

Evaluation of Sensitivity of Commonly used Antibiotics in Staphylococcus Epidermidis Clinical Isolates From Assir Region, Saudi Arabia

Nazar Mohamed Abdalla¹

¹ Medicine/ Gezira University

Received: 16 December 2013 Accepted: 2 January 2014 Published: 15 January 2014

Abstract

Background: Multidrug resistance is an emerging health problem that ultimately will lead to vanishing of effective medicine against infections including Staphylococcus epidermidis infections. Aim: This a prospective hospital base study of 58 Staphylococcus epidermidis clinical isolates in Assir region aim at evaluating the sensitivity profile of commonly used antibiotic during the period of March 2011- Sep. 2011. Materials and Methods: Bacteriology procedures ; staining, culture, catalase, coagulase and antibiotics sensitivity test using diffusion disc test, minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and molecular (PCR) for confirmation of Staphylococcal species and detection of the Mec A gene. Clinical and laboratory data were recorded in special formats and analyzed by statistical computer program (SPSS). Result: 58 Staphylococcus epidermidis clinical isolates including 14 diabetics. Age groups include 29 (0-15yrs), 14 (16-50yrs) and 15 (50yrs above). The total resistance cases to Oxacillin/ Mithicillin was found to be 56 cases (96.4

Index terms— staphylococcus epidermidis, coagulase-negative staphylococci (CoNS), antimicrobial resistance (AMR), nosocomial infections, diabetes.

1 Introduction

Riedrich Julius Rosenbach distinguished *S. epidermidis* from *S. aureus* in 1884, initially naming *S. epidermidis* as *S. albus*. He chose aureus and albus since the bacteria formed yellow and white colonies, respectively. *S. epidermidis* causing nosocomial and community acquired infections [1] *S. epidermidis* is a very hardy microorganism, consisting of nonmotile, Gram-positive cocci, arranged in grape-like clusters. It forms white, raised colonies approximately 1-2 millimeter in diameter after overnight incubation, and is nonhemolytic on blood agar. It is a catalase-positive, coagulase-negative, facultative anaerobe that can grow by aerobic respiration or by fermentation. Some strains may not ferment [2].

Biochemical tests indicate this microorganism also carries out a weakly positive reaction to the nitrate reductase test. It is positive for urease production, is oxidase negative, and can use glucose, sucrose, and lactose to form acid products. In the presence of lactose, it will also produce gas. *S. epidermidis* does not possess the gelatinase enzyme, so it cannot hydrolyze gelatin. It is sensitive to novobiocin, providing an important test to distinguish it from *Staphylococcus saprophyticus*, which is coagulase-negative, as well, but novobiocin-resistant. Similar to those of *Staphylococcus aureus*, the cell walls of *S. epidermidis* have a transferrin binding protein that helps the organism obtain iron from transferrin. The tetramers of a surface exposed protein, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, are believed to bind to transferrin and remove its iron. Subsequent steps include iron being transferred to surface lipoproteins, then to transport proteins which carry the iron into the cell [3] Result: 58 *Staphylococcus epidermidis* clinical isolates including 14 diabetics. Age groups include 29 (0-15yrs), 14 (16-50yrs) and 15 (50yrs& above). The total resistance cases to Oxacillin/ Mithicillin was found to be 56 cases (96.4%); all

43 non diabetics were resistance. Resistance and sensitivity to Ciprofloxacin among diabetic and non diabetic were
44 75.9% and 24.1% respectively. Total resistance to Fusidin were 81%, while total resistant to Erythromycin in all
45 ages groups were 86.2%. In age group (0-15) years 93.1% were resistant to the drug which comprises, 54% of the
46 total resistant cases (n=50) and 46.6% from all Staphylococcus epidermidis cases (n=58).

47 Conclusion: Staphylococcus epidermidis is a pathogen associated with community acquired and nosocomial
48 infections. The nosocomial infections are predominant in neonatal intensive care units (NICU). Resistance of
49 Erythromycin in S. epidermidis cases among children is highly observed as this drug is commonly used by this age
50 group. Diabetes has equivocal effect on drugs sensitivity. The frequency of staphylococcus multi-drugs resistance
51 is rising.

52 Keywords: staphylococcus epidermidis, coagulase negative staphylococci (CoNS), antimicrobial resistance
53 (AMR), nosocomial infections, diabetes.

54 quantitative PCR are being employed for the rapid detection and identification of Staphylococcus strains [4]
55 4 . Normally, sensitivity to desferrioxamine can also be used to distinguish it from most other staphylococci,
56 except in the case of Staphylococcus hominis, which is also sensitive. In this case, the production of acid from
57 trehalose by S. hominis can be used to tell the two species apart.

58 Resistance to antimicrobial agents (AMR) has resulted in morbidity and mortality from treatment failures and
59 increased health care costs. Although defining the precise public health risk and estimating the increase in costs
60 is not a simple undertaking, there is little doubt that emergent antibiotic resistance is a serious global problem.
61 Appropriate antimicrobial drug use has unquestionable benefit, but physicians and the public frequently use these
62 agents inappropriately.

63 Aseer Central Hospital is almost 600 bedded and it is accredited from The Central Board of Arab Health.
64 It's laboratory is a regional referral hub. The other hand, the hospital is affiliated to the medical college of
65 king Khalid University. This study aimed at evaluating the commonly used antibiotics resistant and the factors
66 affecting the drugs sensitivity of Staphylococcus epidermidis isolates from nasal swabs of patients presented at
67 Aseer Central Hospital General Lab.

68 2 II.

69 3 Material and Methods

70 The patients in this study were informed about the study content and procedures with preservation of human
71 rights in concordance with the research ethics of the Deanship of Scientific Research and Research Center For
72 Medical College, King Khalid University, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

73 A total of 58 clinical isolates including; respiratory infection, central nervous system infections, urogenital
74 infection, musculoskeletal (Joints) infections and skin infection were included. Blood, urine and swabs (nasal,
75 skin and conjunctivae) specimens have been tested by bacteriology, chemical and PCR Assay. Bacteriology
76 procedures ; staining, culture, catalase, coagulase and antibiotics sensitivity test using diffusion disc test,
77 minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) [5] and molecular (PCR) for confirmation of Staphylococcal species
78 [6] and detection of the Mec A gene [7]. General primers for detection of positive Staphylococcal isolates not
79 carrying the Mc Agene were used. The codes and sequences of the primers (50 pmol of primer per reaction) were
80 as follows: ERIC-1R, 59-ATG TAA GCT CCT GGG GAT TCA C-39; ERIC-2, 59-AAG TAA GTG ACT GGG
81 GTG AGC G-39; (Staphylococcus epidermidis ATCC 12228 chromosome, complete genome NCBI Reference
82 Sequence: NC_004461.1). The PCR mixture was overlaid with 5 ul of mineral oil to prevent evaporation.
83 Amplification of DNA fragments was performed in a Biomed thermo-cycler (model 60; Biomed, Theres, Germany)
84 with predenaturation at 94C o for 4 min, followed by 40 cycles of 1 min at 94C o , 1 min at 55C o , and 2 min
85 at 74 C o . Amplicons were analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis containing 1% agarose (Hispanagar; Sph.
86 Leiden, The Netherlands) in 0.53 Trisborate-EDTA (TBE) in the presence of ethidium bromide (0. 0.3 mg/ml)
87 at a constant current of 100 mA for 1 h.

88 4 a) Statistical Study

89 Clinical and Laboratory data were recorded in special formats and entered in stat computer program (SPSS).
90 Descriptive and analytical statistical analysis were performed and final results were plotted in tables.

91 5 III.

92 Results

93 6 Staphylococcus epidermidis and negative

94 Mec A gene clinical isolates including 14 diabetics. Age groups include 29 (0-15yrs), 14 (16-50yrs) and 15 (50yrs&
95 above). 29 patients (50%) have presented with skin sepsis this due to the fact that S. epidermidis is a known
96 normal flora of the skin. Distribution of patients according to their sex and diagnosis . Table 1.

97 Distribution of patients according to their presence in hospital revealed that; 35 patients were in intensive care
98 units and 24 patients were in PICU and NICU (Pediatric and Neonates). Table 2.

99 The total resistance cases to Oxacillin/ Mithicillin was found to be 56 cases (96.4%); 12 diabetic patients (100 21.4%) and 44 non diabetic (78.6%). So all non diabetics were resistance. Table 3.

101 Resistance and sensitivity to Ciprofloxacin in all 58 Staphylococcus epidermidis diabetic and non diabetic 102 patients under study were 75.9% and 24.1% respectively. Table 4.

103 Total resistance to Fusidin were 47 cases (81%) and total sensitivity to Fusidin were 11 cases (19%). Table 104 5 Total resistant and sensitivity to Erythromycin in all ages groups were 86.2% and 13.8% respectively. In age 105 group (0-15) years 93.1% were resistant to the drug which comprises, 54% of the total resistant cases (n=50) 106 and 46.6% from all Staphylococcus epidermidis cases (n=58). Table 6.

107 7 Discussion

108 Staphylococcus epidermidis is one of 33 known species belonging to the genus Staphylococcus. The taxonomy 109 of this bacteria is; Kingdom: Bacteria. Phylum: Firmicutes. Class: Cocci. Oreder: Bacillales. Family: 110 Staphylococcaceae. Genus: Satphylococcus. Species: S. epidermidis. It is part of human skin flora (commensal), 111 and consequently part of human flora. It can also be found in the mucous membranes and in animals. Due to 112 contamination, it is probably the most common species found in laboratory tests [8] 7 . Although S. epidermidis is 113 not usually pathogenic, patients with compromised immune systems are often at risk for developing an infection. 114 These infections can be both nosocomial or community acquired, S. epidermidis is also a major concern for people 115 with catheters or other surgical implants because it is known to cause biofilms that grow on these devices [9] 8 . S. 116 epidermidis causes biofilms to grow on plastic devices placed within the body [10] 9 . This occurs most commonly 117 on intravenous catheters and on medical prostheses. Infection can also occur in dialysis patients or anyone with 118 an implanted plastic device that may have been contaminated. Another disease it causes endocarditis [11]. In 119 some other cases, sepsis can occur in hospital patients. Resistant organisms are most commonly found in the 120 intestine, but organisms living freely on the skin can also become resistant due to routine exposure to antibiotics 121 secreted in sweat [12] 12 . Detection of the mecA gene by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) is the gold standard 122 for identifying methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA). PCR assays, employing MR1-MR2 primers 123 (primer set 1) and MR3-MR4 primers (primer set 2) to generate 154 and 533 bp fragment, respectively, are most 124 widely used for amplification of mecA gene [13] 13 .Spread of S. spp. (including MRSA) generally is through 125 human-to-human contact, although recently some have discovered the infection can be spread through pets, with 126 environmental contamination.

127 Cases of S. spp. Nosocomial infections have reported to be transported by polyester, the main material 128 used in hospital curtains in hospitals across America [14] 14 . An important and previously unrecognized 129 means of community-associated MRSA colonization and transmission is during sexual contact [15] 15 . It was 130 discovered that there are two different strains of S. epidermidis, one that inhibits biofilm formation by S. aureus, S. 131 epidermidis strain JK16 (inhibitory type), and one that does not (non-inhibitory type) S. epidermidis strain JK11 132 [16] 16 . Staphylococcal resistance to penicillin is mediated by penicillinase (a form of β -lactamase) production: an 133 enzyme that cleaves the β -lactam ring of the penicillin molecule, rendering the antibiotic ineffective. Penicillinase- 134 resistant β -lactam antibiotics, such as methicillin, nafcillin, oxacillin, cloxacillin, dicloxacillin, and flucloxacillin, 135 are able to resist degradation by staphylococcal penicillinase. Resistance to methicillin is mediated via the mec 136 operon, part of the staphylococcal cassette chromosome mec (SCCmec).

137 Resistance is conferred by the mecA gene, which codes for an altered penicillin-binding protein (PBP2a or 138 PBP2') that has a lower affinity for binding β -lactams (penicillins, cephalosporins, and carbapenems). This allows 139 for resistance to all β -lactam antibiotics, and obviates their clinical use during MRSA infections. As such, the 140 glycopeptide vancomycin is often deployed against MRSA [17] evolved mechanisms to inhibit the aminoglycosides' 141 action, which occurs via protonated amine and/or hydroxyl interactions with the ribosomal RNA of the bacterial 142 30S ribosomal subunit [18] 18 . There are three main mechanisms of aminoglycoside resistance mechanisms 143 which are currently and widely accepted: aminoglycoside modifying enzymes, ribosomal mutations, and active 144 efflux of the drug out of the bacteria [19] 19 . MRSA infections in both the hospital and community setting are 145 commonly treated with non- β -lactam antibiotics, such as clindamycin (a lincosamine) and co-trimoxazole (also 146 commonly known as trimethoprim/ sulfamethoxazole). Resistance to these antibiotics has also led to the use of 147 new, broadspectrum anti-Gram-positive antibiotics, such as linezolid, because of its availability as an oral drug. 148 So it is nowadays highly recommended to use combined therapy to treat severe cases of S. aureus infections 149 such as pneumonia, meningitis and toxic shock syndrome [20]. 20 .All 29 S. epidermidis isolates were found to 150 be resistant to oxacillin and were positive for the mecA gene. The isolates showed several multidrugresistance 151 patterns; the resistance rates to gentamicin, erythromycin, clindamycin, and [21]were susceptible to vancomycin, 152 teicoplanin, rifampin, synergid, and ciprofloxacin. Several genotypic and phenotypic patterns were detected 153 among the S. epidermidis isolates: antibiogram typing showed seven different patterns, one of which was shared 154 by 65% of the isolates, whereas the most prevalent RAPD genotype was shared by only five S. epidermidis 155 isolates [22], and did not correlate with antibiotic resistance phenotype. The diverse clonal origin of tested 156 isolates indicates the presence of multiple S. epidermidis strains among neonates in the NICU setting [23] 21 . 157 In another study the nasal carriage of methicillin-resistant coagulase-negative staphylococci (MR-CoNS) is highly 158 prevalent in community subjects [24] 22 . Few studies on staphylococcal infections and drugs sensitivity were 159 conducted in Saudi Arabia ???25] [26] [27] , 24, 25, 26 . Resistance is conferred by Penicillinase-resistant β -lactam 160 antibiotics and the mec A gene, which codes for an altered penicillin-binding protein (PBP') that has a lower

161 affinity for binding β -lactams (penicillins, cephalosporins, and carbapenems). This allows for resistance to all
162 β -lactam antibiotics, and obviates their clinical use during MRSA infections. Mec A gene is known associated
163 factor of drug resistance for Oxacillin/Mithcillin drug as all isolates were Mec A gene negative, the resistance
164 could be explained by the thick biofilm caused by this bacteria which guard against drug penetration [28] [29],
165 V.

166 **8 Acknowledgement**

167 We confer our gratitude to the laboratory of Assir Central Hospital. Our sincere thanks to the department of
168 microbiology, College of Medicine, King Khalid University (Saudi Arabia) and Ribat National University (Sudan).

169 **9 VI.**

170 **10 Conclusion**

171 Staphylococcus epidermidis is a pathogen associated with community acquired and nosocomial infections. These
172 infections were predominant among children in neonatal intensive care units (NICU) .

173 Resistance of Erythromycin in S. epidermidis cases among children is highly observed as this drug is commonly
174 used by this age group.

175 Diabetes has equivocal effect on drugs sensitivity. The frequency of staphylococcus multi-drugs resistance is
176 rising as well in Asser region), involving variable drugs mode of actions; cell wall inhibitors, protein synthesis
177 inhibitors and DNA gyrase inhibitors.

178 Rising of multidrug resistance could be attributed to genetic clone and the adherence of the pathogen to
devices like ventilators and catheters .¹

Figure 1:

Figure 2:

1

Volume XIV Issue II Version I

Figure 3: Table 1 :

179

2

	Diagnosis	Sex		Total	
		Male	Female		
	Acute Abdomen	0	1	1	
	Sepsis	18	11	29	
	URI	2	0	2	
	Post Surgery	0	1	1	
	CVA	4	3	7	
	ESRD	1	0	1	
	RDS	1	0	1	
	PUO(Pyrexia)	1	0	1	
	ELEC Burn	1	0	1	
	Trauma	1	1	2	
	RTA	7	0	7	
	Head Inj.	1	1	2	
	DM	2	1	3	
	Total	39	19	58	
Department	(0-15)years	Age (16 -50) years		51 years and more	Total
OPD	2	4	2	8	
MMW	0	0	1	1	
MFSW	1	0	0	1	
MSW	1	0	2	3	
FMW	0	1	2	3	
PMW	1	0	0	1	
ER	1	1	0	2	
PICU	15	1	0	16	
MOW	0	0	1	1	
ICU	0	2	2	4	
IMCU	0	2	4	6	
CCU	0	0	1	1	
NICU	8	0	0	8	
BU	0	1	0	1	
MNW	0	1	0	1	
MUW	0	1	0	1	
Total	29	14	15		

Figure 4: Table 2 :

4

Figure 5: Table 4 :

5

among diabetics and non diabetics

[Note: Year () 2014 C © 2014 Global Journals Inc. (US)]

Figure 6: Table 5 :

3

		cases among diabetics and non diabetics		Diabetes mellitus		Total
Antibiotic sensitivity profile		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
	Count	12	44			56
R	% within Oxacillin/ Mithicillin	21,4%	85,7%	78,6%		100,0%
	% within Diabetes mellitus			100,0%		96,6%
	% of Total	20,7%		75,9%		96,6%
	Count	2	0			2
S	% within Oxacillin/ Mithicillin	100,0%	14,3%	,0%	,0%	100,0%
	% within Diabetes mellitus					3,4%
	% of Total	3,4%		,0%		3,4%
	Count	14	44			58
Total	% within Oxacillin/ Mithicillin	24,1%	100,0%	75,9%		100,0%
	% within Diabetes mellitus			100,0%		100,0%
	% of Total	24,1%		75,9%		100,0%
Antibiotic sensitivity profile		Diabetes mellitus				Total
		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Ciprofloxacin	Count % within Ciprofloxacin	10	34	80,5%		44
		19,5%				100,0%
R	% within Diabetes mellitus	57,1%	75,0%			70,7%
	% of Total	13,8%	56,9%			70,7%
S	Count % within Ciprofloxacin	4	10	71,4%		14
		28,6%				100,0%
	% within Diabetes mellitus	28,6%	22,7%			24,1%
	mellitus % of Total	6,9%	17,2%			24,1%
	Total	14	44	75,9%		58
		24,1%				100%
Antibiotic sensitivity profile		Diabetes mellitus		yes no		Total
	Count	11	36			47
	% within Fusidin	18,5%	81,5%			100,0%
R	% within Diabetes mellitus	78,5%	81,8%			81%
	% of Total	18,9%	62,1%			81%
	Count	3	8			11
Fusidin	% within Fusidin	27,3%	72,7%			100,0%
S	% within Diabetes mellitus	21,4%	18,2%			19,0%
	% of Total	5,2%	13,8%			19,0%
	Total	14	44	24,1%	75,9%	58
						100%

Figure 7: Table 3 :

6

Figure 8: Table 6 :

Antibiotic sensitivity profile		0-15	age group		51+	Total
			16-50			
Erythromycin	Count	27	11		12	50
R	% within Erythromycin	54 %	22 %	80 %	100,0%	84,5%
	% within age group		78,6%			
	% of Total	46,6%	19,0%		19,0%	86,2%
	Count	2	3		3	8
S	% within Erythromycin	25,0%	6,9%	37,5%	37,5%	100,0%
	% within age group % of	3,4%	21,4%		20,0%	13,8%
	Total		5,2%		5,2%	
	Count	29	14		15	58
Total	% within Erythromycin	50,0%	100,0%	100,0%	24,1%	50,0%
	% within age group % of				24,1%	
	Total				25,9%	100,0%
					100,0%	100,0%
					25,9%	100,0%

© 2014 Global Journals Inc. (US)

Figure 9:

-
- 180 [Kayser et al. ()] , J E Kayser , R Kb , Zinkernagel . *Medical Microbiology Thieme* 2005. p. 724.
- 181 [Antimicrob Agents Chemother ()] , *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2013. 57 (10) p. .
- 182 [Nandwani et al. ()] ‘A case of Staphylococcus toxic shock syndrome presenting with multiple pneumatoceles
- 183 in the chest’. S Nandwani , A Pande , M Saluja . *Indian J Chest Dis Allied Sci* 2013. 55 (1) p. .
- 184 [Bek-Thomson ()] ‘Acne is Not Associated with Yet-Uncultured Bacteria’. M E Bek-Thomson . *Journal of Clinical*
- 185 *Microbiology* 2008. 46 (10) p. .
- 186 [El Hafez ()] ‘An outbreak of methicillin resistant Staphylococcus epidermidis among neonates in a hospital in
- 187 Saudi Arabia’. Abd El Hafez , M . *J Infect Dev Ctries* 2011. 5 (10) p. .
- 188 [Crum-Cianflone ()] *Association of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) colonization with high-*
- 189 *risk sexual behaviors in persons infected with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)*. *Medicine (Baltimore)*,
- 190 N F Crum-Cianflone . 2011. 90 p. .
- 191 [Bacteria ()] *Bacteria Genomes -Staphylococcus epidermidis* . *Karyn’s Genomes, Bacteria* . 2011. EMBL-EBL.
- 192 [Dumitrescu ()] ‘Beta-lactams interfering with PBP1 induce Panton-Valentine leukocidin expression by triggering
- 193 sarA and rot global regulators of Staphylococcus aureus’. O Dumitrescu . *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2011.
- 194 55 (7) p. .
- 195 [Brackman ()] ‘Biofilm inhibitory and eradicating activity of wound care products against Staphylococcus aureus
- 196 and Staphylococcus epidermidis biofilms in an in vitro chronic wound model’. G Brackman . *J Appl Microbiol*
- 197 2013. 114 (6) p. .
- 198 [Liu ()] ‘Clinical practice guidelines by the infectious diseases society of america for the treatment of methicillin-
- 199 resistant Staphylococcus aureus infections in adults and children: executive summary’. C Liu . *Clin Infect*
- 200 *Dis* 2011. 52 (3) p. .
- 201 [Widerstrom ()] ‘Coagulase-negative staphylococci: update on the molecular epidemiology and clinical presen-
- 202 tation, with a focus on Staphylococcus epidermidis and Staphylococcus saprophyticus’. M Widerstrom . *Eur*
- 203 *J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2012. 31 (1) p. .
- 204 [Cotter ()] ‘Disinfection of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus and Staphylococcus epidermidis biofilms
- 205 using a remote non-thermal gas plasma’. J J Cotter . *J Hosp Infect* 2011. 78 (3) p. .
- 206 [Endocarditis ()] Endocarditis . *Endocarditis*, 2011. Mayo Clinic.
- 207 [Siripornmongcolchai et al. ()] ‘Evaluation of different primers for detecting mecA gene by PCR in comparison
- 208 with phenotypic methods for discrimination of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus’. T Siripornmong-
- 209 colchai , C Chomvarin , E A Chaicumpar , K . *Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health* 2002. 33 (4) p.
- 210 .
- 211 [Abdalla ()] ‘Evaluation of resistance of commonly used antibiotics on clinical case of Staphylococcus capitis
- 212 from Assir region, Saudi Arabia’. N M Abdalla . *Indian J Med Sci* 2011. 65 (12) p. .
- 213 [Alreshidi ()] ‘Genetic variation among methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus isolates from cancer patients
- 214 in Saudi Arabia’. M A Alreshidi . *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2013. 32 (6) p. .
- 215 [Donovan ()] ‘Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus as a cause of neonatal suppurative parotitis: a report
- 216 of two cases and review of the literature’. S T Donovan . *Ear Nose Throat J* 2013. 92 (6) p. .
- 217 [Aiba] *Mutation of RNA polymerase betasubunit gene promotes heterogeneous-to-homogeneous conversion of beta-*
- 218 *lactam resistance in methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus*, Y Aiba .
- 219 [Van Leeuwen and Sm ()] ‘On the nature and use of randomly amplified DNA from Staphylococcus aureus’. W
- 220 Van Leeuwen , Sm . *J Clin Microbiol* 1996. 34 (11) p. .
- 221 [Hamid ()] *Prevalence of Bacterial Pathogens in Aseer Region, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia: Emphasis on*
- 222 *Antimicrobial Susceptibility of Staphylococcus aureus*, M E Hamid . 2011. Oman Med J. 26 p. .
- 223 [Francois ()] ‘Rapid Diagnosis and Typing of Staphylococcus aureus’. P S J Francois . *Staphylococcus: Molecular*
- 224 *Genetics*, 2008. Caister Academic Press.
- 225 [Kane and Bretz ()] ‘Reduction in coagulase-negative staphylococcus infection rates in the NICU using evidence-
- 226 based research’. E Kane , G Bretz . *Neonatal Netw* 2011. 30 (3) p. .
- 227 [Toba ()] ‘Role of biofilm in Staphylococcus aureus and Staphylococcus epidermidis ventricular assist device
- 228 driveline infections’. F A Toba . *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2011. 141 (5) p. .
- 229 [Geva ()] ‘Spread of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in a large tertiary NICU: network analysis’. A
- 230 Geva . *Pediatrics* 2011. 128 (5) p. .
- 231 [Pasko ()] ‘Staph ID/R: a rapid method for determining staphylococcus species identity and detecting the mecA
- 232 gene directly from positive blood culture’. C Pasko . *J Clin Microbiol* 2012. 50 (3) p. .
- 233 [Otto ()] ‘Staphylococcus epidermidis -the ‘accidental’ pathogen’. M Otto . *Nature Reviews Microbiology* 2009. 7
- 234 (8) p. .

10 CONCLUSION

- 235 [Otto ()] 'Staphylococcus epidermidis and other Coagulase-Negative Staphylococci'. S Q A M Otto . *Staphylo-*
236 *coccus: Molecular Genetics*, 2008. Caister Academic Press.
- 237 [Baillif ()] 'Staphylococcus epidermidis biofilm formation and structural organization on different types of
238 intraocular lenses under in vitro flow conditions'. S Baillif . *Ophthalmic Res* 2013. 50 (2) p. .
- 239 [Abdalla ()] 'Study on Antimicrobial Resistant in Saudi Arabia'. N Abdalla . *Research Journal of Medical Sciences*
240 2011. 5 (2) p. .
- 241 [Bassetti ()] 'Vancomycin susceptibility of meticillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) bacteraemia
242 isolates in an Italian hospital'. M Bassetti . *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2011. 38 (5) p. .