Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.* 

1	A 3-Year Evaluation of Anterior Open Bite Treatment Stability
2	with Occlusal Adjustment
3	Alessandro De Carlo <sup>1</sup> and Daniel Celli <sup>2</sup>
4	<sup>1</sup> University of the Sacred Heart, Rome, Italy
5	Received: 6 December 2013 Accepted: 5 January 2014 Published: 15 January 2014

#### 7 Abstract

6

19

To evaluate the effect of deciduous teeth grinding during mixed dentition, by the control of 8 permanent molars eruption, using contemporary MEA appliance and palatal grid for anterior 9 open-bite treatment. Materials and Methods: The sample consisted of 31 patients with a 10 pre-treatment mean age of 9.09 years. At the time of drawing up this manuscript, 14 patients 11 of the entire sample reach the 3 years follow-up. The occlusal adjustment procedure was 12 performed in centric relation. Every patient was treated in the second phase with fixed arch 13 wires and finally a fixed lower retainer was placed. Pretreatment and posttreatment 14 cephalometric changes were compared with dependent t tests. Results: Superimposition of 15 pre-and post-treatment cephalometric tracings, showed an advancement of A-point and ANS 16 towards an anterior-lower direction. Overbite increased significantly with treatment and 17 caused significant changes in other skeletal and dentoalveolar variables. 18

20 Index terms— open bite, orthodontic, orthodontic appli - ances, occlusal adjustment.

#### <sup>21</sup> 1 Introduction

22 alocclusions characterized by anterior open bite are often difficult to treat successfully. Numerous theories have been proposed for aetiology of open bite, including heredity, unfavourable growth patterns, digit habits, enlarged 23 24 lymphatic tissue function, health, and stability may occur with anterior open bite. These difficulties may include 25 diminished dental aesthetics during speech and when smiling, lack of incisor guidance and canine disclusion, resulting in molar cuspal wear, exacerbation of temporomandibular dysfunction, lisping and involuntary spitting 26 27 when speaking, posterior cross bite with functional shift of the mandible related to a posterior collapse of the maxilla, and maxillary incisor root resorption. ??, ?? Skeletal open bite is usually considered as a deviation 28 in vertical relationship of the maxillary and mandibular dental arches with a lack of contact between opposing 29 segments of teeth. If however, a dentoalveolar compensatory mechanism is involved, functional occlusion can 30 be reached. ?? Therefore, orthodontic treatment consisted mainly of dento-alveolar changes and modification of 31 oral habits. In case of unfavourable skeletal patterns, it could be necessary an orthognatic surgery correction. ?? 32 Unfortunately, many authors reported significant relapse of open bites treated either surgically or with orthodontic 33 appliances. Skeletal changes greater than those observed in untreated adults have been noted beyond 1 year 34 35 post-surgery in adult patients who had surgical correction of a long face deformity. ??, ?? Occlusal adjustment 36 for the correction of anterior open bite is a therapeutic method already described and not very widespread in 37 the literature. Few cases have been reported recently with an occlusal vertical correction, by grinding, along orthodontic 1 Greenlee GM, Huang GJ, Chen SS, Chen J, Koepsell T, Hujoel P. Stability of treatment for 38 anterior open-bite malocclusion: a metaanalysis. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 2011 Feb;139(2):154-69 2 39 Greenlee GM, Huang GJ, Chen SS, Chen J, Koepsell T, Hujoel P. Gracchus [2008] reported a vertical progressive 40 reduction of the deciduous teeth with braces and functional exercises. 41

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the effect of deciduous teeth grinding during mixed dentition in the occlusion, by the control of permanent molars eruption, using contemporary maxillary expansion appliance 44 and palatal grid to block the action of tongue muscles on dentoalveolar remodeling. Statistical analyses of the 45 results and a 3 years follow-up were showed.

#### 46 **2** II.

## 47 **3** Material and Methods

A sample consisted of 31 patients (15 male, 16 female), was obtained from the files achieved during private 48 practice in Pescara, Italy. All patients originally had an anterior open-bite malocclusion, with pretreatment 49 mean age of 9.09 years (SD 1.37, range 7-12). The mean age at the end of the treatment was 12.68 years (SD 50 1.58, range 11-15.5). All the patients were scheduled for a midterm follow-up at 3 years after treatment. At the 51 time of drawing up this manuscript, 14 patients of the entire sample reached the 3 years followup. The occlusal 52 adjustment procedure was performed in centric relation, according to the method of Okeson. 8 All patients signed 53 an informed consent for the orthodontics treatment and the necessary follow-up. a) Cephalometric Analysis All 54 cephalometric radiographs were realized at pre-treatment (T1), immediately post-treatment (T2), and after three 55 year (T3). They were digitized in double-blind by two primary authors, using TopCeph ® software analysis. The 56 cephalograms were then verified for landmarks location and anatomic contours in order to eliminate any casual 57 errors by the operators. All cephalometric easurements are described in Table I Interincisal angle Angle formed 58 by long axis of the upper incisor and long axis of the lower incisor Any disagreements were solved by retracing 59 the landmark or structure to the mutual satisfaction of both operators. 60

# <sub>61</sub> 4 b) Inclusion Criteria

In 31 patients presented to clinic observation the initial examination revealed an 100% of anterior open bite, a 62% of mouth breathing, 55% of muscle deficit and 70% of lip incompetence at rest. The sample showed different skeletal relationship: 33.3% class III, 26.7% class II and 40% class I. The patient's longstanding tongue-thrust habit had contributed to an anterior open-bite up to -7 mm and an over-jet up to 9 mm. The patient maintained maintained and and hurring and showed as a grade and hurring at a grade and hurring and an over-jet up to 9 mm. The patient maintained

66 good oral hygiene and showed no evidence of periodontal disease.

## <sup>67</sup> 5 c) statistical analyses

All data were entered into spreadsheet Office Excel 2007 (Microsoft Corporation. Redmont, Washington State) and processed to calculate the mean, median, standard deviation, minimum value, maximum value. To compare the pre-treatment, posttreatment cephalometric changes, dependent t tests were used. The follow up cephalometric values were compared only among the small sample size (n=14) for the post-treatment and 3

 $^{71}$  years after treatment. The level of significance was 5%. These analyses were performed with PhStat 2 software

73 (statistic add-in Microsoft Excel, version 2.7, Prentice Hall, Inc., Pearson Education).

# 74 6 III.

## 75 7 Treatment Plan

76 Treatment objectives aim to correct the anterior open bite and achieve ideal overbite and overjet, correct the 77 transversal discrepancy of the two dental arches increasing the space for the future permanent teeth, and achieve 78 a correct Class I dental relationship.

A rapid palatal expander HYRAX type is inserted between the second deciduous molars and canines.

The active arms of the appliance are extended to the canines, embraced them, with cannulas for the insertion of a lingual grid at the end of the activation.

During the period of RME treatment, the deciduos molars are ground with a diamond bur, to anticipate and control the contemporary eruption of the permanent first molars, and thus, the vertical dimension.(Fig. 1) The expansion time was 3.4 to 4 weeks. A lingual grid was positioned just after the rapid maxillary expansion to prevent the wrong lower tongue posture and allow subsequently the setting up of an oral seal during deglutition. The rapid maxillary expansion was kept for six months of retention.

87 IV.

# **8** Treatment Progress

89 After the treatment was suspended, maxillary and mandibular arches were bonded with an HSDC (hybrid system 90 Daniel Celli), an hybrid straightwire appliance: anterior conventional brackets (STEP, Leone ® s.p.a, Florence, 91 Italy) and posterior passive self ligating brackets (F1000, Leone ® s.p.a, Florence, Italy). If necessary strategic 92 brackets positioning was used. A .014" or 016" nickel titanium main archwire was placed for initial alignment depending on the degree of dental crowding. Over the next seven/nine months, the archwires were generally 93 stepped up to .016x.025 HANT, .019" x .025" HANT (heat activated nichel titanium), .020" Australian stainless 94 steel, .019" x .025" stainless steel wire. Intermaxillary elastics were used with the last leveling arch wire to correct 95 the occlusion and the dental open bite. The case was finished with a sectional arch wire .019" x .025" stainless 96 steel on the maxillary arch and a .016" Ni-Ti on the lower arch, with intermaxillary elastics worn to maintain 97

98 the correction. (Fig. 2)

## 99 9 Results

At the end of active treatment, the brackets were debonded, and a fixed retainer was placed on the lower anterior arch, while a wraparound removable retainer, for the upper dental arch was delivered. The mean time of active treatment was 3.22 years (SD, 0.93). Post-treatment facial and intraoral photographs showed good aesthetic, skeletal balance and good functional results in all the patients. Final occlusal results, dentoalveolar compensation and root angulations were acceptable, with an adequate overjet and overbite. Superimposition of pre-and post-treatment cephalometric tracings, showed an advancement of A-point and ANS towards an anteriorlower direction.

The pre-treatment overbite had a mean value of -2.5 mm (SD -2.22, median -2, range -7 to 0) while post-107 treatment overbite had a mean of 1.75 mm (SD 1.75, median 1.75, range 0 to 3). Overbite increased significantly 108 with treatment and caused significant changes in other skeletal and dentoalveolar variables. In fact there was 109 a mean increase in overbite after the therapeutic protocol used of 4.25 mm (SD 2.58, median 3.5, range -0.5 to 110 7.5) The dependent t test analysis confirmed the statistically significant of the results showed (t test 0.000137, 111 P < 0.05). There was a statistically significant increase in other dentoalveolar cephalometric values (-1/GoGn; 112 interincisal angle); the vertical facial pattern variables, specially the maxillary plane (SN/anspns; anspns/GoGn), 113 had statistically significant reductions. Starting from the dentoalveolar pattern, the pre-treatment -1/GoGn 114 changed from an average of 95.00 (SD 9.64, Median 93, range 76 to 114) to an average of 91.63 (SD 9.54, Median 115 94, range 70 to 104). The pre-treatment interincisal angle mean was 118.5 (SD 11.689, Median 121, range 98 to 116 138) while the mean value after treatment was 123.5 (SD 8.691, Median 124.5, range 116 to 145) (Table II). Upon 117 the whole sample, 30 patients had a positive overbite after the therapeutic protocol whereas 1 had a negative 118 overbite (3.23% of the patients) due to the lack of patient cooperation and therefore, he was excluded from the 119 statistical analysis. The mean changes of the other variables and their standard deviations are also shown on 120 Table III. After 3 years of follow-up, the sample (n=14) showed minimal changes in cephalometric values chosen. 121 as confirmed by the statistical results shown on Table IV. Of the 14 patients treated, five reported almost the 122 same values as at the end of treatment. 123

## 124 10 Discussion

The treatment protocol described for the open bite correction, is composed of a combination of progressive vertical reduction of the deciduous first and second molars and fixed appliance that requires minimal patient's compliance.

From the analysis of the treated patients, in almost all of them the therapeutic objectives were reached. The selected sample (n=30) showed a variable skeletal relationship except for a marked anterior open-bite that has been underwent this treatment procedure. Although these odds, related to subsequent different cephalometric sagittal markers, they can be considered indicative of the significant scientific value of the tested protocol that can be applied in any different subjects and clinical situations.

Rapid maxillary expansion (RME) is a universally employed technique for correction of posterior crossbites 133 and gain in arch perimeter in patients with toothsize/arch-size discrepancies, like skeletal Class II and Class 134 III. ?? The device leads mainly skeletal and alveolar volume variations of the palate, with orthopedic effect of 135 rapid expansion, and subsequently in selected cases, antero-posterior and vertical mandibular changes in skeletal 136 Class II patients. ??O Unfortunately a slight relapse occurs after device removal in long term, the greates 137 being in ?? Lima Filho RM, de Oliveira Ruellas AC. Long-term maxillary changes in patients with skeletal 138 Class II malocclusion treated with slow and rapid palatal expansion. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 2008 139 Sep;134(3):383-8 10 Lima Filho RM, de Oliveira Ruellas AC. Mandibular behavior with slow and rapid maxillary 140 expansion in skeletal Class II patients: a longterm study. Angle Orthod. 2007 Jul;77(4):625-31 intercanine 141 width. 11 Mainly expansion stability could be due to three factors: young age of the patients, which led to a 142 good orthopedic result, prolonged retention period, which permitted complete remineralization of the palatine 143 suture, and repositioning of the tongue within the arches following an increase in upper diameter. 12 Rapid 144 maxillary expansion is an important treatment factor related to the open bite correction. It is also associated 145 with a significant increment in nasal volumes and in the transverse diameter of the maxilla, with statistically 146 significant increase respectively in decongested total nasal volumes and in binasal cavity. Regard to breathing 147 posture, the role of this procedure still remains debatable. 13 The anterior tongue rest posture plays an etiologic 148 role in the relapse of anterior open-bite. 14 The open bite reduction and its stability can also be attributed to 149 the tongue spurs, which interfere with the wrong lower tongue posture and with the establishment of an oral 150 seal during deglutition. 15 The effectiveness of the tongue spurs has been repeatedly the subject of criticism and 151 literature review. 152

## <sup>153</sup> 11 Its effect changes, depending on various parameters

Volume XIV Issue IV Version I Year () J such as length of spurs use, age of stakeholders, the skeletal class and function, design spurs. The tongue spurs force a change on the anterior tongue rest posture, which in turn allows incisors to erupt, closing the anterior open bite. ??6 The authors decided to perform occlusal adjustment only on deciduous molars. Grinding is an aggressive procedure for the dental tissues, with permanent effects on teeth. Working on deciduous teeth becomes a transitional and non-invasive procedure for the patient. The results confirmed previous studies demonstrating the efficacy of the procedure to close an open-bite. ??7, ??8 Selective grinding, to be effective, must be achieved during the period of growth and, namely, at the moment of maxillary and mandibular permanent teeth eruption. A loss of occlusal contact between the upper and lower molars resulted at this time. The deciduous teeth will be ground up to that a physical contact would be re-establish with the antagonist molars.

The proper management of an open-bite patient is based on the choice of a therapeutic protocol that takes into account the difficulties and long-term stability of this treatment. Early treatment of open bite allows compensatory craniofacial growth and reduces the need for a second phase of treatment that might involve extractions or orthognathic surgery. When the open bite correction begins in deciduous or mixed dentition, as in the treatment protocol proposed, the appliances could be very effective and produce faster response in younger subjects. ??9 Finally, the use of multiple therapeutic options allows us to get satisfactory and stable results over time, as demonstrated by the 3-years follow up.

Accordingly, it is important to notice the cephalometric changes after the therapeutic protocol. There was a statistically significant decrease of the facial pattern angles as well as the dento-alveolar terms. Ans-Pns plane rotate clockwise in the mid-sagittal plane. The upper and lower incisors change their position in order to close the bite; therefore a good aesthetic condition and the protection of incisors guidance during protrusion are kept over time.

176 Although after 3 years the study presents a small sample, it's already possible to identify a stable

## 177 **12** Conclusion

178 This study suggests that selective grinding of deciduous teeth permits to obtain fast therapeutic results with

harmless and transitory effects for dental tissue. Its action, coupled with the rapid expansion of the palate and

tongue spurs, allows the closure of open bite, followed by orthodontics. The early treatment proposed of open-bite
 tendency results in a rapid control of the vertical dimension, in a significant and stable improvement of a correct and functional occlusion and in perceived facial aesthetic.



Figure 1: Figure 1:



#### Figure 2: Figure 2:

182

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>McNamara JA Jr, Seligman DA, Okeson JP. Occlusion, Orthodontic treatment, and temporomandibular disorders: a review. J Orofac Pain. 1995 Winter;9(1):73-90. Review

 $<sup>^2 \</sup>odot$  2014 Global Journals Inc. (US)





Figure 4:

Ι

2014

Year

Volume SNA SNB Cephalometric measurements Angle between lines S-N and N-B XIV ANB Wits Angle between lines S-N and N-B Angle between lines N-A and Issue FMA N-B Distance between perpendicular projections of Points A and IV Sn.GoGn B on the functional occlusal plane Frankfort mandibular plane Ver-Sn.anspns angle: angle between lines Po-Or and Go-Me Angle between lines sion anspns.GoGnS-N and Go-Gn Angle between lines S-N and ans-pns (maxillary Ι Go plane) Angle between posterior (Go-Ar) and lower borders (Gogeometric Me) of the lower jaw Angle between long axis of upper incisor (+1)/anspns and ans-pns J ( ) (-

1)/GoGn

[Note: Angle between long axis of lower incisor and Go-Gn OverjetDistance between incisal edges of maxillary and mandibular central incisors, parallel to Frankfort plane Overbite Distance between incisal edges of maxillary and mandibular central incisors, perpendicular to occlusal plane]

Figure 5: Table I :

			$(\text{sample} \\ n=30)$			
	Before Treatme	nt	,	After Treatmen	nt	
Cephalometric	Mean	Median	SD	Mean	Median	SD
measurements						
SNA	81,141	81	4,063	81,090	81	4,437
SNB	77,883	77,75	3,892	78,272	77	$4,\!557$
ANB	3,266	4	2,237	2,818	3	$1,\!453$
Wits	-2,091	-2,05	3,368	-2,163	-2,5	2,806
FMA	29,85	29	5,139	31	31	$5,\!196$
Sn.GoGn	38,641	39,35	5,989	38,272	38	$5,\!178$
Sn.anspns	6,716	$^{8,5}$	3,461	8,6	10	3,388
anspns.GoGn	32,083	31,5	4,010	$30,\!6$	31	4,753
Go	132,5	131	$5,\!435$	$131,\!273$	128	$6,\!679$
(+1)/anspns	113,167	112,5	6,912	$113,\!545$	115	3,939
(-1)/GoGn	95,008	93	9,644	91,636	94	9,542
Overjet	3,291	$_{3,5}$	2,879	2,863	3	1,266
Overbite	-2,5	-2	2,225	1,75	1,75	$1,\!138$
Interincisal angle	118,5	121	11,689	123,5	$124,\!5$	8,691

Figure 6: Table II :

#### $\mathbf{III}$

A 3-Year Evaluation of Anterior Open Bite Treatment Stability with Occlusal Adjustment

Cephalometric measurements SNA SNB ANB Wits FMA Sn.GoGn Sn.anspns

Anspns.GoGn	$1,483\ 1,$	$^{0,5}$			
				3	
(+1)/anspns	(-1)/GoGn	$0,\!378$	$3,\!371$	$^{2,5}$	
Overjet	Overbite	0,428 4,	25  5	1	
Interincisal angle					
				$^{3,5}$	
				3.5	

Figure 7: Table III :

#### $\mathbf{II}$

# $\mathbf{IV}$

# VI.

	After Treatment			Follow-up	Follow-up 3 years		
Cephalometric measurements	Mean	Median	SD	Mean	Median	Median SD	
SNA	77	77	$1,\!632$	$77,\!25$	77,5	1,707	
SNB	$74,\!625$	74,75	2,286	74,75	75	2,217	
ANB	2,375	2,5	1,108	2,5	$^{2,5}$	$1,\!29$	
Wits	-2,95	-3,4	$2,\!23$	-2,25	-2	2,217	
FMA	28,75	29	2,986	$28,\!175$	$27,\!85$	$3,\!374$	
Sn.GoGn	40	39,5	3,162	39,05	$_{38,5}$	$4,\!18$	
Sn.anspns	$11,\!525$	10,8	2,025	$11,\!25$	11,5	0,957	
anspns.GoGn	$29,\!15$	29,8	$3,\!875$	29,1	29,2	$3,\!583$	
Go	130,5	130,5	$5,\!8$	130	130	5,77	
(+1)/anspns	$115,\!375$	116,5	$2,\!625$	115,75	116,5	$2,\!629$	
(-1)/GoGn	$94,\!25$	94,5	1,707	$95,\!975$	96	1,862	
Overjet	2,75	3	1,258	2,75	3	1,258	
Overbite	1	0,75	0,707	$1,\!2$	$1,\!15$	$0,\!62$	
Interincisal angle	118	117	$5,\!887$	118	117	4,031	

Figure 8: Table IV :

- [Compadretti et al. (2006)] 'Acoustic rhinometric measurements in children undergoing rapid maxillary expansion'. Ceroni Compadretti , G Tasca , I Alessandri-Bonetti , G , PeriS , D 'addario , A . Int J Pediatr
  Ottablication de maxillary et 2006, Len , 70 (1) r.
- 185 Otorhinolaryngol 2006 Jan. 70 (1) p. .
- [Condò et al. (2012)] 'Atypical deglutition: diagnosis and interceptive treatment. A clinical study'. R Condò , M
  Costacurta , C Perugia , R Docimo . Eur J Paediatr Dent 2012 Sep. 13 (3) p. .
- [Gurel et al. (2010)] 'Long-term effects of rapid maxillary expansion followed by fixed appliances'. H G Gurel ,
  B Memili , M Erkan , Y Sukurica . Angle Orthod 2010 Jan. 80 (1) p. .
- [Urzal et al. (2013)] 'Oral habits as risk factors for anterior open bite in the deciduous and mixed dentition
  -cross-sectional study'. V Urzal , A C Braga , A P Ferreira . Eur J Paediatr Dent 2013 Dec. 14 (4) p. .
- [Gracco et al. (2010)] 'Palatal volume following rapid maxillary expansion in mixed dentition'. A Gracco , A
  Malaguti , L Lombardo , A Mazzoli , R Raffaeli . Angle Orthod 2010 Jan. 80 (1) p. .