

Anteromedial Portal Drilling Reduces Posterior Cruciate Ligament Impingement during Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction

Christopher S. Lee

Received: 9 December 2013 Accepted: 3 January 2014 Published: 15 January 2014

Abstract

Background: Posterior cruciate ligament (PCL) impingement following anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction can often lead to post-operative graft instability and loss of knee range of motion. Purpose: The purpose of this study was to evaluate whether drilling a femoral tunnel through an anteromedial portal led to a decreased incidence of ACL-PCL impingement compared to transtibial methods during ACL reconstruction. Methods: Eight cadaveric knees were evaluated arthroscopically. Femoral tunnels used for ACL reconstruction were then drilled using both an anteromedial portal technique as well as a transtibial technique. Qualitative and quantitative comparisons of each technique's ability to center a femoral tunnel in a non-PCL impinging position within the native ACL femoral footprint were then recorded.

Index terms— ACL; PCL; impingement; anatomic reconstruction.

1 Introduction

Posterior cruciate ligament (PCL) impingement following anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction can often lead to post-operative graft instability and loss of knee range of motion. Second look arthroscopy studies have demonstrated that this is due to graft laxity caused by a combination of Author?: christopher.sy.lee@gmail.com repetitive stress and high graft tension as the ACL presses against the lateral border of the PCL during flexion. 22 Howell et al. reported in a cadaver study that lowering the ACL graft on the "clock-face" resulted in a lower incidence of ACL-PCL impingement.⁹ He also noted that this femoral tunnel position, which is closer to the center of the anatomic ACL footprint, led to a decrease in graft tension of nearly 50 N.¹⁸

Many strategies have been devised to center a femoral tunnel in the anatomic footprint of the ACL. Loh et al. demonstrated improved rotational stability with the femoral aspect of the ACL graft oriented in the 10 or 2 o'clock position rather than the 11 or 1 o'clock position.¹⁴ Although the trans-tibial drilling method has been largely successful since its advent, recent evidence has demonstrated that its use often results in overly vertical grafts. ??, ??2, ??6, ??7, ??3 As a result, to achieve optimal femoral tunnel position, many have abandoned the trans-tibial technique in favor of drilling the femoral tunnel independently using an anteromedial portal technique. ??, ??, ??1 The purpose of this study was to determine whether drilling method, anteromedial portal versus trans-tibial, affected the incidence of ACL-PCL impingement. In addition, we sought to devise an intraoperative test to help avoid ACL-PCL impingement. We tested the hypothesis that a femoral tunnel located in the center of the native ACL footprint was most consistently attainable through an anteromedial portal drilling technique, and that this method subsequently had a lower incidence of ACL-PCL impingement.

2 II.

3 Materials and Methods

4 a) Specimen Selection

Eight (four matched pairs) cadaveric knees were evaluated ($N = 8$). The knees were obtained from specimens with an average age of 66 years old (range 56 to 74 years old). Inspection at the time revealed that all specimens had intact ACL, PCL, articular surfaces and menisci. None had significant degenerative joint disease. All knees underwent an initial diagnostic arthroscopy and were ranged from 0-120 degrees of flexion. All knees were noted to have no impingement of the native PCL against the native ACL. b) Creation of Femoral Tunnels and Evaluation for ACL-PCL Impingement A 30-degree arthroscope was used to evaluate all knees through a lateral viewing portal and a medial working portal. After debridement of the ACL, a thin layer of the femoral attachment was left. This allowed visualization of the broad native ACL footprint that was defined and evaluated through a full arc of motion. Using a Beeth pin, a mark was placed in the anatomic center of the native ACL footprint via a percutaneous technique (Figure ??). For a single-bundle reconstruction, we considered the center as a point placed slightly anterior to the anatomic AM bundle insertion site, roughly 6 mm anterior to the posterior cortex at the 2 o'clock position for a left knee or 10 o'clock position for a right knee. An anteromedial portal technique was then used to create the first femoral tunnel. Assuming an average 8 mm graft, an 8mm core reamer was used to drill a femoral tunnel to a depth of 5 mm while keeping the knee in 120 degrees of flexion. The core reamer allowed outlining of the area a tunnel would occupy while preserving bone stock (Figure 2). The resultant tunnel was then observed as the knee was flexed from 0-120 degrees. If the PCL obscured any part of the femoral tunnel, it was assumed that PCL impingement would occur at that point in the arc of flexion. The overlapping of the femoral tunnel by the PCL was referred to as the "Femoral Eclipse Sign" (Figure ??).

The conserved bone stock allowed drilling of a second femoral tunnel in the same knee. This second tunnel was created using a trans-tibial method. A standard 8mm tibial tunnel was first made using a tip aiming elbow guide set at 53 degrees. The tunnel originated at a point on the tibial cortex hugging the anterior border of the medial collateral ligament and exited through the tibial plateau 7mm in front of the PCL and two-thirds up the medial tibial spine. A 6mm over-the-top guide was then inserted through the tibial tunnel, across the joint and into position on the femur. The knee was flexed to 90 degrees, and the over the top guide was placed on the femur as close as possible to the center of the anatomic ACL footprint (Figure ??). A guide-wire was then drilled into the femur to 40 mm, and an 8mm core reamer was used to drill a femoral tunnel to a depth of 5mm. The knee was then ranged from 0-120 degrees, and the incidence of whether the PCL obscured the trans-tibially drilled femoral tunnel was recorded.

In addition to recording the incidence of ACL-PCL impingement, the ability of both drilling methods to achieve a femoral tunnel position centered in the anatomic ACL footprint was measured. This was done arthroscopically using the tip of an arthroscopic probe (3mm). Both the magnitude and direction of displacement from the center of the native ACL footprint were measured (Figure ??).

5 c) Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed on the raw data obtained from this study. With regards to the presence or absence of the Eclipse Sign, a sign of potential ACL-PCL impingement, a McNemar's test was used. For analyzing displacement of the femoral tunnels from the center of the native ACL footprints, a signed rank test was performed. All p-values were set to 0.05.

6 III.

7 Results

Upon arthroscopic examination of the native femoral ACL insertion from the lateral viewing portal, all eight cadaver knees demonstrated no overlapping of the ACL footprint by the PCL as the knee was ranged from 0-120 degrees of flexion. Using the anteromedial portal technique, the femoral tunnel guide-wire was placed into the center of the native ACL footprint in all eight knees.

When femoral tunnels were drilled anteromedially, there were no instances where the PCL obscured any portion of the tunnel as the knee was flexed from 0-120 degrees (Figure 4). Of the eight tunnels drilled trans-tibially, all had the femoral tunnel guide-wire within the broad ACL footprint; however, after the core reamer was used to drill a tunnel, three cases showed clear evidence of a femoral tunnel being obscured by the lateral border of the PCL during flexion of 90 to 120 degrees when viewed from the lateral arthroscopy portal ($p = 0.25$). We called this finding the "Femoral Eclipse Sign" (Figure ??). This implied that a graft placed in those three tunnels would experience impingement against the lateral border of the PCL during high flexion.

When measuring the direction and magnitude of displacement from the absolute center of the native ACL footprint, it was noted that all tunnels drilled using the anteromedial portal method had zero displacement. With regard to the trans-tibially drilled tunnels, the three tunnels that showed signs of potential PCL impingement (positive Eclipse Sign) were displaced superiorly (Figure 5). The amount of superior displacement was measured to be 2 mm, 5 mm, and 5 mm. Of the remaining five tunnels drilled trans-tibially that did not show potential

97 PCL impingement (negative Eclipse Sign), all were displaced anteriorly, superiorly, or anterosuperiorly from the
98 anatomic center of the ACL footprint (Table ??). Overall, the degree of displacement from the center of the
99 native ACL footprint of trans-tibially drilled femoral tunnels averaged 3.25mm ($p = 0.0078$, range 1 to 5 mm).
100 IV.

101 8 Discussion

102 This study compared the incidence of potential ACL-PCL impingement using the traditional trans-tibial femoral
103 tunnel drilling method¹⁵ to a technique of independent drilling through the anteromedial arthros-Volume XIV
104 Issue I Version I Year () 2014 H copy portal.²⁰ In addition, the ability to place a femoral tunnel in the center of
105 the native ACL footprint using these two drilling techniques was measured. Recent literature has demonstrated
106 many benefits of placing an ACL graft in a more oblique and anatomic orientation centered in the native ACL
107 footprint.^{1, 4, 8-11, 13} These advantages include avoiding graft impingement on the PCL and the resultant
108 increased graft tension, loss of knee flexion, graft laxity and failure that may accompany it. It has become
109 recognized that a vertically oriented graft can lead to ACL-PCL impingement, fatigue failure and rotatory
110 instability.²³⁻²⁶ To prevent these potential complications, many have advocated drilling the femoral tunnel
111 independently through an anteromedial portal.^{6, 20, 26} This technique has consistently led to an anatomically
112 placed single bundle ACL without the risk of creating a short femoral tunnel with possible violation of the
113 posterior femoral cortex.^{7, 19}

114 In our study, native femoral ACL footprints and femoral tunnels drilled through an anteromedial portal did
115 not show signs of potential PCL impingement. In addition, all eight tunnels drilled anteromedially were centered
116 in the native ACL footprint. Three tunnels (37.5%) drilled trans-tibially showed obscuring of the tunnel by the
117 PCL as the knee was brought into high flexion -a sign that a graft placed into the tunnel would likely press against
118 the lateral border of the PCL. Overlapping of the femoral tunnel by the PCL was referred to as the "Femoral
119 Eclipse Sign," and this intraoperative finding was seen as a useful tool to avoid ACL-PCL impingement. All
120 tunnels that showed a positive Eclipse Sign were displaced superiorly by an average of 4 mm -evidence that the
121 tunnels were too vertical. We concluded that because femoral tunnels drilled through an anteromedial portal
122 were oriented more anatomically, they did not show signs of potential PCL impingement. The three tunnels that
123 showed signs of PCL impingement were likely the result of the trajectory of the tibial tunnel compromising the
124 orientation of the femoral tunnel.

125 In addition, the remaining five (62.5%) tunnels drilled trans-tibially that did not show signs of impingement
126 were displaced from the absolute center of the native ACL footprint (Table ??). Limitations to this study include
127 using a cadaver model and the fact that the sample size is small. In having to drill two tunnels in a human
128 femur to compare the anteromedial portal versus trans-tibial technique, it was not feasible to perform this study
129 in an in vivo model. Using a cadaver model was advantageous, providing a distinct visual illustration of the
130 potential difference in the two methodologies. The small sample size may have lead to less precise findings, as the
131 statistical significance of the Eclipse Sign was definitely impacted. Still, the correlation between the incidence
132 of the Eclipse Sign and drilling technique definitely showed that it is a useful intra-operative tool to signal a
133 vertical, PCLimping graft position. The current study has shown that the proposed anteromedial portal
134 drilling technique has the potential to decrease ACL-PCL impingement during ACL reconstruction.

135 Another weakness is the fact that an ACL reconstruction was not carried out to validate the Femoral Eclipse
136 Sign. Performing ACL reconstructions in our specimens would require drilling complete femoral tunnels. We
137 elected to use core reamers to outline the positions of the tunnels, thus preserving bone stock and allowing full
138 visualization of two tunnel positions relative to the center of the native ACL footprint within the same knee.
139 We believe that validating the Eclipse Sign is a necessary step in solidifying the conclusion that anatomic tunnel
140 placement, most consistently achieved by the anteromedial portal drilling method, leads to a lower incidence of
141 ACL-PCL impingement.

142 As a result, a future clinical trial evaluating the Eclipse Sign and its correlation with true ACL-PCL
143 impingement during ACL-PCL reconstruction is being planned.

144 The results of this study lead us to believe that a femoral tunnel centered in the native ACL footprint will not
145 experience ACL-PCL impingement.

146 From our experience, this orientation was most consistently achieved by drilling the femoral tunnel through
147 an anteromedial portal.^{3, 6} Although Howell and Kondo have suggested modifications to the trans-tibial method
148 to achieve a more anatomic graft placement, in our study, we were not able to obtain consistently accurate
149 placement of the femoral tunnel using that technique. Regardless of the drilling method chosen, we recommend
150 routinely checking for ACL-PCL impingement prior to graft insertion by observing whether the PCL obscures
151 the femoral tunnel during high flexionan intra-operative marker called the Femoral Eclipse Sign.

152 V.

153 9 Conclusion

154 ACL-PCL impingement following ACL reconstruction can lead to increased graft tension, inability to achieve full
155 flexion and graft laxity due to repetitive stress.

156 To avoid this phenomenon, the femoral tunnel should be placed anatomically in the center of the native ACL
157 footprint. This is most consistently achieved by drilling the femoral tunnel through the anteromedial arthroscopy
158 portal. Future clinical studies are necessary to validate the Femoral Eclipse Sign. Fig. ?? The "Femoral Eclipse
159 Sign." Tunnels drilled transtibially: as the knee is flexed from 90-120 degrees, the lateral border of the PCL
160 obscures the medial aspect of the femoral tunnel. This is an intra-operative sign that any graft placed within
161 this tunnel may potentially impinge against the PCL during knee flexion. Year 2014 H *0/8 femoral tunnels
162 drilled through an anteromedial arthroscopic portal showed an Eclipse Sign -no sign of potential ACL-PCL
163 impingement **3/8 (37.5%) tunnels drilled trans-tibially showed an Eclipse Sign -thus there is a 37.5% chance
164 of ACL-PCL impingement using the trans-tibial method Data Set 2 : Amount and direction of displacement of
165 femoral tunnels in relation to the native anatomic ACL footprint Knee Amount and direction of displacement:
166 Anteromedial Amount and direction of displacement: Trans-tibial The superior placement of the tunnel drilled
167 trans-tibially compared to the tunnel drilled through the medial portal is illustrated here.

10 References Références Referencias

168 Table ?? : Three out of eight femoral tunnels drilled trans-tibially Eclipsed. All were positioned superior to the
169 tunnel drilled though the medial portal ¹



Figure 1:





Figure 2:


170

¹© 2014 Global Journals Inc. (US)




2

Figure 3: Fig. 2 a



4

Figure 4: Fig. 4



5

Figure 5: Fig. 5

Association of North America and the International 19. Steiner M. Anatomic single-bundle ACL Arthroscopy Association. 2004; 20(3):328-330. reconstruction.

2. Cooper DE, Small J, Urrea L. Factors affecting graft excursion patterns in endoscopic anterior cruciate

ligament reconstruction. Knee surgery, sports

traumatology, arthroscopy: official journal of the

ESSKA. 1998;6 Suppl 1:S20-24.

ligament
re-
con-
struc-
tion.
The
Amer-
i-
can
jour-
nal
of

3. Garofalo R, Mouhsine E, Chambat P, Siegrist O.

Anatomic anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction:

the two-incision technique. Knee surgery, sports

traumatology, arthroscopy: official journal of the

ESSKA. 2006; 14(6):510-516.

technique
for
anatomic
double-
bundle
an-
te-
rior

Year 4. Hardin GT, Farr J, Bach BR, Jr. Meniscal tears: diagnosis, evaluation, and treatment. Orthopaedic 2014

Kashiwaguchi S, Woo SL. Quantitative analysis of human cruciate ligament insertions. Arthroscopy :

Volume 19, Number 1, February 2003
Journal of arthroscopic & related surgery : official publication of the Arthroscopy Association of North America

XIV

Is-

sue

I

Ver-

sion

	tunnels drilled trans-tibially		
Native femoral ACL		Tunnel drilled through	Tunnel drilled
Knee attachment		anteromedial portal	trans-tibially
1	No eclipse	No eclipse	No eclipse
2	No eclipse	No eclipse	Eclipse
3	No eclipse	No eclipse	No eclipse
4	No eclipse	No eclipse	No eclipse
5	No eclipse	No eclipse	Eclipse
6	No eclipse	No eclipse	No eclipse
7	No eclipse	No eclipse	Eclipse
8	No eclipse	No eclipse	No eclipse
1	0mm	1mm anterior	
2	0mm	5mm superior	
3	0mm	4mm anterosuperior	
4	0mm	1mm anterior	
5	0mm	2mm superior	
6	0mm	5mm anterosuperior	
7	0mm	5mm superior	
8	0mm	3mm anterosuperior	

*[Note: *All femoral tunnels drilled through an anteromedial portal were placed in the center of the native ACL footprint *Femoral tunnels drilled trans-tibially were an average of 3.25mm displaced anterosuperior to the native ACL footprint Volume XIV Issue I Version I Year () 2014 H]*

Figure 7: