

Cervical Length and Progesterone: Contribution of Ultrasonography to Decide to Whom Administer the Progesterone

Luis RaAl MartAnez GonzAlez¹

¹ Province Center of Medical Genetics

Received: 11 December 2013 Accepted: 2 January 2014 Published: 15 January 2014

Abstract

Introduction: Preterm birth is considered a worldwide problem having multifactorial causes showing a high rate of morbidity and mortality, even in this 21st century; researchers are working in the proteomics field searching for new solutions to its prophylaxis. Objective: This article is aimed at reaching a review of the most recent aspects concerning the use of progesterone to the specialists who follow up pregnant women having short cervix and history of preterm births.

Index terms— cervicometry, progesterone, preterm birth.

1 Introduction

According to WHO the birth of 130 millions of infants are expected in a year, and thirteen (13) millions will be preterm births approximately, of them 68% die in the fetal component and 70% die in the first week of life and those surviving (50%) will suffer from neurological problems and by 26% learning disabilities. Regarding these figures, there are no doubts about, that preterm birth was in the last and it is still a health problem in this current century 1 .

With the purpose of explaining and solving this difficult problem worldwide, a number of factors that are linked to a higher risk of a preterm birth have been identified: uterine overdistension, vascular factors, and infections, hormonal disorders, immunological, genetic and cervical; by 40-50% of idiopathic causes, it is true Increase of interleukins secretion and nitric oxide (NO) in vaginal secretions have been reported, which are associated with preterm birth, since these mediators stimulate the apoptosis, the activation of proteases and as a result the disintegration of collagen fibers which leads to a shortening of cervix 3 .

In consequence, preterm birth is defined as an entity having multifactorial causes that can be a trigger for the early maturing of the physiological processes, normally occurring at the end of pregnancy, as a result, cervical alterations before the end of pregnancy could predict a third part of patients with preterm birth 3 .

In consequence, a conclusion can be stated; the risk of prematurity is inversely proportionate to the length of cervix, but a modified cervix is another one link in the sequence of risk factors which determine the preterm birth 4 .

The cervicometry is valid as a screening for a preterm birth, but it is only justified in a population at risk, where the competence of a sharp-eyed observer can be taken into consideration as well as the control of quality of the equipments; this then, is the only way to find an answer to this complex health problem 5 .

There are many ways to prevent preterm births and they can vary depending on the case, and on important antecedents such as cervical conization, associated diseases and if it is a single or a multiple pregnancy. But in this review article we are trying to provide an outlook on how cervicometry and the use of progesterone can contribute to the prevention of preterm births.

2 II.

3 Development

Prematurity is still the great problem of the 21 st century, as a consequence researches related to proteomic are in increase into the first world, which are aimed at finding protein biomarkers that could foresee the possible pathogenesis 5,6 .

These protein biomarkers aid us to determine the possible preterm birth that can include predictable and valuable factors to determine its onset, which will then imply demographic causes, personal behaviors along with the findings in physical examinations. These basic sources, to carry out proteomic study, as the searching for these biomarkers are basically found in plasma, placenta and amniotic fluid (Fig. 1). Proteomic has developed new pathways in the knowledge of preterm birth pathogenesis, regarding inflammatory and hemorrhagic patterns, when they are not as well present 6 . Until the researches comprising these markers end, doctors of medicine involve with the treatment of pregnant women must learn very well the points previously proved in recent studies, this way the ultrasonography of the cervix plays its role, therefore between the 18 th -24 th weeks of gestation an assessment of the cervix is recommended.

Other different features of the cervix can be assessed, and will be shown in this article, even though it is demonstrated that a short cervix with small amount of mucous plug and sludge-amniotic fluid (muddy), can be particularly important to identify the pregnant women who are destined to have a preterm delivery 7 .

The sludge is the immune response of the organism as protection before a microbial invasion in the internal environment; the microorganisms find different ways of protection, one of them has been defined as the introduction of polyhedral compounds, known as biofilms. The bacteria can stay viable within these structures and the leukocytes penetrate inside them; which has been proved in vitro; however, they are not able to phagocytize the microorganisms there [7][8] ??? .

III. Indications for the Cervicometry 5, ???[11][12] There are different indications to perform a cervicometry that not only can be reduced to measure the cervix; other variables can also be observed, which will be subsequently discuss.

IV. Among the main Indications for the Cervicometry Previous preterm delivery, which is considered the most important. It is known that a history of preterm delivery predisposes to 20% of repetition in another pregnancy with a precedent of presenting a two-fold increase of probability of its occurrence; but when a delivery takes place before the full-term pregnancy and another at fullterm, it is an intermediate risk.

A multiple pregnancy constitutes one of the causes for the increase of preterm deliveries, as a result of the medical development; the use of fertility medications, where the stimulators of ovulation get involved, along with the assisted reproduction, which favors the onset of its presentation, and therefore between 3-6 times more frequent 12,13 .

It is said, nowadays, that as the single pregnancies increase preterm deliveries in 61%, multiple ones make 168% and even in 615% when there are 3 or more products of conception. In equal respects, it is said that 30-50% of the multiple pregnancies and 75% of triplets, come from not so young infertile women treated to procreate, which is considered another element of risk. In some regions of the world, up to 56% of multiple pregnancies were delivered before full term 13,14 .

Variables to be Considered in the Assessment of Cervix (see Fig. 2)

The majority of the authors suggest a transvaginal examination of the cervix, because of the Volume XIV Issue II Version I Year ()2014

advantage of avoiding the artifacts provoked by the entrance of the sound in the skin to approach to the cervix, keeping away the inconvenient that transabdominal ultrasound has, where the bladder must be full of urine, though not as much, because the measures could be distorted when exerting pressure on the cervix, in lengthening it, it does not happen by using transvaginal ultrasound, where full urinary bladderpreparation is not necessary and the transducer approaches the cervix and measures it, along with the rest of the examination performed with better definition 15,16 .

Then, in this examination we can make the measures of the cervix to find out its length, assessment of the internal cervical os, the existence of funneling, where the length is measured and the presence of this last element may be calculated from the internal cervical os (ICO), which requires at least 5 mm dilatation of this funnel-like, with a vertex in the cervical canal. The amplitude of the tunnel corresponds with the dilatation of the ICO and it is possible to measure the functional length of the cervix, measuring the total length of the cervix and subtracting the funneling part, whether the presence or not of sludge in the amniotic fluid 15 can be observed from the echographic point of view, and where this definition indicates the presence of a dense aggregate of floating particles in the amniotic fluid, so close to the ICO, as a sign of invasion of microorganisms in the internal environment, generally composed of mucous slug portions, cervical epithelium, fragments of chorion-amniotic membranes, considered as an immune response of the organism before a microbial invasion 7,8,17 , where cells from numerous structures participate: amnion, chorion, deciduas, neutrophils, macrophages, trophoblasts and taking them as germ-free.

During the examination of the cervix, whether the mucous plug is or it is not complete is also explored, which constitutes a well-established structure to protect the internal environment, which is basically composed by water together with organic and inorganic compounds. When the cervical length is reduced, it turns into a small and

short slug, almost surpassing its internal and external extremes, this way it loses the protective function and can be easily associated with subclinical infections ¹¹ and for few supporters, the measurement of cervical volume with 3D-echography.

It must be considered that the cervix is an important biomechanical structure to maintain the balance with the uterine body to provide the chronologic end of pregnancy. The majority of the studies suggest that this measure progressively decreases as the pregnancy develops; others, that it increases; and another third part thinks, it has no changes, but the trend of acceptance indicates that its length shortens ¹².

During pregnancy, although normally, the cervix measures 3-5 cm, placing 35 mm in 50 percentile, as much during the second trimester as at the beginning of the third, it has been demonstrated that, for the third months of pregnancy, the elongation of the isthmus starts occurs, helping to differentiate the structures, so that, by the fifth months, delimitations of the inferior segment and the cervix become evident; having a very great importance to interpret echographic images accurately [17][18][19]. Advantages of Cervicometry ^{8,20} Concerning the data previously exposed it will be necessary to perform a cervicometry having the following advantages. It: 1. helps to reduce false positives which are causes of admissions in hospitals. 2. shortens hospital stays.

4 Volume XIV Issue II Version

reduces the iatrogenic tocolysis. 4. helps to identify patients having a true need of cervical cerclage. 5. makes possible the screening of a group of asymptomatic pregnant women with high risk of preterm birth without other evident risk factors.

5 VII. Incision-Point of the Cervical

Length to Prevent Preterm Delivery ^{12,21,22} With the practice of cervicometry and the advantages it has, adding this is not an invasive technique; incision-point of the cervical length should be determined to carry out the screening for preterm delivery, where the majority of the authors affirm the following conclusions: It has been proved that, the length of the cervix between the 18 th and 24 th weeks of pregnancy lesser than 25 mm and prior to 32 nd week, pregnant women have a six-fold increase in preterm birth, in relation to pregnant women having a cervix length over the 75 percentile ^{23,24}.

Then, a cervicometry is performed in a twin pregnancy at 20 th week approximately; an incision-point of 23 mm seems to establish a population at risk with an increase of preterm delivery-probability ^{25,26}.

The findings of a short cervix not always results in cervical incompetence or preterm delivery and the length of the cervix must be assessed as a screening in patients at risk.

6 VIII. Progesterone Administration and its Indications

If it is known that one or more previous preterm deliveries, in present pregnancy this condition exists because a short cervix is observed, then it is feasible to administer progesterone supplementation in this patient, which is available of a pharmacotherapy since 1934 and it has been in use for different gynecological diseases, such as: menstrual disorders, infertility, recurrent abortions and other complains ²⁷.

Progesterone can be found as synthetic and natural progestins or micronized and improved with better bioavailability as oral, vaginal and intramuscular (17 β -hydroxyprogesterone), the last two presentations are the most employed all over the world ^{27,28}.

As progesterone can be administered to prevent preterm delivery, it is time to question which the possible mechanisms of actions are ²⁹: ? It blocks the oxytocic effect of F2 β -prostaglandin. ? It avoids the development of gap unions that are formed by two hemi-channels inserted between two contiguous cells where the lumen of one of them continues with the other allowing, when they open, the passage of ions from cytoplasm to cytoplasm of the adjoining cell makes possible the electric synapses without chemical messengers. ? It blocks the prostaglandins that induce the contractions. ? It relaxes the smooth myometrium-musculature. ? It is a suppressant of the action of calciumcalmodulin in the system of kinases diminishing the influx of calcium. It should be remembered that, the calmodulin is an intracellular protein which is one of the regulators in the transduction of the signal of calcium in the cell; besides it intervenes in other metabolic processes.

Other individual and meta-analyses studies sustain the administration of intramuscular 17 β hydroxyprogesterone (17 β OH P) reduces the incidence of recurrent preterm delivery in women with history of spontaneous preterm delivery.

Taking as a whole, the review of the data pointed out that the prophylactic use of progesterone results beneficial in reducing preterm delivery and lowweight at birth. Data also indicate, in a minor concluding way, that progesterone can improve the rates of neonatal morbidity and mortality ³⁰.

Intramuscular route-progesterone is associated with a reduction of premature delivery before the 37 th week, and with a newborn infant inferior to 2500 gramweight, observing a lesser degree of cervical shortening, confirming that the use of 17 β -hydroxyprogesterone (17 β OH P) was related with a reduction of premature delivery (OR: 0,15; IC 95%, 0,04-0,58) ^{31,32}.

Hassan and Romero, et al. stated in their work that the use of intramuscular 17-hydroxyprogesterone caproate or vaginal micronized during 18 th and 22 th week up to the 36 th week in patients with history of premature delivery or a cervix shorter than 15 mm reduces by 50% the risk for another preterm delivery ³³. It was

demonstrated in 2011, that the finding of a cervical length between 10-20 mm at the beginnings of the second term, constitutes an indicator to administrate progesterone as well, and that its use not only could reduce the incidence of prematurity, but also its associated morbidity 34 .

To date, when progesterone is used to prevent preterm delivery, the following approach can be applied based on the available data:

1. For women having a previous spontaneous premature delivery: to administer 17 β OH P (250 mg) weekly, starting at 16th and 24th weeks. 2. For women having a short cervix (<25 mm): vaginal progesterone suppositories of 200mg can be administered in reasonable doses of 250mg weekly of 17 β OH P. 3. For women having a twin pregnancy: progesterone is not systematically indicated, even though its use can be effective in the context of a previous spontaneous premature delivery or a very short cervix: 250 mg of intramuscular 17 β OH P weekly or 200 mg vaginal suppositories.

For women having preterm labor arrest: the administration of progesterone could be considered (400 mg daily in vaginal suppositories or 250 mg of intramuscular 17 β OH P, twice a week), but the available data are so limited because of the lack of blind trails. However, results have been satisfactory with the administration of progesterone in some of its presentations (intramuscular or vaginal) for many authors, and there are recent articles published from 2013, along with multicenter studies carried out in United States and Great Britain along with a study done in Spain with pregnant women having short cervix detected by cervicometry and history of preterm delivery. Cervical cerclage was performed to a group of 142 pregnant women, 59 of them were administered progesterone and to 42 a silicone device (pessary) was placed around the cervix, the conclusions of this study did not contribute to significantly statistical results 40 , showing that an only therapy or behavior will not solve the problem and that in occasions two therapies should be applied, as cervix cerclage and progesterone could be, even though researches must continue.

7 X.

8 Conclusion


Preterm delivery is a health problem even in the middle of 21st century due to the high levels of morbidity and mortality, which has a multifactorial etiology, but Therapeutic Approach [35][36][37][38][39][40] 4.

almost a third of births before a gestational age of 37 complete weeks are consequences of an early modification of cervix, therefore prophylactic measures can help, an ultrasonography cervicometry must be performed to predict preterm delivery where the different features of cervix can be assessed, comprising: the length of cervix, existence of funneling or amniotic fluid with sludge, performing these assessments between 18th and 24th weeks of pregnancy. In the case a shortening of cervix is confirmed or the patients refer one or more previous preterm deliveries, then a progestin therapy by vaginal route or intramuscular 17hydroxyprogesterone should be established, preferably up to the 36th week if necessary, as a result different therapeutic approaches in both aspects are explained, as well as in twin pregnancy and with limited results, in cases presenting arrested preterm deliveries. The greater part of works support the weekly injections of 17-hydroxyprogesterone, a supplementation that also reduces the frequency of recurrent preterm delivery, particularly in patients presenting high risks for premature births reducing the probabilities of numerous complications in newborn infants. ¹

¹© 2014 Global Journals Inc. (US)V.



Figure 1: Figure 1 :



1

Figure 2: 1 .



Figure 3: Figure 2 :

200 [, 10.1002/uog.5323/pdf. <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/uog.5323/pdf> 31.
201 (aprox. 9 p.]. Disponible en)

202 [, 10.1002/uog.6143/pdf. <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/uog.6143/pdf>
203 [/Transvaginal_Ultrasonography_of_the_Cervix_to.1.aspx] , /Transvaginal_Ultrasonography_of_the_Cervix_to.1.
204 *aspx*

205 [, 10.1046/j.1469-0705.1997.10030161.x/pdf. <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1046/j.1469-0705.1997.10030161.x/pdf>
206 1469-0705.1997.10030161.x/pdf

207 [, 10.1002/uog.4081/pdf. <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/uog.4081/pdf>

208 [, 10.1002/uog.8911/pdf. <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/uog.8911/pdf>

209 [, 10.1002/uog.11178/pdf. <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/uog.11178/pdf>

210 [, 10.1002/uog.9017/pdf. <http://www.mednet.cl/link.cgi/Medwave/PuestaDia/Practica/5477>
211 [Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol ()] , *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2011. (Internet)

212 [Méndez (2012)] , Nápoles Méndez , D . <http://scielo.sld.cu/pdf/san/v16n1/san12112.pdf> La
213 cervicometría en la valoración del parto pretérmino. *MEDI-SAN* 2012. Feb 2013. 16 (1) p. 25. (Internet.
214 aprox. 16 p.]. Disponible en)

215 [Ene ()] , 10.1002/uog.9017/pdf. <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/uog.9017/pdf>
216 *Ene* 2014. 38 (1) . (aprox. 12 p.)

217 [Am J Obstet Gynecol (2013)] , Internet]. 2010. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2926795/pdf/nihms193834.pdf> *Am J Obstet Gynecol* Feb 2013. 202 (5) p. 25. (aprox. 8 p.].
218 Disponible en)

219 [(2013)] , 10.1002/uog.7457/pdf. <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/uog.7457/pdf> Feb
220 2013. 35. (aprox. 11 p.)

221 [Dodd et al. ()] ‘Administración prenatal de progesterona para la prevención del parto prematuro’. J M Dodd
222 , V Flenady , R Cincotta , C A Crowther . 10.1002/14651858.CD004947. *Cochrane Database of Systematic*
223 *Reviews* 2008. p. D004947.

224 [González et al. (2005)] ‘Asociación entre cervicometría y parto prematuro en pacientes con sospecha de
225 trabajo de parto pretérmino inicial’. A González , H Donado , D F Agudelo , H D Mejías , C
226 B Peñaronda . http://www.fecolsog.org/userfiles/file/revista/Revista_Vol56No2Abril_Junio_2005/V56N2A03.PDF *Rev Obstet Ginecol* 2005. Feb 2013. 56 (2) p. 25. (Internet. aprox. 7 p.].
227 Disponible en)

228 [Criado et al. ()] *Casos clínicos: medición cervical. Técnica y errores. En: Actualización en obstetricia y*
229 *ginecología*, López Criado , MS , Sontalla Aguilar , T Molina F Manz Bromn , J E Manzanares , S . 2009.

230 [Berghella et al.] ‘Cervical funneling sonographic predictive of preterm delivery ultrasound’. V Berghella , K
231 Kuhlman , S Weiner . *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* (Internet)

232 [Facchinetti et al. (2007)] ‘Cervical length changes during preterm cervical ripening: effects of 17-alpha-
233 hydroxyprogesterone caproate’. F1 Facchinetti , S Paganelli , G Comitini , G Dante , A Volpe . 17466698.
234 *Am J Obstet Gynecol* May 2007. 196 (5) p. .

235 [Furink ()] ‘Cervicometry: all women need to knowmidwifery today’. K Furink . *Int Midwife* 2008. 85 p. .

236 [Vaisbuch et al. (2013)] ‘Clinical significance of early (<20 weeks) vs. Late (20-24 weeks) detection of sonographic
237 short cervix in asymptomatic women in the mid-trimester’. E Vaisbuch , R Romero , O Erez , J P Kusanovic ,
238 S Mazaki-Tovi , F Gotsch . 10.1002/uog.7673/pdf. <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/uog.7673/pdf> *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* Feb 2013. 36 (4) p. 25. (Internet]. 2010. aprox. 11 p.]. Disponible
239 en)

240 [Kusanovic et al. (2007)] ‘Clinical significance of the presence of amniotic fluid ‘sludge’ in asymptomatic patients
241 at high risk forspontaneous preterm delivery’. J P Kusanovic , J Espinoza , R Romero , L F Goncalves , J K
242 Nien , E Soto . *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2007. Feb 2013. 30 (5) p. 25. (Internet. aprox. 9 p.)

243 [Mora and Cabré Irene ()] ‘Eficacia de los progestágenos en la amenaza de parto pretérmino’. Mora , Cabré Irene
244 . *Ginecología y Obstetricia Clínica* 2004. 5 (2) p. .

245 [Rodríguez and Parto ()] *En: Ultrasonografía diagnóstica fetal, obstétrica y ginecológica. La Habana: Editorial*
246 *Ciencias Médicas*, Oliva Rodríguez , J A Parto . 2010. p. . (Valor de la ultrasonografía vaginal)

247 [Combs et al. (2010)] ‘Failure of 17-hydroxyprogesterone to reduce neonatal morbidity or prolong triplet preg-
248 nancy: a double-blind, randomized clinical trial’. C A Combs , T Garite , K Maurel , A Das , M Porto .
249 20816146. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* Sep 2010. 203 (3) p. .

250 [Berghella et al. (2007)] ‘Gestational age at cervical length measurement and incidence of preterm birth’. V
251 Berghella , A Roman , C Daskalakis , A Ness , J K Baxter . *Obstet Gynecol* 2007. Feb 2013. 110 (2) p. 25.
252 (Internet. aprox)

[Moratonas and Brillas ()] *Marcadores ecográficos de prematuridad. La longitud cervical. En: Parto pretérmino. Madrid: Médica Panamericana, Carreras Moratonas , E , Crispi Brillas , F . 2004. p. .*

[Patients with an asymptomatic short cervix ($< \text{or} = 15 \text{ mm}$) have a high rate of subclinical intraamniotic inflammation: implications for patient counseling]

[Sotiriadis et al. (2012)] ‘Perinatal outcome in women treated with progesterone for the prevention of preterm birth: a meta-analysis’. A Sotiriadis , A Papatheodorou , G Makrydimas . *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2012. Feb 2013. 40 (3) p. 25. (Internet. aprox)

[Caritis et al.] ‘Pharmacokinetics of 17-hydroxyprogesterone caproate in multifetal gestation’. S N Caritis , S Sharma , R Venkataramanan , D J Rouse , A M Peaceman , A Sciscione . *Am J Obstet Gynecol* (Internet)

[Koucky et al. ()] ‘Prenatal and perinatal management of preterm labours’. M Koucky , A Germanota , Z Hajek , A Parizek , M Kalvosova , P Kopecky . 20059879. *Prague Med Rep* 2009. 110 (4) p. .

[Rode et al. (2011)] ‘Prevention of preterm delivery in twin gestations (PREDICT): a multicenter, randomized, placebo-controlled trial on the effect of vaginal micronized progesterone’. L Rode , K Klein , K H Nicolaides , E Krampfl-Bettelheim , A Tabor . 10.1002/uog.7457/pdf. <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/uog.9093/pdf> *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2011. Feb 2013. 38 (3) p. 25. (Internet. aprox. 9 p.)

[Berghella et al. (2004)] ‘Prior cone biopsy: prediction of preterm birth by cervical ultrasound’. V Berghella , L Pereira , A Garipey , G Simonazzi . *Am J Obstet Gynecol* Oct 2004.

[Tita and Rouse (2009)] ‘Progesterone for preterm birth prevention: an evolving intervention’. A T Tita , D J Rouse . 19254577. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* Mar 2009. 200 (3) p. .

[Norwitz and Caughey ()] ‘Progesterone supplementation and the prevention of preterm birth’. E R Norwitz , A B Caughey . <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3218546/> *Rev Obstet Gynecol* 2011. 2014. 4 (2) . (Internet. citado 10 Ene. aprox. 12 p.). Disponible en)

[Da Fonseca et al. (2003)] ‘Prophylactic administration of progesterone by vaginal suppository to reduce the incidence of spontaneous preterm birth in women at increased risk: a randomized placebo-controlled double-blind study’. E B Da Fonseca , R E Bittar , M H Carvalho , M Zugaib . 12592250. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* Feb 2003. 188 (2) p. .

[Buhimschi and Buhimschi] ‘Proteomics of amniotic fluid in assessment of the placenta. Relevance for preterm birth’. I A Buhimschi , C S Buhimschi . *Placenta* (Internet)

[Salomón et al. (2009)] ‘Reference range for cervical length throughout pregnancy: non-parametric LMS-based model applied to a large sample’. L J Salomón , Díaz García , C Bernard , J P Ville , Y . 10.1002/uog.6332/pdf. <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/uog.6332/pdf> *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2009. Feb 2013. 33 (4) p. 25. (aprox. 6 p.)

[Mára et al. (2002)] ‘Significance of ultrasound vaginal cervicometry in predicting preterm delivery’. M Mára , P Calda , L Haaková , Z Zizka , A Dohnalová , J Zivný . 12011784. *Med Sci Monit* May 2002. 8 (5) p. .

[Beck et al.] *The worldwide incidence of preterm birth: a systematic review of maternal mortality and morbidity. Bull World Health Organ*, S Beck , D Wojdyla , L Say , A P Betran , M Merialdi , J H Requejo . (Internet)

[Sotiriadis et al. ()] ‘Transvaginal cervical length measurement for prediction of preterm birth in women with threatened preterm labor: a metaanalysis’. A Sotiriadis , S Papatheodorou , A Kavvadias , G Makrydimas . 10.1002/uog.7643/pdf. *Obstet Gynecol* 2010. p. 25. (Internet. citado)

[Crane and Hutchens (2008)] ‘Transvaginal ultrasonographic measurement of cervical length in asymptomatic high-risk women with a shortcervical length in the previous pregnancy’. J M Crane , D Hutchens . *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2008. Feb 2013. p. 25. (Internet)

[Airoidi et al. (2005)] ‘Transvaginal ultrasonography of the cervix to predict preterm birth in women with uterine anomalies’. J Airoidi , V Berghella , H Sehdev , J Ludmir . <http://journals.lww.com/greenjournal/Abstract/2005/09000> *Obstet Gynecol* 2005. Feb 2013. 106 (3) p. 25. (Internet. aprox. 4 p.)

[Mcfarlin et al. (2013)] ‘Ultrasonic attenuation estimation of the pregnant cervix: a preliminary report’. B L Mcfarlin , T A Bigelow , Y Laybed , W D O’Brien , M L Oelze , J S Abramowicz . 10.1002/uog.7643/pdf. (Internet). 2010. <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/uog.7643/pdf> *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* Feb 2013. 36 (2) p. 25. (aprox. 8 p.)

[Berghella et al. (2003)] ‘Ultrasound assessment of the cervix’. V Berghella , Y Bega , J Tolosa . 14595237. *Clin Obstet Gynecol* Dec 2003. 46 (4) p. .

[Cahill et al. ()] ‘Universal cervical length screening and treatment with vaginal progesterone to prevent preterm birth: a decision and economic analysis’. A G Cahill , A O Odibo , A B Caughey , D M Stamilio , S S Hassan , G A Macones . <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2878953/pdf/nihms165102.pdf> *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2010. 202 (6) . (Internet. citado 10 Ene 2014. aprox. 16 p.)

[Campbell ()] 'Universal cervical-length screening and vaginal progesterone prevents early preterm births, reduces neonatal morbidity and is cost saving: doing nothing is no longer an option'. S Campbell . 10.1002/uog.9073/pdf. <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/uog.9073/pdf> *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2011. 38 (1) . (Internet. citado 9 Ene 2014. aprox. 9 p.)

[Werner et al. (2011)] 'Universal cervicallength screening to prevent preterm birth: a costeffectiveness analysis'. E F Werner , C S Han , C M Pettker , C S Buhimschi , J A Copel , E F Funaiaand . aprox. 6. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2011. Feb 2013. 38 (1) p. 25. (Internet)

[Crane and Hutchens (2008)] 'Use of transvaginal ultrasonography to predict preterm birth in women with a history of preterm birth'. J M Crane , D Hutchens . aprox. 6. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2008. Feb 2013. 32 (5) p. 25. (Internet)

[Hassan et al.] *Vaginal progesterone reduces the rate of preterm birth in women with a sonographic short cervix: a multicenter, S Hassan , R Romero , D Vidyadhari , S Fusey , K J Baxter , M Khandelwal . (randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial)*

[Alfirevic et al. ()] 'Vaginal progesterone, cerclage or cervical pessary for preventing preterm birth in asymptomatic singleton pregnant women with history of preterm birth and a sonographic short cervix'. Z Alfirevic , J Owen , Carreras Moratona , E Sharp , A N Szychowski , J M Goya , M . *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2013. 41 (2) . (Internet. citado 10 Ene 2014. aprox. 5 p.)

[Manzanares et al. (2009)] *Valor de la cervicometría y la fibronectina*, S Manzanares , Setefilla López , M Redondo , P Garrote , A Molina , F , Paz Carrillo , M . aprox. 10. http://www.hvn.es/servicios_asistenciales/ginecologia_y_obstetricia/ficheros/07appvalordelacervicometriasmanzanares 2009. Sep 2011. 2012. 12. (Actualización en obstetricia y ginecología. pdf 34. Donoso B, Oyarzún E. Premature deliery. Medwave [Internet. citado 9 Ene 2014])