

1 Study on Odour Attractants to Catch *Glossina pallidipes* Austen  
2 and *Glossina fuscipes fuscipes* Newstead (Diptera: Glossinidae)  
3 at Sor Hydroelectric Station, Ethiopia

4 Firaol Tamiru<sup>1</sup> and Waktole Terfa<sup>2</sup>

5 <sup>1</sup> Ambo University, Ethiopia

6 Received: 8 December 2013 Accepted: 31 December 2013 Published: 15 January 2014

7

---

8 **Abstract**

9 An experimental study was conducted to assess effects of odour attractants on catch size of  
10 *Glossina pallidipes* Austen and *Glossina fuscipes fuscipes* Newstead at Sor Hydroelectric  
11 station around Sor River, West Ethiopia. Various doses of acetone, octenol and cow urine  
12 were used as odour attractants with monopyramidal traps. Three 5x5 Latin squares method  
13 was used making a total of 15 replicates. Acetone released at dose rate of 150mg/hr and  
14 500mg/hr with 0.5mg/hr octenol and 1000mg/hr cow urine were found to be most effective in  
15 increasing catch size of *Glossina pallidipes* Austen by up to 11.26-12.54 times. Acetone  
16 released at dose rate of 2000mg/hr in combination with 0.5mg/hr octenol increased the catch  
17 only up to 4.07 times but cow urine alone produced increases in catch size by 2.54 times. In  
18 the trial performed for *Glossina fuscipes fuscipes* Newstead, 0.5mg/hr octenol, 500mg/hr  
19 acetone and 1000mg/hr cow urine were used alone and in combination, the catch number of  
20 samples from baited and unbaited (control) traps differed slightly. There were no significant  
21 repellent or attraction effect as the efficiencies were at roughly the no odour level. In  
22 conclusion, cow urine (1000mg/hr) with octenol (0.5mg/hr) and acetone (150 and 500mg/hr)  
23 was considered to be a potentially useful combination of baits for *Glossina pallidipes* Austen  
24 control and sampling. However, further study should be conducted on behavior and baites  
25 used to attract *Glossina fuscipes fuscipes* Newstead in the study area.

26

---

27 **Index terms**— *Glossina fuscipes fuscipes* Newstead, *Glossina pallidipes* Austen, Odour Attractant, Sor River.

28 **1 Introduction**

29 trypanosomosis, a disease caused by protozoan parasites of the genus *Trypanosoma*, is still the main constraint to  
30 livestock production in Africa, preventing full use of land to feed the rapidly increasing human population (Leak,  
31 1999). The disease is transmitted cyclically by tsetse flies that infest 37 African countries and mechanically by  
32 biting flies (Uilenberg, 1998). It has a devastating effect on livestock and man (IAEA, 2003) and can be controlled  
33 by drugs or by the vector tsetse flies. One of the important developments in tsetse control is identification and  
34 synthesis of odour attractants for some tsetse species, which greatly increases the efficacy of traps and targets  
35 (Leak, 1999).

36 Tsetse flies use the odours of their vertebrate hosts as cues in host location (Vale, 1974a;FAO, 1992). Some  
37 of the active components of breath, urine and skin secretion of hosts have been isolated for use at odour-baited  
38 targets and traps used to control and monitor tsetse populations (Dransfield et al., 1986; Vale et al., 1986; Leak,  
39 1999). Acetone, 1-octen-3-ol (octenol) and carbon dioxide from bovine breath and phenols from urine are potent  
40 olfactory attractants for several species of the morsitans group of tsetse (Vale and Hall, 1985a; Hassanali et al.,  
41 1986;Bursell et al., 1988).

## 6 B) STUDY PROTOCOL

---

42 However, such advances in catch size of some species the palpalis or fusca group of tsetse has not been realized  
43 as much as needed.

44 Several effective odours are known to attract *Glossina pallidipes* (G. pallidipes) (FAO, 1992) including odours  
45 of host animals and their residues, which are highly attractants for G. pallidipes Austen (Vale, 1974b; Hargrove  
46 and Vale, 1978; Vale and Hall, 1985a; Dransfield et al., 1986). Electroantennogram studies in laboratory showed  
47 that both sexes of *Glossina fuscipes fuscipes* (G. f. fuscipes) Newstead were stimulated by components of bovine  
48 odour such as acetone, octenol, 4-heptanone and 3-nonenone (Den Otter et al., 1988). In contrast, Mwangelwa et  
49 al. (1990) did not observe any consistent effect on catches of G. f. fuscipes in biconical traps baited with acetone,  
50 octenol, cow urine, phenols or monitor lizard wash. The success of odour-baited devices for survey and control  
51 of G. pallidipes in other countries prompted studies in Ethiopia on the attractiveness of natural and synthetic  
52 odours, prior to their application in control operations. Thus, more information is needed on the dose response  
53 relationships for such attractants, as well as on their effects on the catch of G. f. fuscipes.

54 Although baited traps and targets also offer great potential for control or eradication of tsetse flies (Vale,  
55 1980), baseline data should be generated on odour

56 Study on Odour Attractants to Catch *Glossina pallidipes* Austen and *Glossina fuscipes fuscipes* Newstead  
57 (Diptera: Glossinidae) at Sor

## 58 2 Hydroelectric Station, Ethiopia

59 Abstract-An experimental study was conducted to assess effects of odour attractants on catch size of *Glossina*  
60 *pallidipes* Austen and *Glossina fuscipes fuscipes* Newstead at Sor Hydroelectric station around Sor River, West  
61 Ethiopia. Various doses of acetone, octenol and cow urine were used as odour attractants with monopyramidal  
62 traps. Three 5x5 Latin squares method was used making a total of 15 replicates. Acetone released at dose rate  
63 of 150mg/hr and 500mg/hr with 0.5mg/hr octenol and 1000mg/hr cow urine were found to be most effective in  
64 increasing catch size of *Glossina pallidipes* Austen by up to 11.26-12.54 times. Acetone released at dose rate of  
65 2000mg/hr in combination with 0.5mg/hr octenol increased the catch only up to 4.07 times but cow urine alone  
66 produced increases in catch size by 2.54 times. In the trial performed for *Glossina fuscipes fuscipes* Newstead,  
67 0.5mg/hr octenol, 500mg/hr acetone and 1000mg/hr cow urine were used alone and in combination, the catch  
68 number of samples from baited and unbaited (control) traps differed slightly. There were no significant repellent  
69 or attraction effect as the efficiencies were at roughly the no odour level. In conclusion, cow urine (1000mg/hr)  
70 with octenol (0.5mg/hr) and acetone (150 and 500mg/hr) was considered to be a potentially useful combination  
71 of baits for *Glossina pallidipes* Austen control and sampling. However, further study should be conducted on  
72 behavior and baites used to attract *Glossina fuscipes fuscipes* Newstead in the study area.

73 attractants like cow urine, acetone and octenol in Ethiopia. Therefore, this study was conducted to assess  
74 effects of odour attractants on catch size of G. pallidipes Austen and G. f. fuscipes Newstead using combinations  
75 of acetone, octenol and cow urine or alone at different dispense rate at Sor Hydrostation.

## 76 3 II.

## 77 4 Materials and Methods

### 78 5 a) Study area

79 The study was performed in August-September, 2004 in Baro Akobo river system at Sor River around Sor  
80 hydroelectric station at position of 08°23.06'N-08°24.03'N, 035°26.05'E-035°27.02"E and altitude  
81 of 1294-1657m. The area is located at about 630 Km southwest of Addis Ababa, capital of Ethiopia, where G.  
82 *pallidipes* and G. f. *fuscipes* are abundant. The valley vegetation consists of very dense riverine and evergreen  
83 forests. Wild lives are abundant in the area and includes buffaloes, bushbuck, warthog, colobus monkey, baboon,  
84 python, etc.

### 85 6 b) Study protocol

86 Five sites, termed as A, B, C, D and E, were located independently for both species in the forest and near  
87 Sor River at about 200m distance interval. All sites were provided with new monopyramidal traps of the same  
88 type and size which operate throughout the experiment period. Acetone, octenol and cow urine were used as  
89 odour attractants at different dispense rate. The natural odour source, cow urine, was obtained from local zebu  
90 cattle. After collection, the cow urine was stored at normal room temperature in stopper bottles up to use for 7  
91 days. Dose rates were measured by recording the decrease in volume of the attractant over the duration of the  
92 experiments and converting to milligrams per hour according to FAO (1992). Acetone was dispensed at different  
93 dose rates (150-2000mg/hr) by varying the aperture size of the container. The universal glass bottles were drilled  
94 in the lid with single holes 0.2 cm, 0.6 cm and 1.8 cm in diameter to release 150mg/hr, 500mg/hr and 2000mg/hr  
95 acetone, respectively at 32°C. Octenol was dispensed at 0.5mg/hr from streptomycin glass bottles, containing  
96 2g octenol and sealed with a rubber septum. Octenol containers were positioned horizontally on their sides or  
97 inverted always to keep the octenol in contact with the inner surface of the septum and the octenol diffused out  
98 through the septum. The cow urine dispensed by the plastic container of 1 litter volume with 4x4 cm cut on its

99 side to give urine release rate of 1000mg/hr. The dispensers were similar and positioned just beneath the trap.  
100 The underneath of trap poles were greased to prevent entrance of ants that may eat flies.

## 101 **7 c) Study design**

102 A 5x5 Latin square design (Table 1) replicated three times from 7:00 am to 7:00 am (for 24 hrs) for 15 adjacent  
103 days was undertaken each for study of *G. pallidipes* as well as *G. f. fuscipes*. The term 'replicate' denotes the  
104 operation of one treatment at one site for one day. Thus, groups of five adjacent days were regarded as different  
105 replicates. Blocks and treatments were allotted randomly to days within these blocks. A B C D E First 1 V II  
106 III IV I 2 III I IV II V 3 II IV I V III 4 I V II III IV 5 IV III V I II Second 1 II IV III V I 2 I V II III IV 3 IV I  
107 V II III 4 III II IV I V 5 V III I IV II Third 1 V III II IV I 2 IV II III I V 3 I IV V III II 4 II I IV V III 5 III V  
108 I II IV

109 In the experiment for *G. pallidipes*, comparison of unbaited monopyramidal traps versus traps baited with  
110 1000mg/hr cow urine; 1000mg/hr cow urine + 0.5mg/hr octenol + 150mg/hr acetone; 1000mg/hr cow urine +  
111 0.5mg/hr octenol + 500mg/hr acetone; and 0.5mg/hr octenol + 2000mg/hr acetone were used. For *G. f. fuscipes*  
112 comparison of unbaited monopyramidal traps versus traps baited with 0.5mg/hr octenol; 500mg/hr acetone;  
113 1000mg/hr cow urine separately, and combinations of 1000mg/hr cow urine + 0.5mg/hr octenol + 500mg/hr  
114 acetone were used. To compare the efficacies of various treatments, each treatment was incorporated into a series  
115 of randomized Latin square of treatments by sites and by days.

## 116 **8 d) Data analysis**

117 The catches in each replicate were transformed to log n+1 and subjected to analysis of variance to determine  
118 probability associated with differences between mean catches. The effects of various odours on the catch of trap  
119 were compared with a control treatment consisting of unbaited traps. The detransformed mean catch with a test  
120 odour is expressed as a proportion of the detransformed mean control (no odour) catch and is termed the catch  
121 index; indices greater than unity indicate attraction and indices less than the unity indicate repellent. Presence  
122 of statistical significance difference was determined at  $p < 0.05$ . The critical F ratio is looked up in statistical  
123 table. The traps baited with different odour attractants have shown better attraction indices than unbaited  
124 traps. The treatment with 1000 mg/hr cow urine + 0.5 mg/hr octenol + 500 mg/hr acetone increased the catch  
125 highly by up to 12.54 times, and similarly treatment of trap with 1000mg/hr cow urine + 0.5mg/hr octenol +150  
126 mg/hr acetone increased the catch by 11.26 times. Trap baited with highest release rate of acetone (2000mg/hr)  
127 + octenol (0.5mg/hr), and 1000mg/hr cow urine alone increased the catch by 4.07 and 2.64 times, respectively  
128 (Table 3).

## 129 **9 III.**

## 130 **10 Results**

### 131 **a) *Glossina pallidipes* Austen**

### 132 ***Glossina pallidipes* treatment type**

### 133 **Mean (x)**

134 Detransformed mean(G) Index The treatment factor was considered as insignificant source of variation ( $F=0.6375$ ;  
135 critical value=2.52,  $p>0.05$ ) while site was considered as source of variation ( $F=26.6982$ ; critical value=2.52,  
136  $p<0.05$ ). The results of the experiment comparing trap baited with 0.5 mg/hr octenol, 500 mg/hr acetone, and  
137 1000mg/hr cow urine separately and their combination to catch *G. fuscipes fuscipes* are given in Table ??.

### 138 **14 Global Journal of**

139 Medical Research

## 140 **15 G**

141 The treatment factor was found as a significant source of variation ( $F=29.36$ ; critical value=2.52;  $p<0.05$ ).  
142 Analysis of variance result of *G. pallidipes* at different rates of acetone together with fixed cow urine and octenol  
143 doses is shown in Table 2. Since treatment factor was found statistically insignificant, calculating further for  
144 index of attraction is not necessary to identify better odour attractant.

145 However, to check the suspicion of their repellent effects was further calculated for indices ( IV).

## 146 **16 Discussion**

147 The efficiency of the traps to catch *G. pallidipes* was high in presence of odour attractants and low in absence of  
148 any odour. However, the efficiency was roughly low in the presence of odour for *G. f. fuscipes*.

149 This agrees with the compiled reports of FAO (1992) from many African countries.

## 18 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

---

150 Monopyramidal trap was baited with combination of cow urine (1000mg/hr) + octenol (0.5 mg/hr) + acetone  
151 (150 mg/hr) could be the preferred combination of odour attractant in the study even though traps baited with  
152 cow urine (1000mg/hr) + octenol at 0.5 mg/hr + acetone (500 mg/hr) performed better. This is due to the  
153 expensive cost of acetone at high release rate (FAO, 1992). However, in some small operations like ecological  
154 researches the later could be utilized.

155 In the current study, utilization of baited traps with cow urine alone and different combinations of cow urine,  
156 octenol and acetone increased the indices (2.64-12.54 times) of the odours' ability to attract *G. pallidipes*. This  
157 agrees with work of Dransfield et al. (1986) in Nguruman, Kenya, in which acetone with cow urine produced  
158 increases of catch 9-25 times using biconical traps. However, the present result disagrees with the result obtained  
159 by baiting F3 traps with acetone at 5-50,000 mg/hr release rate or octenol and cow urine, in which indices  
160 increased only up to 1.67 in Somalia (Torr et al, 1989). The difference may due to variation in the combination  
161 of the odour attractants, release rate and geographical location (FAO, 1992).

162 The result of the current study indicated increment of index up to 4.07 when acetone (2000 Traps baited  
163 with cow urine (1000mg/hr) alone increased catch index up to 2.64 times when compared with unbaited traps  
164 in this study. This is slightly in line of agreement with work of Vale and Hall (1985b) in which a mixture  
165 of 3-n-propylphenol and 4-methylphenol increased the catch index by 1.5-2 times. According to FAO (1992),  
166 4-methylphenol and 3-n-propylphenol are the most active components in the urine and bovid urine can give  
167 substantial increases in catch (2)(3)(4)(5) if dispensed at about 1000mg/hr. If a much higher rate of urine is  
168 used, it becomes repellent.

169 Traps baited with 1000mg/hr cow urine + 0.5mg/hr octenol + 150mg/hr acetone and 1000mg/hr cow urine +  
170 0.5mg/hr octenol + 500mg/hr acetone increased catch index to 11.26 and 12.54 times, respectively. The current  
171 catch index result is greater than results (catch index 4-6 baited traps over unbaited traps) obtained from a  
172 combination of acetone (500mg/hr), octenol (0.8 or 1.5mg/hr), mixture of 4methylphenol and 3-n-propylphenol  
173 (0.8mg/hr) at Galana Ranch, south-eastern Kenya (Baylis and Nambiro, 1993). In addition, the combination of  
174 the odours of current study showed much greater catch index than combination of 3-methylphenol, acetone and  
175 octenol, which increased the catch 1.6 times in Zimbabwe using F3 traps (Hall et al., 1990). However, the current  
176 result is less than a combination of acetone, There is a report of slight increase in the effects of octenol and  
177 acetone even though it was statistically not significant in Kenya (Baylis and Nambiro, 1993). However, acetone  
178 and octenol dispensed either alone or together did not significantly increase the catch of a trap in Somalia (Vale  
179 and Hall, 1985b). According to FAO (1992), using acetone with octenol can double the catch when compared  
180 with octenol used alone.

## 181 17 Global

182 a) *Glossina Pallidipes* Austen octenol, 4-methylphenol and 3-propylphenol that increased catching up to 20  
183 times in Zimbabwe (Vale and Hall, 1985a; Vale et al., 1988). Performance difference of different traps to attract  
184 tsetse flies were found minimal ((Baylis and Nambiro, 1993) and this rules out effects of different traps. Therefore,  
185 the difference might be due to difference in geographical location and release rate.

186 Various ketones, octenol, urine and phenols have been tested but results have been inconsistent (FAO, 1992).  
187 There was no statistically significant difference in attractiveness of cow urine to *G. f. fuscipes* in the current  
188 study. However, there was a significant increase catch of blue-black polyethylene bipyramidal traps using local  
189 zebu urine (x 1.4) in three of the four trials with the greatest effect (x 4.2) obtained for male *G. f. fuscipes* when  
190 the densities of flies were low (less than five male per trap per day) in Central African Republic. The difference  
191 between these works may be related to particular environment of the trials (Gouteux et al., 1995).

192 Odour attractants used alone or in combination in the current study has not significantly increased the catch  
193 of *G. f. fuscipes*. According to FAO (1992), the search for odour attractants for this species has so far been  
194 unsuccessful, with the exception of carbon dioxide. This is due to the fact that the riverine tsetse species of  
195 palpalis-group respond poorly to the odour baits developed for savannah species. The attractants used for the  
196 savannah tsetse are hardly or not attractive to palpalis species and sometimes even repel them (Mwangelwa et  
197 al., 1995; Späth, 1995). So a full understanding of the types of odour attractants and interplay of responses of  
198 *G. f. fuscipes* to different chemicals and suggestion of effective odour bait has to be worked out in the future.

199 V.

## 200 18 Conclusion and Recommendations

201 In conclusion, different combinations of odour attractants were found to attract *G. pallidipes*. To run some small  
202 operations such as ecological research for *G. pallidipes*, traps are required to provide large samples with small  
203 cost and convenience. In these circumstances, an appropriate odour would be combination of medium doses of  
204 500mg/hr acetone + 0.5 mg/hr octenol + 1000mg/hr cow urine. For works such as routine surveys or control  
205 operations over large areas, a less expensive and more convenient combination of low dose of 150 mg/hr acetone  
206 + 0.5 mg/hr octenol and 1000mg/hr cow urine would be more suitable. The latter combination can increase  
207 catches by several times for costs that are much less than the equally effective alternative of operating higher  
208 doses of odour or more traps. The search for best odour attractant for *G. f. fuscipes* is unsuccessful in the current  
209 study. Thus, further studies should be conducted on the behavior and best odour attractant for this species.



**3**

Figure 1: 3 Volume

**1**

Latin		Site
Square		Day

Figure 2: Table 1 :

**2**

Source of variation	df	SS	MS	F ratio
Replicates	2	0.5257	0.2629	
Days within replicates	12	3.9709	0.3309	
Treatments	4	9.6883	2.4221	29.3588
Sites	4	1.48	0.37	4.4848
Residuals	52	4.2885	0.0825	
Total	74			

Figure 3: Table 2 :

**3**

(Diptera: Glossinidae) at Sor Hydroelectric Station, Ethiopia

Figure 4: Table 3 :

**5**

) and found

Figure 5: Table 5



### 210 .1 Acknowledgment

211 The authors would like to thank National Tsetse and Trypanosomiasis Investigation and Control Center for  
212 budget and technical support. The encouragement and criticism of Dr. Debebe Argago and Mr. Flint S. was  
213 very important for this work.

214 VII.

### 215 .2 Contribution of the Authors

216 WT conceived the idea, participated in all field works, analysis and write up of the manuscript. AO participated  
217 in all field works and FT participated in data analysis and write up of the manuscript.

218 [ Bull.ent.Res] , *Bull.ent.Res* 76 p. .

219 [ Bulletin of Entomological Research] , *Bulletin of Entomological Research* 75 p. .

220 [ Bulletin of Entomological Research] , *Bulletin of Entomological Research* 75 p. .

221 [Uilenberg ()] *A field guide for the diagnosis, treatment and prevention of African animal trypanosomosis. 2 nd*  
222 *ed. FAO, Rome, G Uilenberg . 1998. p. .*

223 [Mwangelwa et al. ()] 'Distribution and diel activity patterns of *Glossina fuscipes fuscipes* Newstead on Rusinga  
224 Island and mainland in Mbita'. M I Mwangelwa , R D Dransfield , L H Otieno . Kenya. *Insect Science and*  
225 *its Application* 1990. 11 p. .

226 [Vale ()] 'Field studies of the responses of tsetse flies (Glossinidae) and other Diptera to carbon dioxide, acetone  
227 and other chemicals'. G A Vale . *Bulletin of Entomological Research* 1980. 70 p. .

228 [Bursell et al. ()] 'Identification of components of cattle Urine attractive to tsetse flies, *Glossina* spp. (Diptera:  
229 Glossinidae)'. E Bursell , Aje Gough , P S Beavor , A Cork , D R Hall , G A Vale . *Bulletin of Entomological*  
230 *Research* 1988. 78 p. .

231 [Hall et al. ()] *Identification of host odour attractants for tsetse flies Final Report 1986-1990, Natural Resources*  
232 *Institute*, D R Hall , Aje Gough , P H Adams , P S Beavor , A Cork , C H Green , J L Smith , Jhl Taylor ,  
233 M L Warner . 1990. Chatham, Kent.

234 [Hassanali et al. ()] 'Identification of tsetse attractants from excretory products of a wild host animal, *Syncerus*  
235 *caffer*'. A Hassanali , P G Mcdowell , Mla Owaga , R K Saini . *Insect Science and its Application* 1986. 7 p. .

236 [Iaea ()] *Improved attractants for enhancing tsetse fly suppression: Final report of a co-ordinated research project,*  
237 *Iaea . 2003. 1996-2002. Vienna, Austria.*

238 [Vale ()] 'New field methods for studying the responses of tsetse flies (Diptera: Glossinidae) to hosts'. G A Vale  
239 . *Bulletin of Entomological Research* 1974a. 64 p. .

240 [Späth ()] 'Olfactory attractants for West African tsetse flies, *Glossina* spp. (Diptera: Glossinidae)'. J Späth .  
241 *Tropical Medicine and Parasitology* 1995. 46 p. .

242 [Otter et al. ()] 'Olfactory sensitivity of five species of tsetse (*Glossina* spp.) to 1-octen-3-ol, 4-heptanone, 3-  
243 nonanone and acetone'. Den Otter , C J Tchicaya , T Van Den , M J Berg . *Insect Science and its Application*  
244 1988. 9 p. .

245 [Hargrove and Vale ()] 'The effect of host odour concentration on catches of tsetse flies (Glossinidae) and other  
246 Diptera in the field'. J W Hargrove , G A Vale . *Bulletin of Entomological Research* 1978. 68 p. .

247 [Vale et al. ()] 'The field responses of tsetse flies, *Glossina* spp. (Diptera: Glossinidae), to odours of host residues'.  
248 G A Vale , S Flint , D R Hall . *Bulletin of Entomological Research* 1986. 76 p. .

249 [Vale et al. ()] 'The olfactory responses of tsetse flies, *Glossina* species (Diptera: Glossinidae), to phenols and  
250 urines in the field'. G A Vale , D R Hall , Aje Gough . *Bulletin of Entomological Research* 1988. 78 p. .

251 [Baylis and Nambiro ()] 'The response of *Glossina pallidipes* and *Glossina longipennis* (Diptera: Glossinidae) to  
252 odour-baited traps and targets at Galana Ranch, south-eastern Kenya'. M Baylis , C O Nambiro . *Bulletin*  
253 *of Entomological Research* 1993. 83 p. .

254 [Mwangelwa et al. ()] 'The responses of *Glossina fuscipes fuscipes* Newstead to odour attractants and traps'. M  
255 I Mwangelwa , R D Dransfield , L H Otieno , K J Mbata . *Journal of African Zoology* 1995. 109 p. .

256 [Torr et al. ()] 'The responses of *Glossina pallidipes* Austen (Diptera: Glossinidae) to odour-baited traps and  
257 targets in Somalia'. S J Torr , A G Parker , G Leigh-Browne . *Bulletin of Entomological Research* 1989. 79 p.  
258 .

259 [Vale ()] 'The responses of tsetse flies (Diptera: Glossinidae) to mobile and stationary hosts'. G A Vale . *Bulletin*  
260 *of Entomological Research* 1974b. 64 p. .

261 [Vale and Hall ()] *The role of 1-octen-3-ol, acetone and carbon dioxide in the attraction of tsetse flies*, G A Vale  
262 , D R Hall . 1985b. (*Glossina* spp.(Diptera:Glossinidae)

263 [Vale and Hall ()] 'The use of 1-octen-3-ol, acetone and carbon dioxide in the attraction of tsetse flies'. G A Vale  
264 , D R Hall . *Glossina* spp. (Diptera: Glossinidae), 1985a.

## 18 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

---

265 [Dransfield et al. ()] *The use of odour attractants for sampling Glossina pallidipes Austen (Diptera:Glossinidae)*  
266 at Nguruman, R D Dransfield , R Brightwell , M F Chaudhury , Sar Tarimo . 1986. Kenya.

267 [Gouteux et al. ()] 'trials of olfactory attractants to enhance trap catches of Glossina fuscipes fuscipes (Diptera:  
268 Glossinidae) in the Central Republic of Africa'. J P Gouteux , F Blanc , D Cuisance , D 'amico , F Guinza ,  
269 AK . *Vet Res* 1995. 26 p. .

270 [Leak ()] *Tsetse Biology and Ecology: their role in the Epidemiology and Control of trypanosomosis*, Sga Leak .  
271 1999. New York: CABI publishing. p. .

272 [Fao ()] *Use of attractive devices for tsetse survey and control training manual for tsetse control personnel*, Fao .  
273 1992. 4 p. .