Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.*

1	Non-Sentinel Lymph Nodes Status in Patients With Breast Cancer Operated at Omdurman Teaching Hospital
2	Cancer operated at omrailment reaching hospital
3	Osman Mustafa ¹ and Aamir A Hamza ²
4	¹ Sudan medical specilizatin bord
5	Received: 7 April 2013 Accepted: 3 May 2013 Published: 15 May 2013
6	

7 Abstract

⁸ Background : Sentinel lymph node (SLN) biopsy has been emerged as safe and accurate

⁹ procedure for axillary staging in breast cancer and to direct the need for further axillary

¹⁰ treatment in patients with early breast cancer.Objectives : To assess the status of axillaries

¹¹ lymph nodes in patients of breast cancer to determine further management of the axilla and to

¹² assess status of non sentinel lymph nodes cases of negative SLN. Patients and methods: It is

¹³ prospective interventional study done in Omdurman TeachingHospital, department of General

¹⁴ Surgery from 27/6/2012 to 30/8/2013 in 39 patients under went modified radical mastectomy

¹⁵ plus level I and II axillaries clearance by injecting methylene blue dye and identifying sentinel

- ¹⁶ lymph node in patients diagnosis by breast cancer.
- 17

18 Index terms— breast cancer, sentinel lymph node, sentinel lymph node biopsy, non-sentinel lymph node 19 biopsy.

Results: Total number of 39 female patients under went modified radical mastectomy and level 1 and II axillaries clearances, In 22 patients 56.4% the retrieved sentinel axillary lymph node proved histopathologically to contain malignant cells. In eight patients 20.5% the sentinel lymph node were negative for malignancy and in 09 (23.1%) the SLN was either not found or reactive, Non-sentinel lymph node (NSLN) was found to be positive for malignancy in 11 (28.2%) of the cases.

In 23 (59.0%) it was negative and in 05 (12.8%) it was not found. Out of 22 patients with positive SLN, half of them 11 patients were concomitantly had a positive NSLNs and the other half had a negative NSLN. In the

eight patients with negative SLN for malignancy, all of them 08 (100%) had their NSLNs as well were negative for
malignancy. These relations were found statistically to be highly significant (P value 0.000) none of the patients
in study diagnosis as positive NSLN in negative SLN base.

³⁰ 1 Introduction and Background

entinel lymph node biopsy has been emerged as a safe and accurate procedure for axillary staging in breast 31 cancer and directs the need for further axillary and systemic treatment in patients with early breast cancer 32 The hypothesis that one or a few Authors ? ? : Department of Surgery, Omdurman Teaching (1).33 Hospital. Author ? : Department of Surgery, College of Medicine & Health Sciences, Bahri University. e-34 35 mail: aamirhamzza@yahoo.co.uk lymph nodes receive the first drainage from a tumor site and that a regional 36 node dissection and its morbidity might be avoided if the SLNs prove negative, is logical and intuitive. First 37 suggested by Cabanas in the context of penile cancer and conceived in its modern form in 1992 report by Morton et al. SLN biopsy is rapidly emerging as a new standard of care in melanoma and breast cancer (2). This 38 technique has been studied as a means to improving the quality of life in patients with primary breast cancer it 39 has been proved to be a valuable and accurate tool for the staging of early breast cancers (3). Also has largely 40 replaced level I and level II axillary lymph node dissection (ALND), and identification should be possible in more 41 than 95% of patients (4). The SLN is defined as the first node or group of node receiving lymph from a tumor 42 area, is usually an axillary node, and is most often in the central group of level I. However it may be an internal 43

44 mammary node, a supraclavicles node, or even a contralateral axillary node. The status of the SLN has been 45 shown to reflect the presence of metastases in the axillary lymph nodes [non sentinel lymph nodes] (5, 6). a) 46 Techniques used in sentinel lymph node Currently there are two techniques Used for SLNB: radio colloid Tc 99m 47 sulfur colloid and methylene blue dye (isosulfan blue). Most institutions recommend both, some experienced 48 surgeons use one or the other. Methylene blue is as good for SLN mapping agent as Isosulfan blue and is much 49 cheaper. Addition of radiocolloid mapping to blue dye does not achieve a sufficiently higher identification rate 40 to justify the cost. Methylene blue is therefore the agent of choice for SLN mapping in developing countries (7).

⁵¹ 2 b) Objectives

To assess the status of axillary lymph nodes in breast cancer to determine further management of the axilla and to assess status of non-sentinel lymph nodes cases of negative SLN patients.

54 **3 II.**

55 4 Patients and Methods

It is prospective interventional study, done in Omdurman Teaching Hospital, department of General Surgery from 27/6/2012 to 30/8/2013 in patients who underwent modified radical mastectomy plus level I and II axillary clearance by injecting methylene blue dye and identifying sentinel lymph node.

59 5 III. Results

60 6 a) Patients' demographics

Most of our patients were housewives 23 (59.0%), the rest were laborers, employee or teachers representing,

20.5%, 12.8% and 7.7% respectively. Thirty seven patients (94.9%) were married. Patients seen were coming from different state of the country but 17 (43.6%) from Khartoum state, followed by Kordofan 6 (15.4%), River

Nile 5 (12.8%) and Darfour state 4 (10.3%) of the patients.

⁶⁵ 7 b) Clinical presentation

⁶⁶ Breast lump was the common presenting symptom, being the chief complaint in 38 (97.4%) of the patients.

followed by breast pain 24 (61.5%) then bloody nipple discharge 09 (23.1%) and no single patient presented with symptoms suggestive of metastases.

⁶⁹ 8 c) Investigations d) Tumor characteristic

These tumor characteristics were based on clinical assessment. T2 (tumor size 2-5 cm) was the most prevalent accounting for 17 (43.6%) and T4 for only two cases (5.1%). No axillary lymph node was detected on clinical examination on 22 (56.4%) of the patients whereas N1 (single mobile ipsilateral axillary lymph node) was detected in 12 (30.8%). No evidence of distal metastasis was seen in 38 (97.4%) of the cases (Table2). f) Surgical

74 management:

All our patients underwent modified radical mastectomy i.e. mastectomy and axillary clearance. None had breast conserving surgery neither axillary sampling. g) Sentinel lymph node status:

In 22 patients 56.4% the retrieved sentinel axillary lymph node proved histopathologically to contain malignant cells. In eight patients 20.5% the sentinel lymph node were negative for malignancy and in 09 (23.1%) the SLN was either not found or reactive. h) Non-sentinel lymph node status:

Non-sentinel lymph node (NSLN) was found to be positive for malignancy in 11 (28.2%) of the cases. In 23 (59.0%) it was negative and in 05 (12.8%) it was not found.

i) Relation of sentinel and non-sentinel lymph node:

Out of 22 patients with positive SLN, half of them 11 patients were concomitantly had a positive NSLNs and the other half had a negative NSLN. In the eight patients with negative SLN for malignancy, all of them 08 (100%) had their NSLNs as well were negative for malignancy. These relations were found statistically to be

 $\,$ highly significant (P value 0.000) as shown in (Table $\ref{table5}$ and (Table5). j) Outcome:

The outcome was uneventful in 30 (76.9%), complications were seen in 09 (23.1%) and no mortality was reported in this study. Most of the morbidity were surgical site infection in five (12.8%), seroma 03 (7.7%) or hematoma 02 (5.1%) of the patients respectively. k) Hospital stay:

The mean length of hospital stay was 5.7 (SD \pm 1.6) days, (ranged 4-10 days). Two third of our patients (66.7%) were discharged home on day five postoperative. The majority of our patients were house wife's about 59% and this may be due to large number of a woman in my country that do not go to work in outside, This is similar to other study (The majority of the patients (76.0%) were house women, while teachers, employee, Farmers, Police women, Sellers, students and mid wives constituted 4.7%, 7.3%, 6.7%, 2.7%, 1.3%, 0.7% and

0.7% respectively) (9).
Low incidence is found in nulliparous patients about 5% of the cases and this does not go with literature which
showed high incidence of breast cancer in nulliparous woman (breast cancer is commoner in nulliparous women)

(10). This may be due to a little number of woman is nulliparous in Sudan at this age.

Most of our patients have tissues diagnosis by histopathology obtained using true cut needle biopsy. Invasive ductal carcinoma is most common (79. 5%) type of breast malignancy seen in our patients. This is in agreement with the reported 82% -85% in other studies (8, 9,11).

In 5/39 (12.8%) the sentinel lymph node was not found after injecting the dye. This is higher than a rate of 3%-10% reported by other workers (12).

In 22 patients 56.4% the retrieved sentinel axillary lymph node proved histopathologically to contain malignant cells. This is similar to other findings of 50 to 65% (13)however our detection rate is higher than 30 to 40% (14) These differences may be due to different stages of breast cancer at presentation or use of combine Methylene blue dye and radio isotope.

Non-sentinel lymph node was found to be positive for malignancy in 11 (28.2%) of the cases. This rate is lower than (35%) in SLN positive patients (4).

In 23 (59.0%) of our patient negative NSLN was found which is comparable to 50%-70% in other studies. This 110 will enhance the use of sentinel lymph node practice in breast surgery instead of axillaries clearance (3) to avoid 111 a lot of complications found after axillaries surgery ALND is associated with substantial morbidity affecting up 112 to 39% of patients, with a nearly three-fold increased risk of lymph edema or regional sensory loss (9). The rate 113 of false negative results varies from 9.8 % -10.8% (4) to 5% in other study, yet in other report, it was 1%114 115 -2% (14). Some study reported positive NSLN with negative SLN base. If no SLN metastases are identified, 116 the likelihood of additional NSLN involvement is 9.8%, this is comparable to that reported in NSABP-32 and recently by both Lyman and Veronese ranging 9.7%, 8.4%, and 8.8% respectively (4). 117 V. 118

119 9 Conclusion



Figure 1:

1 2 120

¹© 2013 Global Journals Inc. (US) Non-Sentinel Lymph Nodes Status in Patients With Breast Cancer Operated at Omdurman Teaching Hospital

 $^{^2 @}$ 2013 Global Journals Inc. (US)

9 CONCLUSION

```
\mathbf{1}
```

```
This study included 39 female patients. The
        mean age was 51.3 (SD\pm 11.4) years, (range 34-75
        years). Eight patients (20.5\%) were young below forty
        and similar number of cases was elder above 60 years
        of age. The majority 23 (59.0\%) were in the age group
        41-60 years (Table 1).
013
\mathbf{2}
Year
\mathbf{2}
Volume Images of the breast was done as U/S in 04 (10.3%) and were below 35 years of age, or breast mam
XIII
Issue
IV
Ver-
sion I
() I
Medical
Re-
search
Global Most of our patients 19 (48.8%) were stage II, 10 (25.6%) Stage III, 9 (23.1%) stage I and only a sin
Jour-
nal
of
        Age (years)
                                                                           Frequency
        ? 40yrs
        41-50 \mathrm{yrs}
        51-60 yrs
        >61 + yrs
        Total
```

Figure 2: Table 1 :

Stage I Stage II A Stage II B Stage III A Stage III B Stage III B Stage IV Total Table 4 : Relation of sentinel an

Sentinel LN

SLN contain malignancy SLN does not contain SLN not found or reactive Total malignancy

	NS LN	NSLN	NSLN		
		NSLN	not		
Count %	positive	negative	found		
within	for	for	00		
Sentinel	malig-	malig-	0.0%		
LN $\%$ within	nancy	nancy	0.0%		
NSLN %	11	11	0.0%		
within NSLN	50.0%	50.0%	05		
Count %	100.0%	47.8%	55.6%		
within	0.0%	34.8%	100.0%		
Sentinel	00	04	05		
LN $\%$ within	0.0%	44.4%	12.8%		
NSLN Count	0.0%	17.4%	100.0%		
% within	11	23	00		
Sentinel LN	28.2%	59.0%	0.0%		
% within	100.0%	100.0%			
NSLN Count	00	08			
% within	0.0%	100.0%			
Sentinel LN					

P value 0.000

Figure 3: Table 3 :

Figure 4: Table 2 :

9 CONCLUSION

$\mathbf{5}$

	Parameter	SLN	P NSLN
			value
	Age group	0.423	
	Occupation	0.007	0.025
	Marital status	0.443	
	clinical presentation	NS	
	TNM state	0.324	
	NSLN	0.000	0.000
	Т	0.274	
	Ν	0.278	
	М	0.181	0.031
IV.	Discussion		

Figure 5: Table 5 :

- [Hiram and Cody ()] 'Clinical aspects of sentinel node biopsy'. S Hiram , Cody . Breast Cancer Research 2001.
 3 p. .
- 123 [Varghese et al. ()] 'Methylene blue dye a safe and effective alternative for sentinel lymph node localization'.
- Philip Varghese , Alga Talaat , Samira Rahman , Azad Akberali , Jennifer Mustafa , May . The Breast
 Journal 2008. 14 (1) p. .
- 126 [Channi Karn Changsri et al. ()] 'Prediction of additional axillaries metastasis of breast cancer following sentinel
- 127 lymph node surgery'. Sonam Channi Karn Changsri , Lynn Prakash , Shikha Sandweiss , Bose . *The Breast Journal* 2004. 10 (5) p. .