

Role of Detoxifying Enzyme Nicotinamide Adenine Dinucleotide (Phosphate) H: Quinone Oxidoreductase-1 C609T Gene Polymorphism in Bronchogenic Carcinoma

Nermine Hossam Zakaria¹

¹ Faculty of Medicine Alexandria University

Received: 9 December 2013 Accepted: 31 December 2013 Published: 15 January 2014

Abstract

Lung cancer is currently one of the most common cancers and a major cause of cancer-related death in the world. Eighty-five percent of lung cancers are non-small cell lung cancers (NSCLCs), and 15

Index terms—

1 Introduction

Lung cancer is currently one of the most common cancers and a major cause of cancer-related death in the world. Among males, the highest lung cancer incidence rates are in Central and Eastern Europe 53.5 (per 100,000) and 50.4 in Eastern Asia. Among females, the highest lung cancer incidence rates are 33.8 in Northern America and 23.7 Northern Europe. [1] Incidence data (ASR) for the Arab countries; for males, lung cancer incidence estimated as; 31.1 (per 100,000) in Tunisia followed by Lebanon, Libya, Jordan and in Egypt 11.21 (per 100,000). For females, estimated as; 11.0 in Lebanon followed by Bahrain, Syrian Arab Republic, United Arab Emirates, and in Egypt it reaches 3.76 (per 100,000). [1] Eighty-five percent of lung cancers are non-small cell lung cancers (NSCLCs), and 15% are small cell lung cancers (SCLCs). (2) The most important risk factor for lung cancer is tobacco smoking. International variations in lung cancer rates and trends largely reflect differences in the stage and degree of the tobacco epidemic because smoking accounts for about 80% of global lung cancer deaths in men and 50% of the deaths in women. (3) Many of the compounds in tobacco smoke are oxidized by phase I enzymes into reactive metabolites, which are detoxified by phase II enzymes. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) are abundant in tobacco smoke and constitute a major etiological factor in lung cancer. (4) NQO1 [NAD(P): H-(quinone acceptor) oxidoreductase; EC 1.6.99.2] (5) enzyme is a homodimeric flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD) containing cytosolic protein catalyzing the two-electron reduction of quinone substrates. (6) Quinine compounds are mainly derived from endogenous quinones, such as vitamin E quinone, and exogenous quinones, such as exhaust gas, tobacco smoke. (7) NQO1 prevents the generation of free radicals and reactive oxygen, thus protecting the cells from oxidative damage. This pathway is thought to be the major mechanism responsible for modifying the toxicity of quinones, including those arising from the formation of DNA adducts induced by benzo(a)pyrene 3,6-quinone, one of the most potent polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons present in tobacco smoke. (8) The NQO1 gene is located on chromosome 16q22.1, spanning 17.2 kb and consisting of 6 exons and 5 introns. (9) The polymorphic variant is a C>T transition at nucleotide position 609 (amino acid codon 187) that results in a proline-to-serine amino acid substitution in the protein. The reference number of this SNP in the database of the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) is rs1800566. [10] Three genotypes of NQO1 are known to be associated with different enzymatic activities: C/C is the homozygous wild-type with normal activity, C/T is heterozygous with reduced activity, and T/T is the homozygous variant with only 2-4% of the enzyme activity of the wild-type. (11) Several studies have examined the relationship between the NQO1 genetic polymorphism and lung cancer risk, but the conclusions have been inconsistent. (9,12,13) The study of the role of SNPs and in particular the NQO1 609C>T polymorphism as a risk factor for the development of bronchogenic carcinoma among Egyptian male patients is of utmost importance for the unmasking of the risk factors underlying bronchogenic carcinoma in Egypt.

2 II.

3 Patients and Methods

The current study was conducted as a hospitalbased case-control study of 200 subjects; 100 male patients presenting to the Chest diseases unit in the Alexandria Main University Hospital with bronchogenic carcinoma in different stages of the disease between 2011 and 2013. Lung cancer cases were newly diagnosed cases aged above 40 years old with absence of previous precancerous conditions and any known primary cancerous lesion elsewhere. Diagnosis of primary lung cancer was confirmed through a review of each patient's pathology report by the Alexandria University Hospital pathology department. One hundred age-matched male adults from the same socioeconomic class were recruited. All patients and controls provided informed consent. a) DNA extraction and genotyping analysis DNA was extracted from EDTA whole blood using DNA purification kit (QIAamp DNA blood Mini Kit, Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The extraction was done according to the manufacturer's instructions using the Spin Protocol. The quantity and purity of DNA for each sample was assayed using Nanodrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo scientific, USA). The final concentration of target DNA was adjusted to 50-100 ng in the following amplification reaction to exclude variability in DNA concentration.

DNA amplification was conducted by polymerase chain reaction with confronting two-pair primers (PCRCTPP) (14) , using four primers; F1: 5'-CCT TAT CAGAGT GTC TTA CTG AGA-3' (54.4°C) and R1: 5'-CAA TGCTAT ATG TCA GTT GAG G-3' (54.7°C), for C allele amplifying a 165-bp band, as well as F2: 5'-GTG GCT TCC AAG TCTTAG AAT-3' (54.9°C) and R2: 5'-TTT CTA GCT TTG ATC TGGTTG-5' (54.5°C) for T allele amplifying a 283-bp band. A common 406-bp band was designed to be amplified between primers F1 and R2.

The results of PCR-CTPP genotyping were confirmed by PCR-RFLP with HinF1 enzyme, which produces 188-bp and 85-bp bands for C allele and 151bp and 85-bp bands for T allele using primers F: 5'-AGT GGCATT CTG CAT TTC TGT G-3', and R: 5'-GAT GGA CTT GCCCAA GTG ATG-3'. (14) Two hundred samples were successfully genotyped; 100 lung cancer patients (62 were CC, 31 were CT, and 7 were TT) and 100 healthy individuals as a control group (73 were CC, 26 were CT, and 1 was TT), The results of PCR-CTPP were consistent with those of the gold standard method PCR-RFLP.

4 b) Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS20.0 program. An effect was considered statistically significant at $P < 0.05$. X2 test was conducted for examining the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium and independence of genotype frequency between cases and controls. Logistic regression analysis was then performed to compute odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals, after adjustment for age, family history and smoking index pack-years (number of years smoked \times number of packs smoked per day).

5 III.

6 Results

The study population was Egyptians, they consisted of 100 male lung cancer patients and 100 male of age-matched healthy controls. The mean age was 54.2 ± 8.2 years for cases and 52.7 ± 8.4 years for controls. There was a statistically significant difference between the cases and controls concerning the smoking status and smoking index ($p < 0.001$). Cases were more likely than controls to be current smokers. The mean Pack-Years were 43.9 ± 20.8 among cases and 19.5 ± 14.3 among controls. Twenty three (23%) of the patients had positive family history of cancer compared to 4% of the control group with a statistically significant difference ($p < 0.001$).

In the group of lung cancer patients, the most prevalent histological subtype of lung cancer was Adenocarcinoma with a frequency of 37%, followed by 31% squamous cell carcinoma, 19% SCLC (small cell lung cancer) and 13% with other histological subtypes (7 cases were large cell anaplastic carcinoma, 4 cases were undifferentiated NSCLC and 2 cases were carcinoid tumor). As regards the tumour staging, 5 out of 100 patients were stage I, 27 patients were stage II, 32 patients were stage III and 36 patients were stage IV.

All included subjects were analyzed for the NQO1 609C>T (rs1800566) SNP genotype. The distributions of the NQO1 genotypes among lung cancer patients and controls were in agreement with Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium ($p = 0.267, 0.425$, respectively). The frequency of NQO1 CC, CT, TT genotypes was 62, 31 and 7%, respectively in patients and 73, 26 and 1% in controls. The relative frequencies of the wild (C) allele and the variant (T) allele of NQO1 609C>T (rs1800566) SNP were 77.5% and 22.5%, among the patients respectively, and were 86% and 14% among the controls, respectively. There was a significant difference among the two groups as regards their allele frequencies ($p = 0.028$). Patients with one or two copies of the T variant allele had 2.2-fold increased lung cancer risk than those with CC genotype (adjusted OR=2.2; 95%CI: 0.63-7.9). To assess whether the NQO1 variant T allele may impart different risks for the various lung cancer cell types, we computed the adjusted ORs for lung cancer for each histological type. Patients with one or two copies of the T variant allele had 4.3-fold increased SCLC risk than those with CC genotype (adjusted OR=4.3; 95%CI: 0.57-33.4), while, they had 3.8-fold increased risk for lung cancer with other histological type (adjusted OR=3.8; 95%CI: 0.49-30.7), 2.1-fold increased adenocarcinoma risk (adjusted OR=2.1; 95%CI: 0.43-10.3), and 1.2-fold increased squamous cell carcinoma risk more than those with CC genotype (adjusted OR=1.2; 95%CI: 0.21-7.0). The study subjects were stratified by

age and smoking status. As regards study subject's age, elevated lung cancer risk associated with TT genotype was evident in younger individuals (age ≤ 60) (adjusted OR=13.6; 95%CI: 0.55-58.7). On the contrary, elevated lung cancer risk associated with CT genotype individually and with one or two copies of the T variant allele (CT and TT combined) were evident in older individuals (age > 60) ((adjusted OR=9.1; 95%CI: 0.90-91.7), (adjusted OR=10.6; 95%CI: 1.1-58.7), respectively). The only significant OR was for the combined CT and TT genotype group above 60 years.

When adjustment was made for smoking index pack-years (light smokers and heavy smokers), among light smokers (< 21 p-y), the patients with one or two copies of the T variant allele had 1.9-fold increased lung Volume XIV Issue III Version I Year () K cancer risk compared to those with CC genotype (adjusted OR=1.9; 95%CI: 0.29-13.2), while, the heavy smoker (> 21 p-y) patients with one or two copies of the T variant allele had 3.6-fold increased lung cancer risk compared to those with CC genotype (adjusted OR=3.6; 95%CI: 0.41-30.7). The patients with one or two copies of the T variant allele had 3.6-fold increased lung cancer risk compared to those with CC genotype (adjusted OR=3.6; 95%CI: 0.41-30.7), while, the risk for squamous cell carcinoma was 2.4-fold (adjusted OR=2.4; 95%CI: 0.21-27.9), 17.9-fold for SCLC (adjusted OR=17.9; 95%CI: 1.5-40.6), 2.4-fold for adenocarcinoma (adjusted OR=2.4; 95%CI: 0.25-23.8), and 2.5fold for lung cancer other histological type (adjusted OR=2.5; 95%CI: 0.18-34.4). The odds ratio of the combined SCLC heavy smokers group was statistically significant. # OR adjusted for age and family history * OR significant at 0.05

Assessing the efficiency of CTPP-PCR considering allelic discrimination through genotyping analysis of NQO1 609C>T (rs1800566) SNP, comparing CTPP-PCR results with those of RFLP-PCR as a goldstandard method. The efficiency of CTPP-PCR was assessed in 200 samples; 100 lung cancer patients and 100 healthy individuals as a control group. There was no discrepancy between the CTPP-PCR and RFLP-PCR results in all our study subject samples. The previous performance reflects a sensitivity of 100% and specificity of 100%. The positive predictive value (PPV) and the negative predictive value (NPV) were 100%.

7 IV.

8 Discussion

Cancer lung is one of the leading causes of cancer related mortalities worldwide. The rapidly evolving field of cancer genetics has opened up new possibilities for the discovery of susceptibility genes for numerous cancers including lung cancer.

Lung cancer has been the most common cancer worldwide since 1985, both in terms of incidence and mortality. The 5-year survival rate in the United States for lung cancer is 15.6 %. (15) Cigarette smoking is the main risk factor for lung cancer, accounting for about 90% of the cases in men and 70% of the cases in women. (16) The pathogenesis of lung cancer had a genetic component, whether it relates to host susceptibility to lung cancer, with or without exposure to cigarette smoke to the development of certain types of lung cancer. (15) NAD (P) H: quinone oxidoreductase 1 (NQO1) is a two-electron reductase, which reduces reactive quinones to less reactive and less toxic hydroquinones, resulting in protection of the cells. (13) The quinones are mainly derived from endogenous quinones, such as vitamin E quinine and exogenous quinones, such as tobacco smoke. (17) The T/T (Ser/Ser) variable allele of NQO1 lacks enzymatic activity and fails to detoxify quinone metabolites into the reduced form. (13) It was thus hypothesized that individuals lacking NQO1 activity would be at high risk of malignancies (lung cancer) because of the exposure to procarcinogens, that are included in cigarette smoke, which are oxidized to quinone metabolites. (6) In this study, we analyzed the relationship between NQO1 genetic polymorphisms and lung cancer, comparing lung cancer patients and healthy individuals in Egypt. Our results showed, the frequencies of the wild (C) allele and the variant (T) allele of NQO1 609C>T (rs1800566) SNP for the patients were 77.5% and 22.5%, respectively, and for the controls were 86% and 14%, respectively. The allele frequencies in both cases and controls were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. The frequency of the variant allele in Egyptians was similar to the frequencies reported in another study on Arab population. (18) The NQO1 609C>T polymorphism exhibits ethnic variation (4-22%) with the highest prevalence of the T allele occurring in Asian populations and the lowest in Caucasians (4%), while, in Arabs TT genotype frequency was 6.4% (Middle Eastern Arab origin (95% Saudi Arabians and 5% from other Arab countries such as Jordan, Syria, Lebanon, Yemen) and data in this study are consistent with this frequency. (18,19) We found that, Egyptian Patients with one or two copies of the T variant allele had 2.2 -fold increased lung cancer risk than those with CC genotype. However, the patient with one or two copies of the T variant allele had a 4.3-fold increased risk for developing small cell lung cancer, 3.8-fold increased risk to lung cancer other than squamous cell carcinoma or adenocarcinoma and 2.1-fold increased risk of adenocarcinoma more than those with CC genotype. Table ?? : Log-rank test comparing survival distributions among the three groups of the patients as regards NQO1 609C>T genotype Our results are supported by Lewis et al., (13) who reported the increased risk associated with genotypes containing at least one variant T allele seems to be restricted to SCLC only among Caucasians. In studies performed in Asians, the NQO1 CC genotype was found to be associated with lung cancer, particularly adenocarcinoma, (20) whereas the variant NQO1 variant T allele has been suggested to be a risk factor for lung cancer in Caucasians. (9,13,21) The only previous study on Caucasians with sufficient number of cases that performed an analysis of histologic subtypes was in agreement with our study that the variant NQO1 genotypes were overrepresented in squamous cell carcinoma. (21) On the other side, in Asian population, the wildtype C

allele was found with higher incidence among subjects with adenocarcinoma. (12,20) Furthermore, the variant allele was found protective against adenocarcinoma in this population, while no such effect was observed in case of SCLC. (22) To explore the connection between NQO1 609C>T (rs1800566) polymorphism and lung cancer risks, we stratified the data by age, smoking and family history among our study subjects. We observed a statistically significant 10.6-fold increased risk among older individuals (age >60) with even one variant T allele compared to 1.2-fold among younger individuals (age<60). However, the association between NQO1 polymorphism and lung cancer risk might differ depending on subject's age. Studies demonstrating the procarcinogenic effect of NQO1 variant T allele in young Caucasians but rather protective effect in older ones can be found (age <50 years: OR = 1.28; age >50 years: OR = 0.46). (23) In our study, the patients who were heavy smokers (>21 pack-years) and with one or two copies of the T variant allele had 17.9-fold increased risk for SCLC lung cancer than light smoker patients with 2.1fold increased risk. However, the overall lung cancer risk among heavy smokers (>21 pack-years) 3.6-fold increased risk compared to 1.9-fold among light smokers (<21 pack-years).

Xu et al. (21) found that both the C/T and T/T genotype produced a higher risk of lung cancer compared with the wild-type genotype in those who smoked Polymerase chain reaction with confronting twopair primers (CTPP-PCR) is an effective genotyping method for single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in aspects of reducing time and costs for analysis. In the present study, the study subject's genotypes of NQO1 by CTPP-PCR method were the same as those genotyped with a RFLP-PCR.

Triplex PCR-RFLP for CYP1A1, GSTM1 and GSTT1 polymorphisms has been reported by Bailey et al., (24) compared with PCR-RFLP, PCR-CTPP has the advantage of low cost and rapidity, because it allows genotyping of SNPs without incubation with a restriction enzyme for PCR product digestion. Multiplex PCR-CTPP is applicable; there is no doubt that it is superior to multiplex PCR-RFLP. PCR-CTPP needs less material input and time than PCR-RFLP, even for single polymorphism genotyping. (25) However, technical problems should be noted for PCR-CTPP. The strength of bands is dependent on the balance in melting temperature of each primer. The balance is also sensitive to annealing temperature of PCR. General speaking, a similar melting temperature for all primers provides the best chance to find an optimal primer set, so primers with a similar melting temperature have to be used. If a suitable primer set cannot be found, this method may not be applicable. This is a common problem to usual PCR with one pair of primers. (??4) Kawase et al., (25) reported these conditions after several unsuccessful combinations were tried.

Volume XIV Issue III Version I Year () K¹



Figure 1:

Overall Comparisons			
	Chi-Square	df	Sig.
Log Rank (Mantel-Cox)	5.010	2	.057
Test of equality of survival distributions for the different levels of Genotype.			

I

Figure 2: Figure I :

1

Genotype	patients (n=100)		Controls (n=100)		? 2	p
	No.	%	No.	%		
CC	62	62.0	73	73.0		
CT	31	31.0	26	26.0	5.835 *	MC p = 0.047 *
TT	7	7.0	1	1.0		
Allele						
C T	155 45	77.5 22.5	172 28	86.0 14.0	4.843 *	0.028 *
?						

[Note: 2 : value for Chi square *: Statistically significant at p ? 0.05]

Figure 3: Table 1 :

2

	controls						OR (95% CI) a		Adjusted #
	No	CC	%	Genotype	No	%	Crude		
Controls	73	54.1%	26	45.6%	1	12.5%	1	1	
All cases	62	45.9%	31	54.4%	7	87.5%	1.7 (0.91-3.0)	2.2 (0.63-7.9)	
SCC	19	20.7%	8	23.5%	4	80.0%	1.7 (0.73-3.9)	1.2 (0.21-7.0)	
SCLC	9	11.0%	10	27.8%	0		0.0% (0.0-0.0)	4.3 (0.5-33.4)	
Adeno-carcinoma	25	25.5%	10	27.8%	2	66.7%	1.3 (0.57-2.9)	2.1 (0.4-10.3)	
Others	9	11.0%	3	10.3%	1	50.0%	1.2 (0.34-4.2)	3.8 (0.4-30.7)	

a Odds ratios are for CT and TT versus CC.

OR adjusted for age, family history, smoking index* OR significant at 0.05

Figure 4: Table 2 :

3

	CC (n = 62)		Genotype CT (n = 31)		TT (n = 7)		Test p of sig.
	No	%	No	%	No	%	
Smoking							
Non smoker	0	0.0	2	6.5	2	28.6	MC * p = 0.001 *
Smoker	62	100.0	27	87.1	5	71.4	
EX smoker	0	0.0	2	6.5	0	0.0	
p 1			0.012 *		FE p = 0.009 *		
p 2					MC p = 0.173		
Smoking intensity							
Min. -Max.	0.50 -4.0		0.50 -3.0		1.50 -2.50		KW
Mean ± SD.	1.58 ± 0.63		1.39 ± 0.51		1.90 ± 0.42		5.436 0.066
Median	1.50		1.50		2.0		
MW p 1			0.187		0.091		
MW p 2				0.025 *			
Duration of smoking (years)							
Min. -Max.	15.0 -50.0		5.0 -55.0		20.0 -40.0		
Mean ± SD.	28.60 ± 9.99		27.93 ± 13.0		31.0 ± 7.42		KW 0.832 0.367
Median	30.0		30.0		30.0		
MW p 1			0.935		0.499		
MW p 2				0.711			
Smoking Index (p/year)							
Min. -Max.	7.0 -100.0		2.50 -110.0		30.0 -100.0		
Mean ± SD.	43.37 ± 17.28		41.91 ± 26.20		60.50 ± 25.27		KW 0.238 2.873
Median	42.50		40.0		60.0		
MW p 1			0.680		0.105		
MW p 2				0.117			
p: p value for comparing between different genotype							
p 1 : p value for comparing between CC with CT and TT							
p 2 : p value for comparing between CT and TT							
? 2 : value of Chi square							
MC: Monte Carlo test				FE: Fisher Exact test			
KW ? ? : Kruskal Wallis test				MW: Mann Whitney test			
*: Statistically significant at p ? 0.05							

Figure 5: Table 3 :

4

Smoking index <21					OR (95% CI)				Smoking index >21					OR (CI)
	No	CC	%	CT+TT No %	Crude		Adjusted #		No	CC	%	CT+TT No %	Crude	
Controls	13	76.5%	5	45.5%	1		1		8	12.1%	1	3.7%	1	
All cases	4	23.5%	6	54.5%	3.9	(0.76-19.9)	1.9	(0.29-13.2)	58	87.9%	26	96.3%	3.6 (30.1)	
SCC	3	18.8%	2	28.6%	1.7	(0.22-13.7)	1.2	(0.05-18.6)	16	66.7%	6	85.7%	3.0 (29.4)	
SCLC	1	7.1%	0	0.0%	2.4	(0.25-14.7)	2.1	(0.21-25.9)	8	50.0%	9	90.0%	9.0 (88.5)	
Adenocarcinoma	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	3.7	(0.16-10.6)	1.3	(0.07-16.8)	25	75.8%	9	90.0%	2.9 (26.4)	
Others	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	3.7	(0.16-10.6)	1.4	(0.05-17.5)	9	52.9%	2	66.7%	1.8 (23.5)	

Figure 6: Table 4 :

- [Xu et al.] , L L Xu , J C Wain , D P Miller , S W Thurston , L Su , T J Lynch . NAD (P) H: quinone oxidoreductase 1.
- [Gene Polymorphism and Lung Cancer Differential Susceptibility Based on Smoking Behavior. *Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers & Prevention* 2001. 10 (4) p. .
- [Jemal et al. ()] , A Jemal , F Bray , M M Center , J Ferlay , E Ward , D Forman . *Global cancer statistics. CA: a cancer journal for clinicians* 2011. 61 (2) p. .
- [Yu et al. ()] ‘A functional NQO1 609C> T polymorphism and risk of gastrointestinal cancers: a meta-analysis’. H Yu , H Liu , L-E Wang , Q Wei . *PLOS ONE* 2012. 7 (1) p. e30566.
- [Lin et al. ()] ‘Analysis of NQO1, GSTP1, and MnSOD genetic polymorphisms on lung cancer risk in Taiwan’. P Lin , Y-M Hsueh , J-L Ko , Y-F Liang , K-J Tsai , C-Y Chen . *Lung Cancer* 2003. 40 (2) p. .
- [Bailey et al. ()] ‘Breast cancer and CYP1A1, GSTM1, and GSTT1 polymorphisms: evidence of a lack of association in Caucasians and African Americans’. L R Bailey , N Roodi , C S Verrier , C J Yee , W D Dupont , F F Parl . *Cancer Research* 1998. 58 (1) p. .
- [Gasdaska et al. ()] ‘Cigarette smoking is a determinant of DTdiaphorase gene expression in human non-small cell lung carcinoma’. P Y Gasdaska , G Powis , P Hyman , H Fisher . *Cancer Research* 1993. 53 (22) p. .
- [Hamajima et al. ()] ‘Competitive amplification and unspecific amplification in polymerase chain reaction with confronting two-pair primers’. N Hamajima , T Saito , K Matsuo , K Tajima . *The Journal of molecular diagnostics* 2002. 4 (2) p. .
- [Sunaga et al. ()] ‘Contribution of the NQO1 and GSTT1 polymorphisms to lung adenocarcinoma susceptibility’. N Sunaga , T Kohno , N Yanagitani , H Sugimura , H Kunitoh , T Tamura . *Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers & Prevention* 2002. 11 (8) p. .
- [Jemal et al. ()] ‘Global patterns of cancer incidence and mortality rates and trends’. A Jemal , M M Center , C Desantis , E M Ward . *Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers & Prevention* 2010. 19 (8) p. .
- [Jaiswal ()] ‘Human NAD (P) H: quinone oxidoreductase (NQO1) gene structure and induction by dioxin’. A K Jaiswal . *Biochemistry* 1991. 30 (44) p. .
- [Rosvold et al. ()] ‘Identification of an NAD (P) H: quinone oxidoreductase polymorphism and its association with lung cancer and smoking’. E A Rosvold , K A Mcglynn , E D Lustbader , K H Buetow . *Pharmacogenetics* 1995. 5 (4) p. 199.
- [Dela et al. ()] ‘Lung cancer: epidemiology, etiology, and prevention’. Ccs Dela , L T Tanoue , R A Matthay . *Clinics in chest medicine* 2011. 32 (4) p. 605.
- [Kawai et al. ()] ‘Multiplex PCR with confronting twopair primers for CYP1A1 Ile462Val, GSTM1, GSTT1, and NQO1 C609T’. S Kawai , K Nishio , S Nakamura , Y Sekido , T Niwa , N Hamajima . *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention* 2005. 6 (3) p. 346.
- [Nebert et al. ()] ‘NAD (P) H: quinone oxidoreductase (NQO1) polymorphism, exposure to benzene, and predisposition to disease: a HuGE review’. D W Nebert , A L Roe , S E Vandale , E Bingham , G G Oakley . *Genetics in Medicine* 2002. 4 (2) p. .
- [Chao et al. ()] ‘NAD (P) H: quinone oxidoreductase 1 (NQO1) Pro187Ser polymorphism and the risk of lung, bladder, and colorectal cancers: a meta-analysis’. C Chao , Z-F Zhang , J Berthiller , P Boffetta , M Hashibe . *Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers & Prevention* 2006. 15 (5) p. .
- [Lafuente et al. ()] ‘NAD (P) H: quinone oxidoreductase-dependent risk for colorectal cancer and its association with the presence of K-ras mutations in tumors’. M J Lafuente , X Casterad , M Trias , C Ascaso , R Molina , A Ballesta . *Carcinogenesis* 2000. 21 (10) p. .
- [Joseph and Jaiswal ()] ‘NAD (P) H: quinone oxidoreductase1 (DT diaphorase) specifically prevents the formation of benzo [a] pyrene quinone-DNA adducts generated by cytochrome P4501A1 and P450 reductase’. P Joseph , A K Jaiswal . *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 1994. 91 (18) p. .
- [Bock et al. ()] ‘NQO1 T allele associated with decreased risk of later age at diagnosis lung cancer among never smokers: results from a population-based study’. C H Bock , A S Wenzlaff , M L Cote , S J Land , A G Schwartz . *Carcinogenesis* 2005. 26 (2) p. .
- [Spivack et al. ()] ‘Phase I and II carcinogen metabolism gene expre -ssion in human lung tissue and tumors’. S D Spivack , G J Hurteau , M J Fasco , L S Kaminsky . *Clinical Cancer Research* 2003. 9 (16) p. .
- [Lewis et al. ()] ‘Polymorphisms in theNAD(P)H: quinone oxidoreductase gene and small cell lung cancer risk in a UK population’. S J Lewis , N M Cherry , R M Niven , P V Barber , A C Povey . *Lung Cancer* 2001. 34 (2) p. .
- [Shopland ()] *Tobacco use and its contribution to early cancer mortality with a special emphasis on cigarette smoking. Environmental health perspectives*, D R Shopland . 1995. 103 p. 131. (Suppl)

8 DISCUSSION

- 251 [Kawase et al. ()] ‘Triplex Polymerase Chain Reactions with Confronting Two-Pair Primers (PCR-CTPP) for
252 NQO1C609T, GSTM1and GSTT1Polymorphisms: a Convenient Genotyping Method’. H Kawase , Nh , At ,
253 T S Kw , KT . *Asian Pacific J Cancer Prev* 2003. 4 p. .
- 254 [Bu et al. ()] ‘Variable drug metabolism genes in Arab population’. R Bu , M I Gutierrez , M Al-Rasheed , A
255 Belgaumi , K Bhatia . *The pharmacogenomics journal* 2004. 4 (4) p. .