more than placebo.

193 In the present study, the interval of the need for a postoperative rescue analgesic in paracetamol and lornoxicam
194 groups was 3.54 ± 1.61 and 3.78 ± 1.14 hours respectively but it was not statistically significant ($p>0.05$). On the
195 other hand, the same period of time was detected as 1.3 ± 1.1 hours in placebo group, which was significantly
196 shorter than the other two drug groups ($p<0.05$). Consistent with the literature, mean time of postoperative
197 first analgesic use was 4 hours. Compatible with other studies on third molar surgery, Juhl et al 21 specified
198 that the median duration of analgesia, as measured by the time elapsing to a request for rescue medication was
199 significantly ($p < 0.0001$) longer after IV paracetamol 2 g (5.03 h) in comparison to IV paracetamol 1 g (3.23 h),
200 with two significantly different active treatments ($p < 0.0001$) from placebo (1.03 h).

201 A study with oral rofecoxib and paracetamol used after third molar surgery showed that the durations of first
202 analgesic use were 2.8 ± 0.5 and 3.1 ± 0.9 hours, respectively. Therefore, the differences between two groups and
203 placebo were found out as not statistically significant. 21 The durations of first analgesic use, when ketorolac IV
204 was used preemptively and postoperatively after third molar tooth surgery, were 8.9 and 6.9 hours respectively
205 which was statistically significant. 15 During the course of this study, side effects were not observed in any of these
206 three groups and both agents specified and considered as confident and could be used safely for postoperative
207 pain management. Juhl and colleagues, 21 compared postoperative 1 and 2 g of paracetamol with placebo and
208 found a significant analgesic effect without any other adverse effects after third molar surgery. On the other
209 hand, Haglund and von Bülzingslöwen, 20 reported side effects in 18.7 % of their patients. They observed side
210 effects in 30% of their patients in the paracetamol group, including fatigue, dizziness and stomach pain in 3, 2
211 and 1 patients respectively.

212 Pektas et al. detected bleeding at the site of third molar surgery in one patient (2.5%) after the preemptive
213 usage of 16 mg oral lornoxicam, but there was not any additional side effect that required any further treatment.
214 8 correspondingly, in the present research no side effects were observed in all of the three study groups.

215 In conclusion, this study suggests that preemptive IV paracetamol and lornoxicam are a safe and efficacious
216 analgesic for postoperative third molar surgery compared to placebo.

217 Availability of injectable formulations of paracetamol and lornoxicam may be considered as an advantage for
218 patients who cannot tolerate oral drug administration.

219 Table ?? : The Pell-Gregory classification A The occlusal plane of the impacted tooth is at the same level as
220 the occlusal plane of the second molar.

221 B The occlusal plane of the impacted tooth is between the occlusal plane and the cervical line of the second
222 molar.

223 C The impacted tooth is below the cervical line of the second molar.

224 9 I

225 There is sufficient space between the ramus and the distal part of the second molar for the accommodation of
226 the mesiodistal diameter of the third molar.

227 II The space between the second molar and the ramus of the mandible is less than the mesiodistal diameter
228 of the third molar.

III All or most of the third molar is in the ramus of the mandible ^{1 2}



2

Figure 1: Figure 2 :

2

	Paracetamol	Lornoxicam	Placebo
	n=25	n=25	n=25
Age (year)	24±3.8	24±3.8	22.4±3,6
Operation duration(min)	10.3±0.9	11.7±0.9	12±4,2

Figure 2: Table 2 :

229

¹© 2013 Global Journals Inc. (US)

²()

- 230 [Pektas et al. ()] 'A comparison of pre-emptive analgesic efficacy of diflunisal and lornoxicam for postoperative
231 pain management: a prospective, randomized, single-blind, crossover study'. Z O Pektas , M Sener , B Bayram
232 , T Eroglu , N Bozdogan , A Donmez , G Arslan , S Uckan . *Int. J. Oral Maxillofac. Surg* 2007. 36 p. .
- 233 [Forbes et al. ()] 'A new oral analgesic with an unusually long duration of action'. J A Forbes , W T Beaver , E
234 H White , R W White , G B Neilson , R W Shackleford , Diflunisal . *JAMA* 1982. 248 p. .
- 235 [Juhl et al. ()] 'Analgesic efficacy and safety of intravenous paracetamol (acetaminophen) administered as a 2 g
236 starting dose following third molar surgery'. G Juhl , S Norholt , E Tonnesen , O Hiesse-Provost , T Jensen
237 . *Eur J Pain* 2006. 10 p. .
- 238 [Haglund and Von Bülzingslöwen ()] 'Combining paracetamol with a selective cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitor for
239 acute pain relief after third molar surgery: a randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled study'. B Haglund
240 , I Von Bülzingslöwen . *Eur J Oral Sci* 2006. 114 p. .
- 241 [Sener et al. ()] 'Comparison Of Preemptive Analgesic Effects Of A Single Dose Of Nonopioid Analgesics For
242 Pain Management After Ambulatory Surgery: A Prospective, Randomized, Single-Blind Study ?n Turkish
243 Patients'. M Sener , Z Pektas , I Y?lmaz , A Donmez , G Arslan . *Cur Ther Res* 2005. 66 p. .
- 244 [complications after removal of impacted third molars. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol ()] *complications after*
245 *removal of impacted third molars. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol*, 1983. 55 p. .
- 246 [Tyers and Haywood ()] 'Effects of prostaglandins on peripheral nociceptors in acute inflammation'. M B Tyers
247 , H Haywood . *Agents Actions* 1979. 6 p. .
- 248 [Korkmaz Dilmen et al. ()] 'Efficacy of intravenous paracetamol, metamizol and lornoxicam on postoperative
249 pain and morphine consumption after lumbar disc surgery'. O Korkmaz Dilmen , Y Tunali , O S Cakmakkaya
250 , E Yentur , A C Tutuncu , E Tureci , M Bahar . *Eur J Anaesthesiol* 2010. 27 p. .
- 251 [Chiu and Cheung ()] *Efficacy of preoperative oral rofecoxib in pain control for third molar surgery. Oral Surg*
252 *Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod*, W K Chiu , L K Cheung . 2005. 99 p. .
- 253 [Woolf ()] 'Evidence for a central component of postinjury pain hypersensitivity'. C J Woolf . *Nature* 1983. 306
254 p. .
- 255 [Morse et al. ()] 'Ibuprofen as a preemptive analgesic as effective as rofecoxib for mandibular third molar surgery'.
256 Z Morse , A Tump , E Kevelham . *Odontology* 2006. 94 p. .
- 257 [Samad et al. ()] 'Interleukin-1Bmediated induction of COX-2 in the CNS contributes to inflammatory pain
258 hypersensitivity'. T A Samad , K A Moore , A Sapirstein , S Billet , A Allchorne , S Poole , J V Bonventre ,
259 C J Woolf . *Nature* 2001. 410 p. .
- 260 [Hein et al. ()] 'Is pain prophylaxis in minor gynaecological surgery of clinical value? A double blind placebo
261 controlled study of paracetamol 1 g versus lornoxicam 8 mg given orally'. A Hein , C Norlander , L Blom , J
262 Jakobsson . *Ambul Surg* 2001. 9 p. .
- 263 [Nguyen et al. ()] 'Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug use in dentistry: gastrointestinal implications'. A M
264 Nguyen , D Y Graham , T Gage , G R Griffiths . *Gen Dent* 1999. 47 p. .
- 265 [Moller et al. ()] 'Onset of acetaminophen analgesia: comparison of oral and intravenous routes after third molar
266 surgery'. P L Moller , S Sindet-Pedersen , C T Petersen , G I Juhl , A Dillenschneider , L A Skoglund . *Br J*
267 *Anesth* 2005. 94 p. .
- 268 [García et al. ()] 'Pell-Gregory classification is unreliable as a predictor of difficulty in extracting impacted lower
269 third molars'. A G García , F G Sampedro , J G Rey , P G Vila , M S Martin . *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg*
270 2000. 38 p. .
- 271 [Woolf and Chong ()] 'Preemptive analgesia: treating postoperative pain by preventing the establishment of
272 central sensitization'. C J Woolf , M S Chong . *Anesth Analg* 1993. 77 p. .
- 273 [Trampitsch et al. ()] 'Preemptive randomized, doubleblind study with lornoxicam in gynecological surgery'. E
274 Trampitsch , W Pipam , M Moertl , A Sadjak , C Dorn , R Sittl , R Likar . *Schmerz* 2003. 17 p. .
- 275 [Ong et al. ()] 'Preoperative ketorolac has a preemptive effect for postoperative third molar surgical pain'. K S
276 Ong , R A Seymour , F G Chen , Vcl Ho . *Int. J. Oral Maxillofac. Surg* 2004. 33 p. .
- 277 [Dahl and Kehlet ()] 'The value of pre-emptive analgesia in the treatment of postoperative pain'. J B Dahl , H
278 Kehlet . *Br J Anaesth* 1993. 70 p. .
- 279 [Savin and Ogden ()] 'Third molar surgery-a preliminary report on aspects affecting quality of life in the early
280 post-operative period'. J Savin , G R Ogden . *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 1997. 35 p. .