

Waveone and one Shape Files: Survival in Severely Curved Artificial Canals

Emilia Karova¹ and Snezhanka Topalova-Pirinska²

¹ Faculty of Dental Medicine, Medical University, Sofia

Received: 10 December 2013 Accepted: 31 December 2013 Published: 15 January 2014

Abstract

Nickel-titanium rotary instruments are preferred for their excellent flexibility, superelasticity and improved cutting efficiency but they can separate unexpectedly, especially in curved canals. Instrumentation with WaveOne and One Shape files was performed on 200 artificial canals divided in four equal groups. Glide path was created with PathFiles and G-files. Average lifespan and survival rate of the shaping files were tested, before and after a glide path creation. Average lifespan of WaveOne and One Shape files without a creation of a glide path was 10.25 ± 2.50 canals and $4.1 (\pm 1.37)$ canals, respectively and after the creation of a glide path 17.50 ± 2.12 canals and $4.6 (\pm 1.30)$ canals. Average lifespan and cumulative survival of the tested files revealed significant difference. WaveOne files showed significantly higher resistance to fracture compared with One Shape files. Lifespan and survival rate of tested files increased after the creation of a glide path. Reciprocal motion increases significantly instruments life.

Index terms— nickel-titanium instruments, waveone, one shape, pathfiles, g-files, glide path, lifespan, cumulative survival, continuous rotation, reciprocating m

1 Introduction

mechanical instrumentation and thorough debridement of root canal space are essential prerequisites for successful endodontic treatment (Schilder, 1974; Hulsmann et al., 2005).

Stainless steel files can be used for achieving this goal but recently nickel-titanium (NiTi) rotary instruments are preferred for their excellent flexibility, superelasticity and improved cutting efficiency (Chen et al., 2002; Sonntag et al., 2003; Peters, 2004; Schäfer et al., 2004). These instruments can minimize ledging and transportation, create more centered and rounded canal preparation and for these reasons are frequently chosen for instrumentation of curved root canals (Short et al.). The chance of removing the broken file is very low in some cases and sometimes may be impossible without compromising the endodontic treatment outcome (Hulsmann et al., 1999).

To reduce the separation incidence, manufacturers have developed new techniques to improve the physical and mechanical properties of their instruments. One important modification of the NiTi alloy, which makes rotary systems more flexible and more resistant to cyclic fatigue, and improves their cutting efficiency, is the M-Wire alloy (Dentsply Tulsa Dental, Tulsa, OK) (Gambarini et al., 2008; Alapati et al., 2009; Lopes et al., 2013). M-Wire is a NiTi alloy prepared by a special thermal process and is used in the production of WaveOne reciprocating files (Dentsply, Tulsa Dental Specialties, Tulsa, OK).

Reciprocating mode of rotation has been introduced recently with the intent to extend the lifespan of a NiTi instrument and its resistance to fatigue in comparison with continuous rotation (De-Deus et al., 2010; Varela Patino et al., 2010; You et al., 2010; Franco et al., 2011; Seddulla et al., 2013). WaveOne files are used with specific motor with unchangeable settings. The engine generates different angles of rotation -170° counterclockwise and then 50° clockwise rotation with a speed of 350 rpm -which affect the fatigue resistance (Kim et al., 2012). The system consists of 3 sterile single-use files with noncutting modified guiding tips: small (ISO 21 tip and 6% taper)

3 MATERIALS AND METHODS

for small canals, primary (ISO 25 tip and 8% taper) for the majority of canals, and large (ISO 40 tip and 8% taper) for large canals. The last two have fixed tapers of 8% from D1 to D3, whereas from D4 to D16, they have a unique progressively decreasing percentage tapered design. Thus, flexibility is improved and the remaining dentin in the coronal two thirds of the canal is preserved. Another unique design features of the WaveOne files are the reverse helix and the two distinct cross-sections along the length of their active portions (a modified convex triangular cross section from D1 to D8 and a convex triangular cross section from D9 to D16). The design of the two WaveOne cross sections is further enhanced by a changing pitch and helical angle along their active portions (Webber et al., 2011).

One Shape rotary NiTi files (MicroMega) work with continuous rotation and the producers try to increase their flexibility and to reduce instrument screwing effects by a variable cross-section along the blade of the instrument. They have 3 different crosssection zones: apical (with a variable 3-cutting-edge design), transitional (progressively changing from 3 to 2 cutting edges) and coronal (with 2 cutting edges). Anti Breakage Control (ABC) increases safety and avoids separation by unwinding of the instrument. The system consists of one sterile single file for root canal shaping (ISO 25 tip and 6% taper) with variable pitch and nonworking (safety) tip. File distortion and breakage, especially in severely curved canals, can be reduced or avoided not only by improved design and mechanical properties of the instruments, but by manual preflaring of the root canal and creation of a glide path, as well. There is a strong evidence in the literature (Roland et al., 2002; Berruti et al., 2004; Varela-Patino et al., 2005; Zarrabi et al., 2010) that fracture incidence might be reduced as a result of the preliminary enlargement of the root canal diameter. Thus, the size of the canal becomes bigger than or at least the same size as the tip of the first shaping rotary instrument used (Berruti et al., 2004; Varela-Patino et al., 2005). Hand-operated and enginedriven instruments can be used for glide path creation. Recently, two new rotary NiTi systems have been introduced for this purpose: PathFile System (Dentsply Maillefer) and G-Files (MicroMega).

The aim of the present study was to compare the survival of WaveOne and One Shape files used for the instrumentation of severely curved artificial canals, before and after the creation of a glide path.

2 II.

3 Materials and Methods

Canal preparation was performed on 200 Endo-Training Block simulators (Dentsply Maillefer) with an apical diameter of 0.15 and a 0.02 taper, a 65 degree curvature and a 7.5 mm curvature radius.

The canals were divided in four equal groups: 1 st group -shaped with WaveOne (Dentsply Maillefer) reciprocating files; 2 nd group -shaped with One Shape (Micro Mega) files; 3 rd group -preflared with PathFile System (Dentsply Maillefer) and shaped with WaveOne files; 4 th group -preflared with G-Files (Micro Mega) and shaped with One Shape files.

Average lifespan and cumulative survival at the time of WaveOne and One Shape files were tested, before and after a glide path creation.

Following the instructions of the producer all files were operated using The WaveOne? Endodontic system (Dentsply Maillefer), which is pre-programmed with settings for the WaveOne reciprocating file system.

The One Shape files were used with a rotation speed of 400 rpm and torque 2.0gr/cm². PathFiles and G-files worked at one and the same rotation speed (300 rpm) and torque (0.6gr/cm²). The amount of pressure applied to all files was the pressure that could be applied to a sharp #2 pencil without breaking the lead. The files were never forced into the canal.

Initially, all canals were scouted to full working length with a #10 hand K-file. In the first group all canals were shaped with the small (ISO 21 tip and 6% taper) WaveOne file, following the instructions of the producer (a #10 hand K-file to be very resistant to movement at the initial inspection of the root canals).

In the second group shaping was performed with One Shape files (ISO 25 tip and 6% taper). In the third group a glide path was created at the beginning with PathFile System. It consists of three instruments with a square cross section, four cutting angles, 21-25-31 mm length, a 0.02 taper and a size of the tip ISO 13, 16 and 19. Later, the canals were instrumented with the small (ISO 21 tip and 6% taper) WaveOne file.

In the fourth group G-Files were used for glide path creation. G-Files consist of two instruments 21-25-29 mm long, with a 0.03 taper, a size of the tip ISO 12 and 17 and a variable cross-section throughout the length of the instrument. The 3 cutting edges are on three different radiuses relative to the axis of the canal, leaving a large and efficient area for upward debris removal. Shaping was finished with One Shape files (ISO 25 tip and 6% taper).

WaveOne and One Shape files worked till fracture occurred.

During mechanical instrumentation each file was coated with Glyde? (Dentsply Maillefer) to act as a lubricant, and copious irrigation with 5.25% NaOCl was carried out. The instrumentation of all canals was performed by a single operator.

4 III.

5 Results

6 a) Average Lifespan of Shaping Files i. Comparison between WaveOne and One Shape files

Fifteen files were used in canals' preparation and fourteen of them broke: 4 WaveOne files and 10 One Shape files. The longest lifespan of a single WaveOne file was 13 canals and of a single One Shape file -7 canals. Respectively, the shortest lifespan was 7 and 2 canals.

The average lifespan of one WaveOne file was 10.25 ± 2.50 canals and of a single One Shape file -4.1 ± 1.37 canals. The difference was statistically significant ($p < 0.001$) (t-test).

7 ii. Comparison between WaveOne and WaveOne+PathFiles

Eight WaveOne reciprocating files were used in canals' preparation and six of them broke: 4 files before the creation of a glide path and 2 after the initial enlargement of the artificial canals with PathFile System. The longest lifespan of a single file from the first group was 13 canals and from the second group -19 canals. The shortest lifespan was measured in the first group and was 7 canals.

The average lifespan of one WaveOne file without a creation of a glide path was 10.25 ± 2.50 canals and after a creation of a glide path -17.50 ± 2.12 canals. The difference was statistically significant ($p < 0.05$) (t-test).

iii. Comparison between One Shape and One Shape+G-Files Nineteen files were used during the instrumentation and 18 of them broke: 10 in the group without a glide path and 8 -after the preliminary enlargement with G-Files. The longest lifespan in the first group is 7 canals and in the second -6 canals. Two canals were the shortest lifespan in both groups.

The average lifespan of a single One Shape file without a creation of a glide path was 4.1 (± 1.37) canals and after a creation of a glide path $-4.6 (\pm 1.30)$ canals. The difference was statistically insignificant ($? > 0.05$) (ttest).

8 iv. Comparison between WaveOne+PathFiles and

One Shape+G-Files Twelve shaping files were used after the initial enlargement of the canals -3 WaveOne files and 9 One Shape files. During the instrumentation ten of them broke: 2 WaveOne files and 8 One Shape files. The longest lifespan of a single file from the first group was 19 canals and from the second group -6 canals. The shortest lifespan was measured in the second group and was only 2 canals.

After the creation of a glide path, the average lifespan of one WaveOne file was 17.50 ± 2.12 canals and of a single One Shape file -4.63 ± 1.30 canals. The difference was statistically significant ($p < 0.001$) (t-test). The results of the average lifespan of the tested shaping files can be summarized in Table 1. The survival rate for one WaveOne files was 75% at the instrumentation of the 8 th canal, 50% at the 11 th one and 25% at the shaping of the twelve canal.

The cumulative proportion surviving at the time for One Shape was 90% at the instrumentation of the 3 rd canal, 70% at the 4 th one, 30% at the 5 th and 10% at the 6th canal. All files were broken at the preparation of the 8 th canal.

The cumulative survival for WaveOne files, after the creation of a glide path, was 50% at the instrumentation of the 17 th canal and all files were separated at the instrumentation of the 20 th canal.

The survival rate for One Shape files, after the creation of a glide path, was 88.9% at the instrumentation of the 3rd canal, 66.7% at the instrumentation of the 5 th canal, 26.7% at the preparation of the 6th canal and all files were separated at the instrumentation of the 7 th canal.

i.

9 Comparison between WaveOne and One Shape files

The comparison between the two systems reveals significant difference ($? < 0.05$). At the 6 th canal the cumulative survival of one WaveOne file was 100%, while it was only 10% for a single One Shape file. At the 8th canal 75% of WaveOne files were intact but all One Shape files were broken.

ii.

10 Comparison between WaveOne and WaveOne+PathFiles

After the creation of a glide path, all WaveOne Files were intact (100% survival) at the instrumentation of the 14 th canal, while all of them from the first group (without a glide path) were broken. The log-rank test presented a significantly longer survival ($? < 0.05$) for the instruments used after a glide path was created. iii.

11 Comparison between One Shape and One Shape+G-Files

At the shaping of the 5th canal, after the creation of a glide path, the cumulative survival of a single One Shape file (66.7%) was twice as high as in the first group (30%).

Although the survival rate increased after the preliminary enlargement of the canal diameter, the difference between the two groups remained insignificant ($?>0.05$). iv.

12 Comparison between WaveOne+PathFiles and One Shape+G-Files

When comparing the results from both groups, it is found that at the instrumentation of the 7th canal all WaveOne files were intact (100% survival), while all One Shape files were broken. After the creation of a glide path, the log-rank test presented a significantly longer survival for the WaveOne instruments when compared with the One Shape files ($?<0.05$).

The survival curves of the tested instruments are presented on Fig. 1.

13 Discussion

Our study was carried out on standardized artificial canals, which are expected to minimize the influence of other variables (Yao et al., 2006). We used Endo-Training Block simulators with high degree curvature in the apical 1/3 because we wanted to trace out and to compare the survival of WaveOne and One Shape rotary files under the conditions of greater stress.

It is well documented in in vitro investigations that a stronger curvature and a smaller radius of the root canal increase the risk of rotary instrument fracture (Pruett et al., 1997; Zelada et al., 2002; Martin et al., 2003).

Despite the proven beneficial characteristics of the nickel-titanium rotary instruments, the risk of their separation, especially during the instrumentation of severely curved canals is higher (Iqbal et al., 2006; You et al., 2010; Setzer et al., 2013). Fracture of NiTi files is a result of flexural and torsional failure. Flexural fracture is due to repeated compression and tension in curved canals that lead to cyclic flexural fatigue of the metal and the initiation of cracks from the outer surface of the instrument (Berutti et al., 2006). In majority of cases, torsional fracture occurs in the last millimeters of the file when the tip or any other part of the instrument binds to the canal walls whereas the handpiece keeps turning (Sattapan et al., 2006). The choice of the instruments compared in our investigation was not accidental. Our attention was directed to WaveOne and One Shape NiTi rotary files because they are sterile single-file systems and have non-working (safety) tip and variable cross-sections along the blade of the instrument. At the same time, they work with different mode of rotation -reciprocating (WaveOne) and continuous (One Shape). Both producers claim their products are safe and ensure an effective apical progression with low risk of fractures and obstructions. In fact, the incidence of their separation in clinical practice can increase as a result of overusage due to their high cost.

The examined files have different size of the tip because of two reasons: firstly, the One Shape files are offered only in one size and secondly, the tip size of the WaveOne file was chosen strictly following the instructions of the manufacturer -to use the small WaveOne file, if #10 hand K-file doesn't easily move toward the terminus of the canal.

Sometimes, shaping of severely curved canals is a big challenge. That is the reason most clinical guidelines and manufacturers' recommendations for instrumentation with rotary NiTi instruments to claim reduction of canal curvature. It can be achieved either by creating straight-line access, which is not always possible, or by initial enlargement of the canal. The preliminary creation of a glide path can be regarded as a crucial step during chemomechanical endodontic procedures which reduces the interference of the shaping instrument with canal walls and makes the subsequent use of the larger rotary NiTi instruments safer and more effective (Roland et al., 2002; Ruddell, 2005; Di Fiore, 2007; Peters et al., 2010). The probability of canal modifications and aberrations seems to be significantly reduced (Berruti et al., 2004; Varela Patino et al., 2005; Berruti et al., 2012).

The role of the initial creation of glide path is clearly demonstrated in our investigation, as well. After the preliminary enlargement of the artificial canals the average lifespan and the cumulative survival of WaveOne and One Shape files were increased.

In the couple WaveOne-WaveOne+PathFiles the difference between the average lifespan in the two groups was statistically significant ($p<0.05$). From 10.25 ± 2.50 canals it raised to 17.50 ± 2.12 canals, the number of broken files in the second group decreased twice and the highest lifespan values were found here -16 and 19 canals. The log-rank test presented a significantly longer survival ($?<0.05$) for the instruments used after a glide path was created. In this group all WaveOne files were intact at the instrumentation of the 14 th canal while all the files from the first group were broken; 50% of the instruments remained safe at the shaping of the 17 th canal and the files didn't break until the preparation of the 20th canal.

The couple One Shape-One Shape+G-Files reveals the same tendency. The average lifespan was increased from 4.1 (±1.37) to 4.6 (±1.30) canals after the creation of a glide path but the difference was insignificant ($?>0.05$). We found the greatest number of fractures in the study -10 and 8 files, respectively and the shortest lifespan of only two uses. At the instrumentation of the 5 th canal in the second group the cumulative survival of a single One Shape file (66.7%) was twice as high as in the first group (30%) but the difference between the two groups remained insignificant ($?>0.05$).

The great difference in the results obtained for WaveOne and One Shape files can be explained to some extent with the characteristics of the two NiTi rotary systems used for glide path creation. They are claimed by the producers to create a good combination of flexibility, strength and efficacy that allows a safe and fast use even in

severely curved and/or calcified canals. The last files used from the two systems have different tip size (PathFile -ISO 19 and G-Files -ISO 17) and create different apical size of the canal. For the WaveOne group it is closer to the size (ISO 21) of the shaping file used when compared with the One Shape group (ISO 25). Consequently, under one and the same conditions, the stress accumulated on One Shape files is greater and the separation incidence increases.

The great number of uses of WaveOne files can be attributed not only to the creation of a glide path but to the specific reciprocal way of rotation and their design, as well. It is well documented that the reciprocating rotation decreases the impact of cyclic fatigue on nickel-titanium rotary instrument life and the incidence of instrument fractures (in resin blocks and The survival of an instrument is directly proportional to the stress accumulated during work in the root canal (Berutti et al., 2003).

In the couple WaveOne-One Shape a statistically significant difference between the average lifespan of the two groups was found out. For one WaveOne file it was 10.25 ± 2.50 canals and for a single One Shape file -only 4.1 ± 1.37 canals. The tendency is preserved when the comparison was made after the creation of a glide path. The average lifespan of one WaveOne file was 17.50 ± 2.12 canals and of a single One Shape file - 4.63 ± 1.30 canals and again the difference was statistically significant ($p < 0.001$).

The results from the cumulative survival are similar. The comparison between the two systems reveals a huge difference. In the couple WaveOne-One Shape 75% of WaveOne files were intact at the shaping of the 8 th canal while all One Shape files were broken. When a glide path was created, all One Shape files were separated at the instrumentation of the 7 th canal but 100% of WaveOne files survived.

The findings from our study undoubtedly demonstrate that reciprocating motion reduces torsional stress and avoids taper-lock due to the unsymmetrical repeating of the clockwise and counterclockwise the incidence of instrument fracture in blocks of resin was lower with alternating rotation than with continuous rotation. The mean number of uses in their study was 10 with alternating movement compared with 4-5 uses with continuous rotation. Torsional stress is additionally decreased when a glide path is initially created because the area on which the stress is exerted on is shifted from the tip of the file to its body. Reciprocating motion raises our expectations for longer survival of the files and gives us more comfort and safety, especially during shaping of severely curved root canals.

V.

14 Conclusion

In conclusion, within the limitations of this study, WaveOne files showed significantly higher resistance to fracture compared with One Shape files. Instrumentation with files with reciprocal motion increases significantly instruments life and makes them safer during shaping of root canals. NiTi rotary instruments can be used for creation of a glide path as a result of which the lifespan and survival rate of WaveOne and One Shape files increase.

VI.



1

Figure 1: Figurer 1 :

al., 1997;
Bonaccorso et al., 2009; Cheung et al., 2009). Despite
their undeniably favorable qualities, NiTi rotary
instruments can separate unexpectedly, especially when
they are forced into the canal or are overused (Sattapan
et al., 2000; Arens et al., 2003). Fractures of NiTi rotary
instruments are result of torsional stress or cyclic flexural
Author

[Note: ? : Department of Conservative Dentistry, Faculty of Dental Medicine, Medical University, Sofia; 1,
St. George Sofiiski blvd., 1431 Sofia, Bulgaria. e-mail: karova_e@yahoo.com Author ? : Prof. Dr. Snezhanka
Topalova-Pirinska, PhD, Department of Conservative Dentistry, Faculty of Dental Medicine, Medical University,
Sofia; 1, St. George Sofiiski blvd., 1431 Sofia, Bulgaria. e-mail: toppir@abv.bg fatigue (]

Figure 2:

1

? Preparation technique		Number of broken files	Highest number of successfully treated canals	Lowest number of successfully treated canals	Average lifespan
1	WaveOne	4	13	7	10.25±2.50
2	WaveOne+PathFile	12	19	16	17.50±2.12
3	One Shape	10	7	2	4.1 (±1.37)
4	One Shape+G- Files	8	6	2	4.63±1.30

[Note: b) Cumulative Survival at the Time of Shaping Files]

Figure 3: Table 1 :

.1 Acknowledgement

The study is sponsored by the Scientific Council of Medical University, Sofia, Bulgaria.

- [Short et al. ()] ‘A comparison of canal centering ability of four instrumentation techniques’. J A Short , L A Morgan , J C Baumgartner . *J Endod* 1997. 23 p. .
- [Chen and Messer ()] ‘A comparison of stainless steel hand and rotary nickel-titanium instrumentation using a silicone impression technique’. J L Chen , H H Messer . *Aust Dent J* 2002. 47 p. .
- [Fiore ()] ‘A dozen ways to prevent nickeltitanium rotary instrument fracture’. Di Fiore , PM . *J Am Dent Assoc* 2007. 138 p. .
- [Cheung and Liu ()] ‘A restrospective study of endodontic treatment outcome between nickeltitanium rotary and stainless steel hand filing techniques’. G S Cheung , C S Liu . *J Endod* 2009. 35 p. .
- [Iqbal et al. ()] ‘A retrospective clinical study of incidence of root canal instrument separation in an endodontics graduate programme: A PennEndo database study’. M K Iqbal , M R Kohli , J S Kim . *J Endod* 2006. 32 p. .
- [Varela Patino et al. (2010)] ‘Alternating versus continuous rotation: A comparative study of the effect on instrument life’. P Varela Patino , A Ibanez-Parraga , B Rivas-Mundina , G Cantatore , X L Otero , B Martin-Biedma . *J Endod* 2010 Jan. 36 (1) p. .
- [Peters and Peters] ‘Cleaning and shaping of the root canal system’. O A Peters , C I Peters . *Cohen’s Pathways of the Pulp*, K M Hargreaves, S Cohen (ed.) (St. Louis, MO, Mosby) 2010 p. . (10th ed)
- [Schilder (1974)] ‘Cleaning and shaping the root canal’. H Schilder . *Dent Clin North Am* 1974 Apr. 18 (2) p. .
- [Berutti et al. ()] ‘Comparative analysis of torsional and bending stresses in two mathematical models of nickel titanium rotary instruments: ProTaper versus ProFile’. E Berutti , G Chiandussi , I Gaviglio , A Ibba . *J Endodon* 2003. 1 (29) p. .
- [Tygesen et al. ()] ‘Comparison of distortion and separation utilizing ProFile and Pow-R nickel-titanium rotary files’. Y A Tygesen , R Steiman , C Ciavarrro . *J Endod* 2001. 27 p. .
- [Schäfer et al. ()] ‘Comparison of Hand Stainless Steel and Nickel Titanium Rotary Instrumentation: A Clinical Study’. E Schäfer , U Schulz-Bongert , G Tulus . *J Endod* 2004. 30 (6) p. .
- [Lopes et al. (2013)] ‘Comparison of the mechanical properties of rotary instruments made of conventional nickel-titanium wire, M-Wire, or nickel-titanium alloy in R-phase’. H P Lopes , T Gambarra-Soares , C N Elias , J F SiqueiraJr , I F Inojosa , W S Lopes . *J Endod* 2013. Apr. 39 (4) p. .
- [Peters (2004)] ‘Current challenges and concepts in the preparation of root canal systems: a review’. O A Peters . *J Endod* 2004 Aug. 30 (8) p. .
- [Kim et al. ()] ‘Cyclic fatigue and fracture characteristics of ground and twisted nickel-titanium rotary files’. H C Kim , J Yum , B Hur , G S Cheung . *J Endod* 2010. 36 p. .
- [Kim et al. (2012)] ‘Cyclic fatigue and torsional resistance of two new nickel-titanium instruments used in reciprocation motion: Recoproc versus WaveOne’. H C Kim , S W Kwak , G S Cheung , D H Ko , S M Chung , W Lee . *J Endod* 2012 Apr. 38 (4) p. .
- [Yao et al. ()] ‘Cyclic fatigue of three types of rotary nickel-titanium files in a dynamic model’. J H Yao , S A Schwartz , T J Beeson . *J Endod* 2006. 32 p. .
- [Pruett et al. ()] ‘Cyclic fatigue testing of nickel-titanium endodontic instruments’. J P Pruett , D J Clement , D L CarnesJr . *J Endod* 1997. 23 p. .
- [Shen et al. ()] ‘Defects in nickel-titanium instruments after clinical use. Part I: relationship between observed imperfections and factors leading to such defects in a cohort study’. Y Shen , M Haapasalo , G S Cheug , B Peng . *J endod* 2009. 35 p. .
- [Cheung et al. (2005)] ‘Defects in ProTaper S1 instruments after clinical use: fractographic examination’. G S Cheung , B Peng , Z Bian , Y Shen , B W Darvell . *Int Endod J* 2005 Nov. 38 (11) p. .
- [Sattapan et al. ()] ‘Defects in rotary nickel-titanium files after clinical use’. B Sattapan , G J Nervo , J E Palamara , H H Messer . *J Endod* 2000. 26 p. .
- [Arens et al. (2003)] ‘Evaluation of single-use rotary nickel-titanium instruments’. F C Arens , M M Hoen , H R Steiman , G C DietzJr . *J Endod* 2003 Oct. 29 (10) p. .
- [De-Deus et al. (2010)] ‘Extended cyclic fatigue life of F2 ProTaper instruments used in reciprocating movement’. G De-Deus , E J Moreira , H P Lopes , C N Elias . *Int Endod J* 2010 Dec. 43 (12) p. .
- [Parahos et al. ()] ‘Factors influencing defects of rotary nickel-titanium endodontic instruments after clinical use’. P Parahos , I Gordon , H H Messer . *J Endod* 2004. 30 p. .
- [Martin et al. ()] ‘Factors influencing the fracture of nickel-titanium rotary instruments’. B Martin , G Zelada , P Varela . *J Endod* 2003. 36 p. .

- [Gambarini et al. (2008)] ‘Fatigue resistance of engine-driven rotary nickel-titanium instruments produced by new manufacturing methods’. G Gambarini , N M Grande , G Plotino , F Somma , M Garala , De Luca , M . *J Endod* 2008 Aug. 34 (8) p. .
- [Varela-Patino et al. ()] ‘Fracture rate of nickel-titanium instruments using continuous versus alternating rotation’. P Varela-Patino , B Martin , N J Rodrigues . *Endodontic practice Today* 2008. 2 p. .
- [Setzer and Bombe ()] ‘Influence of combined cyclic fatigue and torsional stress on the fracture point of nickel-titanium rotary instruments’. F C Setzer , C P Bombe . *J Endod* 2013. 39 p. .
- [Zhang et al. ()] ‘Influence of cross-sectional design and dimension on mechanical behavior of nickel-titanium instruments under torsion and bending: a numerical analyses’. E W Zhang , G S Cheung , Y F Zheng . *J Endod* 2010. 36 p. .
- [Berruti et al. (2004)] ‘Influence of manual preflaring and torque on the failure rate of ProTaper rotary instruments’. E Berruti , A R Negro , M Lendini , D Pasqualini . *J Endod* 2004 Apr. 30 (4) p. .
- [Yared et al. ()] ‘Influence of rotational speed, torque, and operator’s proficiency on ProFile failures’. G M Yared , Bou Dagher , F E Machtou , P . *Int Endod J* 2001. 34 p. .
- [Hulsmann and Schinkel (1999)] ‘Influence of several factors on the success or failure of removal of fractured instruments from the root canal’. M Hulsmann , I Schinkel . *Endod Dent Traumatol* 1999 Dec. 15 (6) p. .
- [Wu et al. (2011)] ‘Instrument separation analysis of multi-used ProTaper Universal Rotary System during root canal therapy’. J Wu , G Lei , M Yan , Y Yu , J Yu , G Zhang . *J Endod* 2011 Jun. 37 (6) p. .
- [Franco et al. (2011)] ‘Investigation of the shaping ability of nickel-titanium files when used with a reciprocating motion’. V Franco , C Fabiani , S Taschieri , A Malentacca , M Bortolin , Del Fabbro , M . *J Endod* 2011 Oct. 37 (10) p. .
- [You et al. (2010)] ‘Lifespan of one nickel-titanium rotary file with reciprocating motion in curved root canals’. S Y You , K S Bae , S H Baek , K Y Kum , W J Shon , W Lee . *J Endod* 2010 Dec. 36 (12) p. .
- [Hulsmann et al. ()] ‘Mechanical preparation of root canals: shaping goals, techniques and means’. M Hulsmann , O A Peters , P Dummer . *Endod Topics* 2005. 10 p. .
- [Alapati et al. (2009)] ‘Metallurgical characterization of a new nickel-titanium wire for rotary endodontic instruments’. S B Alapati , W A Brantley , M Iijima , W A Clark , L Kovarik , C Buie . *J Endod* 2009 Nov. 35 (11) p. .
- [reciprocating motion on cyclic fatigue resistance of 4 different nickel-titanium rotary instruments J Enodod (2013)] ‘reciprocating motion on cyclic fatigue resistance of 4 different nickel-titanium rotary instruments’. *J Enodod* 2013 Feb. 39 (2) p. .
- [Berruti et al. ()] ‘Root canal anatomy preservation of WaveOne reciprocating files with and without glide path’. E Berruti , D S Paolino , G Chiandussi . *J Endod* 2012. 38 p. .
- [Sonntag et al. ()] ‘Root canal shaping with manual stainless steel files and rotary NiTi files performed by students’. D Sonntag , A Guntermann , S K Kim , V Stachniss . *Int Endod J* 2003. 36 p. .
- [Berutti and Cantatore ()] ‘Rotary instruments in Nickel Titanium’. E Berutti , G Cantatore . *Castellucci A. Endodontics*, (Il Tridente Florence) 2006. 1 p. .
- [Parashos and Messer (2006)] ‘Rotary NiTi instrument fracture and its consequences’. P Parashos , H H Messer . *J Endod* 2006 Nov. 32 (11) p. .
- [Bonaccorso et al. ()] ‘Shaping ability of four nickel-titanium rotary instruments in simulated S-shaped canals’. A Bonaccorso , G Cantatore , G G Condorelli , E Schafer , T R Tripi . *J Endod* 2009. 35 p. .
- [Roland et al. (2002)] ‘The effect of preflaring on the rates of separation for 0.04 taper nickel titanium rotary instruments’. D D Roland , W E Andelin , D F Browning , G-Hr Hsu , M Torabinejad . *J Endod* 2002 Jul. 28 (7) p. .
- [Zelada et al. ()] ‘The effect of rotational speed and the curvature of root canals on the breakage of rotary endodontic instruments’. G Zelada , P Varela , B Martin . *J Endod* 2002. 28 p. .
- [Varela Patino et al. ()] ‘The Influence of a Manual Glide Path on the Separation Rate of NiTi Rotary Instruments’. P Varela Patino , B Biedma , C L Rodriguez , G Cantatore , J C Bahillo . *J Endodon* 2005. 31 (2) p. .
- [Zarrabi et al. ()] ‘The influence of torque and manual glide path on the defect or separation rate of NiTi rotary instruments in root canal therapy’. M H Zarrabi , M Javidi , M Vatanpour , H Esmaeili . *Indian J Dent Res* 2010. 21 p. .
- [Ruddle ()] ‘The ProTaper technique’. C J Ruddle . *Endod Topics* 2005. 10 p. .
- [Webber et al. ()] ‘The WaveOne single-file reciprocating system’. J Webber , P Machtou , W Pertot , S Kuttler , C Ruddle , J West . *Roots* 2011. 1 p. .