

Relationship of Oral Hygiene Practices and

2 Kalyana Chakravarthy P¹

3 ¹ Manipal University

4 Received: 7 December 2012 Accepted: 1 January 2013 Published: 15 January 2013

5

6 **Abstract**

7 Objective: we aimed to evaluate the prevalence of dental caries, treatment needs and oral
8 hygiene practices school going children of Sullia taluk. Materials and methods: A total of 1800
9 school children constituted the study sample. Each age group consisted of 600 children.
10 Information on oral hygiene methods was collected. Dental caries was recorded using
11 dft/DMFT as per WHO 1997 guidelines. Results: The prevalence of dental caries was found to
12 be 33.6

13

14 **Index terms**— dental caries, treatment need.

15 **1 Introduction**

16 dental caries is a microbial disease of the calcified tissues of the teeth, characterized by demineralization of the
17 inorganic portion and destruction of the organic substance of the tooth. 1 It is a dynamic process where both
18 demineralization and remineralization occur simultaneously. When the rate of demineralization exceeds the rate
19 of remineralization, then there is frank cavity formation.

20 Dental caries or tooth decay is both a universal and a lifelong disease. This disease is universal in the sense
21 that the prevalence or percent of the population affected increases with age, ultimately affecting almost the entire
22 population. All of us are at risk for caries as long as we have our natural teeth. Thus it is life long and may occur
23 as early as the first year of life as early childhood, caries continue throughout childhood and young adulthood
24 and continue in adults as root surface caries.

25 Dental caries is a multifactorial disease in which there is an interplay of three principle factors; host (teeth,
26 saliva etc), microflora and substrate (diet). In addition, a fourth factor, time, must be considered. All the factors
27 must be present and must interact with one another for dental caries to develop. The prevalence and incidence
28 of dental caries in a population is influenced by a number of risk factors such as age, sex, ethnic group, dietary
29 patterns and oral hygiene habits. 2 Dental caries is the most prevalent disease among children in the global
30 scenario. A review of data from the developed countries in the past 25 years revealed a decreasing trend in the
31 levels of dental caries. This has been reported due to the implementation of preventive strategies against dental
32 caries. 3 The scenario in India is no different from other developing countries. Available literature of 1940 to
33 1960, the prevalence of dental caries in India showed a varied picture i.e. caries being very high in some areas
34 and low in some areas. In spite of conflicting reports, it has been observed that during 1940 the prevalence of
35 dental caries in India was 55.5%, during 1960 it was reported to be 68%. Several studies undertaken in different
36 parts of the country showed that dental caries has been consistently increasing in its prevalence and severity. 4
37 Due to lack of baseline data, it is virtually impossible to establish the exact situation regarding prevalence of
38 dental caries in India.

39 Studies reported in Indian children reported varied prevalence of dental caries. 3,4 Hence an attempt was done
40 to assess the prevalence of dental caries, treatment needs and oral hygiene practices among school children of
41 Sullia taluk. It will also help to provide baseline data on prevalence of dental caries among 5, 12 and 15 year old
42 school children of Sullia taluk, Karnataka.

6 DISCUSSION

43 2 Materials and Methods

44 A cross-sectional study was conducted to evaluate the prevalence of dental caries and treatment needs among 5,
45 12 and 15 year old school children of Sulliataluk,Dakshina Kannada district, Karnataka.

46 Public education in Sullia is mostly served by government bodies. From each selected school, 600 children of
47 5, 12 and 15 year aged children were selected using systematic random sampling.A total of 1800 school children
48 were included in this study. Subjects with mixed dentition and those with acute infections of the oral cavity were
49 excluded from the study.

50 A specially designed proforma which consisted of two parts was used. The first part had demographic
51 information, which was retrieved from school records and through interviews with the children. Information
52 was also collected on children's oral hygiene practices including regularity of cleaning the teeth, aids and agents
53 used for this purpose and also frequency of brushing. The second part consisted of clinical examination for dental
54 caries and treatment needs as described by WHO (1997) for oral health surveys. 5 Caries was examined under
55 natural day light using mouth mirrors and CPI probes. In children of 5 year age group, dft index was recorded,
56 while for 12 and 15 year age children DMFT was recorded. Intra-examiner reliability was assessed using Kappa
57 coefficient which was 0.90 suggesting an excellent agreement.

58 3 III.

59 4 Statistical Analysis

60 All the analysis was done using SPSS 14 version (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA). A p-value of <0.05 was considered
61 statistically significant. Chisquare test was used to compare the proportions between the groups. Student's t
62 test was used to compare the dft/DMFT score between male and females.

63 IV.

64 5 Results

65 A total of 1800 school children constituted the final sample in the study. Each age group consists of 600 children
66 combining both males and females (Table 1). Among 5, 12 and 15 year age group, majority of the children
67 used toothbrush as the method to maintain oral hygiene both in male as well as females. A minor proportion of
68 children also used finger as an aid to maintain oral hygiene. Almost 2/3 rd of the children used toothpaste and
69 1/3 rd used tooth powder in 5, 12 and 15 year male and female children. A small proportion of children in 5, 12
70 and 15 year children used indigenous materials like salt, charcoal and or brick powder as dentifrice (Table ??).

71 Caries was compared in 5, 12 and 15 year old children with respect to type of oral hygiene aids and dentifrices.
72 In 5 year age group children, there was no significant difference in the caries experience and type of oral hygiene
73 aid ($p=0.272$) or dentifrice used ($p=0.597$). In 12 year age group children, more than 2/3 rd of the toothbrush
74 and half of the finger users were caries free ($p=0.006$). Almost 2/3 rd of the toothpaste and more than half of
75 the toothpowder users were caries free ($p<0.001$). In 15 year age group children, nearly 2/3 rd of the toothbrush
76 and nearly half of the finger users were caries free ($p=0.05$). Almost 2/3 rd of the toothpaste and more than half
77 of the toothpowder users were caries free ($p<0.001$) (Table ??).

78 There was no significant difference in the mean dft score between males and females of 5 year age group
79 ($p=0.452$). In 12 and 15 year age group, there was no significant difference in the mean DMFT score between
80 males and females ($p=0.249$ and $p= 0.742$ respectively) (Table ??)

81 In 5, 12 and 15 year age group, 2/3 rd of the males and females required no specific treatment as assessed
82 by the treatment needs described by WHO in 1997. Preventive care was needed in almost 3-5% of the children
83 while Sealant was required by 4-6.5% children. Major treatment need in all the age groups was one surface filling
84 which was in the range of 17 -26%.This was followed by the need for 2 or more surface fillings (8 -14%). The
85 need for pulp care was in the range of 7-12%. The least required form of treatment was crown/ veneer/ other
86 care (Table ??).

87 V.

88 6 Discussion

89 The present study showed that majority used tooth brush and tooth paste as the commonly used oral hygiene
90 aids and materials. These findings were similar to the other studies conducted by Retnakumari N (1999) 3 ,
91 Sarvanan S et al., (2003) 6 , Okeigbemen(2004) 7 , David et al., (2005) 8 .

92 The prevalence of dental caries in the present study was 32.6% among tooth brush users. This was similar to
93 the findings reported by Misra and Shee (1979) 9 and Sarvananet al., (2003) 6 . This may be attributed to the
94 fact that tooth brush is more effective for removal of plaque from the tooth surface. The low prevalence of dental
95 caries in tooth brush users may be due to the fact that the bristles of a tooth brush could reach and clean those
96 inaccessible areas of oral cavity that might not be accessible to the finger and other materials.

97 In the present study regarding the use of tooth paste, tooth powder and other materials like salt, charcoal, ash,
98 etc., it was observed that the percentage of caries affected children was high in subjects who used other materials
99 when compared to tooth paste and tooth powder users. The findings was similar to the studies conducted by
100 Kapoor AK et al., (1980) 10 J be attributed to the fact that they were applied with finger which might not

101 permit them to clean the inaccessible areas of the oral cavity. It might also be possible that dentifrices deliver
102 active ingredients like fluoride which lead to effective plaque control and prevention of caries.

103 In the present study the prevalence of dental caries among the study population was 33.6%. The prevalence
104 of caries increased from 5 years to 15 years age group. This finding was similar to the studies conducted by
105 DuttaA (1965) 11 , TewariA et al., (1977) 12 , Megas et al., (1989) 13 , Rodrigues et al., (1998) 14 . This
106 might be attributed to the fact that as age advances, the teeth were exposed to the cariogenic challenges more
107 often. Increased pattern of sugar consumption, availability of sugar products at schools, urbanization, socio-
108 economic circumstances, availability of dental services, dental service utilization are some factors which could
109 have concomitant role in increased prevalence of dental caries.

110 The mean dft/DMFT was found to be similar in females and males among 5, 12 and 15 year age group. The
111 finding was contrary to the studies conducted by Dutta (1965) 11 , Wright et al., (1989) 15 , Megaset al.,(1989)
112 13 , David et al., (2005) 8 .

113 In the present study it was observed that needs for different forms of dental treatment were single surface
114 restoration were in utmost need followed by two or more surface restorations. This study goes which in accordance
115 with the study conducted by Mosha HJ et al., (1994) 16 , Rodriquesand Damle SG (1998) 14 , Sarvanan S et al.,
116 (2003) 6 , Kulkarniand Deshp-ande(2002) 17 .

117 Our study provided baseline data for dental caries and treatment needs in Sulliaschool children. Within the
118 limits of this study, we could conclude that the dental caries was high in this area. Healthier children are more
119 likely to attend school, and modest improvements in schooling will allow for the continuation of education. Hence,
120 authorities should consider this data and should plan appropriate action strategy to decrease the overall prevalence
121 and unmet treatment need among this target group along with other prevailing general health problems.
122 Oral health promotional activities like use of topical fluoride, teaching and reinforcing appropriate brushing
123 technique and frequency of brushing, demonstrating plaque using disclosing agents, decreasing the availability
124 of sugar/sweetened food in the school premises and promotion of sugar free (toothfriendly sweets) should be
125 reinforced and recommended. The cultural habit of cleaning teeth at least once a day is an important cultural
126 infrastructure that can be made use for oral health promotion by showing the right way of brushing and cleaning
127 teeth.High literacy rate in this area could be helpful in implementing health education for children and adults
128 (parents and teachers) which might help in modification of risk behavior. Comprehensive school health programs
129 can cause a dramatic "ripple effect", resulting in changes in attitudes, knowledge and behavior. Schools that
130 provide health services and education not only benefit school-aged children, but also the entire community.School
131 children can act as messengers for other out-of-school children and members of their communities to communicate
132 better practices in hygiene and overall health. Incorporating oral health in general health education can be much
133 more useful. Usage of topical fluoride might not be appropriate as the study area comes under endemic fluoride
134 belts of India. Dental schools in the study area could also be used to decrease the overall unmet needs among
135 children along with promotion of oral health. ^{1 2}

¹© 2013 Global Journals Inc. (US)

²© 2013 Global Journals Inc. (US) 11. Dutta A. Study on prevalence of periodontal disease and dental caries amongst the school



Figure 1: J

1

013

2

Year

		Male	Female	
	Age group			
Volume	5	N (%) 298 (49.7) 304 (50.7)	N (%) 302 (50.3) 296	Female N(%)
XIII	12	301 (50.2) 903 (50.2) Table	(49.3) 299 (49.8) 897	
Issue II	Total	2 Oral hygiene aids	(49.8) N(%)	
Version	Age group			
I D D	5	Tooth brush	251 (84.2)	254 (84.1)
D D) J		Finger	47 (15.8)	48 (15.9)
(Tooth brush	270 (88.8)	273 (92.2)
	12	Finger	34 (11.2)	23 (7.8)
		Tooth brush	291 (96.7)	287 (96.0)
	15	Finger	9 (3.0)	12 (4.0)
		Any other	1 (0.3)	-
		Tooth paste	192 (64.4)	206 (68.2)
	5	Tooth powder	99 (33.2)	89 (29.5)
	12	Tooth paste	206 (67.8)	214 (72.3)

Figure 2: Table 1

6 DISCUSSION

34

Age	Method	Caries		p-value	Dentifri ce	Caries		p- value
		Experienc ed	Free			Experienc ed	Free	
5	Tooth brush	152 (30.1)	(69.9) 353	0.272	paste Tooth	(29.6) 118	(70.4) 280	0.597
	Finger	34 (35.8)	61 (64.2)		Tooth pow- der	63 (33.5)	125 (66.5)	
	Others	-	-		Others	5 (35.7)	9 (64.3)	
12	Tooth brush	169 (31.1)	(68.9) 374	0.006	paste Tooth	(29.0) 122	(71.0) 298	<0.001
	Finger	28 (49.1)	29 (50.9)		Tooth pow- der	66 (39.3)	102 (60.7)	
	Others	-	-		Others	9 (75.0)	3 (25.0)	
15	Tooth brush	209 (36.2)	(63.8) 369	0.05	paste Tooth	(32.5) 148	(67.5) 308	<0.001
	Finger	12 (57.1)	9 (42.9)		Tooth pow- der	64 (48.9)	67 (51.1)	
	Others	1(100)	-		Others	10 (76.9)	3 (23.1)	
5	Age	Sex	N	Mean \pm SD		p-value		
		M	298	dft / DMFT		0.452		
		F	302	0.74 \pm 1.66 0.65 \pm 1.24				

Figure 3: Table 3 Table 4

136 [Tewari et al. ()] 'A study of prevalence of dental caries in an urban area of India'. Amrit Tewari , Harpinder
137 Chawla , Singh . *JIDA* 1977. 49 p. .

138 [Kulkarni and Deshpande ()] 'Caries prevalence and treatment needs in 11-15 year old children of Belgaum city'.
139 S S Kulkarni , S D Deshpande . *J Indian SocPedoPrev Dent* 2002. 20 (1) p. .

140 [David et al. ()] 'Dental caries and associated factors in 12 year old school children in Thiruvananthapuram'. J
141 David , N J Wang , A N Astrom , S Kuriakose . *Int J Pediatr Dent* 2005. 15 p. .

142 [Kapoor et al. ()] 'Dental caries and its relationship to materials used for cleaning teeth and frequency of cleaning
143 teeth "Prevalence of Dental caries". A K Kapoor , S K Ray , P Kaur , Dcs Reddy , J Nagchoudhary . *JIDA*
144 1980. 52 p. .

145 [Megas and Athanassoule ()] 'Dental caries prevalence in the permanent teeth in Greek school children related
146 to age, sex, urbanization and social status'. B F Megas , T N Athanassoule . *Community Dent Health* 1989.
147 6 p. .

148 [Shafer and Hine ()] *Editors: R. Raajendran, B. Shivapathasundharam and AR Raghu Shafer's Textbook of Oral
149 Pathology*, Shafer , Levy Hine . 2005. India: Elsevier; Noida. (6th ed)

150 [going children in Calcutta J All India Dent Assoc ()] 'going children in Calcutta'. *J All India Dent Assoc* 1965.
151 p. .

152 [Mosha et al. ()] 'Oral health status and treatment needs in different age groups in two regions of Tanzania'. H
153 J Mosha , Laf Ngilisho , H Nkweria , F Scheutz , S Poulsen . *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol* 1994. 22 p. .

154 [Retnakumari ()] 'Prevalence of dental caries and risk assessment among primary school children of 6-12 years
155 in the Varkala municipal area of Kerala'. N Retnakumari . *J Indian SocPedoPrev Dent* 1999. 17 (4) p. .

156 [Rodrigues and Damle ()] 'Prevalence of dental caries and treatment need in 12-15 year old municipal school
157 children of Mumbai'. Jsl Rodrigues , S G Damle . *J Indian SocPedoPrev Dent* 1998. 16 (2) p. .

158 [Dash et al. ()] 'Prevalence of dental caries and treatment needs among children of Cuttack (Orissa)'. J K Dash
159 , P K Sahoo , S K Bhuyan , S K Sahoo . *J Indian SocPedoPrev Dent* 2002. 20 (4) p. .

160 [Sarvanan et al. ()] 'Prevalence of dental caries and treatment needs among school going children of Pondicherry'.
161 S Sarvanan , K P Anuradha , D J Bhaskar . *India. J Indian SocPedoPrev Dent* 2003. 21 (1) p. .

162 [Misra and Shee ()] 'Prevalence of dental caries in school going children in an urban area of South Orissa'. F M
163 Misra , B K Shee . *J Ind Dent Assoc* 1979. 51 p. .

164 [Harris et al. ()] 'Risk factors for dental caries in young children: a systematic review of the literature'. R Harris
165 , A D Nicoll , P M Adair , C M Pine . *Community Dent Health* 2004. 21 (1) p. . (Suppl)

166 [Wright et al. ()] 'The dental health status of 6 and 12 year old Beijing school children in 1987'. Fac Wright , H
167 Deng , S T Shi . *Community Dent Health* 1989. 6 p. .

168 [Okeigbemen ()] 'The prevalence of dental caries among 12 to 15 year old school children in Nigeria'. S A
169 Okeigbemen . *Oral Health Prev Dent* 2004. 2 p. . (Report of a local survey and campaign)

170 [WHO 1997. Oral Health surveys] *WHO 1997. Oral Health surveys*, (4 th edition Geneva)